ABSTRACT: This work evaluated the remineralization of demineralized enamel of pits and fissures of human third molars sealed with a glass ionomer cement (Fuji IX, GC Corporation - Japan) or with a Bis-GMA sealant (Delton - Dentsply). Ten volunteers participated in this in situ study that consisted of two thirty-day periods using intra-oral devices, with a week’s interval in between. Four experimental treatment procedures and one control were randomly assigned to the volunteers’ specimens: Group I, no treatment, control; Group II, artificial caries process; Group III, same treatment as Group II, but sealed with Delton (Dentsply); Group IV, same treatment as Group II, but sealed with Fuji IX (GC Corporation - Japan); Group V, same treatment as Group II and no sealing. Groups I and II were not submitted to the oral environment and served as controls. After a period of 30 days in the oral environment, the specimens were removed from the devices, embedded in acrylic resin, ground flat and polished. Then, Knoop hardness tests were performed, with a 25 g static load applied for 15 seconds. The measurements were made from the base of the fissure up to an opening of 600 µm, pre-established between the inclines of the cusps. Three indentations were then made, located at 25, 75, and 125 µm in depth from the outer enamel margin and 100 µm apart from each other (Micromet 2003). The Brieger F and Bonferroni’s tests were applied to the measurements. It was concluded that sealing with the glass ionomer cement Fuji IX was capable of making the enamel of pits and fissures more resistant by increasing the value of Knoop hardness.
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ply the sealant, thus increasing the cost of the program. Studies that have evaluated the retention of glass ionomer cement used as sealant showed discrepant results, although all of them demonstrated that it was inferior to resin-based sealants8,22. The cariostatic effects of the glass ionomer material is due to its fluoride release8,11,12,23. Therefore, in relation to caries prevention, the loss of this material is of lesser importance and does not interfere with its effect, since scientific investigation has shown that the aim of these types of sealants is not exclusively to function as a mechanical barrier. In addition, there is the fact that some material may still remain in the pit and fissures19, despite the appearance of the sealant being lost.

Other facts to consider, when using sealants, are the incipient caries lesions on fissures and the difficulty of their adequate diagnosis17. The probable consequence of this is the inadvertent use of sealants on many caries lesions; yet, the use of resin sealant is not contraindicated in the presence of incipient caries lesions in regard to adhesion, as seen by van Dorp, ten Cate20 (1987), or to the arrest of the lesion, as observed by Mertz-Fairhurst et al.20 (1998). This type of prevention mechanism has been greatly studied on smooth surfaces, but studies on the occlusal surface are scarce7,8,15.

A wide range of techniques have been used or especially developed to measure the changes occurring in the tissue. Among these are tests to quantify changes in physical properties, such as hardness, or to measure changes in the chemical composition7.

The aim of this study was to evaluate enamel remineralization at the margins of the fissures of human third molars sealed with a glass ionomer cement Fuji IX (GC Corporation, Tokyo - Japan) or with a Bis-GMA sealant (Delton - Dentsply), through Knoop’s microhardness analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Figure 1 provides an overview of the experiment. This research project was submitted to and authorized by the Committee of Ethical Aspects in Dental Research, School of Dentistry, University of Sao Paulo. This was an in situ crossover study consisting of two thirty-day periods with a week’s interval in between. Ten volunteers were chosen to participate in the study according to the criterion of Featherstone, Zero6 (1992). The volunteers received intra-oral devices with demineralized enamel specimens of the occlusal surfaces of extracted human third molars. Four experimental treatment procedures and one control were randomly assigned to the volunteers’ specimens. The experimental treatments were as follows:

- **Group I** – caries free control (sound). Samples without artificial caries lesions and maintained outside the mouth.
- **Group II** – artificial caries control. Samples with artificial caries lesions but with no sealant and maintained outside the mouth.
- **Group III** – samples with artificial caries lesion and sealed with Delton, placed in the intra-oral device.
- **Group IV** – sample with artificial caries lesion sealed with Fuji IX, placed in the intra oral device.
- **Group V** – artificial caries control without sealant, samples with artificial caries lesions and no sealant, and placed in the intra-oral device.

Twenty extracted, sound third molars, with more than 2/3 of root formation, were cleaned of gross debris and stored in a 1% solution of formaldehyde (pH = 7) and maintained under refrigeration until they were used, approximately 3 months later. Samples of the occlusal surfaces measuring 1.5 mm in the mesiodistal direction and 4 mm in the buccolingual direction were obtained using a water-cooled diamond blade (Figure 1). The samples had an area of approximately 6 mm². All samples were stored in a humidifier for one week and cleaned with distilled water and pumice. From 12 third molars, four samples were obtained from each tooth, and from the remaining eight teeth, five samples were obtained from each tooth, thus, producing a total of 88 samples. The samples were randomly divided among the five Groups mentioned previously. Groups I, II, III and IV had 20 samples each, and Group V had eight samples. This occurred because not always all third molars had five samples available. From some teeth, only four samples could be obtained, as described previously. For the artificial caries-free group (Group I), one sample of each tooth was maintained at room temperature in the humid environment specified earlier. All other samples were submitted to the artificial caries process.

On the entire occlusal surface, except for 1 mm around the fissure, the samples were embedded with fast setting epoxy resin (Araldite, Ciba-Geizy Quimica S/A, Sao Paulo, Brazil). This procedure was performed with the aid of a compass and before initiating the artificial caries process. Every specimen was placed in a vessel with 10 ml of a 0.1 M lactic acid aqueous solution (pH = 4.3) with 5% of methyl cellulose, at 37°C, for seven weeks. At the end of the caries procedure, all samples
were washed with distilled water and sterilized by exposure to ethylene oxide vapour for 12 hours before being sealed and placed into the intra-oral devices\(^1\). The occlusal surfaces of the samples were sealed in the following manner:

**a. Bis-GMA resin Group (Group III)** – Delton (Dentsply) sealant was used in this group. The samples were cleaned with a polishing brush on a low-speed handpiece with pumice and water for 15 seconds and washed with water for 30 seconds. Manipulation and placement of the sealant were performed according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**b. Glass ionomer cement Group (Group IV)** – The Fuji IX (GC Corporation, Tokyo - Japan) glass ionomer cement was used. It was placed with a dental probe and condensed with an amalgam packer. After the cement lost its shiny appearance, a varnish coat (Procosa Produtos de Beleza Ltda., SP, Brazil) was placed as recommended by the manufacturer. Establishment of the powder/liquid ratio and manipulation were also performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

These two sealants were placed within the 1 mm limit established for artificial dental caries lesion. After the setting time of each material, the samples were immediately placed into the intra-oral device and used by the volunteers.

Each volunteer used an intra-oral device with samples of two different teeth, but with the same sealant on both. For example, volunteer number one always used samples of teeth numbers one and two, both with the Fuji IX sealant (Group IV). On the second phase of the study, the same volunteer used samples of teeth one and two, but this time sealed with Delton (Group III). The volunteers only used samples of Group V when five samples were obtained from the teeth assigned. The volunteers were instructed not to brush the intra-oral device where the samples were placed, nor to take any type of medication containing fluoride, to use only fluoride-free toothpaste (starting one week before the study and through all the investigation period), to perform their oral hygiene as they usually did, use the intra-oral device 24 hours a day and to remove it only at meal times. The samples were removed at the end of the thirty-day period and cleaned with a 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for one hour. Afterwards, they were maintained in the humid environment described previously, for microhardness evaluation. Each specimen was then embedded in self-curing acrylic resin, in such a way that the area to be evaluated was exposed (Figure 1). The longitudinally cut surfaces (the
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**FIGURE 1 - Study design.**

**A:** slices of the tooth.

**B:** Sample of occlusal surface measuring 1.5 x 4.0 x 6.0 mm.

**C:** artificial caries.

**D:** tooth fragment inserted in self-curing acrylic resin.

**E:** Knoop indentations (enamel).
areas to be evaluated) were serially polished on a polishing machine using 600 and 1,000 grade aluminum oxide paper under water, followed by 1 μm alumina.

The inhibition of demineralization was quantified through microhardness analyses. Indentations were made with a microhardness tester (Micromet 2003, Buehler, Illinois, USA, fitted with a diamond Knoop penetrator – Buehler, Illinois, USA –, used for 15 seconds with a 25 g load). The indentations were made longitudinally along the fissure, starting at its base until the opening of 600 μm and paralleled to the surface (Figure 1). The indentations were made taking as reference the external wall of the fissure. The indentations were located at 25 (Line A), 75 (Line B) and 125 μm (Line C) in depth from the outer enamel margin and were separated from each other by a distance of 100 μm14,22.

The values obtained were converted to Knoop Hardness Number (KHN), written down and organized in a Table. To evaluate significant differences, Brieger F and Bonferroni’s tests were applied to the measurements.

RESULTS

The microhardness values of lines A, B and C are presented in Table 1. Group IV (Fuji IX) showed the highest hardness mean value, which was statistically different from that of the other Groups at all distances tested, except for Group I (Control). In lines B and C, the mean microhardness value of Group I was statistically different from that of Groups II, III and V, but not from that of Group IV. The lowest mean values were obtained in Groups II and V.

DISCUSSION

In this study, an increase in the mean Knoop microhardness at all distances tested (Lines A, B and C) was observed on the enamel surface adjacent to the glass ionomer cement, when compared to the enamel adjacent to the Delton sealant or in the control Group with no sealant (adjacent to saliva). These results suggest that the glass ionomer cement is capable of interfering with the progression of the artificial caries lesion when used as pit and fissure sealant in a distance up to 125 μm. However, it is important to consider the limitations of the evaluations performed.

Microhardness profile can be used not only as a comparative measure of hardness changes but as a direct measure of mineral gain or loss as a consequence of demineralization and presumably remineralization.

The highest mineral loss was observed in Group II (control with artificial caries only), with a loss of 9.75% in line A, followed by Groups V and III. At the other lines, mineral loss was detected in all Groups, although in Group IV this loss was very low. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Seppä, Forss24 (1991), who observed a higher resistance to demineralization in fissures previously sealed with a glass ionomer cement, and Benelli et al.1 (1993) in smooth surfaces. Other studies have also observed a lesser degree of demineralization on the enamel adjacent to fluoride releasing material10,23. In the in vitro studies performed by Forss, Seppä22 (1989), the mean enamel hardness adjacent to the glass ionomer cement was lower than in the control Group, even in the presence of fluoride that had been released by this material; in other words, in all these investigations mentioned, there was mineral loss, although this loss was always lesser in the glass ionomer group.

These results are different from those found in our in situ study because mineral gain was detected in the GIC Group. This could be explained by two facts: first, the enamel used was decalcified

**TABLE 1 - Knoop microhardness number mean values for lines A, B and C of groups I, II, III, IV and V.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A-25 μm</td>
<td>B-75 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>196.09*abcA</td>
<td>249.00*abcA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>137.76*bcdeA</td>
<td>212.08*bcdeA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>173.41*bcdeA</td>
<td>226.15*bcdeA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>208.66*bcdefA</td>
<td>245.14*bcdefA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>154.08*abcA</td>
<td>221.59*abcA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p < 0.01- The mean values of Group IV (*) were statistically different in relation to the other Groups (*). The same superscript lowercase letters (vertical lines) represent statistical difference between Groups. Capital letters represent difference between lines A, B and C for each group (Horizontal lines).
and, therefore, could have facilitated fluoride gain as described by Koulourides et al.14 (1974); second, due to the in situ remineralization model used. The presence of fluoride in association to favorable remineralization conditions could have affected the results, since all volunteers had good oral hygiene and no sucrose solution or any other cariogenic methods were used on the samples. Furthermore, all samples were placed below the acrylic margin level of the intra-oral devices to allow plaque accumulation and to simulate what would happen in a child with an erupting tooth that is not adequately cleaned. Consequently, as mentioned by some authors21,26,28, the fluoride accumulated in the dental plaque (biofilm) and the decreased number of S. mutans and Lactobacillus gave rise to the remineralization of the artificial caries lesions. Some investigations have demonstrated and proven that along the GIC restorations there is a certain amount of bacterial inhibition21,25,28. Neither the concentration of released fluoride, nor how it was incorporated into the enamel was evaluated in this study. Other studies have shown that there is a fluoride increase on the enamel adjacent to GIC restorations1-10. In Group III (Delton), an increase in the hardness mean was found only in line A, when compared to Group II (artificial caries control). This increase in hardness could be explained by tag formation in the external layer of the fissure wall, as shown by van Dorp, ten Cate29 (1987). In Group IV (Fuji IX), the highest microhardness mean was found in line A, near the external surface of the fissure wall; yet, at all distances tested, there was an increase in microhardness when compared to Group II (artificial caries control). Similar results were found in smooth surfaces of in vitro studies that used fluoride releasing material14,10,12,27.

Another factor analysed was the Knoop mean microhardness at line A of Group I (caries-free control). A very low microhardness value was detected when compared to those found in smooth surfaces. The mean Knoop microhardness values found for smooth surfaces was 356.8 in erupted teeth23.

Marinelli et al.18 (1997) detected remineralization of caries lesions after a one month-period maintained in artificial saliva and fluoride. In Marinelli et al.’s18 (1997) study, the most effective methods for remineralization, in decreasing order, were 0.05% sodium fluoride solution, fluoridated toothpaste and a GIC. The control Group exposed only to saliva had the lowest remineralization degree. On the other hand, in our study, this remineralization effect was not observed, considering that the mean Knoop microhardness was not significantly greater than those found in Group II at all distances tested. This leads us to believe that the thirty-day period was not enough to completely remineralize the pit and fissure lesion.

CONCLUSION

The enamel of the fissure’s margin showed mean microhardness greater in Group IV (Fuji IX) when compared to that of the other Groups. Therefore, the GIC was capable of remineralizing the margin of the fissure.
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ERRATA

No volume 20, “Special Issue” I (abril, 2006), nas páginas 69 e 70, resumos TL022 e TL027, leia-se “\[S^2 = \frac{\sum d^2}{2n}\]” no lugar de “\[S^2 = \frac{\pi d^2}{2n}\]”.
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