Retention of radicular posts varying the application technique of the adhesive system and luting agent
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ABSTRACT: This study evaluated in vitro the retention of intracanal cast posts cemented with dual-cure resin varying the application method of the primer/adhesive solution and luting agent in the prosthetic space prepared to receive the posts. Sixty endodontically treated maxillary canines had their crowns discarded, and their roots were embedded in acrylic resin. The prosthetic spaces were prepared with Largo burs mounted on a low-speed handpiece coupled to a parallelogram in order to maintain length and diameter of intraradicular posts constant and to guarantee that the preparations were parallel after casting. Two groups (n = 30) were randomly formed according to the device used to apply the adhesive system: microbrush or standard bristle brush (control). Each group was divided into 3 subgroups (n = 10) according to the technique used to place the luting agent into the root canal: using only a lentulo spiral before setting the post, applying it onto the post surface, or combining both methods. After 72 hours, the tensile force required to dislodge each post was determined by a universal testing machine (Instron 4444) set at a speed of 1 mm/min. The results indicated that the use of the microbrush yielded higher bond strength values (0.1740 ± 0.04 kN) than those recorded for the bristle brush tip (0.1369 ± 0.04 kN, p < 0.001). Bonferroni’s test demonstrated a higher retention (p < 0.001) in radicular post cemented with the technique that combined both methods (lentulo + post: 0.1787 ± 0.03 kN) than that obtained with lentulo (0.1461 ± 0.065 kN) or post (0.1416 ± 0.03 kN) alone. The interactions between the adhesive system and luting agent application techniques presented statistical difference (p < 0.001). It was concluded that the best performance in terms of tensile strength among the tested conditions was obtained when the adhesive system was applied with a microbrush and the luting agent was taken into the root canal with lentulo spirals alone (0.1961 ± 0.04 kN) and combining both methods (lentulo + post: 0.1911 ± 0.02 kN).

DESCRIPTIONS: Adhesives; Tensile strength; Post and core technique; Dental cements.

RESUMO: O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar in vitro a retenção de pinos intra-radiculares variando-se a técnica de aplicação do agente adesivo e do cimento resinoso dual no espaço protético preparado para a recepção do pino. Sessenta caninos superiores tratados endodonticamente tiveram as coroas descartadas e as raízes incluídas em resina acrílica. Os espaços protéticos foram preparados com broca de Largo acionada por micromotor acoplado a um paralelômetro, a fim de manter constantes o comprimento e diâmetro dos pinos intra-radiculares e o paralelismo dos preparos após a fundição. Os pinos foram divididos aleatoriamente em 2 grupos (n = 30) conforme a técnica de aplicação do agente adesivo: com “microbrush” ou com pincel (controle). Cada grupo foi subdividido em três subgrupos (n = 10) segundo a técnica de aplicação do agente cimentante: com o próprio pino, com broca de lentulo previamente ao posicionamento do pino ou associação dos dois modos. Após 72 horas do processo de cimentação, os pinos foram tracionados pela Máquina Universal de Ensaios (Instron 4444) à velocidade de 1 mm/min. Os resultados mostraram diferença estatística significante (p < 0,001) entre as técnicas de aplicação do agente adesivo com “microbrush” (0,1740 ± 0,04 kN) e pincel (0,1369 ± 0,04 kN). Em relação à técnica de aplicação do cimento no interior do espaço protético, a da associação entre lentulo e pino (0,1787 ± 0,03 kN) foi estaticisticamente diferente (p < 0,001) das do lentulo (0,1461 ± 0,065 kN) e do pino (0,1416 ± 0,03 kN) isoladamente. As interações entre as técnicas de aplicação do agente adesivo e do agente cimentante apresentaram diferença estatística (p < 0,001). Concluiu-se que as interações entre as técnicas de aplicação do agente adesivo com “microbrush” e aplicação do agente cimentante com lentulo (0,1961 ± 0,04 kN) e com lentulo e pino associados (0,1911 ± 0,02 kN) propiciaram os maiores valores da força de tração.

DESCRITORES: Adesivos; Resistência à tração; Técnica para retenção intra-radicular; Cimentos dentários.
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INTRODUCTION

Radicular posts are a valuable resource in the prosthetic rehabilitation of teeth with extensive coronal destruction that still have adequate periodontal support and root implantation. However, the decision to use a post when restoring a root-filled tooth should primarily be based on the retention requirements of coronal restoration and is partly determined by the post design and bonding/luting procedures.

In view of these shortcomings, attention was directed to improving luting material characteristics as well as the post fixation into the root canal space. The zinc phosphate cement has been traditionally used as a luting agent of radicular posts due to its low cost, ease of handling and fast setting. Nevertheless, the development of resin cements has currently been indicated for the cementation of metallic prosthetic pieces because its retention relies upon a strong mechanical interlocking of the dental surface and the post. Posts cemented with resin luting agents demand higher forces to be dislodged, and they decrease coronal microleakage and reinforce weakened root structure. Self-cure or dual-cure cements should be used due to the limited light penetration into the root, even with translucent posts.

Concerning the techniques available for radicular post cementation, several studies have attempted to explain the divergent results found in literature regarding the bond strength they provided. The use of mechanical retention devices, such as grooves, has been advocated to enhance retention of posts. However, the clinical failure of this procedure has been attributed to incorrect placement of the adhesive layer, which may be caused by inadequate mixing of the luting agent and/ or improper application of the adhesive system or the luting agent. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess, by tensile bond strength testing, the retention of cast posts cemented with a dual-cure resin (Panavia F), varying the application method of the adhesive system (microbrush or standard bristle brush tip) and the placement technique of the luting agent into the root space (using only a lentulo spiral, applying it exclusively on the post surface or combining both methods).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Sixty caries-free maxillary human canines, extracted within a six-month period for endodontic or periodontal reasons and stored in 0.9% saline solution, were cleaned with a scaler and water/pumice slurry in dental prophylactic cups. Each tooth was examined under a 10 X stereomicroscope (Nikon Inc. Instrument Group, Melville, NY, USA) to discard those with structural defects. All the selected teeth had to have a single canal and a straight root measuring approximately 15 mm.

Crowns were sectioned transversally 1 mm below the cemento-enamel junction with diamond discs (KG Sorensen, Barueri, SP, Brazil), under water cooling, and discarded. Roots were individually embedded in acrylic resin (Jet, Clássico, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) using a rectangular aluminum mold. Following the material polymerization, root canals were instrumented to a working length of 12 mm (1 mm above the anatomical apex) with K-files (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) up to a #50 master apical file. During the instrumentation, canals were irrigated with 1% sodium hypochlorite. Final irrigation was done with 10 ml of distilled water. The canals were aspirated and dried with absorbent paper points (Dentsply-Herpo, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil). Root canals were obturated with gutta-percha points (Dentsply-Herpo, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil) and Sealer 26 (Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) using the lateral condensation technique.

Root canal entrances were swabbed with alcohol and sealed with a non-eugenol temporary material (Vigodent, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil). The specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 144 hours, corresponding to three times the endodontic sealer setting time. Samples were subsequently prepared with #6 Largo burs (8-mm high; 1.7-mm diameter) (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). A digital pachymeter (Digimess; Shiko Precision Gaging Ltd., China) was used to standardize the bur length and diameter. Cylindrical post spaces were prepared with a low-
speed handpiece (Dabi Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil) attached to a parallelo-ometer (ELQuip, São Carlos, SP, Brazil) to ensure that the surface was kept parallel to the horizontal plane and obtain standard preparations with dentin walls parallel to the roots’ long axis. Burs were replaced every ten preparations.

The prosthetic preparations were irrigated with distilled water, dried with absorbent paper points and isolated with silicon vaseline. For root canal impressions, a chemically activated acrylic resin (Duralay; Reliance Dental, Worth, IL, USA) and pin-jet posts (Ângelus-Odontológika, Londrina, PR, Brazil) were used. A 5-mm-long cylindrical core was fabricated with the same diameter as that of the intracanal portion. A special metallic ring was fixed to the core to allow bond testing in a universal machine.

The resin/wax pattern was embedded in a silicon ring with Termocast phosphate investment (Polidental, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and cast in copper-aluminum alloy (Goldent L.A. Com. Imp. Exp., São Paulo, SP, Brazil). The posts received an aluminum oxide blast and were adjusted to the root canals. The specimens had an 8-mm intracanal portion (post), a 5-mm coronal portion (core) and an 8-mm-diameter ring attached to the core (Figure 1).

The posts were cemented with Panavia F dual-cure resin (Kuraray Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The root canals were irrigated with distilled water and dried with absorbent paper points. Sequentially, specimens were randomly assigned to two groups of equal size (n = 30) according to the application method of the adhesive system to the intracanal dentin: (A) using a microbrush applicator (Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) and (B) using a standard bristle brush tip supplied by the manufacturer (control). In all specimens, drops of each primer (Liquid A and Liquid B) were mixed for 5 seconds, and the mixture was applied to the dentin, left undisturbed for 60 seconds, and gently air-thinned to evaporate the volatiles.

Both groups were randomly divided into three subgroups (n = 10) according to the technique of placement of the luting agent into the post spaces: (I) using only a #40 lentulo spiral (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), (II) applying exclusively on the post surface, or (III) combining both methods. The proper ratio of the catalyst and universal pastes were dispensed, mixed for 30 seconds to create a uniform paste and the cement was taken into the root canal following the different proposed conditions.

The post-core settings were seated into the corresponding post space preparations, kept under digital pressure for 1 minute and the cement excess was removed. Light-activation (Ultralux Eletronic; Dabi Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil) of the resin cement was done for 30 seconds at each surface (buccal, palatal, mesial and distal), resulting in a 2-minute light-curing cycle. Oxyguard II gel (Kuraray Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was applied to the superficial margin for 10 minutes and then removed with cotton rolls and water spray.

After 72-hours storage in distilled water at 37°C, the specimens were individually attached to a custom device apparatus, to be held secure in a vertical position and minimize the incidence of non-axial forces, in such a way that traction forces could be applied only parallel to the roots’ long axis. This was necessary to avoid post pressure against the luting agent or dentin walls.

For each sample, the ring fixed to the core was grasped by a clamping apparatus in the universal testing machine (Instron 4444; Instron Corporation, Canton-Massachusetts, USA) and tensile force was applied at a crosshead speed of 1.0 mm/min until post dislodgement. The maximum force required for each post removal was recorded (kN). Posts removed from the root canal space were observed with a 40 X stereomicroscope (Nikon Inc. Instrument Group, Melville, NY 11747, USA) to assess the failure modes.

FIGURE 1 - Schematic drawing of the post-core configuration.
Averages and standard deviations were calculated and the data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA using a factorial design with adhesive technique, and luting agent method as independent variables. Multiple comparisons were done using Bonferroni’s test.

RESULTS

The tensile bond strength means and standard deviations required to dislodge the posts from the roots in the different experimental groups are presented in Table 1.

In general, statistical analysis of the data by ANOVA and by the Bonferroni test indicated significant differences (p < 0.001) between the bond strength averages for the variables investigated (the technique of primer/adhesive application to the intracanal dentin and the method employed to take the luting agent into the root canal space).

The use of a microbrush applicator yielded higher tensile bond strength values than those recorded for the standard bristle brush tip (p < 0.001). This means that the posts in the microbrush group offered superior resistance to being dislodged from the roots when submitted to traction forces.

The Bonferroni’s test revealed statistically significant differences (p < 0.001) among the techniques of application of the luting agent into the root canals. Means comparisons yielded that the combination of both methods, in which the luting agent was taken into the root canal with a lentulo spiral and also spread onto the post surface (group III), promoted higher retention than the other tested techniques (p < 0.001).

### TABLE 1 - Bond strength means and standard deviations (kN) required for post dislodgment, using different application methods of primer/adhesive solution and luting agent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adhesive system application</th>
<th>Luting agent placement</th>
<th>Means ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lentulo</td>
<td>Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbrush</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>0.1271</td>
<td>0.1801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2245</td>
<td>0.1497</td>
<td>0.2055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1245</td>
<td>0.1564</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1306</td>
<td>0.1823</td>
<td>0.1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2410</td>
<td>0.1645</td>
<td>0.1875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1817</td>
<td>0.1358</td>
<td>0.1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2154</td>
<td>0.0875</td>
<td>0.1564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1650</td>
<td>0.1151</td>
<td>0.2250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2340</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.1745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1945</td>
<td>0.1340</td>
<td>0.1835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.1961 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.1348 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard bristle brush tip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0979</td>
<td>0.1106</td>
<td>0.1825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td>0.1760</td>
<td>0.1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1628</td>
<td>0.1339</td>
<td>0.2254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0601</td>
<td>0.1152</td>
<td>0.1543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1518</td>
<td>0.1959</td>
<td>0.1268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0951</td>
<td>0.1401</td>
<td>0.1661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1159</td>
<td>0.1549</td>
<td>0.1790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0725</td>
<td>0.1669</td>
<td>0.1551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0654</td>
<td>0.1251</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.0962 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.1484 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (Total)</td>
<td>0.1461 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.1416 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upper case letters point to ANOVA’s results (p < 0.001). Lower case letters summarize Bonferroni’s test results (p < 0.001). Same letters indicate statistical similarity.
The least resistance to post dislodgment was obtained when the primer/adhesive solution was applied to the intracanal dentin walls with a standard bristle brush tip and the resin cement was placed using only lentulo spirals. After the tensile test, posts removed from the canal space showed cement adhering to the posts, indicating that adhesive failure occurred predominantly in all groups.

**DISCUSSION**

The outcome of this study showed that the tensile force required to dislodge posts cemented with Panavia F was superior when a microbrush was used as carrier of the primer/adhesive solution. These findings are consistent with those of previous studies that morphologically evaluated the shape and structure of different types of disposable applicators of adhesive systems into the root canal. The greater post retention observed in the microbrush group may be ascribed to the fact that its long and malleable holder and the little tiny brush on its end allowed the application of a thin adhesive layer to intracanal dentin, including the apical third. Besides, it has been reported that a microbrush bends easily to any angle permitting the application of a homogeneous and controlled amount of primer/adhesive agent into limited access areas, promoting a more uniform resin-dentin interdiffusion zone and resin tags formation along the entire canal length, unlike that promoted with a standard brush.

The importance of avoiding adhesive accumulation in the apical third is even better understood when taking into account that the restricted access to this area creates additional difficulty for the luting agent light-activation process, which makes this region predisposed to post displacement until complete cement setting. The use of lentulo spirals permits a favorable distribution of luting cement throughout the post space and formation of a uniform, continuous cement layer. In this situation, if a dual-cure resin was the selected cementing material, the major recommendation is to avoid partial polymerization before the adequate post seating and excess material removal.

Regarding the different combinations of techniques for primer/adhesive application and luting agent placement, the lowest tensile bond strengths were found when the adhesive agent was applied with a bristle brush tip and the resin cement was taken into the root canal using solely a lentulo spiral. A possible explanation for such result would be that bristle brush tips usually take a greater amount of adhesive agent into the root canal. The excess of solution mixes with the resin cement when the lentulo spiral is operating in the canal. This mixture could interfere in the luting agent’s original composition and affect negatively its physicochemical properties. Therefore, the routine combination of these techniques in clinical practice should be avoided.

The lack of published data investigating the application method of adhesive solution and luting agent to the root canal, particularly with dual-cure resin cements, hinders the settlement of reliable comparisons. In addition, the great variety of current dental materials is a crucial feature to be con-
sidered. Further research is necessary to disclose which material and luting protocol is appropriate for each individual clinical situation.

CONCLUSION

Based on these findings, and within the limitations of an in vitro study, it may be concluded that:

In radicular cast posts luted with dual-cure resin cement (Panavia F), the best performance among the tested conditions was obtained when the adhesive system was applied with a microbrush applicator and the luting agent was taken into the root canal with lentulo spirals and also spread onto the post surface.
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