Flexural strength and hardness of direct and indirect composites

Abstract: The objective of this study was to evaluate the flexural strength (σf) and hardness (H) of direct and indirect composites, testing the hypotheses that direct resin composites produce higher σf and H values than indirect composites and that these properties are positively related. Ten bar-shaped specimens (25 mm x 2 mm x 2 mm) were fabricated for each direct [D250 - Filtek Z250 (3M-Espe) and D350 - Filtek Z350 (3M-Espe)] and indirect [ISin - Sinfony (3M-Espe) and IVM - VitaVM LC (Vita Zahnfabrik)] materials, according to the manufacturer’s instructions and ISO4049 specifications. The σf was tested in three-point bending using a universal testing machine (EMIC DL 2000) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min (ISO4049). Knoop hardness (H) was measured on the specimens’ fragments resultant from the σf test and calculated as \( H = 14.2P/l^2 \), where P is the applied load (0.1 kg; dwell time = 15 s) and l is the longest diagonal of the diamond shaped indent (ASTM E384). The data were statistically analyzed using Anova and Tukey tests (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)). The mean σf and standard deviation values (MPa) and statistical grouping were: D250 - 135.4 ± 17.6a; D350 - 123.7 ± 11.1b; ISin - 98.4 ± 6.4c; IVM - 73.1 ± 4.9d. The mean H and standard deviation values (kg/mm²) and statistical grouping were: D250 - 98.12 ± 1.8a; D350 - 86.5 ± 1.9b; ISin - 28.3 ± 0.9c; IVM - 30.8 ± 1.0c. The direct composite systems examined produce higher mean σf and H values than the indirect composites, and the mean values of these properties were positively correlated (\( r = 0.91 \)), confirming the study hypotheses.
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Introduction

The development of adhesive materials and techniques represents an improvement for the use of indirect restorations, encouraging the research for materials with mechanical and esthetic properties more similar to those of the tooth structure. Indirect composite resin systems represent an alternative to overcome some deficiencies of direct composite restorations, such as polymerization shrinkage stresses, inadequate polymerization in interproximal areas, restoration of proximal contacts and adequate dental contour.\textsuperscript{1,2,3}

The composition of indirect composite resin systems is similar to that of direct systems, differing by the use of different methods of additional polymerization, which allows a higher radical conversion. These additional polymerization procedures can involve photo-activation, heat, pressure, and a nitrogen atmosphere.\textsuperscript{3,4,5}

In the early 1980’s, the composite inlay technique was introduced in Switzerland and France. The first generation of materials was constituted of microfilled indirect composite resin systems, with flexural strength ranging from 60 to 80 MPa, elastic modulus around 2.0-3.5 GPa, resin volume percentage higher than 50% and microparticles with an average size of 0.04 $\mu$m. These features resulted in some disadvantages such as inadequate mechanical properties, low resistance to occlusal wear and color instability.\textsuperscript{2,3}

The second generation of indirect composite resin systems was introduced in the 1990’s. These materials are microhybrid composites with a volume percentage of inorganic fillers of approximately 66%, resulting in improved mechanical properties with flexural strength between 120 and 160 MPa and elastic modulus of 8.5-12 GPa. These systems are indicated for inlays and onlays, laminated veneers and jacket crowns, implant-support restorations, for adjustment of proximal contacts and for reduction of occlusal stresses in bruxism cases.\textsuperscript{2,3}

Other indirect composite systems recently introduced, despite their excellent esthetics, are not classified as second-generation systems because they do not feature all of the required characteristics, like high mechanical properties values, high percentage of inorganic content and bond to metal.\textsuperscript{2,3} These composites are denominated intermediate laboratory composite resins. They have only a few properties of the second-generation composites but still can be effectively used in specific clinical situations.\textsuperscript{3}

The indirect composite system Sinfony (3M-ESPE) is a microhybrid material developed to be applied using the layering technique because of its flow consistency. According to the manufacturer, this material is indicated for inlays and onlays, veneers and full crowns. This system needs two polymerization phases: an initial polymerization using the Visio Alfa unit (3M-ESPE) for 15 s for each layer, which reduces shrinkage stress; and a final polymerization under light and vacuum using the Visio Beta Vario unit (3M-ESPE) for 15 min, which allows a higher monomer-polymer conversion.

The new system from Vita, Vita VM LC, is a light-curing microparticle indirect composite that can be used for the full and partial veneering of crowns, and as long-term temporary metal-free restorations. According to the manufacturer, this system is composed of inorganic nano-sized fillers that ensure high translucency due to natural refraction. The light curing box must be equipped with a light source which has a wavelength of 350-500 nm.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the flexural strength ($\sigma_f$) and hardness (H) of direct and indirect composites, testing the hypotheses that direct resin composites produce higher flexural strength and hardness values than indirect composites and that these properties are positively related.

Material and Methods

For the three-point flexural strength test, 10 bar-shaped specimens were fabricated from each direct and indirect composite system (Table 1), following the manufacturer’s instructions and the ISO4049 specification.\textsuperscript{7} The composite resin was packed inside a stainless steel mold positioned on a glass slab to obtain the required dimensions (2 ± 0.1 mm x 2 ± 0.1 mm x 25 ± 2 mm). A thin glass slab was positioned on the mold containing the material, which was light cured. The upper and lower surfaces of the D250 and D350 specimens were light cured (XL1500, 3M Dental Products, St. Paul, MN, USA; intensity of
400 mW/cm²) for 40 s per unit output diameter. The polymerization procedure of the indirect composite systems followed the manufacturer’s instructions. ISin was first light cured with a halogen lamp with intensity of 400 mW/cm² (Visio Alfa unit, 3M-ESPE) for 15 s, and finally cured under light and vacuum (Visio Beta Vario unit, 3M-ESPE) for 15 min. The IVM specimens were first light cured following the direct composite procedure and finally cured in 2 cycles of 4 min using a polymerization box (EDG-LUX, EDG Equipamentos, São Carlos, SP, Brazil) containing four blue-light bulbs and a rotating tray, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

All specimens were immersed in 37°C water for 15 min and polished with 600 to 1,200 grit SiC paper to remove excess material, following ISO4049 specification. The dimensions of the specimens were verified using a digital caliper (Digimatic caliper, Mitutoyo Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

After storage in distilled water at 37°C for 24 h, the specimens were submitted to the three-point bending test in a universal testing machine (EMIC DL 2000, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until fracture. The flexural strength values (σf), in MPa, were calculated as follows:

\[ \sigma_f = \frac{3PL}{2wb^2} \]

where:

- \( P \) is the fracture load (N);
- \( L \) is the distance between the supporting rollers (20 mm);
- \( w \) is the specimen height (in mm);
- \( b \) is specimen width (in mm).

Knoop hardness (H) was measured on the specimens’ fragments (n = 10) resultant from the flexural strength test. Three indentations (load of 100 g, dwell time of 15 s) were made in each specimen and the diagonals were measured with an optical microscope (HMV-2T, Shimadzu Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) using 400 X magnification. Knoop hardness was calculated as follows (ASTM E384):

\[ H = 14.2P/l^2 \]

where:

- \( P \) is the applied load (0.1 kg);
- \( l \) is the longest diagonal (in mm) of the diamond shaped indent.

The results were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test at a significance level of 5%. Pearson’s correlation test was used to determine the relationship between the two properties.
Results
The mean values and standard deviations (MPa) of flexural strength ($\sigma_f$) and hardness (H), and statistical grouping, are shown in Table 2.

The mean $\sigma_f$ values were significantly different for all the tested groups. The direct composite systems presented statistically higher mean $\sigma_f$ values than the indirect composites ($p < 0.05$). The D250 composite exhibited the highest $\sigma_f$ values ($135.4 \pm 17.6$ MPa) and the IVM composite exhibited the lowest $\sigma_f$ values ($73.1 \pm 4.9$ MPa).

The direct composite systems showed the highest H mean values. The mean H value of D250 was statistically greater than that of D350 ($p < 0.05$), which was also significantly greater than the mean H values of ISin and IVM ($p < 0.05$). There was no significant difference between the mean H values of the indirect systems ($p \geq 0.05$).

The correlation test showed a high positive correlation ($r = 0.91$) between the mean $\sigma_f$ and H values of the materials examined.

Discussion
The Sinfony (3M-ESPE) and VitaVM LC (Vita) indirect composite systems could be classified as intermediate laboratory composite resins since they have lower percentage of inorganic content (50wt% and 45-48wt%, respectively) and lower values for the mechanical properties evaluated than expected for second-generation systems.$^{2,3}$

The direct composite systems examined produce higher mean flexural strength and hardness values than the indirect composites, confirming the first study hypothesis. These results are in agreement with previous studies.$^{4,5,9,10}$

Cesar et al.$^4$ (2001) evaluated the flexural strength, flexural modulus and hardness of four indirect composite systems (Artglass, Belleglass, Sculpure and Targis) and one direct composite system (Z100). Their results partially agree with those of the present study because Z100 showed the highest mean hardness values, although this material presented a mean flexural strength value similar to that of the indirect composite systems (Artglass, Targis and Sculpure).

A previous study showed that the mean wear value of the indirect composites was significantly greater than the wear of Z100. In addition, the authors reported that Targis and Z100 showed a significantly greater elastic modulus than Artglass old formula and Vita Zeta LC, and Z100 showed a greater mean fracture strength value than Artglass and Vita Zeta LC.$^{10}$

Another study investigated the mechanical properties of a direct composite resin (Z100), a feldspathic porcelain (Noritake) and three indirect composites (Artglass, Solidex and Targis). The porcelain showed the greatest mean hardness value, followed by Z100. Solidex and Z100 showed greater mean compressive strength values than the other materials tested.$^9$

Soares et al.$^5$ (2005) evaluated the hardness and diametral tensile strength of two direct composites (TPH Spectrum and Filtek P60) and one indirect system (Solidex). The authors also reported that the direct composites showed better mechanical properties than the indirect composite system.

The objective of a secondary polymerization is to maximize the degree of conversion of composites in order to improve mechanical and physical properties, durability, solvent resistance and biocompatibility.$^{11}$ The presence of unpolymerized monomer in the matrix negatively affects the properties of composite materials and may induce surface degradation and discoloration.$^{12,13}$ The additional curing allows higher mobility and reactivity of free radicals formed by light irradiation or by thermal decomposition with an increase in collision probability among the unreacted active groups.$^{14-16}$ When the composite is heated to a temperature above its glass transition, there is an increase in the molecular mobility of the polymer chains. Therefore, it may be possible to further the chemical reaction by enhancing the molecular mobility of existing free radicals and other reactive species.$^8$

The above rationale was used by some authors to improve the mechanical properties of composite materials. Freiberg, Ferracane$^{11}$ (1998) showed improvement of fracture toughness, elastic modulus, flexural strength and hardness of composites when increasing the degree of conversion due to additional polymerization. Wendt$^{16}$ (1987) and Soares et al.$^5$ (2005) also concluded that an increase in the de-
gree of conversion improves composites’ mechanical properties, such as diametral tensile strength and hardness. Reinhardt et al.17 (1994) observed an 11% increase in flexural strength when a secondary curing procedure was used.

The above results and rationale are indications of improvement in the properties of indirect composite resin systems, but do not mean that indirect composites have a better clinical performance than direct composite systems. An improvement in the degree of conversion itself does not necessarily result in better mechanical properties, because these are also related to other factors, such as resin composition,18 filler content, particle size and distribution.3,9,10,12,17,19-21

The filler content could be an important factor when evaluating physical and mechanical properties of different composite materials. Li et al.20 (1985) reported that increasing the filler content resulted in greater hardness, compressive strength, and stiffness, while water sorption decreased.

Chung19 (1990) observed a positive relation between volume fraction of filler and diametral tensile strength and hardness. But no correlation was observed between the degree of conversion and the mechanical properties evaluated. Neves et al.12 (2002) also concluded that the filler content directly affects the hardness values.

Other studies also investigated the association between the mechanical properties of composites and the difference in filler volume. The authors reported that the materials with higher filler volumes showed better mechanical properties.9,17,21

These observations seem to be confirmed by the results of this study, especially when the materials’ filler volumes (Table 1) are compared with the mean $\sigma_f$ and H values (Table 2). The composite resins with higher filler contents, D250 (82wt%) and D350 (78.5wt%), showed significantly higher mean $\sigma_f$ and H values than the composites with lower filler contents, ISin (50wt%) and IVM (45-48wt%). Therefore, the filler content influenced the mechanical properties of the composite resins investigated.

The second study hypothesis was also confirmed, since there was a high positive correlation ($r = 0.91$) between the $\sigma_f$ and H properties of the composites systems examined. This correlation can be explained because the $\sigma_f$ provides information on the bulk properties and the H measures the materials surface properties,22 and both properties are clinically relevant in characterizing a restorative material.

Conclusion

The direct composite systems examined had higher mean $\sigma_f$ and H values than the indirect composites, and the mean values of these properties were positively correlated ($r = 0.91$), confirming the study hypotheses.
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