Stress distribution in the cervical region of an upper central incisor in a 3D finite element model

Abstract: The aim of this study was to evaluate the stress distribution in the cervical region of a sound upper central incisor in two clinical situations, standard and maximum masticatory forces, by means of a 3D model with the highest possible level of fidelity to the anatomic dimensions. Two models with 331,887 linear tetrahedral elements that represent a sound upper central incisor with periodontal ligament, cortical and trabecular bones were loaded at 45° in relation to the tooth's long axis. All structures were considered to be homogeneous and isotropic, with the exception of the enamel (anisotropic). A standard masticatory force (100 N) was simulated on one of the models, while on the other one a maximum masticatory force was simulated (235.9 N). The software used were: PATRAN for pre- and post-processing and Nastran for processing. In the cementoenamel junction area, tensile forces reached 14.7 MPa in the 100 N model, and 40.2 MPa in the 235.9 N model, exceeding the enamel’s tensile strength (16.7 MPa). The fact that the stress concentration in the amelodentinal junction exceeded the enamel’s tensile strength under simulated conditions of maximum masticatory force suggests the possibility of the occurrence of non-carious cervical lesions such as abfractions.
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Introduction

A number of theories have arisen to explain the etiology of non-carious cervical lesions (NCCL), yet, the real causes remain obscure, as is reflected by the contradictory terminology used in literature. Acids and abrasive processes have been documented as etiological factors, but the role of mechanical stress from occlusal loads is the most accepted theory, explaining abfraction as a consequence of tooth deflection caused by excessive occlusal forces. During tooth deflection, tensile and compression forces are generated in the cervical region of the tooth, causing rupture of the union between hydroxyapatite crystals, leading to the formation of a crack and eventually to enamel loss.

The presence of high stress on the enamel adjacent to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) may be explained by the fact that this is the region where enamel is the thinnest, by the arrangement of amorphous enamel prisms in this area, and by the weak union between enamel and dentine in the cervical area.

A variety of different methods have been applied in an attempt to comprehend the characteristics of the stress distribution in teeth and their anatomic support structures. Photoelasticity was used as a qualitative method but it presents too many difficulties in the quantitative analysis of stress, and also this method doesn’t define which type of stress is generated.

The Finite Element (FE) Method is the most appropriate for evaluating stress distribution due to its ability to quantitatively analyze stress and execute parametric studies where each factor that is represented mathematically, such as physical and mechanical conditions, can be rapidly modified and the distribution of the resulting stress can be investigated.

Even though the biomechanical process related to the formation of NCCL is still not fully understood, a common theme seems to be the presence of high occlusal force causing tooth flexion. This work aimed at evaluating the stress distribution in the cervical region of a sound upper central incisor in two clinical situations: maximum intercuspation simulating standard and maximum masticatory forces.

Materials and Methods

Computerized tomography (CT) image acquisition in DICOM (Digital Imaging Communications in Medicine) format was performed with a GE Hi-Speed NX/i CT scanner (HiSpeed NX/I, General Electric, Denver, CO, USA) using several physical and geometrical parameters within safety limits (Ethics in Research Committee, Antonio Pedro University Hospital, Fluminense Federal University, protocol no. 213/05). The parameters that yielded the best results with respect to image quality were 120 kV, 150 mA, 512 x 512 matrix, field of view 14 x 14 cm and slice thickness of 0.5 mm.

Initially, the CT images, 165 sections along the patient’s axial axis and 123 sections along the coronal axis, anteroposterior direction, were imported into the program Mimics/MedCAD 8.0 (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium).

From this point, segmentation was started. Segmentation is a process that consists in separating an object of interest from other adjacent anatomical structures in different groups or masks, such as enamel, dentine, pulp, cortical and spongious bone (Figure 1), according to their radiodensities expressed in Hounsfield units.

In the pre-processing stage, a mathematical model of the object or structure being studied is generated by a CAD/CAE program. This geometry is discretized during the FE model generation.

Figure 1 shows the isocurves, with a spacing of 0.5 mm, of pulp, enamel and dentine of the maxillary teeth. The upper central right incisor was defined as the model for this analysis, with the creation of a periodontal ligament with a thickness of 0.25 mm from the dentine isocurves due to the impossibility to define this structure from CT images, since the pixel size was 0.273 mm. The isocurves of the cortical and spongious bones were also exported, with their thickness being defined as 10 mm, and whose extremities correspond to the positioning of the central right and left upper incisors. After the generation of a surface mesh for every structure, a volumetric mesh with tetrahedral elements was generated in a Pentium 4, 3.2 GHz computer with 2.0 GB RAM memory.

All the structures of the model, with the excep-
of the enamel, assumed an isotropic, homogeneous, and linearly elastic behavior, characterized by two physical properties (Table 1): Young Modulus (E) and Poisson Coefficient (ν). The interfaces between the structures were presumed to be perfectly united.

The nasal layer of the incisor was taken into account in every model. However, since the model represents only the upper central incisor, “springs” with fixed ends were introduced on the nodes at the mesial and distal extremities of the cortical and spongious bones. The purpose of these springs is to represent the rigidity of the remaining structures, thus reproducing reactions generated by the remaining structure.7

To determine the exact location of the force application, the occlusal contact points of the anterior teeth of a volunteer in maximal habitual intercuspatation position were marked with carbon paper. The application area (0.6 x 1.2 mm) was determined by a digital photographic image of the occlusal contact of the upper central incisor of the volunteer in the anterior region. It then had its coordinates mapped by a program specifically developed by the authors to digitize the data into bitmaps.

In the present study, an occlusal loading, equally divided among 12 nodes, was used. A 100 N load applied to the upper central incisor is the most used in FE analysis in several areas of dentistry, with this value being chosen by a large number of researchers.13-16 The load intensity of 235.9 N was used based on the study by Ferrario et al.17 (2004), who determined the average force value of maximum bite on a central incisor to be 146.17 N. Applying the uncertainty analysis in the study, with a 95% confidence interval (± 88.73), the highest limit is the value of 235.9 N. Both loads were applied on the palatine aspect of the tooth, right above the cingulum, at a 45° angle with the long axis of the tooth.

The processing stage or solution analysis was performed with the program MSC/NASTRAN 2005 (MSC.Software Corporation, Santa Ana, CA, USA). The program MSC/PATRAN 2005 (MSC. Software Corporation, Santa Ana, CA, USA), used

---

**Table 1 - Mechanical Properties, number of nodes and number of elements in each one of the anatomical structures.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Structures</th>
<th>Young Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson's Ratio</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Farah, Craig9 (1974)</td>
<td>4103</td>
<td>22,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>Ko et al.10 (1992)</td>
<td>17737</td>
<td>131,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel (anisotropic)</td>
<td>E_x = 80.0; E_y = 20.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Rees, Hammadeh11 (2004)</td>
<td>2309</td>
<td>21,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontal Ligament</td>
<td>0.0689</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Weinstein et al.12 (1980)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>17,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongious Bone</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Ko et al.10 (1992)</td>
<td>13812</td>
<td>107,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical Bone</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Ko et al.10 (1992)</td>
<td>5767</td>
<td>32,652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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in the pre-processing, was also utilized in the post-processing for the visualization and evaluation of the results.

Results

In this study, the von Mises criterion was applied and the tensile and compressive stress distribution was evaluated. Table 2 shows the tensile and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Structures</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>Tanaka et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>Tanaka et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 - Panoramic views of the von Mises stress in buccal view and lingual view (100 N load).

Figure 3 - Panoramic views of the compressive and tensile stress in buccal view and lingual view (100 N load).
compressive strength of the structures analyzed, as available in literature, and will serve as a reference for comparison of the results obtained in the present study.

A panoramic view of the results of the von Mises stress under a 100 N load is presented in Figure 2. It can be observed that the greatest von Mises stress values are located at the points of load application, a very punctual and well-located site of stress concentration.

A panoramic view of the results of the compression and tensile stress under a 100 N load can be seen in Figure 3. It is interesting to observe that there is a greater stress concentration in the cervical region of the palatal enamel, in the form of a strip, from mesial to distal.

Thus, after observing the results on the model that simulates a standard masticatory force, it was possible to notice that the compression stress was distributed throughout the buccal surface, while the tensile stress was spread on the palatal surface, invariably, and that there was a point of compressive stress concentration in the apical region of the root.

A panoramic view of the results of the von Mises stress under a load of 235.9 N is presented in Figure 4. The highest von Mises stress values were found under the load application points, forming a decreasing gradient of stress values in the direction of the occlusal loading points up to the trabecular bone.

In Figure 5, the enamel-dentine interface at the CEJ is easier to observe with the aid of compression and tensile stress vectors. From a distal view, it is possible to clearly visualize the tensile stress with a peak of 40.2 MPa on the palatal surface, exceeding the enamel's tensile strength, which is 16.7 MPa.
Discussion

The conducting of studies that simulate the behavior of oral structures entails a very complex analysis due to the characteristics of the elements that constitute the stomatognathic system. These studies involve the application of forces that simulate mastication, for instance, with photoelasticity, strain gauges, and FE analysis.

Photoelasticity was used as a qualitative method, but it presents too many difficulties in the quantitative analysis of stress. Furthermore, this method doesn’t define which type of stress is generated.6

Strain gauges are the most widely used transducer in experimental mechanics to evaluate strain at a point in engineering structures. There are, however, certain limitations. For instance, they have to be isolated from saliva and blood to prevent short circuits and, in order to measure strain correctly, must be firmly stuck to the surface of the material under examination. Furthermore, they can only measure strain at one point and in one direction.20

The FE analysis is widely used in dental research. There are a few limitations to 2D modeling, particularly due to the geometric complexity of the biological structures involved.21 A 2D model cannot accurately represent the clinical reality because of its simplifications which do not take into consideration some important biomechanical aspects being studied.5 In contrast, 3D models present advantages such as images of greater and richer detail, the possibility of rotating in space and visualizing internal areas of the models.

Our results with the model that simulates a standard masticatory force are qualitatively equivalent to those of Lewgoy et al.14 (2003) and Albuquerque et al.13 (2003). Our results with the model that simulates a maximum masticatory force reveal a tensile stress on the palatal surface that exceeds the enamel’s tensile strength. These stress profiles, therefore, seem to mimic the pattern of clinical presentation of abfraction lesions. A similar pattern was observed palatally in Palamara et al.16 (2005) and Rees, Hammadeh11 (2004). The increase in masticatory force did not alter the pattern of stress distribution, but it amplified the stress intensity.

The decision to represent an upper central incisor was based on evidence from recent studies5,11 which demonstrates that abfraction lesions are most commonly found in upper incisors, followed by premolars and canines, the latter being the teeth least commonly affected.

This prevalence may be associated to a reduced area of the periodontal ligament and consequent mobility under loading.22 In other words, it may be due to the fact that the ligament area in upper incisors is smaller than that in canines and upper premolars.23

This suggests that incisors are less prepared to withstand the stress distribution generated by the loads applied during masticatory function.

NCCLs are more frequently found on the buccal or lingual surfaces due to the direction of occlusal or incisal loads, the angling and asymmetry of the tooth’s buccolingual plane, and its relation to the supporting alveolar bone.20

In an upper central incisor, it can be expected that tensile stresses be found in the cervical region on the palatal surface. Oblique traumatic loading on the palatal surface of upper central incisors produces dental flexion in the buccal direction, resulting in tensile stress upon the enamel in the cervical region.

Since the stress concentration at the enamel-dentine interface on the palatal surface exceeds the enamel’s tensile strength, the occurrence of a NCCL may be suggested. For instance, an abfraction may develop, which represents a lesion resulting from enamel microfractures at the cervical region because it is the site of maximum flexion moment and also because it is the region of least enamel thickness, generating a stress concentration.24,25 Studies1,18,26 have demonstrated that this is the main cause of rupture of the union between enamel crystals.

Some studies5,15,16 have used simplifications which interfere with the results, such as considering the enamel to have isotropic characteristics, that is, having an orthogonal prism distribution on the tooth surface. Prism orientation varies throughout the depth of the enamel,27 characterizing the enamel as anisotropic, which is important for stress analysis.

Previous clinical investigations have provided plenty of evidence supporting the role of occlusal forces in the etiology of NCCLs. Ott, Proschel28...

**Conclusion**

Under a load of 235.9 N, stress on the enamel-dentine interface at the CEJ of the palatal surface was greater than the enamel’s tensile strength, suggesting the possibility of occurrence of NCCLs, such as abfractions.
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