Therapeutic effect of two fluoride varnishes on white spot lesions: a randomized clinical trial

Abstract: The aim of this randomized clinical trial study was to evaluate the therapeutic effect of two varnish formulations (G1 = 5% NaF, G2 = 6% NaF + 6% CaF₂) on the remineralization of white spot lesions (WSL). The sample was composed of 15 (7- to 12-year-old) children with 45 active WSL in anterior permanent teeth. The children were randomly divided into two groups providing 22 lesions for G1 and 23 for G2. The children were submitted to weekly varnish applications 4 times. The WSL were evaluated twice: baseline and on week 4. Maximum lesion dimensions (mesiodistal and incisogingival) were measured in millimeters and classified in four grades of size. WSL were also assessed regarding lesion activity by one calibrated examiner. The Pearson chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used (P < 0.01). WSL reductions were observed in both varnish groups (Chi-square = 0.15, d.f. = 1, P = 0.90), and with similar magnitude (in mm): 1.19 and 1.29 for G1 and G2, respectively. Thirty-six WSL (15 in G1 and 21 in G2) were classified as inactive on week 4, reaching an overall value of 80%. No difference was observed between G1 and G2 regarding activity scores (Fisher’s exact test, p > 0.01). It was concluded that after 4 applications the two varnish formulations tested produced similar clinical effects, indicating the reduction and the control of carious activity in most WSL. Clinical trial register number: NCT00723515.
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Introduction

The concept of dental caries, initially based on a model proposed by Paul Keyes in 1962 (host, diet and microorganisms), has changed over time. The current concept for dental caries etiology and treatment includes social and behavioral factors regarding individual variables.1

White spot lesions (WSL) represent the first clinical observation of demineralization in the enamel and can be regarded as a sign of dental caries.2,3,4 A WSL is generally characterized by enamel demineralization of the subsurface, with increasing porosity due to the removal of minerals into the outer surface.5 It may be active, with a rough and opaque enamel surface, or inactive, presenting a smooth and shiny enamel surface.4

Many reports support that the early diagnosis or detection of WSL and the use of non-invasive therapies such as fluoride are important strategies for controlling the development of a carious lesion.6 Several studies indicate that fluoride varnishes can reverse or arrest, as well as prevent, the demineralization process of an incipient carious lesion, when combined with other preventive measures such as diet control and dental biofilm control.7-15

Considering the differences in the chemical composition between some domestic and imported fluoride varnishes and also the differences in costs, an assessment of the therapeutic effects of each of these products seems to be of particular interest to Brazilian public health services.

The aim of this study was to evaluate, in a randomized clinical trial, the therapeutic effect of two varnish formulations (5%NaF and 6% NaF + 6% CaF₂) for controlling the carious development of white spot lesions (WSL).

Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Paraíba ( João Pessoa, PB, Brazil), with final protocol number: 0260/08 and clinical trial register number: NCT00723515.

This was a randomized clinical trial where the randomization of the sample was performed by alternating materials (G1 or G2) weekly in patients who began the research.

A hundred and sixteen children from 7 to 12 years of age with regular hygiene habits (brushing teeth everyday) registered in public schools in the city of João Pessoa, PB, Brazil were examined. The city does not have water fluoridation, and, apart from the fluoride varnish and fluoridated toothpaste, no other fluoride source was available to the subjects.16

The inclusion criteria were children with active white spot lesions (roughness and opacity) on the buccal surface of permanent anterior teeth whose parents signed the informed consent form.

Children with dental caries in the form of small cavities or restorations in the target teeth (permanent anterior teeth), developmental enamel alterations (hypoplasia, fluorosis) or periodontal disease, and children using orthodontic devices, under medical treatment or taking any kind of medicine were excluded from this study.

Fifteen of the examined children were selected with 45 active white spot lesions. The randomization was performed by allocation sequence. The children were randomly ordered and sequentially assigned to one intervention group. Apart from the fluoride varnishes tested, fluoridated toothpaste and prophylactic paste, no other fluoride source was available to the subjects. Initial and final S-OHI (Simplified Oral Hygiene Index) scores were recorded.17

In all sessions, supervised brushing was conducted prior to each application of topical fluoride. Each patient received a toothbrush (COLGATE Classic Infantil®, Colgate-Palmolive, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and fluoridated toothpaste (COLGATE Máxima Proteção Anticáries®, 1,500 ppm of fluoride, Colgate-Palmolive, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) as well as guidance on oral hygiene, so that all of them were given equal means to implement it. Dental prophylaxis was performed with a Robinson bristle brush and prophylactic paste (Herjos-F®, 412.3 ppm of fluoride, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil), in the initial clinical examination and after 4 weeks of treatment, with the aim of providing clean tooth surfaces for the clinical evaluation of the WSL.

Maximum lesion dimension was measured as previously described.18 Briefly, the lesion was measured with the aid of a periodontal probe (Trinity®, Campo Mourão, PR, Brazil). The values of dimen-
sion represented the mean of the largest mesiodistal (horizontal) and incisogingival (vertical) diameters in millimeters. The final dimension mean value was also categorized in four levels: A (0.1 to 2 mm), B (2.1 to 4 mm), C (4.1 to 6 mm) and D (greater than 6 mm).

The white spot lesions were evaluated by dimensional changes and clinical features of texture (roughness or smoothness) and brightness (opacity or shine) to be classified as active (rough and opaque) or inactive (smooth and shiny) as previously described.18

The WSL were randomly divided into 2 groups (G1 = 5% NaF, Duraphat®, Colgate Palmolive GmbH, Hamburg, Germany, n = 22; and G2 = 6% NaF + 6% CaF₂, Duofluorid XII®, FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil, n = 23) and submitted to 4 applications of one of these products once a week according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. After 4 weeks, a reevaluation of the white spot lesions was conducted considering dimensional changes and activity (clinical appearance).

Data normality was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test using the InStat program (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, California, USA). The SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) Version 11.0 was used for the statistical calculations. The Pearson’s chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used for comparisons of categorical data. The paired T-test was used to detect significant differences between the initial and final mean values of the WSL. The Student T-test was applied for comparisons between varnish groups when appropriate. An alpha value of 0.01 was previously selected as the indicator for statistical significance.

Results

The frequency of WSL in G1 and G2 that changed dimension category after fluoride varnish applications is presented in Table 1. Among the WSL that did not show category changes, there were three lesions that showed no real change in dimension measurements in millimeters. Only one lesion increased its dimension in 0.9 mm and changed category from “A” to “B” in G2. This lesion was classified as having no reduction of WSL dimension.

Table 2 shows that after 4 varnish applications, both products were effective in reducing WSL dimension values. To illustrate the magnitude of this effect, the mean difference and total percentage of reduction are also presented. Although the mean value of the difference in reduction was higher in G2, the mean difference between groups was only 0.10 mm and not statistically significant.

Table 3 shows the results for the evaluation of the lesions regarding the classification of activity. There was no significant difference between the varnish groups. Thirty-six WLS out of 45 were classified as inactive after the 4 varnish fluoride applications reaching a value of 80%.

The mean (SD) scores of the S-OHI index were 0.93 (0.48) and 1.38 (0.31) for the W1 (initial) and W4 (final) evaluations, respectively. The difference between these means was statistically significant (Paired T test, p < 0.01).

Discussion

During the study, the mean oral hygiene scores of the volunteers showed a significant increase and changed from a rather fair good score to a moderate level. This observation may be due to the socioeconomic status of the population studied as observed by previous research in the same area.16 In spite of receiving toothbrushes, toothpaste and brushing guidance in each treatment session, volunteers may have a low interest in health issues, and may have access to precarious sanitation and poor healthcare assistance, all of which can affect their compliance as well as their general health. The accumulation of dental biofilm throughout the study as measured by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Reduction of WSL dimensions</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes n (%)</td>
<td>No n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>13 (59.0)</td>
<td>09 (41.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>14 (60.0)</td>
<td>09 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27 (60.0)</td>
<td>18 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Chi-square = 0.15, d.f. = 1, P = 0.90). WSL: White spot lesions.
the S-OHI, is an indication of poor compliance of the individuals when using fluoridated toothpaste at home.17,18 Therefore, it can be assumed that the remineralization of WSL can be mainly explained by the increase of CaF$_2$ oral reservoirs provided by the fluoride varnish applications and subsequently solubilization of these reservoirs and release of fluoride ions at low pH.8-14,16-22

The better clinical appearance and the good number of lesions that were classified as inactive after four varnish applications supports the hypothesis that highly concentrated fluoridated products have some beneficial effect in spite of the presence of a young dental biofilm.1-3,6 Both products tested were effective even though a higher availability of fluoride can be possibly provided by one of the products (G2). The higher number of inactive WSL (Table 3) in G2 cannot be interpreted as real difference. First, no statistical difference was observed between the fluoride varnish groups; second, the evaluation was carried out after 4 fluoride applications only; and third, a higher number of WSL in the C level was observed in G1 than in G2, in spite of the random distribution (Table 2). In fact, it is known that under a weekly application regimen of fluoridated varnish, 8 weeks can be needed for some active WSL to be classified as inactive.21 Unfortunately, the duration of the clinical trials testing the effectiveness of fluoridated varnish products varies considerably, and discrepancies in results have been reported. The controversial results can be mainly ascribed to frequency of varnish application, age span of subjects, level of measurement of the disease and fluoride concentrations and formulations.23-26

There is evidence that NaF varnishes are indeed effective in increasing the fluoride uptake and reducing mineral loss of the enamel under different circumstances.26,27 Although the CaF$_2$ in the formula of G2 can possibly prolong the presence of fluoride in

**Table 2** - Mean (SD) dimension values of WSL according to fluoride varnish group and dimension category. The magnitude of the difference between W4 (week 4, final) and W1 (week 1, initial) is presented as a mean value and percentage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dimension category</th>
<th>Week *</th>
<th>Difference (W1-W4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1 (initial)</td>
<td>W4 (final)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.90 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.32 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.88 (0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.05 (1.27)$^{a,a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.38 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.01 (0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.45 (1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.65 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.62 (2.13)$^{a,a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.83 (1.76)$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means in the same line followed by distinct superscripts (lower case) indicate statistical significance (Paired T-test, p < 0.01). Means in the same column followed by distinct superscripts (upper case) indicate statistical significance (Student T-test, p < 0.01).

**Table 3** - Frequency of WSL classified by activity after 4 varnish applications of both fluoride groups (G1 and G2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>21 (91.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9 (20.0)</td>
<td>36 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Fisher’s exact test, p > 0.01].
the mouth due to an increase of more calcium binding sites, its anticarious effect was the same when compared to that of the regular varnish*. Our data show that after 4 applications this beneficial aspect of the formula is not apparent when compared to a simple formula with NaF alone. On the other hand, a clinical trial with dental biofilm analysis and F enamel uptake measurements would be worth performing in order to provide more data on this issue.

Certainly, one beneficial aspect of the G2 varnish is the improvement of cost-effectiveness in health programs for caries control since this product is around 10 times less expensive than the G1 and other similar fluoridated varnishes. Being as effective as NaF varnishes can be an important factor for public health services when planning the prevention or treatment of dental caries, particularly in young children. Nowadays, there is evidence enough that fluoride varnish is effective for arresting early enamel lesions in primary and permanent dentition. In addition, it is a method well accepted by patients. Nevertheless, the protective effect of these products must be frequently evaluated and monitored preferably under in vivo conditions.

The mean magnitude of caries reduction as measured in millimeters can be regarded as relevant data. If not for the millimeters gained in “sound” enamel, at least the better clinical appearance of the dental enamel could be regarded as a strong argument in favor of increasing compliance of non-cooperative patients in oral health programs.

**Conclusion**

It can be concluded that the two varnish formulations tested produced similar clinical effects after 4 applications. This observation indicates a reduction and control of the carious activity in most white spot lesions examined.
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