Abstract: Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most prevalent cancer of the oral cavity and the fifth most prevalent of all malignancies in males. Many researchers have attempted to develop new treatments that will improve the prognosis of SCC patients. Over 20% of the world’s biodiversity is located within the Brazilian forests, but little is known about the chemical and/or pharmacological potential of these plants. Certain extracts obtained from Amazon and Atlantic Forest plants have previously been shown to have cytotoxic activity against various cancers. The aim of this study was to screen these extracts for cytotoxic activity against oral SCC cells. The extracts were analyzed for activity against the KB-ADL#12 cell line at various concentrations up to a maximum dose of 100 µg/mL. Comparisons with a control group were performed using one-way ANOVA. Significant cytotoxicity was induced by the extracts obtained from the aerial parts of *Picrolemma sprucei* (Simaroubaceae), from the leaves and stems of *Laetia suaveolens* (Salicaceae), from the aerial parts of *Abarema auriculata* (Fabaceae-Mimosoideae) and from the stem of *A. auriculata*.
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In Brazil, the prevalence of oral cancer is high, ranking fifth among all malignant tumors. Smoking and alcohol consumption are considered to be the most important etiological factors contributing to the development of this disease. The stage at diagnosis is an important prognostic indicator for oral SCC. Despite aggressive treatment interventions, the five-year survival rate for patients with SCC remains low.

Several studies have been conducted recently to develop new therapeutics that can improve the prognosis of SCC patients, in particular those derived from nature. With more than 56,000 species, Brazil has one of the richest floras in the world, harboring nearly 19% of the world flora. Plants are considered to be a potential source of new anti-cancer compounds; however, little is known about the chemical and pharmacological...
potential of these plants, including those occurring in the Amazon and Atlantic Forests. Screening assays that are rapid and cost effective play an important role in the identification of new active compounds. For more than a decade, our group has been screening Brazilian plant extracts\(^7\) against prostate, breast, lung, colon and central nervous system cancers as well as leukemias. From those studies, 72 extracts were discovered to have activity against one or more cancer cell lines. The aim of this \textit{in vitro} study was to screen these extracts obtained from Amazon and Atlantic Forest plants against SCC cells (KB-ADL #12).

**Methodology**

**Plant collection and extract preparation**

Plants were collected in the Amazon and in the Atlantic Forests (licenses were obtained from IBAMA/MMA and Cgen/MMA). The plants were identified, and vouchers were deposited at the UNIP Herbarium (Paulista University). Plant organs were collected and processed as follows.

Each plant material was dried and ground before being submitted to a 24-h maceration with a methanol:dichloromethane (1:1) solution; a second 24-h maceration was performed with water, resulting in two extracts containing non-polar (organic extracts) and polar (aqueous extracts) substances, respectively. Seventy-two extracts had been previously investigated for activity against cancer cells from the prostate,\(^6\) breast,\(^7\) lung and the central nervous system in addition to leukemia cells.\(^8\) For that reason, these extracts were selected to be analyzed \textit{in vitro} against SCC cells.

Extracts were diluted to an initial concentration of 40 mg/mL, which was further diluted to 100 µg/mL for experimentation. Organic extracts were diluted with 50% dimethylsulfoxide; aqueous extracts were diluted with distilled water.

**Cell culture**

The KB-ADL#12 tumor cell line (SCC) was obtained from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, Maryland, United States). The cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% glutamine, and 0.2% gentamicin in tissue culture flasks (Costar Corning, Lowell, USA) in an incubator (Thermo Forma, Asheville, USA) at 37 °C, 5% CO\(_2\) and 100% relative humidity. Cell counts were obtained by the trypan blue exclusion method to calculate cell densities. Experiments were performed in 96-well microplates (Costar Corning, Lowell, USA) at cell densities of 27,500, 60,000 or 100,000 cells per well. The cells were incubated for 24 h before the drug or plant extract was added. After treatment, the cells were incubated for 48 h prior to analysis with the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay.\(^10\)

**Sulforhodamine B assay**

Viable cells were fixed in the microplates with 50 µl of cold 50% trichloroacetic acid solution. The microplates were washed four times with running water to completely remove non-viable cells and air dried for 24 h. A volume of 100 µl of SRB was added to each well and incubated for 10 min. The plates were washed five times with 1% acetic acid using a microplate washer (Biotek Winooski, USA) for the complete removal of unbound SRB. The plates were air dried for 24 h. The stain was resuspended in 100 µl of Trisma Buffer. Viable cells were measured by obtaining the optical densities (ODs) with a microplate spectrophotometer reader (Biotek 408x Winooski, USA) at a wavelength of 515 nm.\(^10\)

**Experimental groups and assay strategy**

The experiments were designed to identify the most potent cytotoxic extracts from the 72 previously selected extracts.

Cells that were not treated with extract were designated as the control group.

The drug control group was the group of cells treated with Doxorubicin\(^\circledR\) (Sigma-aldrich, Missouri, USA), which is also known by the commercial name Adriamycin.

The extracts group was the group of cells treated with the 72 selected plant extracts.

The experiments were performed in 96-well microplates (Costar Corning, Lowell, USA). Six wells were used to obtain the ODs of the extract or drug activity on cells; sixteen wells were used for the control group. A 96-well microplate was run in parallel.
to determine the background OD. The cell growth at 24 h (T0) was measured (n = 24); T0 was defined as the time immediately prior to the addition of extract. OD values were obtained as described. The KB-ADL#12 cell line was used at various densities, according to the stage of screening.

**Statistical analyses**

The mean OD readings were obtained to evaluate cell growth inhibition after various treatments, i.e., the extracts, reference substance and experimental controls. A primary battery of experiments was used to screen the extracts according to an “inhibitory efficacy”. Mean OD values that were significantly reduced compared with the controls were used to identify active extracts. The assays performed with a reduced number of extracts were analyzed using the same statistical analyses. Depending on the case, a one-way or two-way ANOVA was used followed by Tukey’s post-test. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (StatSoft Incorporation 2001, Oklahoma, USA).

**Control drug and extracts**

DOXO was used at the following concentrations:
- $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$,
- $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$,
- $2.5 \times 10^{-7}$,
- $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$,
- $2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ and
- $2.5 \times 10^{-10}$ M.

Plant extracts were initially analyzed at a single dose of 100 µg/mL, and the four extracts selected for further characterization were analyzed at concentrations of 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 µg/mL. Data from each concentration were compared with the control groups (ANOVA).

**Results**

Screenings were performed on all 72 extracts and on the standard drug (DOXO) (p < 0.05). Significant differences were observed for 41 plant extracts out of the 72 analyzed when compared with T0 and the control groups. DOXO showed significant levels of cytotoxicity at all concentrations (Figures 1, 2 and 3). Six of the 41 extracts were excluded for showing a false positive result, likely due to fungal contamination. Therefore, 35 extracts induced significant differences in OD values (Figure 1) and were selected for further assessment.

The remaining 35 extracts were investigated for
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**Figure 1** - The optical density (OD) of cells (27,500 cells/well) after exposure to the 72 plant extracts (one-way ANOVA). Extracts and/or standard substances that induced an OD lower than the controls are indicated by arrows (p < 0.05). Upper line represents the OD mean ± s.d. of the control group; lower line represents the OD mean ± s.d. of the T0 group. Empty arrows indicate the OD mean ± s.d. of treatments that were lower than control group, and full arrows indicate the OD mean ± s.d. of treatments that were lower than T0.
activity against KB cells at densities of 27,500 and 60,000 cells/well; of these, 18 extracts were considered active (Figure 2; Table 1). The 18 extracts were again analyzed, and nine extracts (Figure 3) were considered significantly cytotoxic to the KB cell line.

Four out of the nine extracts exhibited a strong ability for inhibition and were subjected to more rigorous experimental conditions using the same protocol but with a cell density of 60,000 cells/well; the trend toward cell inhibition was confirmed. These four extracts were obtained from *Laetia suaveolens* (Salicaceae, extract 719; p < 0.0002), *Picrolemma sprucei* (Simaroubaceae, extract 1151; p < 0.0002) and *Abarema auriculata* (Fabaceae, extracts 633
and 689, both p < 0.0002).

Extracts 633, 689, 719 and 1151 were then analyzed at six different concentrations of 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 µg/mL against cell densities of 27,500, 60,000 and 100,000 cells/well. Significant differences were observed for extract 689 at a concentration of 100 µg/mL at cell densities of 60,000 and 100,000 cells/well, relative to the control groups. DOXO inhibited cell growth in a similar manner, although at a concentration lower than the extract concentrations. Evaluations of extract 719 demonstrated differences between the treated and control cells only at a concentration of 100 µg/mL at cell densities of 60,000 and 100,000 cells/well, relative to the control groups. Finally, extract 1151 showed significant differences at concentrations of 1 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL at a cell density of 27,500 cells/well and at concentrations of 10 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL at cell densities of 60,000 and 100,000 cells/well compared with the control groups.

Discussion

The treatment of oral cancer relies on surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy or a combination of these methods.13 Poor survival rates still occur, particularly for patients in advanced stages of the disease.14 Approximately 40% of patients fail to respond to treatment.15 Therefore, the development of new strategies for the early diagnosis and treatment of this malignancy is of great importance.16-20 Natural products display a wide range of diversity in terms of their chemical structures and pharmacological properties. Several important antitumor drugs have been isolated from plants, such as paclitaxel, the vinca alkaloids, camptothecin, podophyllin and others.21 Studies concerning the activities of natural products against SCC have drawn attention in the past few years, such as Aloe-emodin, which inhibit KB cells in a dose-dependent manner.22 Curcumin has also been studied as a treatment for oral cancer.23

The cytotoxic analyses of 72 Amazon and Atlantic forest plant extracts against the SCC KB-
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**Table 1** - List of the 18 plant extracts that showed activity against the KB-ADL#12 cell line in vitro.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collect Date</th>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Organ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/05/97</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Fabaceae Mimosoideae</td>
<td><em>Pithecellobium</em> sp.</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/04/97</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Hypericaceae</td>
<td>Vismia guianensis (Aubl.) Choisy</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/12/97</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Oicaceae</td>
<td><em>Chaunochiton lorantoides</em> Benth.</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/06/98</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>Fabaceae Coasalpinioideae</td>
<td><em>Macrolabium multijugum</em> (DC.) Benth.</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/04/97</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td><em>Trichilia pleana</em> (A. Juss.) C.DC.</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/06/98</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>Fabaceae Coasalpinioideae</td>
<td><em>Hymenaea courbaril</em> L.</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/06/98</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>Fabaceae Coasalpinioideae</td>
<td><em>Hymenaea courbaril</em> L.</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/04/97</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>Fabaceae Coasalpinioideae</td>
<td><em>Cymometra spruceana</em> Benth.</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/04/97</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>Polygonaecae</td>
<td><em>Cimera</em> sp.</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/04/97</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>Fabaceae Faboideae</td>
<td><em>Taralea oppositifolia</em> Aubl.</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/04/97</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>Lecythidaeae</td>
<td><em>Gustavia augusta</em> L.</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/09/98</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>Salicaceae</td>
<td><em>Laetia cobymbulosa</em> Spruce ex Benth.</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/01/99</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>Fabaceae Mimosoideae</td>
<td><em>Abarea auriculata</em> (Benth.) Barney &amp; J. W. Grime</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/01/99</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>Fabaceae Mimosoideae</td>
<td><em>Abarea auriculata</em> (Benth.) Barney &amp; J. W. Grime</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/04/99</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>Salicaceae</td>
<td><em>Laetia suaveolens</em> (Poepp.) Benth.</td>
<td>Leaves and Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/01/00</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>Fabaceae Faboideae</td>
<td><em>Aldina reticulata</em> R. S. Cowan</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/01/00</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Ocotea cymbarum Kunth</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/02/00</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>Simaroubaceae</td>
<td><em>Picralemma sprucei</em> Ducke</td>
<td>Aerial Organs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Odd numbers correspond to non-polar extracts and even numbers correspond to aqueous extracts.
ADL#12 cell line produced four active extracts, namely 633, 689, 719 and 1151, which were selected based on results from the dose-response analysis; the data were supported by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s test. Comparisons between the effectiveness of DOXO and the extracts were performed. However, the level of cell growth inhibition from a single, concentrated substance was difficult to be surpassed by a complex mixture of substances, such as an extract, due to the concentration of active compounds present in the extract. Nevertheless, the four extracts showed highly significant cytotoxicities and will be further analyzed.

Extracts 633 and 689 were obtained from *A. auriculata*; little is known about chemical or pharmacological studies related to this plant, although it has been used as timber for some time. Studies related to *Abarema* species are rare. Gastroprotective and ulcer-healing activities and anti-inflammatory intestinal activity have been reported for *A. coelothamnus*, a plant traditionally used in Northeastern Brazil. The ethanolic extract obtained from *A. elliptica* showed anti-oxidant activity and is the subject of two Chinese patents.

Extract 719, obtained from the leaves and stems of *L. suaveolens*, has not been reported, although studies with plants from the same genus have been conducted. One of these studies reported that methyl ester derivatives isolated from the leaves of *L. thamna* exhibited cytotoxic activity against prostate cancer cells, although the derivatives were analyzed in colon and breast cancer cells. An extract from *L. procrea* revealed the presence of diterpenes, which inhibited breast cancer cell growth. Extract 1151 was obtained from the aerial organs of *P. sprucei*, a species that has been studied previously. Quassinosides have been isolated from *P. sprucei*, and several synthetic derivatives were obtained and evaluated against human tumor cells in vitro, exhibiting strong activity against the HL-60 cell line.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we highlight the significant cytotoxic activity of the aerial parts of *P. sprucei* and *A. auriculata*, from the leaves and stems of *L. suaveolens* and from the stem of *A. auriculata*, which introduces promising expectations for new projects in chemistry, pharmacology and toxicology. These results may aid in achieving the development of an anticancer medicine obtained from the rain forest.
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