Adhesion strategy and early bond strengths of glass-fiber posts luted into root canals

Abstract: This study investigated the effect of coinitiator solutions and self-adhesive resin cement on the early retention of glass-fiber posts. Cylindrical glass-fiber posts were luted into 40 incisor roots with different adhesion strategies \((n = 10)\): SB2, Single Bond 2 + conventional resin cement (RelyX ARC); AP, Scotchbond Multipurpose Plus (SBMP) activator + primer + ARC; APC, SBMP activator + primer + catalyst + ARC; and UNI, self-adhesive cement (RelyX Unicem). Pull-out bond strength results at 10 min after cementation showed APC > UNI > SB2 = AP \((P < 0.05)\). The adhesion strategy significantly affected early bonding to root canals.

Descriptors: Dental Bonding; Polymerization; Post and Core Technique; Resin Cements.

Introduction

As a result of low light access during post-cementation, dual-cure resin cements (RCs) primarily rely on self-curing,\(^1\) a slow setting mechanism resulting in low conversion in the first few minutes following cementation. Thus, some manufacturers have developed primer and adhesive solutions containing coinitiators to enhance the polymerization rate of RCs\(^2\) and to improve the early retention of fiber posts luted into root canals. However, processes involving more cementation steps are in conflict with the trend towards simplifying adhesive techniques.\(^3\) In this context, self-adhesive RCs are gaining popularity.

Bond strength evaluations of fiber posts bonded to root canals are usually performed at least 24 h after the luting procedures.\(^3,4\) Clinically, however, luted posts might be subject to stress immediately after completion of the core/restoration assembly. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the adhesion strategy (using coinitiators or a self-adhesive RC) on the early retention of fiber posts luted to root canals, by testing the pull-out bond strength at 10 min after cementation.

Methodology

Forty bovine incisor roots were sectioned with a low-speed diamond saw to obtain a 16-mm height. For endodontic treatment, a step-back preparation technique was used with stainless-steel K-files and Gates-Glidden drills #2 to #4. All enlargement procedures were followed by irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl solution. The root canals were obturated...
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with gutta-percha cones by the lateral condensation technique with Sealer-26 resin sealer (Dentsply Caulk, Milford, USA). After endodontic treatment, post spaces (length: 9 mm) were prepared with #5 Largo drills. The roots were embedded in polystyrene resin for positioning the specimens during the test. Parallelism between roots and posts was determined with a parallel meter.

The specimens were randomly assigned to 4 groups (n = 10) according to the adhesion strategy tested (Table 1). After cementation of the cylindrical glass-fiber posts, the specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 10 min. The bond strength was tested on a mechanical testing machine by applying a pull-out load parallel to the post/tooth long axis at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. The force required to dislodge each post was recorded. Data were analyzed by ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls’ tests (P < 0.05).

**Results**

Significant differences (power of test = 1) were observed between groups (Figure 1). APC showed the highest bond strength (P ≤ 0.006) followed by UNI; AP and SB2 had similar bond strengths (P = 0.493).

**Discussion**

Post retention is related to bonding to the root dentin and post friction on the canal walls, although both depend on proper RC polymerization. The observed low bond strength of SB2 was likely due to the acidic character of the adhesive reacting with the amine coinitiator of the RC, which reduced its polymerization rate. For AP, the combined use of primer and activator and the absence of an acidic

**Table 1 - Description of the tested adhesion strategies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Application protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SB2   | 1. Etch with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 s, rinse with air-water spray, and remove excess water with absorbent paper cones  
2. Apply the bonding agent Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA), and air-dry for 5 s  
3. Light-activate the adhesive for 20 s with a light-emitting diode (LED) unit at 600-mw/cm² (Radii; SDI, Bayswater Victoria, Australia)  
4. Insert the post and dual-cure resin cement RelyX ARC (3M ESPE), and light-activate for 40 s |
| AP    | 1. Perform acid-etching, rinsing, and removal of excess water  
2. Apply the Scotchbond Multipurpose Plus (SBMP) activator (3M ESPE), and air-dry for 5 s  
3. Apply the SBMP primer, and air-dry for 5 s  
4. Insert the post with RelyX ARC, and perform light-activation for 40 s |
| APC   | 1. Perform acid-etching, rinsing, and removal of excess water  
2. Apply the SBMP activator, and air-dry  
3. Apply the SBMP primer, and air-dry  
4. Apply the SBMP catalyst, and air-dry  
5. Insert the post with RelyX ARC, and perform light-activation |
| UNI   | 1. Apply 2.5% NaOCl solution for 5 s, rinse with air-water spray, and remove excess water with absorbent paper cones  
2. Insert the post with the dual-cure self-adhesive resin cement RelyX Unicem clicker, and perform light-activation |

**Figure 1 - Box-whisker plots for bond strength. Horizontal solid and dotted lines are medians and means, respectively. Significant differences between groups are indicated by distinct letters.**
character could have improved its polymerization rate. The activator contains a sulfinic acid salt that reacts with the polyalkenoic acid copolymer of the primer to generate free radicals. However, the absence of a bonding agent layer may explain the compromised post retention in AP.

In APC, the addition of a catalyst containing benzoyl peroxide increased the bond strength. Because benzoyl peroxide is responsible for the self-cure mechanism in dual-cured RCs, application of the catalyst likely improved the early cement polymerization, generating higher bond strength even without a bonding agent layer. The intermediate values of UNI can be explained by its lower early conversion. Self-adhesive RCs bond to dentin by a chelating reaction of the phosphate methacrylates with hydroxyapatite. However, the early bonding of self-adhesive RCs is affected by their slower polymerization compared with conventional RCs, especially in the self-cure mode. This slower cure is due to the presence of acidic species in self-adhesive RCs.

The present study shows the impact of improving the early RC polymerization on post retention in the first few minutes after cementation. The quantity of self-polymerization promoters used in dual-cured RCs strongly affects their polymerization potential inside root canals. A high content of self-cure promoters leads to more effective polymerization in the absence of light (e.g., in the apical root third) and a higher polymerization rate. However, it also reduces the cement working time, which could impair the luting procedures. Thus, the addition of cointiators in the bonding solution, as demonstrated here, is an efficient strategy to increase the polymerization rate of RCs without reducing their working time. This approach is important for the early bonding of posts and might improve the long-term post retention.

**Conclusion**

The adhesion strategy significantly affected the early bonding to root canals, and the use of cointiator solutions improved the fiber post retention.
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