Quality of life and general health in patients with temporomandibular disorders

Abstract: The aim of this study was to associate minor psychiatric disorders (general health) and quality of life with temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in patients diagnosed with different TMD classifications and subclassifications with varying levels of severity. Among 150 patients reporting TMD symptoms, 43 were included in the present study. Fonseca’s anamnestic index was used for initial screening while axis I of the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC-TMD) was used for TMD diagnosis (muscle-related, joint-related or muscle and joint-related). Minor psychiatric disorders were evaluated through the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) and quality of life was assessed using the World Health Organization Quality Of Life–Brief Version (WHOQOL-BREF). An association was found between minor psychiatric disorders and TMD severity, except for stress. A stronger association was found with mild TMD. Considering TMD classifications and severity together, only the item “death wish” from the GHQ was related to severe muscle-related TMD (p = 0.049). For quality of life, an association was found between disc displacement with reduction and social domain (p = 0.01). Physical domains were associated with TMD classifications and severity and the association was stronger for muscle and joint-related TMD (p = 0.037) and mild TMD (p = 0.042). It was concluded that patients with TMD require multiple focuses of attention since psychological indicators of general health and quality of life are likely associated with dysfunction.
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Introduction
Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a muscle-skeletal painful disorder that compromises chewing muscles, temporomandibular joints (TMJs) and/or several anatomical structures in the stomatognathic system. These alterations lead to myofascial pain, disc displacement, joint pain and TMJ degeneration or inflammation.

Considering the physical and functional limitations and different levels of morbidity caused by TMD, TMD etiological factors have been widely discussed in light of the development of better treatment protocols. It is known that TMD is a complex and multifactorial process and predisposing initiators and perpetrating factors decrease the physiologi-
cal and structural threshold and increase dysfunc-
tional severity.3

In general, physical, social and psychological fac-
tors are usually associated with TMD etiology. This infor-
mation about TMD development and maintai-
ence is important in defining a biopsychosocial
model for TMD.4

Based on the current etiological concepts, physi-

cal and systemic conditions as well as psychological factors are responsible for targeting and main-
taining TMD. Stress, depression and anxiety change the
individual’s threshold for pain through alteration of
nociceptive impulses from the central nervous sys-
tem and release of neurotransmitters.5 In addition,
these psychological alterations increase the freque-
cy, intensity and duration of parafunctional habits,
such as tooth clenching and bruxism, which cause
hyperactivity of chewing muscles, TMJ overloading
and higher patient morbidity.6,7

Furthermore, pain and stress associated with
TMD represent a negative influence on systemic
health and quality of life, which compromise daily
social activities at school or work, social functions,
affective and cognitive equilibrium, sleep and physi-
cal activities.8

Considering a possible relation between psycho-
social factors and TMD and the influence of various
variables on TMD treatment, the aim of this study
was to assess alterations of the indicators of quality
of life, general health (minor psychiatric disorders)
and anxiety of patients diagnosed with different
TMD classifications.

Methodology

Subjects

Initially, 150 patients attending the Department
of Dentistry at the Federal University of Rio Grande
do Norte with complaints of TMD signs and symp-
toms were considered for this study. Among these
patients, 60 (53 females and 7 males, mean age
36.48 years) were assessed to have some level of
TMD after screening with Fonseca’s anamnestic
index.9 However, only 43 patients were diagnosed
with TMD through the Research Diagnostic Cri-
teria for Temporomandibular Dysfunction (RDC-
TMD) method and met the eligibility and exclusion
criteria for the present study.

The research was conducted at the Center for
Treatment of Patients with Stomatognathic System
Dysfunction in the Department of Dentistry. The
study was approved by the Ethics Committee in
Research of the Federal University of Rio Grande
do Norte (CEP-UFRN; protocol 039/08). All vol-
unteers agreed to participate and informed consent
was obtained from all subjects.

Eligibility and exclusion criteria

The eligibility criteria for the study consisted of
some level of TMD severity (mild, moderate and
severe TMD) after screening with the Fonseca’s an-
amnestic index (Fonseca et al.).9 This index is a self-
administered questionnaire with ten questions about
TMD symptoms. This tool is used for patient screen-
ing and has demonstrated a 95% correlation with
Helkimo’s anamnestic index in patients with TMD.10

The exclusion criteria consisted of patients with-
out a positive diagnosis of TMD by the RDC-TMD
method. Patients with systemic health disorders,
such as neurological disorders, fibromyalgia, neu-
ralgia or headache, earache and those that received
recent surgeries were also excluded to avoid confu-
sions with TMD symptoms.

Procedures

The patients previously screened by Fonseca’s
anamnestic index performed the RDC-TMD11 axis I
for TMD classification. The RDC-TMD method is a
diagnostic tool for physical evaluation of the patient
through 10 items of clinical exam and 3 subjective
questions. The individuals are classified into one of
the three TMD diagnostic groups:

• group I (myofascial pain and myofascial pain
  with limited opening),
• group II (disc displacement with reduction, disc
  displacement without reduction and disc dis-
  placement without reduction with limited open-
  ing) and
• group III (arthritis, osteoarthritis and osteoar-
  throsis).

The RDC axis II was replaced by two psychol-
ogical tools more specific to the aim of this study.
For didactic purposes, groups II and III were combined into the joint-related TMD classification while group I represented the muscle-related TMD classification. A coincidence between group I and group II and/or group III was classified as muscle and joint-related TMD.

The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)\(^{12}\) was used for evaluation of general health. This questionnaire analyzes mental health through the presence or absence of non-psychotic psychiatric disorders (minor psychiatric disorders).\(^{13}\) It consists of 60 questions divided into six factors:

- psychological stress,
- death wish,
- distrust in self performance,
- sleep disturbances,
- psychosomatic disorders and
- general health.

The patients were instructed to always answer about their current psychological status.

For evaluation of quality of life, the World Health Organization Quality Of Life–Brief Version (WHOQOL-BREF)\(^{14,12}\) was used as a specific tool. This test contained 26 questions divided into four domains:

- physical,
- psychological,
- social and
- environmental.

In addition to all methods previously described, a voice recorder was used to register a subjective report about the main complaints of each patient.

**Statistics**

A database was created with SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). A Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted to assess the difference between the means of dependent variables of the groups. The six clinical factors crossed with the psychological aspects (quality of life and general health) included groups I, II and III of the RDC-TMD, which were evaluated separately as TMD classification (muscle-related, joint-related, and muscle and joint-related), TMD severity (mild, moderate and severe), and TMD classification and severity (mild, moderate and severe muscle-related; mild, moderate and severe joint-related; mild, moderate and severe muscle and joint-related). It is important to state that, after verifying that males constituted a small portion of the sample (n = 7), inferential statistical analysis was not conducted including males since it would work as an element with high magnitude. Thus, the final sample consisted of 43 volunteers.

**Results**

Among the TMD classifications, there was a higher number of individuals in the muscle and joint-related TMD group (n = 30), followed by the joint-related group (n = 9) and the muscle-related group (n = 4).

Considering the presence of minor psychiatric disorders, none of the six GHQ dimensions was associated with a TMD classification. However, there was an association between minor psychiatric disorders and TMD severity, except for the factor “stress”. In general, a stronger association was found with mild TMD (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GHQ**</th>
<th>TMD severity</th>
<th>Mean points</th>
<th>*p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.61</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.06</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kruskall-Wallis test (p ≤ 0.05), **General Health Questionnaire.
For quality of life, an association was found in group II of RDC-TMD with social domain and a stronger association was found with disc displacement with reduction (p = 0.01; Table 2). Physical domain was associated with both TMD classification (Table 3) and severity (Table 4) and it was stronger in the groups with muscle and joint-related TMD (p = 0.037) and mild TMD (p = 0.042).

Discussion

The reported prevalence of TMD has varied greatly from one study to another and also within the population studied.13-18 Moreover, epidemiological studies usually assess the prevalence of TMD signs and symptoms but not the cases actually diagnosed as dysfunctional. In this study, the sample size was small when the diagnostic subclassifications of RDC-TMD were considered.

Some studies19-21 in the literature assessed depression, anxiety and pain in patients with TMD. Although these studies did not analyze general health indicators, a trend was observed that patients with muscle pain exhibited more psychological problems, poor quality of sleep and a greater number of stress agents.5,6,22,23 Similarly, Manfredini et al.23 used the RDC-TMD and found that group I with a TMD diagnosis (myofascial pain) was associated more with psychological factors (mood disorders and fear of open spaces and crowds) than the other TMD subclassifications. Auerbach et al.20 also concluded that psychological factors play a more important role in pain of muscular origin.

Considering the findings of the present study, none of the six psychological factors assessed by the GHQ were associated with classifications and subclassifications of RDC-TMD but were associated with TMD severity. Previous studies in the literature usually associated stress with TMD classification20,19 but not with severity.

In the present study, the indicators of psychological disorders demonstrated a greater association with mild TMD, except for the factor “stress” (Table 1). Considering that TMD severity evolves gradually, it may cause morbidity, psychological alterations, sleep dysfunction, difficulty to perform daily activities and problems in general health. The evolution to moderate or severe dysfunction may be accompanied by different behaviors in an attempt to tolerate its consequences, resulting in a lower association with the GHQ.

Quality of life should be evaluated according to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Association between group II of RDC-TMD and quality of life.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHOQOL***</td>
<td>Group II- RDC-TMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No diagnosis</td>
<td>20.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD** with reduction</td>
<td>35.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD without reduction and without limitation</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD without reduction and with limitation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Association between TMD classification and quality of life.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHOQOL**</td>
<td>TMD classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>TMD classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muscle-related TMD</td>
<td>15.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>joint-related TMD</td>
<td>23.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muscle and joint-related TMD</td>
<td>28.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Association between TMD severity and quality of life.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHOQOL**</td>
<td>TMD severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>Mild TMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate TMD</td>
<td>22.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TMD</td>
<td>12.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kruskall-Wallis test (p ≤ 0.05), **Disc displacement, ***World Health Organization Quality Of Life.
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a personal perception of one’s own health condition, including general aspects of life and well-being and also subjective experiences within the cultural context. Objective measurements of disease provide few answers about the impact of oral conditions on daily life and quality of life. This information highlights the importance of diagnosing psychosocial factors that may be involved in the disease.

Several published studies evaluating quality of life through the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP) can be found in the literature. Reissmann et al. used the RDC-TMD as a diagnostic tool and found that patients with myofascial pain exhibited a greater impact on quality of life than the other groups according to the OHIP questionnaire. However, the present results demonstrated that quality of life was associated with Group II of RDC-TMD and showed a stronger association with disc displacement with reduction (Table 2). The difference between results is likely a consequence of different methodologies. The OHIP is a more specific tool for assessing the impact of oral health on quality of life while the WHOQOL is a questionnaire that is not restricted to oral conditions.

The results of the present study on quality of life showed that disc displacement with reduction was the only diagnosis subclassification of RDC-TMD with a statistically significant association with social domain. Considering the common signs and symptoms of this dysfunction, clicks while chewing and limited function can compromise social behavior, including group activities. According to patient reports, frequent clicks during chewing in public spaces limited social life. When the TMD classifications were evaluated (Table 3), it was observed that the strongest association occurred between muscle-joint related TMD and physical domain. Therefore, in this group, it can be concluded that pain and discomfort lead to greater physiological expression and energy perception.

When TMD severity was analyzed (Table 4), physical domain was also associated with psychological factors, which indicated a greater perception of physical impairment caused by dysfunction. No other studies evaluated the association between dysfunctional severity and quality of life.

Further studies, including systematic reviews and analytical studies involving the influence of psychological interventions on TMD treatment, are essential for understanding the role of psychosocial aspects on dysfunction etiology and consequences. It is important to note that the present study has some limitations and the results are within a specific context. In addition, the sample size became small when the subclassification of RDC-TMD was considered. For evaluation of TMD severity, the length of pain experience and the occurrence of any previous treatment should be considered with any association between quality of life and TMD. Therefore, additional studies associating pain and quality of life are required.

Certainly, improvements in methodology will contribute to future research, furthering better TMD treatment. Moreover, multidisciplinary integration is essential for treatment efficacy and a humanized approach.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that patients with TMD require multiple focuses of attention since psychological indicators of general health and quality of life are associated with dysfunction.
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