Influence of surrounding wall thickness on the fatigue resistance of molars restored with ceramic inlay

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the influence of buccal and lingual wall thickness on the fatigue resistance of molars restored with CAD/CAM ceramic inlays. Forty human third molars were selected and divided into 4 groups, according to the remaining surrounding wall thickness chosen for inlay preparation (n = 10): G1, 2.0 mm; G2, 1.5 mm; G3, 1.0 mm; G4, 0.5 mm. All inlays were made from feldspathic ceramic blocks by a CAD/CAM system, and cemented adhesively. After 1 week stored in distilled water at 37 °C, the specimens were subjected to fatigue testing under the following protocol: 5Hz; pre-load of 200 N for 5,000 cycles, followed by increasing loads of 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1400 N for 30,000 cycles each. The specimens were cycled until failure or completion of 185,000 cycles. The survival rate of the groups was compared using the Kaplan-Meier survival curves (p > 0.05). All specimens withstood the fatigue protocol (185,000 cycles), representing a 100% survival rate. The Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed no difference between groups. It can be concluded that the remaining tooth wall thickness did not influence the fatigue resistance of molars restored with CAD/CAM ceramic inlays.
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Introduction

Inlay restorations are indirect intracoronary restorations, with no involvement of cusps, and involving a more conservative procedure than crowns.1 While a full crown preparation removes about 67.5% to 75.6% of dental tissue, a typical inlay preparation removes about 20%.2 Moreover, inlay restorations have a high clinical success rate in long-term longitudinal studies, namely, a 96% survival rate in 4 years, up to 85.7% in 10 years.3,4 However, a recurrent question regarding inlay restorations relates to cavity design, especially regarding the occlusal isthmus extension, and to when the cusps should be overlapped.5,6,7,8,9 In the literature, recommendations can be found indicating that when the limits of the inlay cavity preparations are closer than 1.5 mm to the functional cusp, or when there is a less than 2 mm remaining thickness, an onlay preparation should be included, with a 2 mm axial reduction.10

This principle of cavity preparation with cuspal coverage or overlap is based on metal cast restorations that do not adhere to dental substrates, and that are recommended to strengthen a weakened tooth.
Influence of surrounding wall thickness on the fatigue resistance of molars restored with ceramic inlay

structure. However, the advent of adhesive dentistry, in conjunction with improvements in the mechanical properties of restorative materials and their manufacturing process, has enabled restorations to recover all or part of weakened tooth resistance, thus increasing the possibility of more conservative restorative procedures.

With the prospects of less invasive preparations and greater preservation of tooth structure, it became necessary to reassess how much of the remaining tooth should be maintained for preparing an indirect inlay restoration, without compromising tooth integrity. Therefore, the aim of the study was to evaluate the influence of 4 different thicknesses (2.0 mm, 1.5 mm, 1.0 mm and 0.5 mm), at the base of the surrounding walls (buccal and lingual), on the fatigue resistance of molar teeth restored with CAD/CAM ceramic inlays. The null hypothesis tested was that the remaining wall thicknesses of cavity preparations would not influence the fatigue resistance of teeth restored with ceramic inlays.

Methodology

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Process no. 2054/FR no. 425608. Forty sound human third molars, without caries or visible cracks, and of similar size (< 5% deviation), were selected. The teeth were cleaned and remained stored in distilled water at 37 ºC throughout the study. All teeth were embedded in acrylic resin (Clássico, São Paulo, Brazil) 3 mm below the cementoenamel junction, in a 25 mm diameter PVC cylinder (Tigre, Joinville, Brazil). A device was developed to standardize the preparation step. When attached to a dental surveyor (Bioart, São Carlos, Brazil), it enabled the high speed handpiece (Kavo, Joinville, Brazil) to be maintained in a stable position, and the long axis of the diamond burs (KG Sorensen, Cotia, Brazil) to be kept parallel to the shaft of the dental surveyor (Bioart, São Carlos, Brazil) and perpendicular to the occlusal surface. The dental preparations were performed with no. 3131 diamond burs (KG Sorensen, Cotia, Brazil) followed by no. 3131F (KG Sorensen, Cotia, Brazil) and no. 3131FF diamond burs (KG Sorensen, Cotia, Brazil).

New diamond burs were used after every 5 preparations. The dental surveyor, the high speed handpiece and the diamond burs were kept in the same position throughout the preparation procedures. The specimens were then moved manually, by the same operator, to perform the dental preparation.

As a last consideration, the characteristics of the cavity design were as follows: MOD preparation, without a proximal box for better standardization; divergent internal walls with a 10º to 15º tilt; rounded internal angles; cavosurface angle with no bevel, 4 mm cavity depth from the cusp tips; and buccal and lingual surrounding wall thickness according to each group evaluated. The tooth wall thicknesses were determined using a digital caliper (Model 727; Starrett, Itu, Brazil), measuring the base of each surrounding wall and dividing the walls into 4 groups, accordingly (Figure 1A - 1D): G1, 2.0 mm (± 0.1 mm); G2, 1.5 mm (± 0.1 mm); G3, 1.0 mm (± 0.1 mm); G4, 0.5 mm (± 0.1 mm).

The impression, design and milling of the ceramic inlays were performed using an in-office CAD/CAM system (Cerec 3D Software V. 3.03; Sirona Dental GmbH, Salzburg, Austria). The design of all the ceramic restorations was determined using the Biodigital app of the CAD/CAM system. All the restorations were made from feldspathic ceramic blocks (Vitablocs Mark II; Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany). After the milling process, the inlays were polished (OptraFine; Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein) and their adaptation was checked. All ceramic restorations were cemented adhesively in the dual curing mode. The description of the adhesive systems, the cementing agent, and the silane and light-curing unit used in this study is provided in Table 1. After 24 hours stored in distilled water at 37 ºC, the inlay margins were polished (OptraFine; Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein) and their adaptation was checked. All ceramic restorations were cemented adhesively in the dual curing mode. The description of the adhesive systems, the cementing agent, and the silane and light-curing unit used in this study is provided in Table 1. After 24 hours stored in distilled water at 37 ºC, the inlay margins were polished (OptraFine; Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein), and the inlays were subsequently stored in distilled water at 37 ºC for 7 days before submitting them to the fatigue test.

The fatigue test was performed in a dynamic servo-hydraulic machine (model BME 200 160/AT; Brasválvulas, São Paulo, Brazil), according to a load cell model TU-K2C (Gefran, Provargio O’Iseo, Italy) and 2000 N maximal load capacity, certified by Certi Foundation, Protocol number 3972/11.
Figure 1. (A) G1: 2.0 mm. (B) G2: 1.5 mm. (C) G3: 1.0 mm. (D) G4: 0.5 mm.
The test was performed by placing the specimen on a metal platform attached to the dynamic testing machine. This platform consisted of a metal base, a resistor (with temperature control) and an acrylic chamber fixed to the base, which was filled with distilled water for testing. The water temperature was maintained at 37 °C to simulate oral temperature. After fixing the specimen in the platform firmly, a vertical load was placed directly on the occlusal surface, using a 6-mm-diameter metal sphere (Figure 2). Initially, a preload of 200 N for 5000 cycles was applied, using sinusoidal cyclic loading at 5 Hz. Physiologically, tooth contact during the masticatory cycle occurs in 0.25 to 0.33 seconds or 1.57 to 1.58 Hz, on average, taking into account the whole cycle. Nevertheless, in order to accelerate the test, a cycle of 5 Hz was used. After the pre-load stage, all specimens were subjected to increasing loads of 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1400 N for a maximum of 30,000 cycles each. The test was performed by completing the maximum cycles (185,000), or else fracture of the specimen.

Table 1. Adhesive system, resin cement and silane used, together with ingredients and application procedures, according to the manufacturers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Application procedures</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adper Scotchbond Multipurpose Plus Activator</td>
<td>Ethyl alcohol, sodium benzenesulfinate</td>
<td>1. Mix one drop each of activator and primer. 2. Apply to etched enamel and dentin – wait 15 seconds.</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adper Scotchbond Multipurpose Plus Primer</td>
<td>Water, HEMA, copolymer of acrylic and itaconic acids</td>
<td>3. Dry gently for 5 seconds.</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adper Scotchbond Multipurpose Plus Catalyst</td>
<td>BISGMA, HEMA, benzoyl peroxide, triphenylphosphine</td>
<td>1. Apply to the primed enamel, dentin and core material. 2. Apply to the treated bonding surface of the indirect restoration.</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX ARC Adhesive Resin Cement</td>
<td>Silane treated ceramic, TEGDMA, BISGMA, silane treated silica, functionalized dimethacrylate polymer, 2-benzoxiazolyl-4-methylphenol, 4-(dimethylamino)-benzeneethanol</td>
<td>1. Dispense the cement onto a mixing pad. 2. Mix for 10 seconds. 3. Apply to the bonding surface of the indirect restoration. 4. Slowly seat and hold restoration. 5. Light-cure each cement surface/margin for 40 seconds.</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonoBond S</td>
<td>Ethanol, Water, Acetic Acid, 3-ethacryloxypropyl-trimethoxysilane</td>
<td>Apply to the pre-treated surfaces. Allow the material to react for 60 seconds. Disperse with a strong stream of air.</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranlux Power Blue</td>
<td>LED light-curing unit, 440–480 nm wavelength range, 1,000 mW/cm² light intensity</td>
<td>Performed according to the adhesive system and resin cement manufacturer’s instructions.</td>
<td>Heraeus Kulzer, Hanau, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fracture mode was classified according to the following criteria: Mode I, small fractures in tooth structure or ceramic; Mode II, fracture of one or more cusps, with fracture above the cementoenamel junction; Mode III, longitudinal fracture compromising the integrity of the tooth or beyond the cementoenamel junction. Mode I and II were considered non-catastrophic failures, and restorable, whereas Mode III was considered catastrophic and non-restorable.

**Statistical analysis**

The fatigue resistance of each group was compared statistically by the Kaplan-Meier survival curve, which considers the number of specimens that start the test in each group compared to those fractured throughout the test, and which forms a survival probability. The influence of the remaining prepared tooth wall thickness was analyzed by comparing the survival curves using a log-rank test at a 5% significance level. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Statistics 19 (IBM, New York, USA).
Results

Because all specimens withstood the fatigue protocol, there was no specimen fracture after 185,000 cycles, yielding a 100% survival rate, with no visible signs of failure in the ceramic or the tooth (Table 2). The Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed no difference between the groups, considering that all specimens survived the fatigue protocol. It was assumed that all of the specimens were censored, i.e., they reached the end of study without failing. Therefore, there were no data for post hoc log-rank testing to investigate the influence of the remaining wall thickness on the survival rate (Figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Number of Specimens</th>
<th>Failures</th>
<th>Survival Rate</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1 – 2.0 mm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 – 1.5 mm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 – 1.0 mm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 – 0.5 mm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In the present study, no difference was found in the fatigue resistance of molars restored with CAD/CAM ceramic inlays with 2.0 mm, 1.5 mm, 1.0 mm or 0.5 mm surrounding wall thicknesses. Therefore, the null hypothesis that surrounding wall thickness does not affect the fatigue resistance of a tooth-restoration complex was accepted. The current findings are in accordance with the suggestions by Stappert et al., who propose that more studies be performed in relation to inlay cavity design limits. This suggestion was made after the aforementioned authors assessed the fracture resistance of inlays, onlays and natural teeth, and found no statistical differences in the mean fracture resistance of the tested groups, even after they were submitted to 1.2 million loading cycles.

In the present study, the ceramic inlay thickness and volume varied between groups due to the cavity design – a variable that could influence tooth strength in each group. However, the finite element analysis showed that the fracture risk of ceramic inlays was not associated as much with ceramic thickness as with ceramic type; e.g., more rigid ceramics, such as lithium disilicate, have a lower principal stress, ranging between 20.7 to 22.1 MPa. Conversely, leucite ceramic (a less rigid ceramic) had a greater principal stress, i.e., 27.6 to 29.2 MPa, even when different thicknesses were tested.

Compared to the aforementioned ceramics, the feldspar ceramics used in this study had lower values of flexural resistance. However, the controlled sintering process could account for the high resistance to propagation of the ceramic cracks. In this process, leucite crystals are heated until they become polymorphic sanidine crystals of feldspar, which have a higher contraction rate upon cooling than the original crystals. These characteristics may have led, in part, to the behavior of the restorations observed in this study. Moreover, in ceramic restorations, higher stress concentrations occur on the ceramic restoration surface, as is the case of natural teeth. This characteristic protects the adhesive interface from compression or mastication stresses. Moreover, ceramic inlays can recover tooth structure rigidity better than resin composite restorations.

Restorations in the oral cavity are predominantly subject to cyclic loading in a wet environment. This is the main cause for the development and growth of cracks, which reduce the strength of restorative materials, or lead to their failure. The development of a crack can be facilitated by pre-existing faults in
the restoration, occurring during the manufacturing process, or pre-existing cracks in the tooth.\textsuperscript{20,21} Taking this into account, in-office CAD/CAM technology can fashion an indirect restoration in a single session or moment,\textsuperscript{22} avoiding new cracks during the temporization period,\textsuperscript{20} and can provide restorations made from industrially manufactured ceramic or from composite blocks, which have minor internal flaws, as compared with traditional laboratory restorations.\textsuperscript{21}

Results obtained from randomized controlled clinical trials are the best option for comparing or evaluating biomaterials or restorative techniques; however, they present many variables, high costs and ethical issues.\textsuperscript{11,23} For these reasons, laboratory studies that simulate conditions or situations that may be found clinically, such as fatigue tests using a servo-hydraulic machine, may be a suitable option for the first and initial evaluation.\textsuperscript{11} In normal conditions, the chewing cycle varies from 0.2 to 1.5 Hz, and a masticatory load in molar regions is approximately 360 N; in addition, 1.2 million cycles correspond to 5 years of clinical function.\textsuperscript{5,8} One drawback of following the standard guidelines for making laboratory assessments is the time required to complete the test. Therefore, this study chose to follow a protocol presented by Magne and Knezevic,\textsuperscript{13} adapted from an original study by Fennis \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} This methodology is presented as a balance between the classic fatigue tests with low loads for millions of cycles and the compression tests,\textsuperscript{25} insofar as the specimens were subjected to loads that were within physiological limits and that were of extrinsic origin, such as those occurring as a result of trauma.\textsuperscript{25}

Although all of the specimens survived the proposed test, the results of this research should be analyzed within its limitations. Krifka \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{9} demonstrated that preparations with reduced cusps resulted in better marginal integrity and reduced crack formation than teeth without cusp reduction. Thus, it could be assumed that a higher number of cycles may result in statistically significant failures; however, the time required for carrying out the cyclic tests until complete fracture is a limitation in fatigue tests. It is a common occurrence to have no failures even after 1.2 million cyclic loads with low load intensity.\textsuperscript{8} The fatigue protocol performed in this study was chosen precisely for this reason; other studies using the same protocol found fractures in specimens.\textsuperscript{13,25}

Nevertheless, there are other limitations to the present study design. It is possible that the load applied may not have been challenging enough to fracture the tested specimens. In a study performed
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\caption{Kaplan-Meier survival curves for 4 different surrounding wall thicknesses (2.0 mm, 1.5 mm, 1.0 mm and 0.5 mm). All specimens survived the fatigue protocol.}
\end{figure}
by Saridag et al., the mean fracture strength found in molar teeth restored by a lithium-disilicate ceramic inlay was 2646.7 (± 360.4) N. Thus, further studies are warranted to determine the influence of more challenging situations than those applied in the present study, including the use of higher loads, of test groups with non-restored teeth or inlays cemented with non-adhesive cements, and of test groups with the same wall thickness, but with cusp reduction.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of this study, it may be concluded that remaining tooth wall thickness did not influence the fatigue resistance of molars restored with CAD/CAM ceramic inlays.
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