Pixel value analysis for detection of simulated early external root resorption

Abstract: The aim of this study was to determine the efficacy of pixel value analysis using images generated by the Digora™ and Visualix™ systems for the early detection of external root resorption (ERR). Thirty extracted human lower incisors were radiographed using the Digora and Visualix systems; then, ERR was induced by immersing the teeth in 6 mol L⁻¹ of hydrochloric acid for different periods of time (10, 30 and 60 minutes). ERR was confirmed by calcium quantification with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. One digital image was acquired per time period at 70 kVp, 7 mA, 2.2 mm filtration, focus-film distance of 30 cm, and with exposure times of 0.09 s in the Digora system and 0.05 s in Visualix system. The region of interest was defined using ImageJ software. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Pearson’s correlation (p < 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the time for ERR induction and the pixel values with either system. A positive correlation between the time of ERR induction and the calcium concentration was observed (r = 0.8892; p < 0.001). In conclusion, independent of the site of ERR induction and the digital system, pixel value analysis was not effective for ERR detection.
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Introduction

Early and accurate detection of external root resorption (ERR) during orthodontic treatment is essential to identify teeth at risk of severe resorption.¹² Early detection of ERR allows orthodontic treatment plans to be modified to minimize or prevent continued loss of root structure.¹² Periapical radiographic imaging, either conventional or digital, is usually the method of choice for detection of apical ERR,³⁴³⁵ despite reports that cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) is more reliable than intraoral radiography.²⁶ However, there are differences between the techniques. Conventional radiographic images are acquired by the exposure of silver crystals in an emulsion to light, followed by chemical processing. In the case of digital images, the sensor is triggered by the same rays as those used for conventional imaging, but the processing is performed by means of digital scanning. Because the sensors used in dental radiology exhibit high sensitivity to x-rays, lower doses of radiation than those used in the conventional technique may be used. In addition, digital scanning with
a charge-coupled device (CCD), a complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) and a photo-stimulable phosphor plate (PSP) eliminates the errors incurred during the chemical processing phase of conventional radiography.

Digital images are generated by a combination of pixels that contain information about the color or grey levels at each pixel location. Another relevant issue concerns the limitations of human vision, wherein approximately 32 shades of grey can be distinguished; 8-bit digital images surpass the limits of human visual detection in terms of distinguishing among 256 shades of grey. Visual evaluation of resorption using radiographs has proven to be highly inaccurate because of magnification errors and inability to be readily repeated and reproduced. Thus, the radiographic acquisition of images with high grey-scale discrimination results in greater sensitivity to the loss or gain of mineralized structures and could detect early ERR.

Radiographic studies have reported conflicting results regarding the correlation between ERR and orthodontic treatment. The main reason for such variability in results might be a lack of standardization of the methods, which limits the comparability of the results obtained by different studies. Because of the disagreement among the results of non-standardized scientific experiments, the aim of the present study was to assess the use of a digital tool (analysis based on pixel values) for the detection of ERR using images acquired with two different digital systems.

Methodology

Thirty human lower incisors were obtained at the tooth bank of the Bauru School of Dentistry, Universidade de São Paulo - USP, according to protocols established by the institutional Research Ethics Committee. The teeth were stored in a saline solution at room temperature throughout the study. The teeth were initially subjected to scaling and planing of the roots using ultrasound to remove any calculus, as in most cases, the indication for exodontia had been periodontal disease.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Bauru School of Dentistry, USP (Protocol no. 50/2008).

The teeth were then randomly allocated to two groups (n=15) defined by the localization of the chemically induced lesions as follows: Group P - teeth with ERR induced on the proximal surface, and Group B - teeth with ERR induced on the buccal surface.

After drying the tooth, a 2 mm x 2 mm wax sheet was placed on the proximal or buccal apical surface of the root to define the area to be subjected to acid-induced chemical resorption. Subsequently, the full surface of the root was coated with two thin layers of an acid-resistant material (nail polish) with 1-hour intervals between applications.

The wax was removed, and the root surface was exposed to 6 mol L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid to induce resorption. Thirty teeth were subjected to this treatment. Induction was performed 24 hours after the application of the insulating material and consisted of the immersion of the teeth in acid for time periods of three different lengths (10, 30, and 60 minutes). After each period of induction, the teeth were rinsed in tap water for 10 minutes to remove the acid and to interrupt the process of resorption; then, the teeth were radiographed. ERR was confirmed by calcium quantification of the acid solution using atomic absorption spectrophotometry using a colorimetric method (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA), after each step of the demineralization process.

To analyze resorption using the pixel values, digital images of the teeth were acquired using the Digora™ (Soredex, Orion Corporation, Helsinki, Finland) and Visualix™ eHD (Gendex, Dental Systems, Milan, Italy) systems.

To standardize the image acquisition, a device was constructed where the teeth were placed in the alveoli of a dry mandible coupled to an acrylic base to simulate the hard and soft tissues, respectively, and to hold the sensors parallel and close to the radiographed area. Next, the teeth were radiographed using a dental device (Yoshida Dental MFC Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at 70 kV, 7 mA, 2.2 mm filtration and with a focus-film distance of 30 cm. The exposure times were defined in a pilot...
study as 0.05, 0.07, 0.09, 0.1, 0.13, 0.17, and 0.2 s. The images were assessed by two experienced radiologists who were blinded to the exposure times. The images from each digital system that exhibited the best sharpness, density, and contrast were rated as adequate. Therefore, according to the previously established selection criteria, the exposure times used in the present study were 0.09 s for the Digora™ system and 0.05 s for the Visualix™ system.

The Digora™ is a PSP system that comprises reusable (white or blue) phosphor plates and a scanning system coupled to a personal computer. In this study, a blue phosphor plate was used, which was turned off immediately before each test to avoid any residual signal caused by the ambient light during storage. The system was calibrated for the exposures to be performed.

The Visualix™ eHD system comprises an eHD sensor, which is a charge-coupled device (CCD). The CCD is coated with a layer of cesium iodide, which is a luminescent material that increases the interaction between the sensor and x-rays, and a layer of amorphous carbon. In this system, the sensor is directly connected to a personal computer by a cable. The images acquired by means of the phosphor plate or the eHD sensor were processed by the software included in each system and were stored in TIFF format (without compression) to permit later processing with other software.

After all of the radiographic images were acquired, a 17-inch flat-panel screen computer (A505-S6975, Satellite, Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) was used to assess them. The control image for each system (Digora™ and Visualix™) was opened and inverted using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA) to facilitate the distinction between the external surface of the root and the alveolar bone. Next, the region of interest (ROI) was defined manually to represent the external apical surface of the root and was saved in a file for the size (3 mm height) and format to be applied to all of the measurements performed in each tooth (Figure 1).

After the ROIs were defined, the software supplied data (histograms) on the average pixel values on an 8-bit scale, where 0 corresponded to the darkest grey shade (black) and 255 corresponded to the lightest grey shade (white). No image processing technique was used to enhance the images.

Analysis of the pixel values was performed by an examiner before (control) and after the induction of ERR (10, 30, and 60 minutes) in each tooth of Groups P and B with both image acquisition systems.

To analyze the correlation between the amount of calcium removed during the ERR process and the pixel values, a Pearson’s correlation test of the data was performed. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the differences between the average pixel values of the root resorption area in groups P and B for each system (Digora™ and Visualix™). Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) and the Bland-Altman test were used to determine intraobserver reliability. Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica for Windows 6.0 software (Statistica for Windows, StatSoft, Inc. Tulsa, USA), and the significance level was established as \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

Intraobserver reliability for both the Digora (1.00) and Visualix (0.97) systems was determined to be very good using ICC and the Bland-Altman test. These results reflect high intraobserver reliabilities.

Table 1 shows the comparison between the total calcium removed and the time of induced ERR for proximal and buccal lesions. There was a positive correlation \( r = 0.8892; p < 0.001 \) between the time of ERR induction and the resulting amount of calcium removed.
The means, standard deviations, and analysis of variance for the pixel values of the proximal and buccal lesions are presented in Table 2. No statistically significant differences were found between the investigated systems (Digora™ and Visualix™) at any of the times of ERR induction.

Table 2. Means and standard deviations of the pixel values analyzed with the Digora™ and Visualix™ systems that were obtained at the different times of ERR induction (ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of induced ERR (min)</th>
<th>Total Calcium removed (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proximal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>178.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>152.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>204.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>207.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison between the total calcium removed and time of induced ERR for the proximal and buccal regions.

Discussion

The detection of small ERR lesions during orthodontic treatment is essential to identify teeth at risk for severe resorption, and the timely ability to quantify the lesions associated with ERR is valuable.1 Some in vitro and ex vivo studies simulating ERR lesions with drills have been performed.2,3,4,5,6,16,17,18 However, the margins of the lesions artificially produced with drills in these studies are sharper than those of natural lesions, whose margins tend to be irregular. In the present study, simulated ERR was induced chemically because this procedure affords ill-defined margins that are similar to those with ERR of biological origin and clinical condition.14,15 In addition, ERR was confirmed by quantification of the calcium removed using atomic absorption spectrophotometry,14 and the teeth subjected to the longest ERR induction time exhibited the greatest calcium release (Table 1 and Pearson’s correlation). Therefore, this method of inducing root resorption is practical, simulates the clinical conditions of ERR, and might be applied in similar studies.

The diagnosis of ERR in clinical situations is usually based on conventional and digital radiographic images.3,4 In the present study, ERR was assessed by means of the pixel values on images acquired using two types of digital radiographic systems, PSP and CCD.

The advent of digital imaging allowed simplified post-processing and transfer of radiographic information because the images acquired by the electronic sensor are stored in the computer and can be handled using the appropriate software.19,20 Such software might improve visualization and quantification of the images because it permits the adjustment of brightness and contrast, rotation of the images and linear and angular measurements.21 The goal of post-processing is to efficiently extract diagnostically useful information and to discard unimportant information, but high-quality radiographs are crucial for accurate diagnosis;20,22 therefore, the analysis of pixel values was included in the present study for the quantitative analysis of ERR on digital radiographic images. ImageJ software was chosen to quantify the pixel values because it is open-source, it is updated on a regular basis by a reliable source, and its plug-in tools are user-friendly.
The pilot test was of paramount importance for analysis of the radiographs because it established the most appropriate exposure time for each digital system. When digital images are generated without taking into account the time of exposure needed for individual digital systems, significant noise may be added to the images, which particularly interferes with pixel-density analysis.

In this study, we used acrylic and a dry mandible to simulate the soft and hard tissues, respectively, to mimic natural conditions and to standardize the acquisition of radiographic images. According to Pietrobelli et al., an attenuation phenomenon occurs when photons pass through certain elements, chemical components, or solutions, whereby their intensity decreases spontaneously due to atomic interactions, resulting in the absorption and dissipation of such photons. Consequently, when x-ray beams are directed towards a tooth, the soft and hard tissues interposed in their trajectory influence the resulting pixel values.

When the ERR induction time and the pixel values were assessed in the Digora™ and Visualix™ systems, we expected that increases in ERR induction time would reduce the pixel values. However, no significant differences were observed for either digital system in either the proximal or the buccal region (Table 2). This result may have occurred due to a lack of reproducibility and/or to noise generated by these systems. Better results may have been obtained if the immersion times in the acid had been longer; however, the aim of this study was to evaluate the ability of the systems to detect early ERR.

Freitas et al. tested the reproducibility of the pixel values generated by the Digora™ system. Their exposures were standardized with variations of the time of exposure. These authors concluded that the reproducibility of such data with the Digora™ system was unsatisfactory and that their findings could explain unexpected variations in pixel values, such as the variability that occurred in the present study. Therefore, studies of bone gain or loss using pixel values might result in false positive results because the variability detected in such alterations might be due to the system used and not due to the lesions themselves.

Noise may be defined as an undesirable fluctuation of pixel intensity that affects the sensitivity of an image. Noise varies considerably as a function of the quality of the system used and the time of exposure, and it can arise from the processing of electronic signals or be determined by the quantity of photons. In the present study, there was greater noise in the Digora™ system as indicated by the large standard deviations.

Conclusions
Based on the methods used, the results suggest that independent of the site of ERR induction and the digital system used, pixel value analysis was not effective for ERR detection.
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