Choice of toothpaste for the elderly: an in vitro study

Abstract: Hyposalivation and dental root exposure in the elderly are problems that require special oral care. In this context, the characteristics of certain toothpastes are of particular importance. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the cytotoxicity and dentin wear caused by seven different toothpastes. For dentin wear analysis, 40 root dentin specimens were submitted to 20,000 brushing cycles with the different toothpastes and distilled water (control group-CG), using a brushing machine. Dentin surface loss (SL) was measured by contact profilometer. The cytotoxicity of each toothpaste was tested using cultured fibroblasts submitted to a cell-culture-conditioned medium. Fresh medium served as the control. Cell viability was assessed by MTT assay after 24 h of contact with the conditioned media. The data were analyzed statistically by ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s test (p < 0.05). The SL of the CG was minimal and significantly lower than that of the Oral B Pro Health (OBPH) group (p < 0.05). All other groups presented SL in between that of the CG and the Oral B Pro Health OBPH group, except for the Sensodyne (SEN) group, which presented SL similar to that of CG (p = 0.05). The SEN group presented a percentage of viable cells similar to that of CG: between 60-89%. All the other toothpastes showed high cytotoxicity, with cell viability less than 50% of the CG. Considering study limitations, we concluded that only one of the seven tested toothpastes exhibited the most desirable toothpaste characteristics for the worldwide growing elderly population (e.g. low cytotoxicity and low-abrasive potential).
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Introduction

Toothpastes are the most common daily home oral care product. In fact, tooth brushing with toothpaste is an important oral hygiene habit, with many benefits to dental and gingival health. The toothpastes must provide maximum cleaning without harming oral tissues; however, some toothpaste components may cause damage. Toothpastes are not all identical in composition and should be indicated according to each patient’s needs. The components mostly found in toothpastes are abrasive, surfactant, humectant, gelling and/or binding agents, as well as flavoring, preservative, staining and film agents, sweeteners, fluoride and others. Differences in the presence and/or concentration of all these components in toothpastes may cause undesirables side effects. Excessive
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fluoride may be dangerous to young people.\textsuperscript{6,7} On the other hand, excessive abrasives or potentially cytotoxic components are harmful to the elderly population.

Today, the elderly population retain their teeth in their mouth longer. Moreover, physiological or pathological alterations (e.g. caries, non-carious lesions, enamel wear, periodontal treatment, etc.) cause the dentin to be exposed,\textsuperscript{8} after which it is subjected to brushing with toothpastes. Knowing that toothpastes may not only remove the oral biofilm, but also cause wear of the exposed dentin,\textsuperscript{9} greater attention should be given to the prescription of toothpastes for this population, in order to avoid greater wear of this exposed substrate. Furthermore, this population generally presents levels of xerostomia, caused by the use of medications; in this scenario, toothpaste may also cause irritation, allergic reaction, mucosal desquamation, ulceration and dermatitis.\textsuperscript{5}

Based on the above considerations, low levels of abrasivity and cytotoxicity are desirable characteristics of toothpastes for the elderly population. Thus, the aim of this study was to analyze both the abrasivity and the cytotoxicity of different brands of toothpaste.

**Methodology**

This study was approved by the Local Research Ethics Committee (Protocol 151/11-FR 470424).

**Toothpastes**

Table shows the commercially available toothpastes selected for the study. Each toothpaste variety in this in vitro study was submitted to physical (dentin wear susceptibility) and biological (cytotoxicity) analyses.

### pH measurement

The pH of each toothpaste was measured in a suspension composed of one part toothpaste mass to three parts water mass, according to ISO 11609.\textsuperscript{10} The pH of each suspension was determined within 10 minutes, using a calibrated pH-meter and an electrode assembly (Accumet 13-620-530; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, USA).

### Dentin wear analysis model

#### Specimen preparation

Forty specimens of root dentin (5x5 mm) were obtained from bovine incisors to analyze the abrasive potential of all toothpastes. These specimens were embedded in acrylic resin, and then grounded flat with water-cooled abrasive discs (500-, 1200-, 2400- and 4000- Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} papers, Buehler, USA) and polished with felt discs and diamond paste (1µm Buehler). Adhesive tape strips were placed on the polished surface of each specimen, leaving an exposed window of 4x1 mm. The root dentin specimens (n = 5) were then randomly submitted to brushing with the 7 toothpastes or with distilled water (control).

#### Experimental protocol

The specimens were mounted on a brushing machine (MSeT - ELQUIP, São Carlos, Brazil) to simulate the dental abrasion in vitro, and submitted to 20,000 brushing cycles with a load of 200 g, using soft-bristle brushes (Sorriso\textsuperscript{®} Kolynos Master, Colgate-Palmolive Company, São Paulo, Brazil). The internal temperature of the machine during all cycles was maintained nearly 37 ± 1°C. A slurry with

| Table. Toothpastes, their respective manufacturers and their main components. |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Toothpaste (group) | Manufacturer | Main components |
| Oral B 123 (OB123) | Procter & Gamble, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, hydrated silica |
| Oral B Pro-Health (OBPH) | Procter & Gamble, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, silica |
| Freshup (FRUP) | Boniquet do Brasil, São Paulo, SP, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, calcium carbonate, sodium silicate |
| Sorriso Super Refreshing (SOR) | Colgate-Palmolive Company, São Paulo, SP, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, calcium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, sodium silicate |
| Colgate Triple Action (CTA) | Colgate-Palmolive Company, São Paulo, SP, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, calcium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, sodium silicate |
| Colgate Total 12 (CT12) | Colgate-Palmolive Company, São Paulo, SP, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, hydrated silica |
| Sensodyne Original (SEN) | GlaxoSmithKline, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil | Sodium lauryl sulfate, amorphous silica |
distilled water was prepared for each toothpaste, in a proportion of 1 part toothpaste to 2 parts distilled water, immediately before using.11 The slurry was then added to wells positioned in the brushing machine, and was discharged onto the dentin surface at one-minute intervals during the test run. Each experimental group was tested individually, to keep the different toothpastes from mixing together.

**Dentin wear measurement**

The dentin wear measurements were performed by a single, well-trained examiner blinded to the groups. After completing the experimental protocol, the tape strips were removed and the surface profile of each specimen was measured using a contact surface profilometer (Legex 9106, Mitutoyo, Japan). The analyses were made at three different points along the dentin surface of each specimen, crossing the two reference surfaces covered with the adhesive tape, as well as the test area exposed to the abrasion model. The wear value of each specimen was the mean result of these three measurements.

**Cytotoxic analysis model**

**Cell culture**

Human gingival fibroblasts (FMM1 cell line)12 were used. The cells were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, LGC Biotecnologia, Cotia, Brazil), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Cultilab, Campinas, Brazil) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The cells were maintained in an incubator at 37°C, in a humid atmosphere containing 5% CO2 and 95% air. The cell growth was monitored daily under a phase contrast microscope; the culture medium was changed every other day. The cells were harvested and plated into 24-well culture plates to perform the experiments.

**Conditioned medium**

The conditioned medium (e.g. medium containing substances leached or dissolved from each toothpaste) was obtained as recommended by the American Society for Testing Material.13 In brief, test tubes containing the toothpastes were filled with DMEM (LGC Biotecnologia, Cotia, Brazil). Conditioning was carried out for 1 h, at 37°C, using 0.2 g of each toothpaste per milliliter of fresh medium.

**Experimental protocol**

The experiments were conducted as follows: the cells were plated (2x10^3 cells/well) in 24-well culture plates and maintained in a humidified chamber at 37°C. Twenty-four hours later the culture medium was exchanged for the experimental conditioned medium, which was kept in contact with the cells for 5 min, in order to simulate the brushing procedure time. The control group received a fresh culture medium. Then, the conditioned medium was exchanged for fresh medium and the cultures were incubated in a humidified chamber at 37°C. All the experimental groups were tested in triplicate.

**Cell viability assay**

Twenty-four hours after exposure of the cells to the conditioned medium, the cell viabilities of all toothpastes and control were measured. This analysis was based on cell mitochondrial activity measured by the MTT-based cytotoxicity assay.14 This test measures the ability of mitochondrial enzymes produced by metabolically active cells to reduce 3-(bromide, 4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT; Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA) molecules to an insoluble salt of formazan, detected by absorbance at 562 nm using a spectrophotometer (Amersham Biosciences, Biochrom Ltd., Cambridge, England). According to Freshney,15 this assay determines the cell viability indirectly. Thus, the absorbance data of the control group grown in ideal cell culture conditions indicates the maximum cell number in one well. The comparison of these data with those of the experimental groups indirectly indicates the number of viable cells in the experimental wells. Therefore, the mean optical density of the control group was considered as having 100% cell viability. The toothpastes were considered non-cytotoxic when the mean cell viability was higher than 90%, low-cytotoxic when the mean cell viability was between 60-89%, and highly cytotoxic when the cell viability was less than 59%.
Statistical analysis

The data of both experiments were compared by one-way ANOVA, complemented with the Tukey test. The level of significance was set at 5% (p < 0.05). BioEstat software 5.0 was used to perform the analysis.

Results

Toothpaste pH


Dentin wear analysis

The dentin wear measured as surface loss in all the experimental groups is graphically represented in Figure 1. The surface loss caused by distilled water was minimal and significantly less than that of OBPH (Oral B Pro-Health; p < 0.05). All of the other groups presented surface loss in between that caused by distilled water and that of OBPHG, except for SEN. This toothpaste presented a surface loss similar to that caused by distilled water (p = 0.05).

Cytotoxic analysis

Figure 2 illustrates the percentages of cell viability in all the experimental groups 24 hours after contact with the culture medium conditioned by the toothpastes. The mean optical density of the control group was considered 100%. The SEN group presented a percentage of viable cells similar to that of control group, which was in the range of 60-89%, considered as low-cytotoxic. The other experimental groups showed high cytotoxicity, with cell viabilities lower than 50% of the control group.

Discussion

Oral hygiene procedures, along with the adoption of preventive measures, have enabled longer maintenance of the teeth in the oral cavity. Bearing this in mind, the oral care of the world’s growing elderly population is a concern. These individuals generally have several tooth surfaces with exposed dentine, which risk being abraded by toothpastes containing abrasives. Moreover, because it is not uncommon for elderly people to take several medications, they generally present different levels of xerostomia, causing cytotoxic components of the toothpastes to easily damage the oral mucosa. Therefore, it is very important that the elderly be prescribed toothpastes that are non-cytotoxic and less abrasive, and that contain a high concentration of fluoride. These concerns led us to investigate the physical (dentin wear) as well as the biological (cytotoxicity) effects of wide-ranging brands and prices of toothpaste used in Brazil and other countries. This study showed that most of the toothpastes analyzed presented not only cytotoxic effects on cultured fibroblasts, but also different levels of abrasive activity.

Bovine dentin specimens were used to analyze the physical effects of the toothpastes. In fact, studies
have shown that bovine dentin can be used as a substitute substrate for human dentin.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The effect of the toothpastes on dentin integrity was evaluated by using profilometry, which allows surface loss to be analyzed and quantified. This method is recommended by ISO 11609 and has been extensively used for other abrasion studies.\textsuperscript{18,19,20} There were surface losses at different levels, caused by the different toothpastes; however, this finding suggests that the losses were not due to erosion, because the pH of the detergents was above neutral.

Oral B Pro-Health (OBPH) was considered the most abrasive toothpaste among all the products analyzed. On the other hand, it was observed that only Sensodyne (SEN) presented a minimal abrasive effect on bovine dentin, an effect similar to that of tooth brushing with distilled water. Previous studies demonstrated that toothpastes contain a number of ingredients that can remove not only the oral biofilm but also the superficial dentin tissue. Among these components, abrasives and detergents are cited more often.\textsuperscript{3,8} Abrasives are important for removing deposits on the teeth.\textsuperscript{7} Derivatives of silica, like hydrated silica or amorphous silica, calcium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, dicalcium phosphate dihydrate, calcium pyrophosphate and alumina are abrasives found in toothpastes.\textsuperscript{20,21} According to the manufactures of the toothpastes evaluated in the present study, there were differences among these toothpastes in regard to the formulation of abrasives. Whereas OBPH contains an association of two abrasives (e.g. silica and hydrated silica), the SEN group presented only one abrasive (e.g. amorphous silica) in its composition. The association of abrasives in OBPH could implicate the abrasive potential of this toothpaste, since the surface loss caused by OBPH was significantly higher than that of SEN. On the other hand, all of the other toothpastes presented an intermediary abrasive potential between OBPH and SEN, and these other toothpastes presented just one type of abrasive in their composition. Therefore, other factors must be responsible for the abrasive potential of the toothpastes studied, since the association of abrasives alone cannot explain our findings. The abrasiveness of toothpaste depends not only on the chemical compound but also on the amount of the substance, particle size, shape, surface, structure of the particle, chemical interaction with other ingredients and the pH of the product.\textsuperscript{22,23} However, this information is not made available, thereby making it difficult to attribute the abrasive potential of the toothpastes to any specific abrasive agent in their formulation.

Detergents have also been involved in the abrasive potential of toothpastes. Moore and Addy\textsuperscript{3} showed that detergents can modulate the effect of abrasives in dentin wear in a way that may reflect the rheological properties of the mixture. The only detergent present in all toothpaste formulations studied was sodium lauryl sulphate, which is an anionic detergent. According to these authors, this class of detergent is the most aggressive in terms of abrasiveness; however, because all the toothpastes had this detergent, sodium lauryl sulphate could not be singled out as solely responsible for the differences in the surface losses observed. Therefore, the hypothesis that potential for dentin wear resulted from the association of this detergent with other components of the toothpastes seemed more feasible.

In performing the initial analysis to determine the biological influence of the toothpastes on oral soft tissues, it was decided that the effect of these materials on the viability of oral gingival fibroblasts should be studied. In fact, cell culture studies often supply information about cellular response to the products being tested. Although the cell culture findings cannot be directly applied to \textit{in vivo} tissues, the results obtained \textit{in vitro} can be used as the basis for other studies, such as experimental animal models.

Among the seven toothpastes evaluated, only one (SEN) proved low-cytotoxic, whereas the others were classified as highly cytotoxic, considering that the cell viabilities were lower than 50%. This decrease in cell viability can be attributed to the effect of components of the toothpastes, whether alone or combined. In fact, some studies have already demonstrated that these toothpaste components were responsible for cultured cell death.\textsuperscript{24,25} The main component associated with the adverse effects of the toothpastes studied was more likely to be the sodium lauryl sulphate present in all formulations, because this anionic detergent can cause adverse effects on cells, as a consequence of its ionic properties, responsible for initiating the process of cell death.
death. However, all the toothpastes studied presented this detergent in their formulations, and only SEN proved to be biocompatible. This toothpaste probably presents a lower concentration of this detergent. In fact, it produces less foam, which could be attributed to the low amount of detergent in its formulation. Corroborating this hypothesis, Neppelberg et al. have already shown a direct correlation between sodium lauryl sulphate concentration and cell death in epithelial cells.

Another component that has been associated with the cytotoxicity of oral mucosa is triclosan, an active ingredient used in toothpastes, which presents antibacterial activity and an anti-plaque effect. In the toothpastes studied, only CT12 and SEN presented this antiseptic in their formulation. These toothpastes presented a significantly different cytotoxic effect; SEN was biocompatible, whereas CT12 was highly cytotoxic. An in vitro study that evaluated the toxicology of triclosan at the cellular level showed that this ingredient damages the integrity of the plasma membrane, and apparently induces cell death by apoptosis. Moreover, the abovementioned authors observed that the combination of triclosan with NaF or Zn citrate increased the cytotoxic potential of the triclosan. This can explain the high toxicity of the CT12 group, because the combination of triclosan and NaF was present only in this toothpaste.

The results of the present study support the concerns about the use of any kind of toothpaste by the elderly population. The present study showed that there are wide-ranging brands and prices of toothpastes, some of which may be cytotoxic and cause different levels of dentine wear. Differences in the formulations of these toothpastes may be responsible for these effects; however, the manufactures do not inform the composition of toothpastes in detail. This makes it difficult to indicate the most appropriate toothpaste for each clinical case. In fact, for children, the major concern resides more in the amount of toothpaste than in its composition. Toothpastes for children have fluoride in their composition and dentists should recommend only a small amount of these toothpastes, because an excessive amount of fluoride is known to be highly harmful to health. However, in dealing with the elderly, the knowledge of the physical and biological effects of toothpastes is of relevance for most correctly indicating the least harmful toothpaste.

Considering the limitations of this study, we concluded that only one (SEN) of the seven toothpastes tested exhibited the most desirable toothpaste characteristics for the worldwide growing elderly population (e.g. low-cytotoxicity and low abrasive potential). However, more studies must be conducted to confirm the results of this study, including clinical trials.
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