Abstract: We investigated the anti-caries effects of an experimental propolis varnish in vivo, and further tested its toxicity against fibroblasts. Fifty-six SPF female Wistar rats were infected with Streptococcus mutans UA159 (SM) and allocated into four groups (n = 14/group): G1, propolis varnish (15%/PV); G2, chitosan varnish (CV/vehicle); G3, gold standard (GS/Duraphat®); and G4, untreated. The animals received a single varnish application on their molars and were submitted to a high cariogenic challenge (Diet-2000, 56% sucrose, and 5% sucrose-added water, ad libitum) for 4 weeks. Total cultivable microbiota and SM were counted, and smooth-surface and sulcal caries were scored. PV, CV and GS cytotoxic effects were tested against fibroblasts. The data were analyzed using ANOVA with the Tukey-Kramer test (p ≤ 0.05). Total microbiota and SM counts did not differ among the treatments (p = 0.78), or in relation to the untreated group (p = 0.52). PV reduced development of smooth-surface enamel caries compared with the untreated group (p = 0.0018), with no significant difference from GS (p = 0.92); however, the PV effects were no longer observed when the dentin was affected. Neither PV nor GS prevented enamel sulcal lesion onset, but GS significantly reduced the severity of dentinal sulcal lesions (p < 0.0001). No significant difference was observed in fibroblast viability between PV and GS (p < 0.0001). In conclusion, PV prevented smooth-surface enamel caries and showed low cell toxicity. Nevertheless, due to the high cariogenic challenge, its effects were not sustained throughout the experiment. Further studies are encouraged to establish a protocol to sustain the long-term anti-caries activity of PV in the oral cavity.
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Introduction

Dental caries remains a major public health issue worldwide with high prevalence and significant social impact. It results from a demineralization process in which acidogenic and acidophilic bacteria embedded in a mature, well-arranged biofilm degrade the tooth substance, ultimately leading to cavitation.

Although several studies have related the participation of other bacteria in the pathogenesis of dental caries, Streptococcus mutans plays a central role in the development of cariogenic biofilms, mainly due to its acid-tolerant and
acidogenic characteristics. This microorganism uses dietary sucrose to synthesize extracellular polysaccharides (EPS), which are functional structures that mediate its adherence to the tooth surfaces. The carbohydrate fermentation process creates low-pH microenvironments that favor enamel and dentin demineralization.\(^6\)

Natural products are major sources of bioactive molecules and pharmaceutical leads, and have therefore contributed significantly to drug development.\(^7\) Among these, propolis is one such product that stands out for its biological properties, mainly as an antimicrobial, with direct application in dentistry.\(^8,9\) Its anti-caries mechanism of action is associated with inhibition of glucosyltransferases and downregulation of specific genes associated with stress survival and tolerance in \emph{S. mutans}.\(^10\) Moreover, some flavonoids, terpenoids, isoflavones and other phenolic acids contained in propolis were found to diminish \emph{S. mutans} acid production and tolerance.\(^10,11,12\)

Overall, a large number of biological activities have been attributed to different types of propolis, including antimicrobial, antifungal, antitumor, and others.\(^9\) Propolis type 12, from southeastern Brazil,\(^13\) demonstrated strong antibacterial effects and prevented caries development in rats.\(^14\) In addition, topical application of the ethanolic extract of other types of propolis was also found to effectively reduce the incidence and severity of carious lesions \emph{in vivo}.\(^14,15,16\) However, the biological effects of propolis may vary according to the geographical origin and chemical composition of the collected sample.\(^16\)

Varnishes are materials widely used in dentistry for the prevention of dental caries.\(^17\) Some active principles can be incorporated into these formulations to promote and prolong their anti-caries effects, including fluoride, with remineralizing action,\(^18\) and chlorhexidine, with antimicrobial action.\(^19\)

An experimental propolis-containing varnish was developed by our research group, as reported elsewhere.\(^20\) Our previous findings demonstrated that the experimental varnish has \emph{in vitro} antimicrobial activity against cariogenic bacteria, and showed a very satisfactory sustained release of propolis \emph{in vitro}.\(^20\) These data have encouraged us to investigate the anti-caries activity of this experimental formulation, using an animal model under a high cariogenic challenge. Herein, we investigated the anti-caries effects of the experimental propolis varnish in a rat model, and further tested its toxicity against fibroblasts, to provide enough information to perform clinical trials and eventually promote future clinical use.

## Methodology

### Animals

Fifty-six specific pathogen-free (SPF) female Wistar rats were obtained from CEMIB (Multidisciplinary Center for Biological Research, University of Campinas, SP, Brazil) and maintained at the animal facility of Piracicaba Dental School (Piracicaba, SP, Brazil). All procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards for the use and care of the animals. This study had the prior approval of the Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA, University of Campinas, SP, Brazil; protocol no. 3142-1).

### Experimental caries model

The rats were initially screened for the presence of indigenous \emph{S. mutans} on mitis salivarius agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, USA) and mitis salivarius agar plus bacitracin (MSB/Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, USA). This is a quality control of the SPF condition of the animals. The initial screening using MSA and MSB plates confirmed that all the animals were streptococci- and mutans streptococci-free. At the age of 21 days, the rats were infected with an actively growing overnight culture of \emph{S. mutans} UA159 using a cotton swab, and then fed pellet chow, Diet2000 with 56% sucrose,\(^21\) plus 5% sucrose in drinking water \emph{ad libitum}.\(^22\) At the age of 25 days, the animals were screened for the establishment of oral infection, by plating oral samples onto MSB agar plates. On the following day, the rats were randomly assigned to four groups (\(n = 14\)), anesthetized with chloral hydrate (440 mg/kg) and submitted to the following treatments: G1 – topical application of propolis varnish (PV) (type 12 propolis from southeastern Brazil\(^13\) - propolis ethanolic extract, 15%, w/v); G2 – topical application of chitosan varnish (CV) (varnish base, vehicle control); G3 – topical application of a gold-standard varnish (Duraphat\textsuperscript{®}, fluoride 2.26%, w/v, positive control); and G4 – untreated group.
De Luca MP, Freires IA, Gala-García A, Santos VR, Vale MP, Alencar SM et al. (negative control). The varnishes were applied on the occlusal surfaces of the molars using one microbrush per hemiarch. After topical application, the animals fasted for 2 hours and were kept in individual cages for four weeks. Diet2000, containing 56% of sucrose, and 5% sucrose-added sterile distilled water were provided ad libitum. The animals were weighed weekly, and their behavior and physical appearance were noted daily. At the end of the experiment, the animals were euthanized and submitted to microbiological analysis.

**Microbiological analysis**

The lower left jaw was aseptically dissected, suspended in 5.0 mL of sterile saline solution (0.9% NaCl, w/v), and sonicated using three 10-second pulses at 30W with 5-second intervals (Vibracell, Sonics & Material Inc.), in order to obtain the maximum recoverable viable counts. A spiral plater (Whitley Automatic Spiral Plater, DW Scientific®) was used to streak the suspensions onto blood agar (5% sheep blood) and mitis salivarius agar containing 100 µg/mL streptomycin sulfate (MSB, Sigma®), to determine the number of CFU/mL of total cultivable microorganisms and *S. mutans*, respectively.23 The counting of total cultivable microbiota was performed (i) to determine the proportion (%) of *S. mutans* in the oral cavity of the animals in relation to the other microorganisms, which is directly related to strain implantation on the tooth surfaces; (ii) to ensure that the animals from all the groups had a similar microbial load at the endpoint; (iii) to identify whether treatment significantly affects the indigenous total microbiota of the animals and could potentially cause a microbiological disturbance. Smooth-surface and sulcal caries and their severity (E, enamel lesion; Ds, slight dentinal caries; Dm, moderate dentinal caries – 3/4 of the dentin affected; Dx, extensive dentinal caries – all dentin affected) were scored according to Larson’s modification of Keyes’ system.24 Determining of the caries score was blinded by codification of the jaws and performed by one calibrated examiner (Intraclass Correlation Coefficient: 0.93, which indicates excellent intra-rater agreement).

**Statistical analysis**

Smooth-surface and sulcal caries scores were expressed as proportions of their maximum possible values (124 and 56, respectively).24 The data were submitted to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD (Honest Standard Deviation) pairwise comparison test on JMP version 3.1 software, with a 5% significance level.

**Results**

All the rats gained weight and remained apparently healthy and active throughout the experiment. The average weight gains among the individual groups of rats were not significantly different (P>0.05, data not shown).

As expected, no streptococci were detected in the animals prior to inoculation. The effects of the experimental PV and controls on the total oral microbiota and *S. mutans* (SM) counts of infected...
animals are expressed in Table 1. At the endpoint, the total microbiota and SM counts did not differ significantly among the treatments (p = 0.78), or in relation to the untreated group (p = 0.52), showing that microbial viability was not affected.

As seen in Table 2, PV reduced the development of smooth-surface enamel caries, as compared with the untreated group (p = 0.0018), with no significant difference from the GS (p = 0.92). Nevertheless, the anti-caries effects of PV that were no longer observed as smooth-surface carious lesions became more severe, that is, when the dentin was affected. There was no significant difference between PV and the untreated group (p = 0.34, 0.99 and 0.48, respectively), either for slight, moderate or extensive dentinal caries.

Neither the experimental nor the standard varnishes were able to prevent the onset of sulcal lesions in the enamel (Table 3). However, the GS significantly reduced the severity of the sulcal lesions, affecting dentin, as compared with the untreated group (p < 0.0001).

No macroscopic tissue changes or abnormalities were observed in the oral cavity of the animals throughout the whole experiment.

Toxicity assays were carried out in vitro to provide preliminary evidence on whether PV would pose a risk against host cells. As seen in Figures 1 and 2, no significant difference was observed in fibroblast viability between PV and the GS after 24-h or 48-h exposure, respectively (p < 0.0001).

Table 1. Effects of the treatment with experimental and control varnishes on total oral microbiota and Streptococcus mutans counts in rats submitted to a high cariogenic challenge for four weeks. The values are expressed as mean (standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total microbiota (CFU/mL) (M ± SD)</th>
<th>S. mutans (CFU/mL) (M ± SD)</th>
<th>% S. mutans (M ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propolis varnish</td>
<td>75.4 (7.8)a</td>
<td>37.6 (4.2)a</td>
<td>40.7 (11.3)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold standard*</td>
<td>43.5 (6.0)b</td>
<td>24.4 (3.7)c</td>
<td>46.2 (15.5)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan Varnish**</td>
<td>74.3 (8.1)a</td>
<td>38.7 (4.7)c</td>
<td>47.1 (7.8)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control***</td>
<td>48.4 (10.1)a</td>
<td>33.1 (8.0)a</td>
<td>40.4 (11.3)a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Duraphat®; **vehicle control; ***untreated group. Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences among treatments (One-way ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer HSD, p ≤ 0.05).

Table 2. Effects of the treatment with the experimental propolis varnish on the development and severity of smooth-surface caries in rats. The values of the of the caries scores are given as mean ± standard deviation using the Keyes’ classification modified by Larson.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>E (M ± SD)</th>
<th>Ds (M ± SD)</th>
<th>Dm (M ± SD)</th>
<th>Dx (M ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propolis varnish</td>
<td>19.8 (1.5)b</td>
<td>13.4 (5.2)c</td>
<td>1.4 (1.4)b</td>
<td>0.1 (0.3)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold standard*</td>
<td>16.5 (1.6)b</td>
<td>10.3 (4.6)c</td>
<td>0.1 (0.4)b</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan Varnish**</td>
<td>33.5 (1.6)b</td>
<td>19.8 (5.9)c</td>
<td>1.2 (1.4)b</td>
<td>0.1 (0.3)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control***</td>
<td>28.3 (1.5)b</td>
<td>16.5 (4.5)c</td>
<td>1.1 (1.0)c</td>
<td>0.3 (0.6)c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E: enamel caries; Ds: slight dentinal caries; Dm: moderate dentinal caries; Dx: extensive dentinal caries; *Duraphat®; **vehicle control, ***untreated group. Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences among treatments (One-way ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer HSD, p ≤ 0.05).

Table 3. Effects of the treatment with the experimental propolis varnish on the development and severity of sulcal caries in rats. The values of the of the caries scores are given as mean ± standard deviation using the Keyes’ classification modified by Larson.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>E (M ± SD)</th>
<th>Ds (M ± SD)</th>
<th>Dm (M ± SD)</th>
<th>Dx (M ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propolis varnish</td>
<td>43.2 (6.5)c</td>
<td>34.6 (6.7)c</td>
<td>14.6 (4.6)c</td>
<td>6.4 (1.6)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold standard*</td>
<td>35.6 (6.0)c</td>
<td>21.0 (5.7)c</td>
<td>4.9 (3.2)c</td>
<td>2.8 (1.9)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan Varnish**</td>
<td>46.5 (4.0)c</td>
<td>40.0 (5.9)c</td>
<td>20.1 (4.2)c</td>
<td>7.5 (2.5)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control***</td>
<td>41.6 (5.3)c</td>
<td>34.1 (6.4)c</td>
<td>15.4 (4.2)c</td>
<td>6.0 (2.4)c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E: enamel caries; Ds: slight dentinal caries; Dm: moderate dentinal caries; Dx: extensive dentinal caries; *Duraphat®; **vehicle control, ***untreated group. Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences among treatments (One-way ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer HSD, p ≤ 0.05).
**Discussion**

Propolis contains bioactive molecules in its composition, which may affect several targets in the human body, including those related to the onset of oral diseases. Greater scientific knowledge of the chemical composition of various types of propolis has helped envision their potential use and application in dental practice.8,9,26,27

Previous studies have reported the strong antimicrobial activity of propolis against several bacteria of the oral microbiome, including *S. mutans*.1,2,28,29,30,31 As confirmed in our study, propolis and some of its isolated compounds, such as apigenin and *tt*-farnesol,11 have been found to display a major effect on microbial virulence (e.g., polysaccharide production and acid tolerance), rather than affecting unspecific or specific microbiome viability, considered a highly desirable quality.

In our study, the experimental propolis-containing varnish was able to reduce the onset of smooth-surface caries in the enamel, similarly to the GS (Duraphat®). However, it was not effective in diminishing the severity of carious lesions affecting dentin, either on smooth-surface or sulcal areas. While this result may mean that the propolis varnish had no satisfactory antimicrobial activity to prevent advanced smooth-surface and sulcal caries, one should take into consideration that such an effect was evaluated only at one endpoint, when the material was probably no longer adhered to the tooth structure. Hence, it seems pertinent to note that the experimental propolis varnish was found to be more effective against early smooth-surface lesions under a high cariogenic challenge. The lack of a residual effect confirms that propolis activity occurs while it is in direct contact with the teeth. Hence, repeated and prolonged applications of this new product could reduce the virulence of cariogenic bacteria, and thus achieve effective anti-caries activity. Further studies should also focus on the incorporation of isolated anti-caries compounds (e.g., apigenin, *tt*-farnesol) into the varnish matrix.

Other studies have investigated the anti-caries effects of propolis extracts using this same animal model.10,15,16,23,32 In these studies, ethanolic extracts of propolis, or its bioactive fractions, were applied...
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topically to the molars of rats twice a day for a period of 4 to 5 weeks. Overall, the authors observed anti-caries activity similar to that of Duraphat®. This result suggests that the continuing presence of propolis in the oral cavity enabled more effective antimicrobial activity, leading to a significant decrease in the severity of carious lesions.

The experimental propolis varnish was not cytotoxic against fibroblasts or osteoblasts, as previously reported, and showed no difference in comparison with the GS Duraphat®. This suggests that application of propolis varnish in intimate contact with the oral tissues is not potentially harmful to host cells.

Chitosan is a biocompatible and biodegradable polymeric material with non-toxic and antimicrobial properties. Chitosan of medium molecular weight was used as a vehicle during preparation of the experimental varnish. Our results showed that the chitosan varnish (no active principle included) became inert as the severity of dental caries increased. This may be due to the molecular weight of chitosan used in the formulation, which is different from that generally used in other studies (using low molecular weight) to test the antimicrobial activity of dental products. Changes in the molecular weight of the chitosan used may have precluded polymeric film formation, which is necessary to mediate product adhesion to the teeth.

Fluoride, considered the GS in caries prevention, has little antimicrobial effects on the synthesis of glucans and acid tolerance in S. mutans, and its mechanism of action is based on the physicochemical dynamics of ions in order to keep the integrity of the enamel hydroxyapatite mineral content. Fluoride prevents the onset of dental caries by reducing demineralization and enhancing remineralization of incipient lesions. Duraphat® is a fluoride-containing varnish, and was used as positive control in this experiment due to its proven effects in controlling dental caries. This varnish did not interfere with the total microbiota and SM counts – as expected, because of fluoride mechanism of action – but it significantly reduced the severity of carious lesions. Concerning smooth-surface enamel caries, there was no difference in the preventive effects of the experimental varnish versus the GS.

Further studies are now needed to establish an efficacious protocol to sustain long-term antimicrobial activity of the propolis varnish, particularly to prevent advanced dentinal carious lesions under a high cariogenic challenge.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for the scholarship, and wish to thank André Augusto Gomes Faraco and Jucara Ribeiro Franca for manufacturing the propolis varnish, and Eliane Franco and José Carlos for their technical support, as well as Bruna Benso, Carina Denny, Laila Facin, Lívia Galvão, Camila Batista, Luiz Eduardo Ferreira, and Cleiton P. Santos for their valuable help in the experiments.

References


The anti-caries activity and toxicity of an experimental propolis-containing varnish


