Effect of rewetting solutions on micropush-out dentin bond strength of new bioceramic endodontic material

Abstract: To evaluate the influence of rewetting solutions on bond strength to root dentin of conventional gutta-percha (GP) or niobium phosphate glass-based gutta-percha (GNb) associated with a bioceramic sealer. The root canals of 80 human mandibular premolars were prepared using nickel-titanium instruments and irrigation with sodium hypochlorite and EDTA. The teeth were randomly divided into four groups according to the gutta-percha used: GNb or GP associated with EndoSequence BC Sealer (BC) and the solution for rewetting dentin before filling (distilled water; phosphate buffer saline solution – PBS; simulated body fluid – SBF; or no solution). The root canals were filled with a single cone using warm vertical condensation. Micropush-out bond strengths associated with the filling materials in slices from middle root thirds was determined 30 days after root filling. The failure mode was analyzed with stereoscopic lens. The data were statistically analyzed by two-way ANOVA and Holm-Sidak test (p < 0.05). There was significant difference in the types of gutta-percha (p < 0.001) and in the different rewetting solutions (p = 0.003). The interaction between gutta-percha and rewetting solutions was not significant (p = 0.53). The SBF solution provided an increase in bond strength for both gutta-percha solutions. The GNb+BC (3.42 MPa) association increased bond strength when compared with GP+BC (2.0 MPa). The use of SBF as a dentin rewetting solution increased bond strength in the groups studied. Association of GNb with bioceramic sealer was beneficial, increasing the bond strength to dentin when compared with the association with GP.
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Introduction

The root canal filling stage is an important step for the success of endodontic treatment. In addition to efficiently sealing the root canal system, the filling must not interfere, but preferably stimulate, apical and periapical repair after treatment.1 Bioactive glasses associated with polyisoprene, polycaprolactone, or gutta-percha have been presented as an alternative to conventional endodontic filling, by effectively filling the root canal, even in a humid environment without the use of any sealer.2 These new composites generally
contain bioactive glasses capable of releasing ionic species and forming a precipitate, hydroxyapatite, allowing for better sealing by inducing dentin remineralization, in addition to inhibiting microbial biofilm formation.2,3,4,5,6,7

At present, there are also bioceramic filling sealers on the market. These have been gaining attention because they have greater advantages over the cements considered to be gold standard.8,9,10 They are biocompatible, atoxic, do not undergo volumetric shrinkage, are chemically stable, have bioactive potential, and are less cytotoxic and genotoxic,8,9,10,11 and have adequate bonding to root dentin.12 These sealers require a certain amount of dentin moisture to set and achieve their best bond strength results.12 Nevertheless, there are still no studies relating the combined use of bioceramic sealers and bioactive gutta-percha and their effects on the bond strength to dentin.

The bioactive potential of biomaterials is tested in vitro using a simulated body fluid (SBF) solution that simulates the organic portion of human blood plasma.13 In endodontics, however, there is no standard solution for testing bioceramic materials, or any studies that determine what would be the best solution for in vitro simulation of natural dentin moisture in vivo for endodentically treated teeth. Some authors have questioned the use of SBF because it is supersaturated; this may generate false-positive bioactivity results, and they recommend other, i.e. less saturated, types of solutions, such as PBS.5,14

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of rewetting dentin with several solutions on the bond strength of a conventional and an experimental bioactive niobium phosphate glass-based composite and gutta-percha (GNb) associated with a bioceramic sealer.

The null hypothesis was that the different rewetting solutions do not influence the bond strength of conventional gutta-percha and GNb associated with a bioceramic sealer.

Methodology

Niobium phosphate glass were prepared according to the previously described protocol.6,15 The gutta-percha in powder form (Odous de Deus, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) was placed in a composite chamber for mixing with niobium phosphate glass at increasing concentrations up to a limit of 30% by the glass-to-polymer ratio and the resultant material was inserted into an extruder (HAAKE MiniLab micro compounder, Newington, USA). The final mixture was rolled manually to give it a convenient shape for use in endodontic filling, that is, in pellets and points (accessory sizes and medium and fine medium points).6

SBF preparation

The SBF was prepared according to the previously described protocol.16 During SBF preparation, the solution remained colorless and left no deposits in the container. SBF was stored in a plastic container and kept in a refrigerator at 4ºC.

Root canal preparation and filling

After the research protocol was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee (CAEE 36709714.3.0000.5084), 80 human mandibular premolars extracted for various reasons were selected. The teeth were subjected to periapical radiographic exams to verify the presence of a single canal; complete rhizogenesis; absence of root caries; localized or diffused calcification; resorptions; or previous endodontic treatment. After removing organic matter from the root surfaces, the teeth were stored for 1 week in flasks containing 0.1% thymol solution at 4ºC for microbiological control.

The teeth were sectioned perpendicularly to the long axis, immediately below the cementoenamel junction, with a double-faced flexible diamond disc (KG, Medical Burs Ind. e Com. de Pontas e Brocas Cirúrgicas Ltda, Cotia, Brazil) fitted to a straight handpiece coupled to an LB 100 bench micromotor (Beltec, Araraquara, Brazil). The working length was determined for each tooth by introducing an endodontic instrument type K #10 (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) into the root canal until the file tip was visualized in the apical foramen, with the aid of a stereoscopic lens at 25x magnification (Baush, Lomb, Rochester, USA), and subtracting 1 mm from the obtained measurement.

The canals were emptied with type K #15 files (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) in the
presence of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (Asfer Indústria Química Ltda., São Caetano do Sul, Brazil). The root canals were prepared with reciprocating kinematic movements of the VDW Silver motor (VDW, Munich, Germany), R40 files (VDW, Munich, Germany), and 10 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite, at pH 11. After that, the dentin was chemically treated with 1 mL of 17% EDTA for 1 minute and final irrigation with 5 mL of distilled water. In order to standardize the remaining dentin moisture, the canals were dried with two R40 absorbent paper points (VDW, Munich, Germany).

After chemomechanical preparation, the 80 roots were randomly divided into eight experimental groups (n = 10) according to the type of gutta-percha used - experimental niobium phosphate glass-based gutta-percha (GNb) or conventional gutta-percha (GP - Gutta-Percha Reciproc R40, VDW, Munich, Germany), both associated with EndoSequence BC Sealer (BC - Brasseler USA, Savannah, USA) and with the solution for rewetting dentin before filling - distilled water – DW; phosphate buffer saline solution – PBS; simulated body fluid – SBF; or no solution – control). The canals were irrigated with 0.1 mL of the rewetting solution, following the protocol for each group, and excess moisture was removed with one R40 absorbent paper point to keep the dentin wet. For the control group, in which no rewetting solution was used, the canals were dried after irrigation with EDTA and distilled water by using R40 absorbent paper points, as previously described (normal moisture condition12).

The root canals were filled with a single cone using warm vertical condensation by varying the gutta-percha and rewetting solutions in each of the previously described groups. The sealer was manipulated in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. After filling, all specimens were stored in an oven at 37ºC, in an environment with 100% moisture for 30 days.

**Micropush-out bond strengths**

After the storage period, the roots were cross-sectioned in a cutting machine (Isomet Low Speed Saw, Buehler, Lake Bluff, USA) with a 0.4-mm thick diamond disc under constant cooling, and the most apical and cervical slices were discarded. After these four slices 2.0 mm thick were obtained from each root. The thickness of slices was measured with a digital caliper with a precision of 0.01 mm (Mitutoyo MTI Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Digital images of both sides of the slices were captured by using a stereoscopic lens at 20X magnification. The apical and cervical diameters were measured with the use of Image J software (National Institute of Health, Maryland, USA, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

The cervical surface of each test specimen was placed on the support coupled to the base of the universal testing machine (EMIC, Instron Brasil Equipamentos Científicos Ltda, São José dos Pinhais, Brazil). Thus, the apical side faced towards a stainless steel cylindrical punch fixed to the 50-kgf load cell. The post diameter was selected so that it could be 0.2 mm smaller than the apical diameter of the slice, in order to prevent it from touching the dentin walls during the test. The test was performed at a speed of 0.5 mm/minute until complete extrusion of the filling, recorded by the abrupt drop in the value of the applied load.

Using the load value in Kgf recorded after the tests, the bond strength values (MPa) were calculated by dividing the maximum force necessary to displace the filling material in Newtons (N) by the area of the bond interface (BS=F/A). Where:

\[
A = \pi(R + r)\sqrt{h^2 + (R - r)^2}
\]

A corresponded to the specimen’s surface area; \(\pi\) is the constant 3.1416; \(R\) is the largest radius of the cone; \(r\) is the smallest radius of the cone, and \(h\) is the slice thickness.17

**Failure mode analysis**

After the test, the slices were longitudinally cleaved in the buccolingual direction by using a chisel and hammer; and the root segments were examined with a stereoscopic lens (SZ61, Olympus America Inc., City, USA) at 40X magnification to measure the percentage of residual filling material in dentin. The interface area was classified into adhesive, when there was over 75% dentin free of filling material; cohesive, when there was less than 25%; and mixed, when there was between 25% and 75% of exposed dentin.18
Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the SigmaPlot software 13 (SigmaPlot 13.0; Systat Software Inc., San Jose, USA). Data normality was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and homogeneity by Levene’s test. Two-way ANOVA was used to analyze the micropush-out bond strength, considering the gutta-percha and rewetting solutions as the main factors. The Holm-Sidak test for significant difference was used to compare individual data, and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

The averages and standard deviations of micropush-out bond strengths (MPa) are shown in Figure 1. The two-way ANOVA did not detect statistically significant differences for the interaction between gutta-percha and the rewetting solutions ($p = 0.53$). There was significant difference for the gutta-percha ($p < 0.001$) and rewetting solutions ($p = 0.003$). The GNB increased bond strength when compared to GP ($p < 0.001$). Regarding the rewetting solutions, SBF had the highest bond strength when compared to the other groups (PBS, DW, and control). The PBS, DW and control groups were similar to each other. The failure mode distributions under all tested conditions are depicted in Figure 2. Cohesive fracture predominated in all groups (Figures 3–5).

Discussion

This study revealed that the groups in which the SBF rewetting solution was used showed the highest bond strength. Furthermore, the association of experimental bioactive gutta-percha (GNb) with BC Sealer (BC) showed higher bond strength when compared with those of the association with conventional gutta-percha (GP); thus, the null hypothesis was rejected.

In a previous study, experimental GNb showed self-adhesiveness to the surface when the dentin was rewetted with SBF without the use of sealer, showing a similar performance to that of the group in which AH Plus was combined with conventional gutta-percha, suggesting the experimental material had a self-adhesive capacity originating from the bioactive potential of the niobium phosphate glass associated with gutta-percha. Previously reported data related to another experimental material (Bio-Gutta), with the addition of 45S5 bioglass to gutta-percha, presented similar bond strength values when also evaluated by the micropush-out test after 30 days in PBS. The mechanism of action that enables Bio-Gutta and GNb materials to bond to dentin is, however, still questionable, and requires further studies.

Figure 1. Mean bond strength (MPa) values for the evaluated gutta-percha and rewetting solutions.
At present, there are no studies in the literature evaluating the bond strength of the combined use of bioceramic sealer and bioactive gutta-percha. Association of these materials in this study was shown to be beneficial. The authors could hypothesize that there was some interaction between the two materials, due to the fact that the silicate-based sealers presented high levels of bioactivity,\textsuperscript{19} and the experimental gutta-percha in question also had bioactive potential,\textsuperscript{6} which could have been reinforced by precipitate formation at the dentin/sealer and sealer/cone interfaces, increasing bond strength.

\textbf{Figure 2.} Failure mode distribution per group, expressed in percentage (%).

\textbf{Figure 3.} Failure mode classified as adhesive.

\textbf{Figure 4.} Failure mode classified as mixed.
Also, the incorporation of bioactive glasses at a high concentration (around 30% w/v) could increase the intrinsic strength of the material, contributing to the increase in bond strength to dentin obtained with the GNb and sealer association. The addition of niobium phosphate glass to gutta-percha could also increase the radiopacity of the material.

The bioactivity of biomaterials is generally tested in vitro, using an SBF solution that simulates the inorganic portion of human blood plasma, with similar ionic concentrations maintained under the same pH and temperature conditions. SBF reacts with the bioactive glass surface, forming a hydroxyapatite layer responsible for the interactions within the hard and soft tissues that promotes a chemical bond of the biomaterial to bone. In endodontics, however, there is no standard solution for testing bioceramic materials in vitro.

Nevertheless, some studies have shown that the use of phosphate-containing solutions may promote the precipitation of carbonated apatites and amorphous calcium phosphates in calcium silicate-based cements, indicating bioactivity of the material. This carbonated apatite represents the biological apatite phases that are found in bone, cement, and dentin.

In the present study, the use of different rewetting solutions simulated the conditions of dentin moisture. Under in vivo conditions, the root dentin of endodontically treated teeth has a moisture level of 12.1%, and this moisture is perhaps insufficient to promote the bioactivity of materials within the root canal. Reys-Carmona et al. suggested the use of PBS as intracanal medication to promote biomineralization of MTA apical plugs in the interior portion of root canals, since the biomineralization of MTA can only be achieved in direct contact with the periodontium and dentin.

In the same way, the results of the present study showed that the use of SBF for rewetting dentin promoted the highest bond strength when compared with other less saturated solutions, such as PBS, distilled water, and with no type of solution. From a clinical perspective, it would perhaps be interesting if, together with the bioceramic filling material, the manufacturer supplied a flask of sterile rewetting solution with a composition similar to that of SBF. According to Marending et al., SBF has been pointed out to be a supersaturated solution in comparison with hydroxyapatite, so that the precipitates formed on the dentin surface may arise from the solution and not from the bioactivity of the material. The author recommends the use of calcium-free PBS for rewetting root dentin.

The biomineralization process may be responsible for the increase in the marginal sealing of MTA apical plugs over time, after immersion in PBS. Along the same line, various studies have shown an increase in resistance to the displacement of MTA from root dentin under wet dentin conditions and that the biomineralization process positively influences the bond strength to push-out of MTA cements in PBS. The authors could hypothesize that, in the present study, SBF promoted a higher degree of bioactivity because it was more supersaturated than the other solutions used; and that the precipitate formed may have influenced the interaction of the filling materials with root dentin, potentiating the bond strength of this bond interface.

While, for some conventional sealers, an environment with excessive moisture appears to be a problem, leaving the root canal wet before filling it with...
bioactive materials was shown to be beneficial. However, more important than the moisture of the root canal is the type of solution, which is more critical in terms of bond strength, as demonstrated by the present study.

Standardization of rewetting solutions for testing bioceramic materials in vitro in endodontics is important and must be further investigated. The association of bioceramic materials appears to be beneficial; however, further studies must be conducted to evaluate this interaction and verify the real mechanism of action between the different interfaces of the filling.

Conclusion

The use of SBF as a dentin rewetting solution increased bond strength in the studied groups. The association of bioactive niobium phosphate gutta-percha with bioceramic sealer was beneficial, increasing bond strength to dentin when compared with the association with conventional gutta-percha.

References

Effect of rewetting solutions on micropush-out dentin bond strength of new bioceramic endodontic material


