Inorganic filler content of resin-based luting agents and the color of ceramic veneers

Abstract: The influence of inorganic filler content of resin-based luting agents (RBLAs) on color change (ΔE₀₀), CIEL*a*b* (individual color coordinates), and translucency parameters (TP) of simulated ceramic laminate veneer (CLV) was investigated. RBLAs with low, intermediate, and high inorganic filler content (55%, 65%, and 75% mass fractions, respectively) were prepared. Feldspar ceramic (Vitablocs Mark II) specimens (1.2 mm × 0.8 mm, A1C shade) were bonded to simulated composite resin substrates (1.6 mm × 1.2 mm, A2D shade) using three experimental and a commercial (RelyX Veneer) RBLA (translucent shade). The ΔE₀₀ was calculated by CIEDE2000 color difference metric under three conditions (before, immediately after, and 24 h after luting). The TP was calculated using CIEL*a*b* color coordinates measured over white and black backgrounds. Surface morphology of the RBLAs was analyzed. One-way and two-way analyses of variance with a post-hoc Tukey’s test were used respectively to calculate TP, CIEL*a*b* coordinates, and ΔE₀₀ (α= 0.05). Overall, the tested RBLAs presented clinically visible ΔE₀₀ values under the three conditions evaluated. For all RBLAs, higher ΔE₀₀ values were observed between measurements obtained before and immediately after luting. Different inorganic filler content did not significantly increase the opacity of the ceramic-luting agents-resin composite set. The variation in inorganic filler content did not influence significantly the TP of simulated CLV; although all of the experimental RBLAs tested yielded ΔE₀₀ above the perceptibility threshold. The L*, a*, and b* individual color coordinates were cementation-dependent.
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Introduction

Restorative procedures involving ceramic laminate veneers (CLVs) offer minimum removal of dental structure and proper reestablishing of dental aesthetic and anatomic patterns.⁴ Several all-ceramic systems are currently available for fabrication of CLVs. Among these, feldspar ceramic is widely used because of its significant aesthetic and optical properties attributed to high content of vitreous phase in its composition.⁵ However, this material presents limited mechanical strength⁶ and its clinical success is based on proper quality of the interfacial bond between ceramic and dental substrate. The quality of this bonding depends on...
the adhesive procedures that are controlled in part by ceramic surface treatment and the materials used for adhesive cementation, including the resin-based luting agent (RBLA).5,6

The influence of the shade2,29 and color stability of light or dual-cured RBLA on the final color of thin ceramic restorations has been widely studied. Studies also have suggested that the inorganic filler content of RBLAs can influence their adequate penetration into the grooves produced by ceramic surface treatment, acting on quality and durability of the adhesive interface.6,17,18 Studies have investigated the influence of resin composite inorganic filler content on restoration brightness,19 elastic moduli on ceramic strengthening,19,20 shape of filler, and particle size on color change of resin composite restorations,21,22,23,24,25,26 however, there is a lack of studies on the role of inorganic filler content of the luting agent on the final color of CLV.

Since the color of thin CLV can be affected by several factors and achieving natural tooth-like restoration is still one of the greatest challenges for restorative dentistry,8,10,27,28,29,30 this study evaluated the influence of low, intermediate, and high barium borosilicate inorganic filler content (55%, 65%, and 75% of mass fraction, respectively) of experimental resin luting agent on the translucency parameter (TP), color change (ΔE00), and CIEL*a*b* individual color coordinates of simulated CLVs. The hypotheses tested were that the higher inorganic filler content had a larger effect on the optical properties (ΔE00 and TP) of simulated CLV.

**Methodology**

**Study design**

This in vitro study evaluated the influence of inorganic filler content of experimental RBLAs on the color of CLVs. RBLAs with low (55 wt%), intermediate (65 wt%), or high (75 wt%) filler loading were evaluated. The commercial resin luting agent RelyX Veneer (3M ESPE; St Paul, MN, USA) was tested as a reference, with 66 wt% fraction of inorganic filler content. All luting agents were translucent in shade. The response variables tested were ΔE00 and TP (n=10) based on CIEL*a*b* color coordinates measured with a spectrophotometer (Easyshade Advanced 4.0; Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany).

The feldspar ceramic CAD/CAM blocks (I14 A1 Vitablocs Mark II for Cerec; Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany) were evaluated over a simulated dental substrate (A2D shade) and the ΔE00 was calculated by CIEDE2000 color difference metric under three conditions: (i) (B × IL), before (without luting agent) versus immediately after luting; (ii) (B × IW) before versus 24 h after luting and water immersion; and (iii) (IL × WI) immediately after luting versus 24 h in water immersion. The TP was calculated using CIEL*a*b* color coordinates measured over black and white backgrounds.

**Formulation of experimental resin-based luting agents**

The experimental RBLAs were formulated by combining 50% of mass fraction of urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA) and triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA) (Esstech Inc.; Essington, PA, USA). For the luting agents, 0.4% mass fraction of camphorquinone (Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as photosensitizer, and 0.8% mass fraction of ethyl 4-dimethylaminobenzoate (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as coinitiator (Table 1). The monomers and mass fractions of the luting agents were defined in pilot studies. Therefore, three different RBLAs were prepared using different inorganic filler contents: 55 wt% (low), 65 wt% (intermediate), and 75 wt% (high). Barium borosilicate glass particles (2 μm average size) coated with 1% mass fraction of silane coupling agent (V-119-4120; Essington, PA, USA) were used as inorganic filler content. The materials were mechanically mixed using a centrifugal mixer (SpeedMixer DAC150; FlackTek, Landrum, SC, USA) at 1500 rpm during 20 s to produce homogeneous materials.19

A light-cured RBLA (RelyX Veneer; 3M ESPE), widely employed for the adhesive cementation of CLV, was used as commercial reference. This luting agent presents 66 wt% fraction of inorganic filler content according to the manufacturer,31 which is similar to the intermediate experimental luting agent tested.
Preparation of the feldspar ceramic specimens

Feldspar ceramic CAD/CAM blocks were milled under water cooling originating a cylindrical shape (12 mm in diameter x 18 mm in thickness). The cylinders were cut into 0.8-mm thickness discs (n=10/group) using a diamond saw (Diamond Blade 5” x 0.015” x 0.5”, Lapmaster Wolters International, Mt. Prospect, USA) under water cooling (Isomet1000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), simulating monolayer restorations. All discs had both sides manually wet-polished using 600 and 1200-grit SiC papers (Norton SA, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil). The final dimensions of each specimen were measured using a digital caliper with 0.001-mm accuracy (Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan).

Preparation of simulated dental substrate

Cylinders (12 mm in diameter x 18 mm in thickness) from dentin resin composite shade A2 (Llis, FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil) were fabricated incrementally using polydimethylsiloxane molds (Clonage; New DFL, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil), simulating monolayer restorations. All discs had both sides sequentially manually wet-polished using 600 and 1200-grit SiC papers (Norton SA, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil). The final dimensions of each specimen were measured using a digital caliper with 0.001-mm accuracy (Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan).

Luting procedures for cementation of ceramic discs to simulated dental substrate

The polished ceramic disc surfaces were etched with 10% hydrofluoric acid for 60 s (Condac Porcelain 10%; FGM), washed for 60 s, and dried with water and oil-free compressed air for 30 s. For cleaning, the ceramic and resin composite discs were etched with 37% phosphoric acid (Condac 37; FGM) for 30 s and washed and dried as previously described. Two layers of silane coupling agent (RelyX Ceramic Primer; 3M ESPE) were applied to ceramic discs using a microbrush (Cavibrush regular, FGM), and after 60 s, they were dried with compressed air for 30 s and a thin layer of adhesive Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE) was applied.

For each group, a standard volume of the RBLA, sufficient to cover the surface of the ceramic discs, was applied to the center, and two matrix strips were lightly pressed to extrude the luting agent and create a film thickness between 100 to 250 μm. The ceramic discs were luted to resin composite discs with the different RBLAs tested. The resin composite disc was centrally orientated on a leveled loading platform and its top ceramic surface was loaded with 750 gF for 2 min. Luting agent excess was removed using a microbrush (Cavibrush regular, FGM) and light-cured for 40 s at all interfaces, and four groups were originated according to the RBLA used. The specimens were dry-stored at 37°C for 24 h in lightproof containers.

Due to its high viscosity, the experimental luting agent loaded with high inorganic filler content was heated to increase its wettability on the ceramic surface prior to cementation. The luting agent was heated up to the maximum temperature of 60 °C for 30 min to avoid monomer conversion.

Table 1. Resin-based luting agent compositions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Luting agents</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Shade</th>
<th>Monomer composition</th>
<th>Filler composition</th>
<th>Filler (weight/%)</th>
<th>Polymerization (cure/composition)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>RelyX Veneer (3M ESPE)</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td>BisGMA/TEGDMA</td>
<td>Zi-Si (0.6μm)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>Light / not informed by the manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td>UDMA / TEGDMA</td>
<td>Ba-Bo-Si (2μm)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>Light / camphorquinone/EDAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td>UDMA / TEGDMA</td>
<td>Ba-Bo-Si (2μm)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>Light / camphorquinone/EDAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Translucent</td>
<td>UDMA / TEGDMA</td>
<td>Ba-Bo-Si (2μm)</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Light / camphorquinone/EDAB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Evaluation of $\Delta E_{00}$ and CIEL*a*b* color coordinates

The $\Delta E_{00}$ was estimated by calculating the CIEDE2000 color variation between the feldspar ceramic bonded to simulated dental substrate discs ($n=40$) using the experimental and commercial RBLAs tested, under three conditions: $B \times IL$, $B \times IW$, and $IL \times WII$ according to the following equation:\(^{28}\)

$$\Delta E_{00} = \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta L'}{K_{LSL}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta C'}{K_{SCS}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta H'}{K_{SHS}} \right)^2 + R_T (\Delta C'/K_{SCS}) (\Delta H'/K_{SHS}) \right]^{1/2}$$

where $\Delta L'$, $\Delta C'$, and $\Delta H'$ are the differences in lightness, chroma, and hue between two sets of color coordinates. $R_T$ is the rotation function that accounts for the interaction between chroma and hue differences in the blue region. $S_L$, $S_C$, and $S_H$ are the weighting functions used to adjust the total color difference for variation in perceived magnitude with variation at the location of the color coordinate difference between two color readings. $K_L$, $K_C$, and $K_H$ are the correction terms for the experimental conditions. The perceptibility and acceptability thresholds were set at $\Delta E_{00} = 0.8$ and $\Delta E_{00} = 1.8$, respectively.\(^{38}\) All measurements were made using glycerin as liquid coupling medium between the background and the specimens.\(^{38}\)

Evaluation of TP

The TP of the ceramic-luting agent-resin composite set was estimated by the difference between CIEL*a*b* color coordinates measured over a white background ($L^* = 90.9$, $a^* = 0.3$, $b^* = 4.9$) and a black background ($L^* = 0.5$, $a^* = 14.6$, $b^* = -21.5$) using the following equation:\(^{28}\)

$$TP = \left[ (L_{w}-L_{b})^2 + (a_{w}-a_{b})^2 + (b_{w}-b_{b})^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

where subscript $W$ and subscript $B$ refer to the color coordinates measured on the white and black backgrounds. All measurements were also made using glycerin as liquid coupling medium.\(^{39}\)

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

One disc for each group ($6 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$) was prepared to evaluate the surface morphology of all luting agents.\(^{40}\) The specimens were embedded in epoxy resin (Redelease, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), ultrasonically cleaned with distilled water for 30 min, dried at 37°C, and polished using 600, 1200, 2000, and 2500-grit SiC abrasive papers, followed by diamond suspensions (Polycrystalline Diamond Suspension, Buehler, Uzwil, Switzerland) of 3 and 1 µm. After that they were cleaned, dried, sputter-coated with gold-palladium, and examined using SEM (SSX-550, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). The images were obtained at ×500 and ×3000 magnifications.

Statistical analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the normality of data. One-way and two-way analyses of variances with a post-hoc Tukey's test were used respectively to calculate TP and $\Delta E_{00}$ (conditions and groups) CIEL*a*b* individual color coordinate values were analyzed between groups using one-way analyses of variance with a post-hoc Tukey's test ($\alpha= 0.05$). Confidence intervals for the means (95%CI) were also calculated.

Results

$\Delta E_{00}$

Table 2 shows the results of $\Delta E_{00}$ for comparisons between groups and conditions. All experimental RBLAs yielded $\Delta E_{00}$ values above 0.8 under the three conditions, which is the perceptibility threshold color difference for the CIEDE2000 method.\(^{38}\) For all RBLAs, significantly higher and lower $\Delta E_{00}$ values were observed under the $B \times IL$ and $IL \times WI$ conditions, respectively ($p < 0.001$). Statistically significant differences were found for group comparisons ($p = 0.039$) under the three conditions; RelyX Veneer showed significantly lower $\Delta E_{00}$ values under the $IL \times WI$ condition; while under the $B \times IL$ and $B \times IW$ conditions, the High group yielded significantly higher $\Delta E_{00}$ values.

CIEL* a* b* color coordinates

Table 3 shows the results for the CIEL*a*b* color coordinates ($L^*$, $a^*$, and $b^*$) measured three times for all groups. There was no statistically significant
A significant difference was observed in coordinates L* (p = 0.001), a* (p = 0.001), and b* (p = 0.042).

Significant differences in L* values were observed between groups immediately after and 24 h after luting (p < 0.001). The lowest L* values were found for the Low group 24 h after luting (84.0); and the highest L* values were found 24 h after luting for the commercial reference group (87.0).

Regarding a* and b* coordinates, statistically significant differences were observed, respectively

Table 2. Means (standard deviations) for color change (∆E<sub>00</sub>) for the comparisons of luting agents under different conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Luting agent</th>
<th>B × IL</th>
<th>B × IW</th>
<th>IL × WI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RelyX Veneer</td>
<td>3.33 (1.52) B, a</td>
<td>2.74 (1.20) B, b</td>
<td>0.85 (0.27) B, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3.40 (0.71) B, a</td>
<td>2.60 (1.14) B, b</td>
<td>1.42 (0.34) A, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>3.50 (1.27) B, a</td>
<td>2.59 (0.94) B, b</td>
<td>1.47 (0.76) A, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>4.19 (0.69) B, a</td>
<td>3.50 (0.99) A, b</td>
<td>1.25 (0.17) AB, C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters in the same column and lowercase letters in the same row show significant differences between luting agents and conditions (p < 0.05). Luting agents: RelyX Veneer, commercial; Low, 55% mass fraction; Intermediate, 65% mass fraction; High, 75% mass fraction groups. Conditions: Before luting vs immediately after luting (B × IL); before versus 24 h after luting and water immersion (B × IW); and immediately after luting vs 24 h in water immersion (IL × WI).

Table 3. Means (standard deviations) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for individual CIE L*, a*, and b* color coordinates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Luting agent</th>
<th>Before luting</th>
<th>Immediately after luting</th>
<th>24 h after luting</th>
<th>24 h after luting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L* 95%CI p-value</td>
<td>L* 95%CI p-value</td>
<td>L* 95%CI p-value</td>
<td>L* 95%CI p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIE L*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX Veneer</td>
<td>86.1 (1.0) A 85.4–86.8</td>
<td>86.3 (0.7) A 85.8–86.8</td>
<td>87.0 (0.6) A 86.6–87.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>86.0 (0.6) A 85.6–86.4</td>
<td>84.8 (0.9) B 84.2–85.4</td>
<td>84.0 (1.5) B 82.9–85.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>85.9 (0.5) A 85.5–86.2</td>
<td>86.3 (1.2) A 85.4–87.1</td>
<td>86.0 (1.4) A 85.0–87.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>85.8 (0.5) A 85.4–86.1</td>
<td>85.6 (0.5) AB 85.2–86.0</td>
<td>86.5 (0.9) A 85.9–87.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIE a*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX Veneer</td>
<td>0.96 (0.3) A 0.75–1.17</td>
<td>1.15 (0.3) A 0.94–1.36</td>
<td>1.30 (0.4) A 1.01–1.58</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.97 (0.3) A 0.76–1.18</td>
<td>0.61 (0.4) B 0.32–0.90</td>
<td>0.90 (0.4) A 0.61–1.19</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>0.90 (0.3) A 0.69–1.11</td>
<td>0.44 (0.2) B 0.30–0.58</td>
<td>0.90 (0.2) A 0.76–1.04</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.71 (0.3) A 0.50–0.88</td>
<td>0.46 (0.3) B 0.25–0.67</td>
<td>0.90 (0.3) A 0.69–1.07</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIE b*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX Veneer</td>
<td>22.2 (1.3) A 21.3–23.1</td>
<td>17.2 (2.3) A 15.6–18.8</td>
<td>17.5 (2.0) A 17.0–19.8</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>20.9 (1.6) A 19.8–22.0</td>
<td>15.0 (0.8) AB 14.4–15.6</td>
<td>16.8 (1.0) AB 16.1–17.5</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>21.0 (2.1) A 19.5–22.5</td>
<td>14.9 (1.3) AB 14.0–15.8</td>
<td>16.7 (0.8) AB 16.1–17.3</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>22.0 (1.4) A 21.0–23.0</td>
<td>14.4 (0.7) B 13.9–14.8</td>
<td>15.7 (1.0) B 15.0–16.4</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters in the same column show significant differences between luting agents in each individual color coordinate (p<0.05).
(p < 0.001) and (p = 0.042), immediately after luting with the highest $a^*$ (1.15) and $b^*$ (17.2) values found for the commercial reference group. Only positive $a^*$ and $b^*$ values were found.

**TP**

Figure 1 shows that there is no difference between the experimental luting agents, but there is a difference between them and the luting agent RelyX Veneer, which presented a higher TP.

**SEM**

Representative SEM images of RBLA surfaces are shown in Figure 2. The experimental luting agents revealed similar filler distribution according to the available resin matrix, in addition to larger and irregular filler particles. The commercial luting agent presented smaller and spherical filler particles, whereas the experimental RBLAs had irregularly shaped fillers.

**Discussion**

All experimental luting agents were prepared with TEGDMA and UDMA resinous monomeric matrix since previous studies reported higher color stability and translucency than that observed for Bis-GMA. Materials with translucent shade were prepared and/or selected because of their highest translucency values and refractive index than those of opaque and colored shades; thus,
the influence of inorganic filler content would be better evaluated. Finally, color measurements were made with a spectrophotometer for accuracy and reproducibility. In this study, all of the tested luting agents yielded \( \Delta E_{00} \) values greater than 0.8 under the B × IL and B × WI conditions, which is the perceptibility threshold for color differences according to a previous publication, confirming the first hypothesis of the study. These results are in agreement with those of previous studies on the influence of the commercial and experimental luting agents on the optical properties of translucent ceramic restorations. Overall, amongst the tested groups, the High group showed a more pronounced effect on the final color of the specimens under the B × IL and B × IW conditions. These results indicate that the mass fraction of the tested filler content influenced the \( \Delta E_{00} \) of the experimental luting agents. This result is in line with a previous study reporting that the inorganic content of resin composite should be selected for the best color reproduction of natural tooth color. Nevertheless, the Low and Intermediate groups yielded similar \( \Delta E_{00} \) values under the three conditions; whereas the best shade matching (i.e., lower \( \Delta E_{00} \) values) was obtained for specimens luted with the commercial luting agent \( (\Delta E_{00} = 0.85 \pm 0.27) \) under the IL × WI condition. Probably the lower \( \Delta E_{00} \) values obtained for RelyX Veneer are due to the different refractive indices of commercial and experimental luting agents tested in this study. Therefore, it seems that differences in \( \Delta E_{00} \) values among materials could be overcome by organic matrix and filler properties such as particle size and shape, in addition to filler content, since light scattering and absorption by the matrix influence the optical properties. In fact, the size and distribution of filler particles into resin matrix seem to correlate directly with the results of this study; the experimental luting agents that presented larger filler particle dimension (average size of approximately 2 \( \mu m \)) and irregular shape showed higher \( \Delta E_{00} \) values compared to the commercial reference, in which the filler particle dimensions were smaller (average size of approximately 0.6 \( \mu m \)) and spherical. Thus, it seems that the size, shape, and volume fraction of fillers should be controlled for the best color reproduction, considering the refractive indices of filler and resin matrix.

Based on the evaluated conditions, the highest \( \Delta E_{00} \) values were observed under B × IL and B × IW for all groups. This probably occurred because under these conditions the specimens were evaluated before and after luting. These results are in agreement with those of previous studies reporting that the luting agent plays an important role in the final color of thin CLVs. Under the B × IW condition, the specimens were immersed in water for 24 h and the lowest \( \Delta E_{00} \) values were observed, when compared with those obtained under the B × IL condition, in which the specimens were not stored in water. An explanation for changes in \( \Delta E_{00} \) values as a result of water exposure might be found in the composition of materials. It is known from the literature that resin-based composition allows water to penetrate the matrix or filler-matrix interface, producing color changes. This shows the influence of hydrating the restoration, even during a relatively short period (24 h). This is similar to what occurs in the oral cavity with oral fluid hydration. Despite the limitations of this study, this finding suggests that clinicians do not need a long hydration time for better color matching of CLV with the adjacent teeth. Nevertheless, statistically significant differences with lower \( \Delta E_{00} \) values were observed for RelyX Veneer under the IL × WI condition, where only the hydration of the ceramic-luting agent-resin composite set was evaluated immediately after luting versus 24 h in water immersion. From this finding, the amount of inorganic filler content (75%, 65%, 55% experimental luting agent; 66% commercial luting agent) by itself could not be directly associated with the degree of color change found after storage. This is in accordance with Vichi et al., who stated that color changes could also be related to the mass fraction of the resin matrix (UDMA and TEGDMA for experimental luting agent; BisGMA and TEGDMA for commercial luting agent) and to filler properties.

Regarding CIEL*a*b* individual color coordinates, low L* values were observed for the Low group immediately after and 24 h after luting. Our findings are in line with those of a previous study that evaluated resin composites with different particle sizes. This study
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reported decreased L* values for low particle sizes,21 while a* coordinate showed positive values, indicating a tendency toward a reddish color. The Low group presented lower a* values after luting and the commercial reference increased a* values, indicating a greater tendency toward reddish tones than in the experimental groups. However, the low values found for this coordinate are clinically irrelevant.

For coordinates a* and b*, the data have some similarities and counterpoints to another study, which showed a tendency towards reddish (a* positive) and bluish (b* negative) tones after immersion in water.21 Our findings demonstrate positive values in both coordinates, yielding yellowish (b*) and reddish (a*) tones. It is important to note that the time points observed in these studies were not similar, which could originate different results.

This study hypothesized that the TP would be affected depending on the inorganic filler content since it could produce higher opacity. Nonetheless, the amount of inorganic filler content did not yield statistically significant differences among the tested experimental luting agents; while the commercial reference showed significantly the highest TP values, thus rejecting this hypothesis (Figure 1). An explanation for TP values found among the experimental luting agents could be that even the luting agent loaded with high inorganic filler content was properly homogenized using the mechanical mixing device (Figure 2). However, RelyX Veneer presented better homogeneous organic and inorganic matrices compared to the experimental groups. It is known from the literature that the homogeneous matrix phase provided lower color changes21,26 because of lower light reflection and dispersion coefficients, which could change the refractive index.18,21,22 The higher the refractive index difference between inorganic fillers and the matrix phase of resin composites, the greater the opacity of the materials, thanks to multiple reflection and refraction at the matrix filler interfaces.22 In fact, color perception is directly related to scattering since the interface can modify the way in which the light is scattered by the particles. The interface between resin and fillers is one of the weakest points of the composite material, and materials with a higher mass fraction of organic filler may have modifications in the way the light is punctured by the particles,20,30 which may lead to TP changes in RBLAs. This may explain the highest TP values found for the High group among the experimental (Low and Intermediate) groups, and the highest TP values found for RelyX Veneer among all tested groups, according to surface morphology (Figure 2). The barium borosilicate filler was chosen in this study because it is widely used in dental resin composite formulations. These inorganic filler particles present an irregular shape, which may help explain the findings since irregular particles may change the propagation of light, thereby increasing the opacity of the material.37

The difficulty in comparing the products lies in the fact that manufacturers disclose few details about the proportion of monomers and filler particles and do not describe the type and concentration of silane, pigments, and opacifiers. Knowledge about material composition is important since not only the inorganic filler content but also filler shape and particle size could influence light transmittance characteristics.21 Our results indicate that filler content per se did not significantly affect the TP of the tested experimental luting agents. Thus, the optical performance of a commercial luting agent is unlikely to be predicted based solely on the available compositional data.18 According to the manufacturer, the commercial luting agent used in this study is composed of BisGMA and TEGDMA monomers, zirconia, and silica filler particles (average size of approximately 0.6 μm), and a patented dimethacrylate polymer that modifies the rheology of the material and provides a unique handling characteristic, allowing the luting agent to flow easily under pressure, providing uniform film, regardless of the inorganic filler content.31 In fact, the commercial reference composition differs from the tested experimental luting agents in some filler properties, including the average particle size of approximately 0.6 μm and 2 μm and the spherical and irregular shape of commercial and experimental luting agents, respectively (Figure 2). This finding corroborates that of a previous study that reported that materials containing smaller and irregularly shaped fillers showed higher light transmittance and diffusion angle distribution with a sharper peak, as compared to those containing larger and
spherical fillers. Therefore, the highest TP values found for the commercial reference as compared to experimental luting agents with a similar amount of filler (Intermediate group) could be linked to the scattering coefficient of the particle diameter and to the wavelength of the incident visible light.

One of the strengths of this study was the accuracy and reliability of shade records. Only one calibrated examiner performed all the readings, avoiding inter-examiner variability. However, our study is not free of limitations, since this is an in vitro study with a short-term aging period, and the long-term aging effect on color stability of CLV luted with RBLAs is a complex phenomenon. Actually, these findings should be interpreted with caution, given that there are few studies on the influence of inorganic filler content on the optical properties of thinner CLV; nonetheless, this confirms that our data could help achieve a successful aesthetic treatment. It is important to point out that greater color variation is desirable in the clinical setting when a shade match between darker and lighter adjacent tooth substrates is required. In this situation, a more opaque luting agent shade should be used to mask the underlying color, providing appropriate masking ability, and consequentially shade matching with the adjacent teeth. Thus, since scattering and absorption properties might be influenced by the difference in refractive indices between filler and resin matrix, further studies are recommended to evaluate the optical properties of RBLAs loaded with different inorganic filler content, filler shape, and particle size, as well as the long-term effect of aging on color change in luted CLV.

**Conclusion**

The variation in inorganic filler content evaluated herein did not significantly influence the TP of simulated CLV; however, all of the tested experimental luting agents presented color change above the perceptibility threshold. Finally, L*, a*, and b* individual color coordinates were cementation-dependent.
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The correct Figure 2 is:
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