Evaluation of the efficacy of filling material removal and re-filling after different retreatment procedures

Abstract: This study analyzed the influence of different retreatment protocols on amount of remaining filling material and amount of new sealer after endodontic retreatment. Forty mandibular molars with curved mesial roots were prepared with ProTaper Universal system, and filled with AH Plus sealer mixed with 0.1% rhodamine B and gutta-percha. After 7 days, the specimens were randomized according to the retreatment protocol (n = 10): ProTaper Retreatment System (PTR); PTR+Orange Oil (PTR+OO); PTR+Passive Ultrasonic Irrigation (PTR+PUI). No retreatment was performed in the control group (CG). After retreatment, the root canals were filled with AH Plus mixed with 0.1% fluorescein and gutta-percha. Samples were evaluated under confocal laser scanning microscopy and analyzed using Image J software. Data were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests (p < 0.05). Regarding presence of residual filling, the Kruskal-Wallis test indicated no differences among the different retreatment techniques in the perimeter and the isthmus analyses (p > 0.05); however, PTR+PUI was associated with a lesser amount of residual filling material in the canal area analysis (p < 0.05). In evaluating the new filling, the perimeter analysis showed a lesser amount of new endodontic sealer in the PTR group (p < 0.05). Moreover, the PTR+PUI group presented a significantly greater amount of new endodontic sealer in the canal area analysis (p < 0.05). There was no difference among groups in the isthmus analysis (p > 0.05). It can be concluded that PTR+PUI yielded better results in removing root canal filling material from the canal area. However, none of the protocols resulted in root walls completely free of remnants.

Keywords: Endodontics; Microscopy, Confocal; Retreatment; Root Canal Filling Materials.

Introduction

Root canal retreatment procedures recommend the removal of filling material, because it can cover necrotic material, debris and infected dentine. Different methods have been proposed to remove filling material, such as hand files, rotary systems, and reciprocating instruments with or without ultrasonic inserts and/or solvents. Moreover, the combination of different protocols can make the removal of root canal filling even more effective.
Numerous analyses have been used in laboratorial studies to assess the remaining filling material, including radiographs,7 optical microscope,8,9 scanning electron microscopy,10 computed tomography11 and micro-computed tomography.12,13 Preliminary studies have shown the impact of remaining filling in single root canals after various retreatment protocols14,15,16 using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) analysis; however, the impact on root canals with greater anatomical complexities, such as mesial mandibular molars, has not yet been clarified.

The mesial root canal of mandibular molars presents not only a high degree of curvature, but also a high incidence of isthmus.17 This can make root canal cleaning and disinfection procedures even more difficult.18 Anatomical complexities limit the action of instruments, auxiliary chemical substances and medications. Thus, knowledge of anatomical variations and the best retreatment procedures in these situations may improve the endodontic outcomes.19,20

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the root canal filling material remaining after root canal retreatment using the ProTaper Retreatment system (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) with or without ultrasonic inserts or solvents, analyzed using CLSM. The amount of new endodontic sealer after root canal retreatment was also evaluated. The null hypothesis tested was that there are no differences in the amount of remaining root canal filling material or in the amount of new endodontic sealer after using the different endodontic retreatment protocols.

Methodology

Tooth selection

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Pará Federal University, Belém, Brazil (protocol number 2.041.288).

The sample size was determined after a pilot study indicated that a total of 10 specimen per group would be needed to detect significant differences among the groups. The sample had a test power of 80% and a significance level of 5%. Forty mesial root canals of mandibular molars were used; they had no visible root caries, fractures, cracks, or any signs of internal and external resorption or calcification, and had a completely formed apex. The root curvature of the selected teeth was between 15º and 30º, according to Schneider’s method.21 Preoperative radiographs were taken for each root to confirm the canal anatomy.

Endodontic treatment

The teeth were decoronated to standardize the roots at 14 mm. Canal patency was checked by passively introducing a size 10 and 15 K-file into each canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen with a x4 magnifier. The working length (WL) was established 1 mm short of the major apical foramen.

The canals were prepared with the ProTaper Universal system (Dentsply Maillefer) using SX, S1, S2, F1 and F2 instruments at a speed of 300 rpm and 2 N.cm torque, and driven by an X-Smart electric motor (Dentsply Maillefer). During preparation, 24 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) was delivered to each root canal by disposables syringes and 30-G Endo-Eze needles (Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA). Final irrigation was performed with 5 mL of 17% EDTA (Biodinâmica, Ibiporã, PR, Brazil) and 3 mL of saline solution, followed by drying with ProTaper F2 paper points (Dentsply Maillefer).

Root canal filling

The specimens were filled using AH Plus Sealer (Dentsply Maillefer) and the single-cone obturation technique. During manipulation, the sealer was mixed with 0.1% rhodamine B, and then carried into the root canal with a #25 size lentulo spiral (Dentsply Maillefer). An F2 master gutta-percha cone was inserted into the root canal, excess gutta-percha was removed using a heated plugger, and the vertical compaction was performed with cold pluggers. The orifices were sealed with temporary restorative material (Coltosol, Vigodent Coltene, RJ, Brasil), and the specimens were stored in 100% humidity at 37ºC for seven days.

Endodontic retreatment

The ProTaper Retreatment system (PTR) (Dentsply Maillefer) was used to remove the filling material in the following sequence: D1,
D2 and D3, at a speed of 500 rpm for D1, and 400 rpm for D2 and D3 files, with a 4N.cm torque, and with brushing movements against the walls, as recommended by the manufacturer. In group PTR, only NaOCl was used during instrumentation. In the PTR + orange oil group (PTR + OO), one drop of the solvent was dispensed into the root canal at each change of instrument. In the PTR + PUI (passive ultrasonic irrigation) group, PUI was performed with an E1 ultrasonic tip (Helse, Santa Rosa de Viterbo, SP, Brazil) set at a low power setting (10%) mounted in a piezoelectric ultrasonic device (Enac-Osada, Tokyo, Japan). The ultrasonic tip was placed 2 mm short of the WL and activated for 20 seconds at each change of instrument. After the removal procedure, the roots were subjected to new instrumentation with ProTaper, up to the F3 file (Dentsply Maillefer). The control group did not receive any retreatment procedures.

The irrigation and root canal filling protocol used during initial endodontic treatment was the same as that used in the retreatment. However, the root canals submitted to retreatment were refilled with AH Plus Sealer mixed with 0.1% fluorescein, and with a F3 master gutta-percha point. The specimens were stored in 100% humidity at 37ºC for seven days.

Specimen preparation and CLSM analysis

The specimens were sectioned horizontally with a cutting machine (Isomet 1000; Buehler, Lake Forest, IL, USA), under water cooling, at 2, 4 and 6 mm from the apex. Slices were taken with a CLSM (IX 81 Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and evaluated using the method of epifluorescence with wavelengths of absorption and emission to rhodamine B of 540/590nm and to fluorescein of 494/518nm. The samples were analyzed 10 µm below the surface sample at 10X magnification.

The CLSM images were combined for further analysis using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe systems incorporated, San Jose, USA), and measured by Image J software (National institutes of health, Bethesda, USA). These images were used to measure:

a. the residual and new filling in the perimeter of the root canal;
b. the root canal area with the remaining and the new filling;
c. the isthmus area with the remaining and the new filling.

Statistical analysis

Each root slice was treated as a statistical unit. The results were analyzed using BioEstat 5.0 software (Instituto Mamirauá, Tefé, Brazil). Shapiro-Wilk tests showed non-normal data distribution. Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests were used to detect statistical differences among the groups. A 5% significance level (p < 0.05) was applied for analysis.

Results

Regarding the presence of residual filling, the Kruskal-Wallis test indicated no differences among the different retreatment techniques in the perimeter and the isthmus analyses (p > 0.05); however, in the canal area analysis, PTR + PUI was associated with a lower amount of residual filling material than the other groups tested (p < 0.05). When the new filling was evaluated, the perimeter analysis showed a lesser amount of the new endodontic sealer in the PTR group (p < 0.05). Moreover, the PTR + PUI group presented a significantly greater amount of new endodontic sealer in the canal area analysis, compared with the other groups (p < 0.05). There was no difference among the groups in the isthmus analysis (p > 0.05) (Figure and Table).

Discussion

Success in endodontics is achieved through instrumentation, adequate antimicrobial control and a three-dimensional filling of the root canal system.22 In the present study, retreatment and refilling analysis was performed by CLSM. Compared with scanning electronic microscopy (SEM), CLSM presents certain advantages, such as a simpler preparation of samples, no need for special specimen processing23 and analysis of treatment and retreatment using a single sample.13 In addition, the images obtained by CLSM can be evaluated using a quantitative analysis, contrary to evaluation by scores commonly used in
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SEM analysis. Rhodamine B and fluorescein dyes were used to contrast the remaining sealer and the new filling sealer after retreatment protocols. When used in low concentrations (0.1%), the dyes do not affect the sealer properties.

The mesial roots of mandibular molars were chosen due to their anatomical complexity, especially their high incidence of isthmus. Moreover, the removal of filling material during retreatment is more difficult in curved canals. Thus, the roots included in our study were selected under standardized conditions, with a curvature between 15° and 30°, determined according to Schneider’s method. The apical third is the area with the greatest anatomical variation, such as accessory canals, isthmus and apical deltas.

The present study used the last 6 mm of root canal because this portion has the greatest incidence of isthmus in different anatomical variations. The apical third was divided into three slices of 2 mm to obtain greater representativeness of this region, thereby including three points of the apical third in the statistical analysis.

Reinstrumentation is necessary after removal of the gutta-percha to promote better cleaning of canal walls. It is performed with larger instruments than those used in initial treatment, whenever possible and secure. However, this enlargement must be carried out carefully to avoid weakening of the

**Figure.** Representative image of the different groups tested, showing the mixture of sealers, the residual sealer (red), and the new sealer (green), in root canal walls, inside dentinal tubules, and in the isthmus area.

**Table.** Median, maximum and minimum values of residual and new filling, in percentage, for perimeter, canal area, and isthmus area analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Perimeter (%)</th>
<th>Canal area (%)</th>
<th>Isthmus area (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual filling</td>
<td>New filling</td>
<td>Residual filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>58.63</td>
<td>45.42</td>
<td>41.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0–100)^a</td>
<td>(0.0–87.99)^a</td>
<td>(7.74–74.90)^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR + OO</td>
<td>55.23</td>
<td>64.24</td>
<td>33.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(25.15–100)^a</td>
<td>(10.81–100)^a</td>
<td>(10.43–70.21)^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR + PUI</td>
<td>46.58</td>
<td>63.56</td>
<td>18.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10.58–97.65)^a</td>
<td>(0.0–100)^a</td>
<td>(0.0–40)^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superscript uppercase letters ([a,b]) indicate a difference among the groups (Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests).
teeth. In the present study, the instrumentation for the retreatment procedure was performed from F2 (tip .25) to F3 (tip .30), considering the anatomy and curvature of the selected roots.

The present study showed a greater amount of residual filling in the isthmus area, with percentages similar to those found in the control group (p > 0.05). This indicates that the different protocols tested removed only small amounts of the original root canal filling, a result which also compromised the percentage of new filling in this area. Previous studies using micro-CT analysis have shown that a greater amount of remaining filling is left in the isthmus after endodontic retreatment. This occurs because the isthmus area represents a major challenge for proper cleaning and disinfection, considering that the mechanical instrumentation of this area is unfeasible.29,30

None of the protocols yielded root canal walls that were completely free of filling material, a finding corroborated by previously published studies using different methodologies.10,11,14 The PTR + PUI technique presented lower values of residual filling in the canal area. Consequently, this technique showed a higher percentage of new filling material in the same area. These results corroborate those of previous studies10,30, which showed that PUI produced a significant reduction in residual filling. Ultrasonic activation may promote displacement of filling materials from the root walls, facilitating sealer removal. It is important to emphasize that all the studies mentioned used micro-CT analysis; to the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate endodontic retreatment in mesial roots by CLSM.

According to some authors,5,12 the use of solvents may promote better dissolution of root canal filling material, facilitating the penetration of instruments into the root canal filling. However, this softening of filling material forms a film that may be easily compressed not only inside the irregularities of the canal walls, but also inside the dentinal tubules, thereby obliterating them.7,31 This effect compromises the cleaning of the root walls and delays the removal stage. In addition, other studies32,33 have demonstrated that the use of a solvent decreases the bond strength of endodontic sealers, a consequence which may compromise successful retreatment. Some studies31,34 recommend avoiding the use of solvents, and using only auxiliary chemical substances during removal of filling material. In our study, the use of orange oil did not improve the cleaning of the root walls, thereby showing no advantages for its use in clinical practice.

Sealer penetration inside the dentinal tubule is essential for filling a new root canal adequately. The current study demonstrated that the sealer was able to penetrate inside the dentinal tubules after retreatment, regardless of the residual filling, leading to an overlapping of the residual sealer with the new filling; in some cases, this resulted in a yellow coloration, similar to that observed by Rached-Júnior et al.14 In contrast, Kok et al.15 observed that the sealer of the new filling did not penetrate the dentinal tubules. Although this discrepancy in results can be explained by anatomical differences in the roots used in our study, the diameter of mesial mandibular roots is thinner, thus allowing greater contact of instruments with the root walls, and consequently more viable sealer penetration into dentinal tubules.

One major limitation of the present study was that only the ProTaper Retreatment system was used during root canal retreatment. Currently, new instruments with remarkable modifications in design (e.g. off-centered design, “snake-shaped design”) and activation mode (e.g. reciprocating kinematics), in addition to the introduction of new thermally treated NiTi alloys (e.g. M-Wire, CM Wire, Blue Wire, Gold Wire), could lead to improved root canal filling materials. Future studies should be performed with these new instruments and technologies.

Based on the present results, it can be concluded that none of the retreatment protocols used was capable of fully eliminating the remnants of the first obturation from the canal walls. The use of solvents did not improve cleansing of the walls. PUI decreased the percentage of residual filling in the canal area. The isthmus proved to be an area critical to the cleaning and removal of filling material, but further studies must be performed with different protocols to achieve improved cleansing of this area after retreatment.
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