ABSTRACT

The aims of this work were to estimate the coefficient of relatedness within families and the genetic parameters for growth related traits in a progeny test from an open-pollinated variety of *Pinus caribaea* Morelet *hondurensis* Barret & Golfari, established in Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil. The experimental design was the triple 10 x 10 lattice, with 96 families, three replicates, and ten plants per plot. Fourteen years after planting, the trial was measured for the following traits: total height, diameter at breast height (DBH), and true volume. The estimation of coefficients of relatedness within family from microsatellite loci indicated that families are true half-sibs ($r = 0.253$). Thus, the additive genetic variance ($\sigma^2_A$) can be estimated assuming that the genetic variance among progenies ($\sigma^2_M$) accounts for $\frac{1}{4}$ of additive genetic variance ($\sigma^2_A = 4\sigma^2_{ih}$). The estimative of heritability coefficients at individual level ($h^2_i$) was relatively high (0.28 for DBH and 0.44 for height). The heritability coefficient considering the average families ($\bar{h}^2$) was also high, ranging among the traits from 0.50 to 0.58. These results suggest that the population can be improved by both massal and among families selection. Additionally, the estimated genetic gains with sequential selection among and within families were high (ranging from 8.92% for height to 37.56% for volume), demonstrating that this method of selection can generate high genetic improvement.
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RESUMO

ESTIMATIVAS DE PARÂMETROS GENÉTICOS EM TESTE DE PROGENÍES DE PINUS CARIBAEA VAR. HONDURENSIS POR CARACTERES QUANTITATIVOS E MARCADORES MICROSATÉLITES

Os objetivos deste trabalho foram estimar o coeficiente de parentesco dentro de famílias e os parâmetros genéticos e fenotípicos para os caracteres de crescimento (altura, diâmetro a altura do peito e volume) em um teste de progênies de polinização aberta de Pinus caribaea Morelet var. hondurensis Barret & Golfari, implantado no Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil. O teste foi implantado em látice 10 x 10 triplo, com 96 famílias, três repetições e dez plantas por parcela. Quatorze anos após o plantio foram mensurados os caracteres altura total, DAP e volume das árvores. A estimativa dos coeficientes de parentesco dentro das progênies foi calculada por locos microsatélites os quais revelaram que as famílias são de meio-irmãos (c = 0.253). Assim, a variância genética aditiva (σ_w^2) pode ser estimada, assumindo que a variância genética entre progênies (σ_p^2) estima ¼ da variância genética aditiva (σ_w^2). As estimativas do coeficiente de herdabilidade em nível de planta (h^2) foram relativamente elevadas (0,28 para DAP e 0,44 para altura). A herdabilidade em nível de média de famílias (h^2_f) foi também elevada variando entre os caracteres de 0,50, a 0,58. Estes resultados sugerem que a população pode ser melhorada por seleção massal e seleção entre famílias. Adicionalmente, as estimativas dos ganhos genéticos com seleção entre e dentro famílias foram elevados (variando de 8,92% para altura a 37,56% para volume), demonstrando que este esquema de seleção pode trazer grande progresso genético.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pinus caribaea var. hondurensis occurs naturally in Central America: Belize, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Mexico (Perry, 1991) and El Salvador (Camcore, 1996). This species can grow in elevations up to 1000 m, but it generally grows between the sea level and 500 m (Hodge and Dvorak, 2001). The trees can reach heights of 30 m (Richardson, 1998).

The increase in the genetic relatedness among sibs caused by the mating system affects the estimative of genetic parameters for quantitative traits due the increase in both genetic covariance between sibs and genetic variance among families. As a result, the effectiveness of selection within families may be reduced (Ritland, 1989). Some Pines species present a mixed mating system. Thus, open pollinated families have mixture of different degrees of inbreeding and relatedness (Ritland, 1989; Sebbenn, 2002). If the mating system involves mixtures of outcrossing, selfing and correlated mating, open pollinated families will contain mixtures of half-sibs, self-sibs, full-sibs and self-half-sibs (Ritland, 1989; Sebbenn, 2002). Consequently, the relatedness within families will be higher than expected in half-sib families (0.25) and the additive genetic variance (σ^2_w) in progeny tests cannot be estimated assuming that the genetic variance among families (σ^2_p) estimate ¼ of additive genetic variance (σ^2_w - 4σ^2_a). Assuming that open pollinated families from mixed mating system species are true, half-sibs will produce overestimates in the additive genetic variance and, thus in the heritability and genetic gains (Ritland, 1989; Sebbenn et al., 2002; Sebbenn, 2003). Thus, it is very important to know the average of relatedness within families. The coefficient of relatedness within families can be estimated using genetic markers and samples from progeny arrays, and those estimations used for to analyse the additive genetic variance, σ^2_w = σ^2_p / c^2.

Many models have been proposed for the estimation of genetic parameters of quantitative traits in sort of mixed mating system. The model proposed by Ritland (1989) is the most complete because it considers that open-pollinated families are composed by mixture of self-sibs, half-sib, full-sibs, and self-half-sibs (Sebbenn, 2002). Other models consider that open-pollinated families are compound only by mixtures of self-sibs and half-sibs, excluding the full-sibs.

Microsatellites constitute molecular markers with large polymorphic availability (Echt et al., 1996; Ferreira and Grattapaglia, 1998). Molecular markers based on microsatellite amplification are excellent tools for monitoring genetic diversity and understanding mating systems of populations submitted to selection in tree breeding programs. For example, Furlan et al. (2007) utilized microsatellite loci to quantify genetic diversity in different stages of a P. caribaea var. hondurensis breeding program. In an advanced generation of breeding, the authors observed loss of low-frequency alleles as well as an increasing in the frequency of the most common alleles. Additionally, ten potential pairwise mating opportunities to obtain intra-specific hybrid vigor in descendant generations were detected.
To select superior trees within breeding programs, it is important to understand the relationships among heritability and the correlation between ages and traits of economic interest, as well as seed-origin and the mating system of the species. Therefore, the aims of this study were: i) to estimate the coefficient of relatedness within families from mating system parameters using microsatellite loci; ii) to estimate genetic parameters for growing traits in a *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* progeny test; iii) to adjust the heritability coefficient as a function of the relatedness existing within families.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site and design

The study was carried out in an open-pollinated *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* progeny test established in 1986 in Selvíria, Mato Grosso do Sul (20° 20’S, 51° 23’W, altitude 370m). The families were originated from a clonal seed orchard named “Genetic Conservation Center and Tropical Pines Improvement-GCCTPI,” located in Aracruz, Espírito Santo (19°49’S, 40° 16’W, altitude 50 m). The trial was established in 10 x 10 triple lattice, containing 96 families from GCCTPI and four families from commercial stands (using as control), ten plants per plot and three replications. In 2002, the trial was submitted to a selective logging by removing four trees (40%) of each family. The studied traits were total volume of trees was calculated by the expression $V = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot (\text{dbh})^2 \cdot h$, where $h$ is the quotient of stem form $q = \frac{d1/2H}{\text{dbh}}$; where $d1/2H$ is diameter in half of height of a tree and dbh is diameter at breast height), and real volume. The real volume of trees was calculated by the expression $\mu = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot (\text{dbh})^2 \cdot h$. Where $\mu$ is the quotient of stem form $q = \frac{d1/2H}{\text{dbh}}$; where $d1/2H$ is diameter in half of height of a tree and dbh is diameter at breast height).

Microsatellite analysis

To estimate mating system parameters using microsatellite loci, leaves were collected from six trees of each family. The DNA extraction was based on DOYLE and DOYLE (1987) protocol, modified by GRATTAPAGLIA and SEDEROFF (1994). The extracted DNA was quantified in a GeneQuantPro spectrophotometer and its concentration was adjusted for 5ng/μL for using in PCR reactions. For *Pinus* species, there are already primers which can be used within the same taxon or for species with genetic affinity (FERREIRA and GRATTAPAGLIA, 1998; BYRNE et al., 1996). Thus, primers were transferred from other *Pinus* species to *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis*. In this project, 20 primers pairs were tested: RPS12, RPS20, RPS25b, RPS84 (Pinus strobus, ÉCHT et al., 1999), PSM2, PSM34 (Pinus sylvestris, KOSTIA et al., 1995), PR4.6, PR9.3 (Pinus radiata, SMITH and DEVEY, 1994), APC3, APC9, (Pinus contorta var. latifolia, HICKS et al., 1998), APC11, APC13 (Pinus contorta var. latifolia, HICKS et al., 1998), RPS150, RPS160 (Pinus strobus, ÉCHT et al., 1999), PtTX2037, PtTX2034, PtTX3011, PtTX3029 (Pinus taeda, ELISK et al., 2001), RPTest01, and RPTest09 (Pinus taeda, ÉCHR and BURNS, 1999). However, only six primer pairs were efficiently transferred and displayed polymorphism for the studied species (PtTX2037, PtTX3029, RPTest01, RPTest09, PR4.6, and PSM2).

The amplification reactions were made in the thermocycler Programmable Thermal Controller - 100 (MJ Research Inc.), using the following thermal profile: 5 min. at 94°C, followed by 32 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 45 seconds of annealing at temperatures of 57°C for primers RPTest 01, RPTest 09, PtTX 3029, and PSM 2, and for primers PR 4.6 and PtTX 2037, 60°C and 48°C, respectively. This was followed by 1 min at 72°C, and 10 min at 72°C for the final extension. The total volume of the mixture was 17 μL, with 1.7 μL of buffer 10 X PCR Buffer, 1 unit of taq DNA polymerase, 3 μL of DNA (5 ng/μL), 0.6 μL of each primer (10 μM), 1.5 μL of solution of dNTP (0.5 mM) and 0.85 μL of MgCl2 (50 mM). The amplification products were subjected to electrohoresis in Metaphor (FMC Bioproducts) agarose gel 3%; the coloration made in ethidium bromide 2% (2 mL in 1.5 L of water for 1 hour). The bands were visualized in ultraviolet light and photographed.

Analysis of variance and components of variance

The variance analysis for each measured trait was computed at the individual level, according to the lattice scheme proposed by COCHRAN and COX (1981), using the following statistical model: $Y_{ijqu} = m + r_j + b_{q(j)} + t_i + e_{ij(q)} + d_{u(ijq)}$, where $Y_{ijqu}$ is the observation in the u-th tree (plant’s effect), i-th family, q-th block, and j-th replicate; $m$ is the general mean; $r_j$ is the effect of the j-th replicate, with $j = 1, 2, ..., r$; $b_{q(j)}$ is the effect of the q-th block within replicate $j$, with $q = 1, 2, ..., b$; $t_i$ is the effect of the i-th family, with $i = 1, 2, ..., t$; $e_{ij(q)}$ is the intra-block error effect (error among plots), and; $d_{u(ijq)}$ is the error effect within plots.

These analyses were carried out using the SAS software (SAS, 1999). Since the trial was unbalanced due to an unequal number of surviving trees in the plots, the Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) method was used to estimate the variance components. REML and VARCOMP procedures (SAS, 1999) were used in combination for these estimates.
The estimated components of variance estimated were: \( \sigma^2_a \) = genetic variance among families; \( \sigma^2_e \) = variance of the interaction of family by replicate; \( \sigma^2_c \) = phenotypic variance within families.

Estimates of genetic parameters

The estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for the measured quantitative traits were based on VENCOVSKY and BARRIGA (1992). The additive genetic variance among families was estimated using the mixed mating model and correlated mating model. These models assume that plants of the same family can be generated for mixtures of self-fertilization, random mating, and correlated mating because families can contain different levels of relatedness as self-sibs (sb), half-sib (hs), full-sibs (fs), and self-half-sibs (shs). The additive genetic variance \( \hat{\sigma}_a^2 \) was calculated by: \( \hat{\sigma}_a^2 = \hat{\sigma}_e^2 / r_{xy} \), where \( \hat{\sigma}_e^2 \) is the genetic variance among families and \( r_{xy} \) is the coefficient of relatedness within families, estimated from the mating system parameter by the following expression: \( r_{xy} = 0.25 (d + \hat{d}) \{ (\hat{d} + \hat{e} - \hat{c} - \hat{t}) (\hat{d} + \hat{e} + \hat{t}) \} \) (RITLAND, 1989), where \( \hat{d} \) is the inbreeding coefficient in the parental population \( S \), is the selfing rate (\( d + \hat{d} \)), \( t \) is the multilocus outcrossing rate, \( \hat{r}_s \) is the self-correlation (or the likelihood of two randomly sampling sibs within a family are self-sibs), and \( r_{xy} \) is the multilocus paternity correlations (or the likelihood that two randomly selected offspring from a single family are full-sibs). The mating system parameters were estimated using mixed-mating model (RITLAND and JAIN, 1981) and correlated mating model, implemented in the program MLTR (RITLAND, 2002).

The heritability coefficients at individual plants level (\( h^2_x \)), average among families (\( h^2_x \)), and within families (\( h^2_x \)), were estimated using the following expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{h}^2_x & = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_a^2 + \hat{\sigma}_e^2}, \\
\hat{h}^2_x & = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_a^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{\hat{n}}} , \\
\hat{h}^2_x & = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_a^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{\hat{n}^2}} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \hat{\sigma}_e^2 \) is the experimental error; \( \hat{\sigma}_a^2 \) is the phenotypic variance within families; \( \hat{n} \) is the harmonic average number of plants within plots.

The selection ratio (\( z \)) and correlation due to the common environment of the plot (\( \hat{c}^2 \)) were estimated as: \( z = \frac{CV_p}{CV} \) and \( \hat{c}^2 = \frac{CV_p}{CV} \).

Correlation between traits

The correlation between traits was calculated based on FALCONER (1981). The analysis of covariance between families \( (\text{COV}_{x,y}) \) was estimated by:

\[
\text{COV}_{x,y} = \frac{\bar{M} \text{P} - \bar{M} \bar{P}}{\bar{M} \bar{S}_a - \bar{M} \bar{S}_e} \; \text{where} \; \bar{M} \text{P} = \frac{\bar{M} \bar{S}_a - \bar{M} \bar{S}_e}{2} \; \text{and} \; \bar{M} \bar{P} = \frac{\bar{M} \bar{S}_a - \bar{M} \bar{S}_e}{2} \; \text{are the mean product of families and environments, respectively. The additive genetic correlation was calculated between x and y traits (COV}_{x,y} \text{by: COV}_{x,y} = \frac{\text{COV}_{x,y}}{\text{COV}_{x,x} \text{COV}_{y,y}} , and genetic correlations between traits were estimated using the equation: COV}_{x,y} = \frac{\text{COV}_{x,y}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2_x \sigma^2_y} ,} \]

where \( \sigma^2_x \) is the genetic covariance between x and y traits, and \( \sigma^2_x \) and \( \sigma^2_y \) are the genetic variances among families for x and y traits, respectively. Genetic covariances were estimated from the analysis of covariance using SAS software (SAS, 1999).

Selection gains

To estimate the expected gains from selection, the following expression was used:

\[
\hat{x}_s = \frac{k_1 (\frac{1}{4}) \hat{r}_{x,y}^2 + k_2 (\frac{1}{4}) \hat{r}_{x,y}^2}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_x^2 + \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_y^2}} \text{;}}
\]

where \( \hat{\sigma}_x^2 \) is the average of phenotypic variance among families, is the phenotypic variance within families), \( \hat{\sigma}_y^2 \) is the additive genetic variance, \( k_1 \) is the differential of selection in standard deviation unit among families (30%, with \( k_1 = 1.16 \) and \( k_2 \) is the differential of selection in standard deviation unit within families (10%, with \( k_2 = 1.54 \).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variance analysis

The present experiment showed a high survival index (90.4%), suggesting a good adaptation of the species to the experimental site (Selvíria). The efficiency of the lattice for dbh and height traits was high (Table 1) and more than 110%, the limit established by MIRANDA FILHO (1978) for the total adjustment of treatments and the mean squares to the effective error, justifying the use of this design for the analysis of variance of the traits. Furthermore, the coefficient of experimental variation (CV) was relatively low for height (4.7%) and dbh (7.2%), and median for volume (17.7%), indicating that reliable estimates can be obtained from the variance analyses.
Higher CV value for volume is expected, when comparing to dbh and height parameters, as volume is estimated from these two other variables, combining the experimental errors of both of them.

### Table 1. Results of the variance analysis for growth traits of *Pinus caribaea var. hondurensis* at 14 years of age, at Selvária, State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>F (prog.)</th>
<th>E.LT (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prog.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.96&quot;</td>
<td>50.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbh (cm)</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>1.92&quot;</td>
<td>116.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (m³)</td>
<td>0.7499</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>2.30&quot;</td>
<td>142.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Efficiency of the Lattice; *p <0.05; ** p <0.01.

Significant differences among families were detected for all studied traits (Table 1), suggesting the possibility of population improvement by selection of the best families. This finding also showed that even though the trial was submitted to previous selective logging, substantial genetic variation remained for selection.

### Growth Traits

Regarding growing of *P. caribaea var. hondurensis*, an annual average increment (AAI) of 1.66 m for height and 1.88 cm for dbh was observed. The AAI for height was higher than other tree species evaluated. HODGE et al. (2001) found a height of 12.62 m at eight years of age and a AAI of 1.58 m for the species in Aracruz, State of Espírito Santo, Brazil. BARRICHELO et al. (1978) reported the average height of 10.35 m at nine years of age, which corresponds to a AAI of only 1.15 m. The highest height growth was observed by LIMA (1990), who found a AAI of 1.70 m for *Pinus caribaea var. hondurensis* in Camanducaia, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The height was also lower than the height detected for *P. tecunumanii* at seven years of age, with a height of 12.42 m, corresponding to a AAI of 1.77 m in São Simão, State of São Paulo, Brazil (SEBBENN et al., 1995).

Regarding growing in dbh, the value observed for AAI was superior to that found by FREITAS et al. (2005) for the species at 32 years of age (dbh = 31.45 cm, AAI = 0.98 cm), growing in Bebedouro, State of São Paulo, Brazil, BARRICHELO et al. (1978) at nine years of age (dbh = 13.35 cm, AAI = 1.48 cm) and BALLONI et al. (1978) at seven years of age (dbh = 14.8 cm, AAI = 2.11 cm) for the same species, growing in Agudos, State of São Paulo, Brazil. However, the AAI in dbh was inferior to that related by HODGE et al. (2001) in Aracruz (dbh = 17.98 cm, AAI = 2.25 cm) for the same species and for NICOLIELO (1984), working with different *P. caribaea var. hondurensis* provenances in Agudos, State of São Paulo, Brazil, who found growth in dbh ranging from 21.56 to 23.62 cm at 11 years of age, resulting in AAI ranging from 1.96 to 2.15 cm. These values were 4 to 14% higher than those showed in the present work.

### Estimates of genetic parameters

The estimates of the coefficient of variation within families (CVw), environmental (CVe), genetic among families (CVg), phenotypic at plant level (CVf), phenotypic at average level (CVf), and of the selection quotient (q) are presented in Table 2. Comparing the genetic variations among families, within families, and for environment, the highest values occurred within families, indicating that genetic gains can be exploited by individual phenotypic selection within the best families. Dbh has high genetic and phenotypic variation, showing this trait is easier to select, and higher genetic gains can be obtained by selection. Among the analyzed traits, the volume (β = 0.67) was the most indicated for selection. However, some difficulties should be considered due the high experimental error associated to this estimate. There are high genetic and phenotypic correlations between dbh and volume in *Pinus* sp. (SEBBENN et al., 1994; 1995; ZHENG et al., 1994; MISSIO et al., 2004). Thus, the selection for volume can be indirectly carried out, using the dbh as the variable for direct selection.

The correlation due to the common environment of the plots (Table 1) was also analyzed. It was verified that the dbh presented 5.9%, height 21.8%, and volume 9.3%. According to RESENDE (2001), values of lower than 15% can be considered as adequate. In this case, 15% of the total phenotypic variation was due to the environmental variation among plots. Thus, only the height parameter did not show a good estimate.

The relatedness coefficient (rxy) within families was estimated using data from mating system parameters calculated from eight microsatellite loci. The estimated coefficient of relatedness (\(\xi_w =0.253\)) was near the expected value in half-sib families (0.25). This result was unexpected, since MATHESON et al. (1989) detected multilocus outcrossing rates of 0.89 and 0.92 in populations of *P. caribaea var. hondurensis* from Mountain Paine Ridge and Melinda, both from Belize. However, considering that the species had a mixed mating system (MATHESON et al., 1989), the present result could be associated to inbreeding depression and selection against homozygote trees from the self-fertilization and mating among relatives between the phases of fertilization and the evaluation of the offspring in the trial (12 years of age).
Thus, only trees from outcrossing probably survive until 12 years of age, resulting in a very high estimate of outcrossing rate. Therefore, it is possible to assume that the genetic variance among families estimates ¼ of the additive genetic variance ($\sigma_g^2 = 0.25\sigma_a^2$).

All coefficients heritabilities were relatively high (Table 3), suggesting a strong genetic control of the traits at the individual level, among families, and within families. These results suggest that important genetic progress can be achieved using a simple massal selection in the trial, or a combined selection among and within families. Sampaio (2000) found for $P. caribaea$ var. $hondurensis$ from Isla de Guanaja, Honduras and Poptun, Guatemala, heritabilities at the individual level ($h^2_i$) for height, dbh, and volume of 0.25, 0.25 and 0.38, respectively. Within families ($h^2_w$), the authors found values of 0.24, 0.21, and 0.37, respectively, for the same traits. The values observed in this study were higher than those observed by this author, confirming the promising genetic control of the traits as well as the high potential of the population for selection.

Estimate of genetic and phenotypic correlations among the traits

All estimated genetic and phenotypic correlations between the traits were high and significant and genetic correlations were generally higher than phenotypic correlations (Table 4). This suggests the possibility of indirect selection in a trait with direct selection in another trait. As previously discussed, volume was the indicative trait for selection, but this trait was associated to a high experimental error. Thus, as dbh and volume presented very high genetic and phenotypic correlations (0.92), and dbh is an easily measurable trait, the selection can be based on this specific trait, resulting in indirect gains in volume. Moreover, the correlations among three forest traits present values close to those found in the literature (Table 5) as well as the same tendency, with higher correlations between dbh and volume. Sampaio (2000), working with a multi-effect index in $P. caribaea$ hondurensis suggested to use dbh for indirect selection in volume. According to the author, the selection of plus trees, aiming to maximize the genetic gains in volume, must be based on the dbh because of the high additive genetic correlation coefficients and the low standard deviation between of this trait and volume. Other studies with $Pinus$ sp. support the same conclusion (Sebbenn et al., 1994; Zheng et al., 1994; Missio et al., 2004).

The expected genetic gains from selection were high for all studied traits, being 8.92% for height and 37.56% for volume. It is important to pinpoint that these expected gains are predictions for trees with 14 years of age, growing in sites as the same characteristics of the Selvíria site and originated from the mating among the selected trees here.

Table 2. Estimates of the coefficient of variation (CV), coefficient of variation within families (CV$_w$); environmental (CV$_e$); genetic among families (CV$_g$); phenotypic in plant level (CV$_l$); phenotypic in average family level (CV$_T$); estimate of the correlation due to the common environment of the parcel ($C^2$) and the quotient of selection (b), for total height, dbh and volume of $P. caribaea$ var. $hondurensis$ at 14 years of age in Selvíria, State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>CV$_w$</th>
<th>CV$_e$</th>
<th>CV$_g$</th>
<th>CV$_l$</th>
<th>CV$_T$</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>$C^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbh (cm)</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Estimates of heritability coefficients at individual level ($h_i^2$), average among families ($h_m^2$) and within families ($h_w^2$) for height, dbh, and volume of $P. caribaea$ var. $hondurensis$ at 14 years of age established at Selvíria, State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Heritability coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$h_i^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbh (cm)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Estimates of genetic correlations ($r_g$) and phenotypic ($r_p$) between height, dbh, and volume for some coniferous species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$r_g$</th>
<th>$r_p$</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height x dbh</td>
<td>$P. echinata$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TAUER and McNEW (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. patula$</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>BARNES et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. oocarpa$</td>
<td>0.43 a 0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sampaio et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. radiata$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>DEAN et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. taeda$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>Cotterill and Zed (1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. taeda$</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Shelbourne et al. (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. menziesii$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>Matziris and Zobel (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. caribaea hondurensis$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height x Vol</td>
<td>$P. echinata$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TAUER and McNEW (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. patula$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>BARNES et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. oocarpa$</td>
<td>0.53 a 0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sampaio et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. radiata$</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>DEAN et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. taeda$</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>Shelbourne et al. (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. menziesii$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Matziris and Zobel (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. caribaea hondurensis$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbh x Vol</td>
<td>$P. oocarpa$</td>
<td>0.92 a 0.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sampaio et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudotsuga menziesii</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>StClair (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. patula$</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>BARNES et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. taeda$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Matziris and Zobel (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. caribaea hondurensis$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Estimates of absolute ($G_s$) and relative gains ($\Delta G$) for selection of 15% of families and 10% of trees within families for height, dbh, and volume of $P. caribaea$ var. hondurensis at 14 years of age established at Selvíria, State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Among families</th>
<th>Gains of selection</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$G_s$</td>
<td>$\Delta G$</td>
<td>$G_s$</td>
<td>$\Delta G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbh (cm)</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>22.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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