 Contribution of non-target-site resistance in imidazolinone-resistant Imisun sunflower
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ABSTRACT: The first commercial herbicide-resistant trait in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is known as 'Imisun'. Imidazolinone resistance in Imisun cultivars has been reported to be genetically controlled by a major gene (known as \textit{Imr1} or \textit{Ahasl1-1}) and modifier genes. \textit{Imr1} is an allelic variant of the \textit{Ahasl1} locus that codes for the acetohydroxyacid synthase, which is the target site of these herbicides. The mechanism of resistance endowed by modifier genes has not been characterized and it could be related to non-target-site resistance. The objective of this study was to evaluate the role of cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s) in Imisun resistance. The response to imazapyr herbicide in combination with P450s inhibitor malathion was evaluated in 2 Imisun lines, IMI-1 and RHA426. Malathion reduced herbicide efficacy in both lines, but IMI-1 was affected in a greater extent. A significant reduction in plant growth in response to P450s inhibitors 1-aminobenzotriazole and piperonyl butoxide treatment was detected in the Imisun line HA425. The increased susceptibility to imazapyr after P450s-inhibitor treatment indicates that herbicide metabolism by P450s is a mechanism involved in Imisun resistance. These results also suggest the involvement of different P450s isozymes in endowing resistance to imazapyr in Imisun cultivars.
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INTRODUCTION

There are 2 primary mechanisms of herbicide resistance in plants: (i) resistance caused by mutations in target sites of the herbicide and (ii) resistance caused by non-target-site mechanisms (Baucom 2016). Target-site resistance involves a reduced sensitivity of target specific enzymes or proteins or, alternatively, it can be conferred by overexpression of the target site (Délye et al. 2015). Non-target-site resistance, on the other hand, involves several mechanisms such as reduced uptake or translocation of the herbicide, increased rate of herbicide detoxification, decreased rate of herbicide activation, or sequestration of the herbicide away from the target site into the vacuole or the apoplast. From a biochemical standpoint, non-target-site resistance can be caused by detoxification processes which involve the oxidation of the herbicide molecules, typically carried out by cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s), conjugation of the activated xenobiotic using thiols or sugars, transport of the conjugated molecules into the vacuole or extracellular space by active transport, and degradation of the conjugated molecule into the vacuole or extracellular space (Yuan et al. 2007).

A wild sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) population (PUR H. annuus) resistant to imidazolinones was discovered by Al-Khatib et al. (1998). The herbicide-resistant trait was introgressed into elite inbred lines of sunflower by conventional breeding methods for developing imidazolinone-resistant cultivars known as Imisun sunflowers (Miller and Al-Khatib 2002; Sala et al. 2012). The imidazolinone-resistant hybrids were commercialized as Clearfield® sunflowers in 2003, representing a major step in advancing weed control for this species (Tan et al. 2005).

Bruniard and Miller (2001) studied the inheritance of herbicide resistance in the Imisun HA425 inbred line. These authors demonstrated that this trait is controlled by 1 semi-dominant gene, named Imr1, and a second modifier gene, named Imr2. It was shown that Imr1 is an allelic variant of the Ahasl1 locus coding for acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS) catalytic subunit (Kolkman et al. 2004). However, the nature of the resistance endowed by Imr2 has not been determined yet.

The AHAS target-site modification does not confer 100% of the Imisun resistance phenotype, and the background genotype must be conducive to resistance (Sala et al. 2012). P450s have been implicated in the metabolism of different types of herbicides in several crops and weed species (Siminszky 2006; Yu and Powles 2014). Evidence of P450s involvement has been provided mainly by in vivo experiments using P450s inhibitors such as tetcyclacis, 1-aminobenzotriazole (ABT), piperonyl butoxide (PBO), and certain organophosphate insecticides such as malathion (Beckie et al. 2012; Letouzé and Gasquez 2003; Yang et al. 2016). These inhibitors also served as tools for creating an inhibitor profile of the isoforms participating in a given reaction (Siminszky 2006).

Only a few studies have described the role of P450s in metabolizing herbicides in the Helianthus genera. The CYP76B1 gene was isolated from Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus L.) and it was found to increase herbicide metabolism and resistance when expressed in tobacco and Arabidopsis (Didierjean et al. 2002). More recently, a cultivated sunflower line with multiple herbicide resistance was selected after the screening of 97 inbred lines with a combination of the herbicide imazamox and the insecticide malathion, an inhibitor of P450s (Kaspar et al. 2011).

The objective of this work was to determine the role of P450s herbicide detoxification in Imisun resistance. For this purpose, we evaluated the effect of P450s inhibitors on imidazolinone resistance in different Imisun sunflower genotypes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The response to herbicide and P450s inhibitors was analyzed in 2 different studies. Experiment 1 was conducted in a greenhouse with foliar application of malathion. In Experiment 2, shoot and root growth parameters of seedlings grown in semi-controlled conditions were evaluated in the presence of root-absorbed P450s inhibitors.

Experiment 1

IMI-1, RHA426, R720 and B7838 inbred lines were used. IMI-1 is a F2 parental line derived from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Imisun-1 population with pedigree HA89*3/PUR H. annuus (Al-Khatib and Miller 2000). RHA426 is a restorer line selected from the USDA Imisun-2 population with pedigree RHA409//RHA376*2/
**Experiment 1**

The ANOVA showed effect of herbicide concerning the 4 sunflower lines. Malathion had no effect on dry biomass of B7838 and R720 control lines (mean values are shown in Table 1). For Imisun lines (IMI-1 and HA426), the ANOVA showed effect of malathion and interaction between malathion and imazapyr.

Imisun lines showed different responses to imazapyr and malathion (Table 2). IMI-1 line decreased its biomass at lower herbicide doses than RHA426. Malathion affected herbicide efficacy at 2 imazapyr doses (160 and 320 g·ha⁻¹) for the IMI-1 line. RHA426 showed a lower reduction in biomass response as a result of malathion treatment only at 320 g·ha⁻¹ imazapyr.

**RESULTS**

**Experiment 2**

Two sunflower inbred lines were used: HA425 and HA89. The resistant line HA425 is a BC₂F₂ maintainer line derived from the cross HA89*3 and PUR *H. annuus* (Miller and Al-Khatib 2002). HA89 is a traditional inbred line released by the USDA.

Plants were grown in plastic pots (70 cm³) filled with commercial perlite. The pots were placed in plastic trays, watered by capillarity with 1.1 g·L⁻¹ of Murashige and Skoog's basal salts (Murashige and Skoog 1962) and incubated at 25 ± 2 °C with a 12 h photoperiod (100 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). After 7 days, the plants were treated with 0, 10, and 100 μM imazapyr alone and in combination with 1 of 2 different P450s inhibitors: PBO (50 μM) and ABT (70 μM). Each P450s inhibitor was evaluated in an independent assay. Preliminary experiments were performed to determine the maximum levels of each inhibitor to be used without phytotoxic effects in the absence of herbicide (data not shown). Each P450s inhibitor was added to the nutrient solution 24 h before herbicide application. After 15 days, the plants were collected and their roots were washed to remove perlite debris. Plants were dissected, and images were obtained and recorded. The longest lateral root length was measured from scanned images using ImageJ version 1.44 (Abràmoff et al. 2004). Foliar area of the first pair of leaves was evaluated using the Tomato Analyzer 3.0 software (Rodríguez et al. 2010).

The experimental design was a completely randomized block design with 3 replications of 10 plants each.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were subjected to 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with imazapyr and P450s inhibitor as main factors (5% level of significance). ANOVA assumptions were checked for each variable. The effect of P450s inhibitors on herbicide efficacy was assessed using planned orthogonal contrasts (p < 0.05) for each imazapyr dose. Reduction in plant growth as a result of P450s inhibitor treatment was calculated as: 

\[
\frac{[\text{imazapyr} - \text{imazapyr} + \text{P450s inhibitor}] / \text{imazapyr}} \times 100.
\]

Statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Development Core Team 2015).
The ANOVA showed effect of imazapyr in both lines, HA89 and HA425. There was interaction between imazapyr and P450s inhibitors on lateral root growth only for the HA425 Imisun resistant line. Furthermore, for this line, there was effect of inhibitor and interaction between imazapyr and PBO on foliar area.

PBO and ABT had no effect on root growth and foliar area for the HA89 control line. This susceptible line was greatly affected by herbicide application (Table 3).

The Imisun line HA425 showed a significant reduction in lateral root growth as a result of PBO and ABT treatment at 10 μM imazapyr. Foliar area was affected by PBO treatment at 10 μM imazapyr (Table 4).

**Experiment 2**

The ANOVA showed effect of imazapyr in both lines, HA89 and HA425. There was interaction between imazapyr and P450s inhibitors on lateral root growth only for the HA425 Imisun resistant line. Furthermore, for this line, there was effect of inhibitor and interaction between imazapyr and PBO on foliar area.

PBO and ABT had no effect on root growth and foliar area for the HA89 control line. This susceptible line was greatly affected by herbicide application (Table 3).

The Imisun line HA425 showed a significant reduction in lateral root growth as a result of PBO and ABT treatment at 10 μM imazapyr. Foliar area was affected by PBO treatment at 10 μM imazapyr (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Differences between the response to P450s inhibitor of Imisun inbred lines used in Experiment 1 (IMI-1 and RHA426; Table 2) can be explained by the presence of different modifier genes due to their different genetic origin. As previously reported, differences in imidazolinone resistance among Imisun hybrids were related to genetic background (Sala et al. 2012). In this way, the 2 Imisun resistant genotypes, IMI-1 (Experiment 1) and HA425 (Experiment 2), came from the same biparental cross involving HA89 and PUR *H. annuus*. Meanwhile, the Imisun resistant line RHA426 was obtained by forward crossing including 2 sunflower restorer lines and the resistant source PUR *H. annuus*. In a similar way, Volenberg et al. (2007) found that *Solanum ptycanthum* biotypes with the same mutation in *ahas* gene differed in imazamox resistance levels and had different mechanisms for increasing resistance.

Consistent with previous results, CLPlus lines (used as control in Experiment 1) showed a strong level of resistance that was not modified by the inhibition of P450s (Table 1). The resistance level of CLPlus is the result of a target-site mechanism (*Ahasl1-3* allele) without the involvement of modifier genes or background effect (Sala et al. 2008).

The inheritance study of Imisun resistance involved the cross HA89/H4A25 and postulated the presence of 1 modifier gene (*Imr2*) (Bruniard and Miller 2001). These genotypes were evaluated in Experiment 2. The increased phytotoxicity after treatment with P450s inhibitors in the HA425 inbred line suggests that P450s mediate a detoxification mechanism that could be related to the effect of the modifier gene *Imr2*.
P450s-mediated resistance mechanisms could be related to the overexpression of certain P450s genes as observed for *Echinochloa phyllopogon* (Iwakami et al. 2014).

Both PBO and ABT caused a reduction in the lateral root growth in Experiment 2. This trait was already described as a useful parameter for the selection of sunflower genotypes differing in imidazolinone resistance (Breccia et al. 2011). Interestingly, a differential response to ABT and PBO was observed for aerial growth (Table 4). A reduction in foliar area was observed only for PBO, and this may be explained by the fact that P450s isoforms have different inhibitor specificity. Moreover, isozymes are differentially expressed between tissues (Siminszky 2006). Similar results were reported in a sunflower line with multiple herbicide resistance reversed by malathion (Kaspar et al. 2011). In that study, the P450s inhibitor only increased herbicide toxicity when the effect of this inhibition was measured on shoot length but not on root length at seedling stage.

The insecticide malathion belongs to the group of organophosphates. When crops are treated simultaneously with an herbicide and an insecticide that are recognized by the same P450, it could lead to crop destruction (Werck-Reichhart et al. 2000). For this reason, caution should be taken to avoid the application on Imisun sunflowers of an organophosphate insecticide together with imidazolinones.

Imisun sunflower represents the first example of a commercial herbicide resistance trait conferred by independent resistance mechanisms (Tan et al. 2005). Probably the intermediate level of resistance endowed by the target-site resistance (*Imr1* or *Ahasl1-1* allele) allowed the identification of the second resistance mechanism. On the other hand, the complex nature of the non-target-site mechanisms involved in Imisun resistance also allows to explain the difficulties that arise when converting conventional lines into their resistant counterparts using only a molecular marker-based approach (Bulos et al. 2013).

### Table 3. Effect of piperonyl butoxide and 1-aminobenzotriazole on the longest lateral root length and foliar area of HA89 sunflower line in response to imazapyr herbicide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P450s inhibitor</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Imazapyr (µM)</th>
<th>Imazapyr</th>
<th>Imazapyr + inhibitor</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Inhibitor reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% non-treated control)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Piperonyl butoxide</strong></td>
<td>Longest lateral root</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48.11 ± 8.40</td>
<td>57.69 ± 10.78</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58.95 ± 7.47</td>
<td>59.96 ± 6.46</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar area</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.67</td>
<td>9.66 ± 6.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.39 ± 1.33</td>
<td>1.26 ± 1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1-aminobenzotriazole</strong></td>
<td>Longest lateral root</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44.47 ± 4.68</td>
<td>41.25 ± 1.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30.40 ± 11.02</td>
<td>35.69 ± 3.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar area</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.84 ± 7.22</td>
<td>31.98 ± 12.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.55 ± 1.94</td>
<td>6.74 ± 1.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Effect of P450s inhibitors 1-aminobenzotriazole and piperonyl butoxide on the longest lateral root length and foliar area of Imisun sunflower line HA425 in relation to imazapyr herbicide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P450s inhibitor</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Imazapyr (µM)</th>
<th>Imazapyr</th>
<th>Imazapyr + inhibitor</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Inhibitor reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% non-treated control)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Piperonyl butoxide</strong></td>
<td>Longest lateral root</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>92.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>66.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>48.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar area</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54.9 ± 3.9</td>
<td>35.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1-aminobenzotriazole</strong></td>
<td>Longest lateral root</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>86.3 ± 6.0</td>
<td>54.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>68.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar area</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>101.9 ± 6.0</td>
<td>102.4 ± 7.8</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>29.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Orthogonal contrast; †The reduction in biomass response as a result of P450s inhibitor treatment was calculated when the orthogonal contrast was significant (p < 0.05), calculated as: ([imazapyr − imazapyr + inhibitor]/imazapyr) × 100; ns = Non-significant (p > 0.05).
The diverse family of cytochrome P450 proteins has been suggested to be a source of novel herbicide metabolism in both weed and crop plants (Thyssen et al. 2014). Identification of the genetic basis of this mechanism will provide new opportunities for exploiting AHAS-inhibiting herbicides. This information would be useful to develop sunflower varieties and cultural strategies that allow crop to better compete with weeds, leading to sustainable and more efficient management of this crop. The deployment of genes involved in non-target-site resistance, which enhances the resistance provided by AHAS genes or other target-site resistance genes already in use, will allow to develop new weed control technologies based on a broad diversity of active ingredients or to use more active adjuvants or improved herbicide formulations.

CONCLUSION

This work contributes to understand the complexity of non-target-site resistance in Imisun sunflower. The increased susceptibility to imazapyr herbicide after malathion treatment in IMI-1 and RHA426 Imisun lines and after PBO and ABT treatments in the Imisun HA425 line indicates that a non-target-site mechanism related to P450s contributes to herbicide resistance in these Imisun genotypes. Moreover, different responses to P450 inhibitors among lines suggest the possible involvement of different P450s isozymes in endowing resistance to imazapyr in Imisun cultivars. Comprehensive genomic approaches have been conducted to understand the molecular basis of non-target-site resistance in Imisun sunflower.
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