The purpose of this study was to evaluate the behavior of \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 during lactose hydrolysis and fermentation of traditional and low lactose yogurt. It also aimed to verify \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 survival after 12 h of storage at 4 °C ±1 °C. Two different types of yogurts were prepared, two with whole milk and two with pre-hydrolyzed whole milk; in both groups one yogurt was inoculated with \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 and the other one was not inoculated. The survival of \textit{E. coli} and pH of yogurt were determined during fermentation and after 12-h refrigeration. The results showed that \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 was able to grow during the fermentation period (from 4.34 log CFU.mL$^{-1}$ to 6.13 log CFU.mL$^{-1}$ in traditional yogurt and 4.34 log CFU.mL$^{-1}$ to 6.16 log CFU.mL$^{-1}$ in low lactose yogurt). The samples with \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 showed gas formation and syneresis. Thus, \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 was able to survive and grow during fermentation of traditional and low lactose yogurts affecting the manufacture technology. Moreover, milk contamination by \textit{E. coli} before LAB addition reduces the growth of \textit{L. bulgaricus} and \textit{S. thermophilus} especially when associated with reduction of lactose content.
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sobrevivência da *E. coli* e o pH dos iogurtes foram determinados durante a fermentação e após 12h de refrigeração. A partir dos resultados observou-se que a *E. coli* O157:H7 foi capaz de se multiplicar ou manter-se viável durante a fermentação (4,34 UFC.mL⁻¹ para 6,13 UFC.mL⁻¹ no iogurte tradicional e 4,34 UFC.mL⁻¹ para 6,16 log UFC.mL⁻¹ em iogurte com lactose reduzida). Nas amostras inoculadas com *E. coli* O157:H7 houve formação de gás e sinérese. Dessa forma, concluiu-se que a *E. coli* O157:H7 foi capaz de sobreviver e de se multiplicar durante a fermentação afetando a tecnologia de fabricação. Além disso, a contaminação do leite antes da adição das BAL reduziu o crescimento de *L. bulgaricus* e *S. thermophilus*, especialmente quando associado à redução da lactose.
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**Introduction**

*Escherichia coli* is one of the most prolific microorganisms in human intestinal tract and it is normally harmless; however, certain strains can be pathogenic, like enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC). These strains carry genetic determinants for attaching-effacing lesions that cause hemorrhagic colitis with severe abdominal pain and cramps followed by bloody diarrhea. In addition, the Shiga-like toxin production leads to additional intestinal diseases, such as hemolytic uremic syndrome and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura(1). A major example of EHEC is *E. coli* O157:H7, which was first recognized as a human pathogen in 1982 by Riley et al.(2) when it was associated with two outbreaks of hemorrhagic colitis. Since then, many other foodborne outbreaks have been reported involving different products such as ground beef(3) and yogurt(4).

Although yogurt is considered safe due to low acidity, some authors have reported *E. coli* O157:H7 survival during the storage period(5,6). It has been shown that *E. coli* O157:H7 cells have an effective mechanism to resist to extreme acid stress situations, and its resistance depends on the interaction with environmental compounds(7).

Fermented milk is widely consumed around the world and yogurt is the most popular fermented dairy product, though approximately 75% of the world’s population loses the ability to digest lactose into adulthood(8). It is known that the lactose content in yogurt is about one third lower than in milk due to fermentation conducted by lactic acid bacteria, converting lactose into lactic acid(9). However, in some cases, the persistence of gastrointestinal malaise from the consumption of yogurt shows that the decrease of lactose content would not be sufficient to relieve the symptoms of indigestion. This led to the introduction of improvements in dairy products production, such as reduced lactose content using exogenous lactases.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the behavior of *E. coli* O157:H7 during lactose hydrolysis process and fermentation process of traditional and low lactose yogurt. It also aimed to
verify *E. coli* O157:H7, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* spp. *Bulgaricus*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus* survival after 12 h of storage at 4±1°C.

**Materials and Methods**

The *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strain (CDC EDL - 933) was obtained from the National Institute of Health Quality Control of the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). The organisms were inoculated in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours (h). The bacterial cells were maintained on BHI and stored at 4 °C. To activate the *E. coli* O157:H7 cells, a loop-full of BHI stored was transferred to BHI broth and incubated at 37 °C for 24h.

The lactic starter culture used was an industrial yogurt culture, consisted of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* spp. *bulgaricus* (DVS YF-L812, Christian Hansen Laboratories, Denmark). Before fermentation, culture was activated by mixing the 50 U sachet (10 to 11 log CFU.mL⁻¹) to 500 mL of sterile 10% (w/v) reconstituted skim milk powder (Molico, Nestlé, São Paulo, Brazil) and stirred for 15 min to achieve a homogenous culture. This volume was distributed into 10 mL test tubes, containing an approximate amount of 5 log CFU.mL⁻¹, according to Mac Farland scale, and stored at -18 °C until yogurt production. To perform the fermentation, the culture was thawed and evaluated by the Mac Farland scale, that revealed the same initial counting, which was then added to milk samples.

Commercial (ultra high temperature) UHT whole milk was analyzed to determine pH, titratable acidity (TA), fat content by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) standard Gerber method 2000.18 (AOAC, 2012), freezing point by cryoscopic method 990.22 (AOAC, 2012), and enumeration of *E. coli* O157:H7 and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) by International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 7889:2003 methods. The milk was inoculated with an enough amount of *E. coli* O157:H7 to yield a final concentration of 4 log CFU.mL⁻¹ in milk samples, according to the Mac Farland scale. This procedure was made before lactose hydrolysis and yogurt production. Inoculations were done into preheated milk at 40 °C. Control yogurt was also kept at this temperature to avoid variations when the starter culture was added.

Two different types of yogurt were prepared, each one of them with two treatments: traditional (TY); traditional with *E. coli* O157:H7 (TEY); low lactose (LLY); and low lactose with *E. coli* O157:H7 (LLEY). All types of yogurt were produced using pre-heated whole milk at 40 °C. Traditional yogurt was prepared with milk (control and inoculated) and starter culture. The low lactose yogurt was prepared from whole milk (control and inoculated) pretreated with β-galactosidase (450 mL/ 1000L of milk) (Maxilact LX 5000, DSM Food Specialties, Delft, Netherlands) for 1 h at 40 °C and inoculated with starter culture. All yogurt was incubated at 42 °C ± 1°C until reach the final pH of 4.5–4.6 and then stored at 4 °C. Samples were taken for pH determination at each hour of fermentation.

Bacterial count was performed with dilution of 25 g of each sample into 225 mL of 0.1% peptone saline water and homogenization in Stomacher® blender for 1 min. After this step, 1 mL of each initial dilution was transferred into tubes with 9 mL of 0.1% peptone saline water and serially dilutions were made until 10⁻¹⁰. *E. coli* O157:H7 was determined by pour plate technique, plating 1
mL of appropriate dilutions before Fluorocult *Escherichia coli* O157:H7Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany)\(^{11}\). Random isolates were confirmed by serology with *E. coli* O157 antiserum (Probac, São Paulo, Brazil).

For enumeration of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) the ISO methods were used and 1 mL aliquots of determined dilutions were added into a Petri dish to perform the counts by pour plates technique. M17 Agar (Difco Laboratories, Michigan, USA) was poured over the samples for the isolation of *S. thermophilus* and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. For *L. bulgaricus* count, acidified (5.4) Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) Agar (Difco Laboratories, Michigan, USA) was poured and the plates were incubated anaerobically in a GasPak\textsuperscript{TM} container (Becton, Dickinson and Company, New Jersey, USA) at 37 °C for 72 h\(^{12}\). The enumeration of *E. coli* O157:H7 was determined at 0, 6, and 12 hours after inoculation and enumeration of LAB was performed 12 hours after the end of fermentation.

The pH values of the samples were measured by immersing the electrode of a digital pHmeter (PG 1800, Cap Lab, São Paulo, Brazil) directly in the sample\(^{13}\).

Data from physicochemical analysis and microbial counts were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), testing the differences between the different types of yogurt at each sampling time. All ANOVA were subjected to Tukey’s test at P < 0.05. Statistical analysis were performed using XLSTAT version 2013.2.03 (Addinsoft, Paris, France)

**Results and Discussion**

The pH of all groups dropped during the fermentation process, as expected due to LAB metabolism and acid production. The pH values of the yogurt inoculated with *E. coli* O157:H7 after 6 h of fermentation were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than the values of non-inoculated yogurt. Also, there was no difference between control treatments after 6 h of fermentation as illustrated in Tab. 1. In accordance with our results, Rodriguez et al.\(^{14}\), Vénica et al.\(^{15}\) and Wolf et al.\(^{16}\) showed that the lactose hydrolysis did not affect the acidification process, and no differences in pH values between yogurt prepared from milk with different lactose contents and control yogurt were found. In inoculated groups, the pH difference might be related to the competition between LAB and *E. coli* O157:H7 for carbon sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fermentation time (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>6.64&lt;sup&gt;Aa&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLY</td>
<td>6.65&lt;sup&gt;Aa&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEY</td>
<td>6.46&lt;sup&gt;Ba&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLEY</td>
<td>6.41&lt;sup&gt;Ca&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a,b} \) Letters indicate significant differences in the treatment, P < 0.05.

\( ^{A,B} \) Letters indicate significant differences among the different treatments, P < 0.05.

TY: Traditional yogurt, LLY: Low lactose yogurt, TEY: Traditional inoculate yogurt, LLEY: Low lactose inoculated yogurt.
During fermentation, at the third hour the yogurt inoculated with *E. coli* O157:H7 began to show a formation of small bubbles of gas due to *E. coli* metabolism, forming CO$_2$ gas (Fig. 1A). Over the hours, these bubbles became increasingly abundant and an intense syneresis was observed (Fig. 1B). The final products after 6 hours of fermentation were visually changed, and it was not possible to observe homogeneous and firm coagulum as observed in non-inoculated yogurts.

Xu et al. (17) observed that different strains of *E. coli* O157:H7 are capable to rapidly ferment lactose and glucose with gas production. In this study, the results showed that this fermentation occurred and the rapid growth of *E. coli* O157:H7 was facilitated by incubation at 40 °C of all types of yogurt during one hour for lactose hydrolysis. Another factor that influenced *E. coli* growth was the absence of microbial competition and acidification from the starter cultures during the early times of hydrolysis.

![Figure 1. Initial gas formation of inoculated yogurt (A) and final product after 6h of fermentation (B).](image)

Some researchers have studied the survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 in food systems, especially yogurt, and they have related the survival of the cells during fermentation process (5, 18). Our results suggested *E. coli* O157:H7 was able to grow despite the acidic environment developed and the bacterial competition.

*E. coli* O157:H7 was not found in non-inoculated yogurt (control). Counts right after inoculation and after fermentation increased from 4.34 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ in both groups to 6.13 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ and 6.16 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ in TEY and LLEY, respectively. After 12 h of cooling at 4 °C, counts increased slightly to 6.87 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ and 6.75 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ in TEY and LLEY, respectively, with no significantly difference between then.

Our results are in accordance with those observed by Kasmoglu and Akgün (19) and Bachrouri et al. (5), who reported counts of *E. coli* O157:H7 increased about 1 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ from initial inoculum during fermentation process. In the same context, Osaili et al. (18) reported a large increase of *E. coli* O157:H7 count of 3.05 log CFU mL$^{-1}$ during the fermentation process. After the
fermentation process, we found similar results as Ogwaro et al.\textsuperscript{20} and Cirone et al.\textsuperscript{21}, who reported an increase of \textit{E. coli} \textit{O157:H7} counts from 5 CFU mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 8–9 log CFU mL\textsuperscript{-1} and 5.3 CFU mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 6.4 log CFU mL\textsuperscript{-1} after 24 h of fermentation, respectively.

The results of the present study suggested \textit{E. coli} \textit{O157:H7} was able to adapt to the acid environment and grow even after cooling and storage at low temperature. Moreover, \textit{E. coli} was able to use different carbon sources for growth because no significant difference in its growth was observed in both groups with different lactose content. These findings are supported by results reported by Xu et al.\textsuperscript{17}, Adler and Kaiser\textsuperscript{22}, and Ozbudak et al.\textsuperscript{23}, that \textit{E. coli} is able to use different carbon sources like glucose, lactose and galactose.

The means of \textit{S. thermophilus} and \textit{L. bulgaricus} counts after 12 h of cooling are shown in Tab. 2. Our results suggested the reduction of lactose content already affects significantly (P < 0.05) the LAB counts and the presence of \textit{E. coli} \textit{O157:H7} also affects \textit{L. bulgaricus} counts, lowering even more when associated with lactose reduction.

\textbf{Table 2.} Counts of \textit{S. thermophilus} and \textit{L. bulgaricus} in yogurt after 12 h of cooling and storage at 4 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>\textit{S. thermophilus}</th>
<th>\textit{L. bulgaricus}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>9.83\textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>10.35\textsuperscript{A}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLY</td>
<td>9.72\textsuperscript{B}</td>
<td>9.84\textsuperscript{B}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEY</td>
<td>8.31\textsuperscript{C}</td>
<td>8.36\textsuperscript{C}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLEY</td>
<td>6.85\textsuperscript{D}</td>
<td>5.85\textsuperscript{D}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{A-D} Letters indicate significant differences among the different treatments, P < 0.05.

TY: Traditional yogurt, LLY: Low lactose yogurt, TEY: Traditional inoculated yogurt, LLEY: Low lactose inoculated yogurt.

Researchers have demonstrated that \textit{S. thermophilus} and \textit{L. bulgaricus} show a mutually favorable interaction. \textit{L. bulgaricus} produces amino acids and small peptides that stimulate \textit{S. thermophilus} growth by acting as an amino acid source\textsuperscript{24,25}, and \textit{S. thermophilus} produces carbon dioxide and formic acid that stimulate \textit{L. bulgaricus}\textsuperscript{26}.

Our results showed the highest LAB counts in non-inoculated (control) yogurts confirming the symbiosis; however, we observed a significantly decrease (P < 0.05) in counts in low lactose yogurts. Some researchers have reported that \textit{L. bulgaricus} shows a preference of lactose over glucose and that \textit{S. thermophilus} shows competitive and growth advantage over \textit{L. bulgaricus}\textsuperscript{27, 28}. The smaller counts observed in the groups with lactose reduction in comparison with traditional yogurts could also be explained by a partial inhibition of lacSZ operon in LAB, which encodes a permease that transports lactose into cells and β-galactosidase due to the absence of lactose and presence of free glucose from hydrolyzed milk as shown by Lapierre et al.\textsuperscript{29}.

It has been reported that most strains of \textit{S. thermophilus} are phenotypically galactose-negative and do not contain the necessary genes for galactose metabolism. They are able to metabolize only glucose portion of lactose and expel galactose into the medium\textsuperscript{30,31}, hindering its growth in medium with reduced lactose content. As most strains of \textit{S. thermophilus} are unable to ferment galactose, they did not grow and did not produce acids that stimulate \textit{L. bulgaricus} growth either. Our results corroborate these findings because in both low lactose treatments we observed reduced
counts of *L. bulgaricus* in comparison with *S. thermophilus*, which seemed to be better adapted to a low lactose content and able to use glucose as a carbon source.

In both inoculated treatments, significantly lower LAB counts were observed, especially in reduced lactose samples. These results may be related to both lactose hydrolysis, that restricts the growth of LAB, and the competition with *E. coli* for residual lactose and glucose that seemed to be more rapidly used by *E. coli* O157:H7.

**Conclusion**

We concluded that *E. coli* O157:H7 was able to survive and grow during fermentation of traditional and low lactose yogurt, affecting the manufacture technology and reducing yogurt LAB counts. Moreover, milk contamination by *E. coli* before addition of LAB starters reduced the growth of *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*, especially when associated with reduction of lactose content.
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