METABOLIZABLE ENERGY OF RICE BRAN, COTTONSEED MEAL AND WHEAT BRAN FOR SLOW-GROWING BROILERS AT TWO AGES
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Abstract
The aim of this study was to evaluate bromatological composition and metabolizable energy values of cottonseed meal, rice meal, and wheat bran when provided to slow growth rate broilers at different ages. Energy values of 15- to 25- and 35- to 45-day-old broilers were determined by the total feces collection method using two digestibility bioassays. The birds were distributed in a completely randomized experimental design to evaluate the age effect, with six replicates of 10 chickens each, for each ingredient. Values of apparent metabolizable energy adjusted by nitrogen balance (kcal/kg), for the first and second ages were: 1,255 and 1,564 for cottonseed meal, 3,124 and 3,301 for rice meal, and, 2,211 and 2,383 for wheat bran, respectively. The ingredients showed some variations regarding chemical composition and energy values, which were higher (P < 0.05) in older broiler chickens.
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Resumo: objetivo desta pesquisa foi determinar a composição bromatológica e os valores de energia metabolizável para frangos de corte de crescimento lento em diferentes idades dos ingredientes: farelo de algodão, farelo de arroz e farelo de trigo. Para determinação dos valores energéticos foi utilizado o método de coleta total de excretas em dois ensaios de digestibilidade de 15 a 25 e de 35 a 45 dias de idade. As aves foram distribuídas em delineamento inteiramente casualizado, avaliando o efeito da idade, com seis repetições constituídas de 10 aves, para cada ingrediente. Os valores de energia metabolizável aparente corrigida pelo balanço de nitrogênio (kcal/kg), para primeira e segunda idade respectivamente, foram: farelo de algodão, 1,255 e 1,564; farelo de arroz, 3,124 e 3,301; farelo de trigo, 2,211 e 2,383. Os alimentos apresentaram variação quanto a composição química, bem como o valor energético foi superior (P<0,05) para os frangos de maior idade.

Palavras-chave: coleta total de excretas; composição química de alimentos; frango caipira; valor energético.
Introduction

Food energy content is one of the important components of poultry diets as energy is required from maintenance to maximizing poultry productive potential. The energy content present in feed can be expressed by several methods in which metabolizable energy is the most usual tool used in poultry nutrition\(^{(1)}\).

Aiming to decrease feed costs, alternative ingredients to maize and soybean meal in poultry rations have been studied by several researchers. For slow-growing broiler lineages, the studies can have special interest once these birds have their own physiological characteristics with possible nutritional impacts, e.g., better fiber-diet digestibility after 31 days of age compared to fast-growing lineages\(^{(2)}\). In contrast, although peculiarities exist regarding the development of the digestive system organs and the serum biochemical parameters, no differences were found in the activity of the pancreatic enzymes lipase and amylase, indicating similar capacity of nutrients digestion among lineages\(^{(3)}\).

Rice meal and wheat bran are energetic feedstuffs that are possible to be used instead of corn, as the price of corn increases, especially during off-season periods. Furthermore, rice and wheat have higher protein content than corn with the lowest inclusion being possibly of soybean meal in the diets. Soybean meal is the most important source of protein in poultry nutrition worldwide, but the increasing demand has increased the price, sometimes requiring the use of other protein sources. Cottonseed meal is a by-product of the cotton oil industry, a rich source of protein, but higher in fiber and antinutritional factors, being used as a partial replacement of soybean meal in poultry diets.

Several factors influence the metabolizable energy values of feedstuffs, for example, age; young birds have a lower digestibility and higher sensibility to antinutritional factors than older birds which have a digestive tract that is more developed and has a higher absorption capacity\(^{(4)}\). In addition, the endogenous losses also decrease with the advancing age in birds and can directly affect the apparent metabolizable energy values\(^{(5)}\). The best energetic utilization of ingredients and complete rations by older chickens has been described in both fast-growing broilers\(^{(6-8)}\) and slow-growing broilers\(^{(9-11)}\).

Determining bromatological composition and feed energy values are lengthy and costly processes, requiring the use of nutritional tables to obtain the energetic nutritional values of the feed. Nonetheless, to update this data, information is required to complement and improve these tables. Owing to the small number of studies available, the diets for slow-growing broilers are usually calculated using energy values of ingredients obtained from fast-growing broilers, which can result in poor feed conversion as well as higher accumulation of abdominal fat\(^{(12)}\). Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the chemical composition and the metabolizable energy values of rice bran, cottonseed meal, and wheat bran in slow-growing broilers at two ages.

Material and methods

To determine the energy values of ingredients in slow-growing broilers, two metabolism assays were performed in the poultry metabolism laboratory. All procedures of this project were approved by the
ethics committee for animal research (CEUA) of the Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro under protocol number 23083.001321/2012-04.

The ingredients evaluated were cottonseed meal, rice meal, and wheat bran. For chemical composition the following analyses were performed according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemistry:\(^{13}\): dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fiber (CF), and ash. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were determined according to Van Soest et al.\(^{14}\); gross energy (GE) was determined using the IKA C 2000 calorimeter (IKA Brasil, Campinas, SP, Brazil); and Ca and P were determined according to the Adolfo Lutz Institute methodology\(^{15}\).

Determination of apparent metabolizable energy values (AME), nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AMEn), dry matter metabolism coefficient (DMMC), apparent energy metabolism coefficient (EMC), and nitrogen-corrected apparent energy metabolism coefficient (EMCn) were estimated throughout the two total feces collection bioassays.

Two hundred and forty 1-day-old males of the broiler strain Redbro Plumé (Vermelho Pesado – C44), selected for slow growth rate, were housed in 24 metabolic cages, measuring 0.90 m × 0.60 m × 0.45 m. All birds from 1 to 14 days old received an initial ration formulated to attend all of their nutritional exigencies according to Rostagno et al.\(^{16}\). The treatments comprised three ingredients evaluated during two periods: 15 to 25 days and 35 to 45 days in a completely randomized experimental design with six replicates of 10 birds each, for each ingredient evaluated.

To perform energy value calculations, a group of broilers received a reference diet (Table 1) while the other three groups received different treatments comprising 60% of the reference diet and 40% of the ingredient to be evaluated, except for cottonseed meal, which replaced 20% of the reference diet owing to its high crude fiber content.

On day 15, all chicks were weighed (average weight of 353.03 ± 11.06 g) and housed in metabolic cages. The birds were maintained under experimental conditions for 5 d of adaptation. This was followed by twice daily collection of total excreta samples for 5 d. Feces were weighed, packed in identified plastic bags, and stored in a freezer (-10 °C) immediately after each collection until further analyses.

The total excreta produced and feed consumed per experimental unit were computed at the end of the trial. During the interval between experimental periods, the birds received a balanced growing ration, attending all nutritional exigencies.

On day 35, the second metabolic assay was initiated. The birds chicks were weighed (average weight of 1,291 ± 80.37 g ) and housed in metabolic cages. The procedures of management and collection were similar to those described in the prior metabolic assay.

Feces were thawed, weighed, and homogenized at the end of the experiment; 250 g of each sample was subjected to further analyses. Material was pre-dried in forced ventilation at 55 °C for 72 h followed by grinding to 1 mm. The excreta and experimental rations were submitted to analyses of DM, N, and GE.
Equations described by Matterson et al.\textsuperscript{(17)} were applied to determine the values of AME and AMEn. For DMMC, EMC, and EMCn, the following equations were used:

\[
EMC = \frac{AME}{GE} \times 100
\]
Results and discussion

Chemical composition and gross energy values are listed in Table 2. Variations in the results were observed in comparison to values reported in national\textsuperscript{(16,19,20)} and international literature\textsuperscript{(21,22)}. These differences may occur owing to variations in weather, type of soil, storage, and mainly sub products as a consequence of variable processes of extraction.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Item} & \textbf{Cottonseed Meal} & \textbf{Rice Meal} & \textbf{Wheat Bran} \\
\hline
Dry matter (%) & 88.45 & 88.31 & 87.42 \\
Crude Protein (%) & 30.68 & 12.59 & 15.49 \\
Ether extract (%) & 3.53 & 19.72 & 4.37 \\
Ash (%) & 4.67 & 10.71 & 4.45 \\
Crude fiber (%) & 21.74 & 9.68 & 7.34 \\
Neutral detergent fiber (%) & 46.76 & 26.56 & 36.29 \\
Acid detergent fiber (%) & 29.95 & 15.72 & 10.09 \\
Phosphorous (%) & 0.65 & 1.82 & 0.83 \\
Calcium (%) & 0.19 & 0.07 & 0.062 \\
Crude energy (kcal/kg) & 4.082 & 4.477 & 3.997 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textsuperscript{1}Values expressed in natural matter

Cottonseed meal showed 30.68\% CP whereas values between 25\%\textsuperscript{(23)} to 41\%\textsuperscript{(21)} were also found in the literature. The chemical composition of cotton meal was close to that described by Rostagno et al.\textsuperscript{(16)}, except for the EE; in the present study, this chemical composition was found to be of a superior value (3.53\%) than that cited by the author (1.26\%). In contrast, Rezende et al.\textsuperscript{(23)} found values higher than that previously mentioned for cottonseed meal (9\% EE). These differences in EE content could be attributed to the extraction method using mechanical or solvent removal, in which solvent removal results in cotton brans with low EE content\textsuperscript{(24)}.

Rice meal showed similar values for CP and ash, and higher values for EE, NDF, ADF, and CF than
those reported in the Brazilian Tables for Poultry and Swine\textsuperscript{(16)}. The rice meal analyzed presented a higher GE value than that reported in the literature\textsuperscript{(16,21,25)} owing to its elevated EE content.

NDF, ADF, and CF values of wheat bran were lower than those cited by Rostagno et al.\textsuperscript{(16)}; however, values of CP were similar, and those of EE and GE were higher. In the literature, CP content of wheat bran is described as between 13.01\% to 19.06\%\textsuperscript{(26,27)}. In the present study, CP and CF values were lower than those reported by Babiker et al.\textsuperscript{(22)} (18.69\% and 8.75\%, CP and CF, respectively). Assessing four different wheat brans, Nunes et al.\textsuperscript{(28)} reported gross energy values of 3,071 and 4,020 kcal/kg. In this study, 3,997 kcal/kg GE was determined for wheat bran.

Ingredients evaluated showed superior AME and AMEn values (P < 0.05) at 35–45 days of age, indicating the ingredients were better used by the older broilers. According to Batal and Parsons\textsuperscript{(29)}, AME and AMEn values are affected by the age of the birds, owing to a better nutrient digestibility, mainly of starch and fat with the growth of the birds.

The values of AME and AMEn determined for the different ages are presented in Table 3.

### Table 3. Ingredients apparent metabolizable energy (AME) and nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AMEn) at different ages\textsuperscript{1}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed</th>
<th>AME (kcal/kg)</th>
<th>AMEn (kcal/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35–45</td>
<td>15–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonseed Meal</td>
<td>1,394\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>1,792\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV\textsuperscript{2} (%)</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Meal</td>
<td>3,243\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>3,439\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV\textsuperscript{2} (%)</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat Bran</td>
<td>2,303\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>2,479\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV\textsuperscript{2} (%)</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1}Values expressed in natural matter.  
\textsuperscript{2}Coefficient of variation

\textsuperscript{a, b}: Means in the same row having different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Ingredients evaluated showed superior AME and AMEn values (P < 0.05) at 35–45 days of age, indicating the ingredients were better used by the older broilers. According to Batal and Parsons\textsuperscript{(29)}, AME and AMEn values are affected by the age of the birds, owing to a better nutrient digestibility, mainly of starch and fat with the growth of the birds.

Evaluating the effect of age on enzymatic activity and nutrient digestibility of soybean bran and extruded soybean, Sakomura et al.\textsuperscript{(30)} found higher AME and AMEn values in older birds as well as superior pancreas allometric growth and an increase in pancreatic enzymes activity, indicating that as the bird grows, the digestive tract develops and the feed energy utilization is better.

The cottonseed meal evaluated showed 1,394 kcal/kg and 1,792 kcal/kg AME, and 1,255 kcal/Kg and 1,564 kcal/kg AMEn for the first and second age groups, respectively. AMEn values were lower than the standard (1,666 kcal/kg) found by Rostagno et al.\textsuperscript{(16)}, which was obtained with conventional lineage broilers. The results obtained were also lower than those reported by Santos et al.\textsuperscript{(24)} in three different types of cottonseed meal, with AMEn between 2,793 kcal/kg to 2,977 kcal/kg. This variation may be explained by the crude fiber percentage content of the ingredients studied which was 21\% in the present research, while Rostagno et al.\textsuperscript{(16)} reported 24.93\% and Santos et al.\textsuperscript{(24)} 7.93\% to 15.94\%.
In addition, Carvalho et al.\textsuperscript{(20)} evaluating cottonseed meal with high crude fiber content (29%) reported 1,188 kcal/kg AMEn.

Regarding the two periods of trials, cottonseed meal presented higher values (28.55% AME and 24.62% AMEn) at 35 to 45 days of age than that at 15 to 25 days; consistent with those found by Brumano et al.\textsuperscript{(6)} and Generoso et al.\textsuperscript{(7)}, who evaluated AME and AMEn of cottonseed meal provided to conventional lineage of broilers at different ages (21–30 and 41—50 days).

The energetic values for rice meal during first and second age were 3,243 kcal/kg and 3,439 kcal/kg AME and 3,124 kcal/kg and 3,301 kcal/kg AMEn, respectively. Values of AMEn were higher than that reported in different studies: 2.897 kcal/kg, Junqueira et al.\textsuperscript{(25)}; 2,521 kcal/kg, Rostagno et al.\textsuperscript{(16)}; and 3,075 kcal/kg, Pontalti et al.\textsuperscript{(31)}. These differences are because of the effects of the elevated percentage of EE in rice meal in the present study (19.72%).

The AME and AMEn values for rice meal at the second age were greater than those at the first age, 6.05% and 5.67%, respectively. These values are consistent with the 2,446 kcal/kg and 2,650 kcal/kg reported by Generoso et al.\textsuperscript{(7)}, where values at the second age were 8% higher than that at the first age, in a study with conventional broilers at 21–30 and 41–50 days of age. However, Mello et al.\textsuperscript{(8)} observed rice meal with different AMEn values when provided to broilers of 10 to 17, 26 to 33, and 40 to 47 days, noticing superior AMEn only when determined in adult roosters.

Wheat bran energetic values at the first and second age were 2,303 kcal/kg and 2,479 kcal/kg AME and 2,211 kcal/kg and 2,333 kcal/kg AMEn, respectively. The values are similar to those reported by Silva et al.\textsuperscript{(27)} using commercial laying hens, 2,396 kcal/kg AME and 2,185 kcal/kg AMEn. However, the results were higher than those cited by Nunes et al.\textsuperscript{(28)}, which ranged between 1,807 kcal/kg to 1,972 kcal/kg when evaluating four types of wheat bran. Moreover, Rostagno et al.\textsuperscript{(16)} reported 1,795 kcal/kg AMEn in wheat bran.

At the second age, AME and AMEn values for wheat bran were superior (7.64% and 7.77%, respectively) to the results obtained with broilers at the first age. These results are consistent with those of Generoso et al.\textsuperscript{(7)}, who found 1,683 kcal/kg AMEn in broilers aged 21 to 30 days and 1,877 kcal/kg in birds aged 41 to 50 days. Mello et al.\textsuperscript{(8)} evaluating birds with different ages reported higher wheat bran AMEn in adult roosters, intermediate content between ages of 26 to 33 and 33 to 40 days, and a lower value at 10 to 17 days of age.

All ingredients showed higher energetic value (P < 0.05) in older birds. Santos et al.\textsuperscript{(9)} found similar results in broilers of slow growth rate (Isa Label), with higher AMEn values in broilers 28 to 35 days old than in 10 to 17 days old broilers, evaluating whole corn germ, sorghum, and soybean meal.

Cottonseed meal (29.95% ADF) presented a higher difference in AMEn (24.62%) among the experimental periods. These data are consistent with those of Krás et al.\textsuperscript{(2)}, who demonstrated that as birds grow, they improve the digestibility of fiber.

EMC and EMCn values (Table 4) were greater (P < 0.05) in 35 to 45-day-old birds as also found by Gasparini et al.\textsuperscript{(10)}, who reported a linear increase in AME, AMEn, EMC, and EMCn content with growth in nutritional analyses of babassu meal fed to low growing broilers at different ages.

Cottonseed meal DMMC was similar to that reported by Carvalho et al.\textsuperscript{(20)}; however, a higher EMC was observed in the current study. EMC values according to Santos et al.\textsuperscript{(9)} with three different processing methods ranged from 55.5% to 65.2%.
Rice meal and wheat bran showed higher value of DMMC at the second age evaluated (P < 0.05). In contrast, Pontalti et al.\textsuperscript{(31)} mentioned lower values than in the previous study. Nonetheless, EMC in both studies were similar.

EMC and EMCn content of wheat bran were greater than those cited by Nunes et al.\textsuperscript{(26)}, estimating 47.79\% and 46.10\%, respectively, and Silva et al.\textsuperscript{(27)} reporting 49.10\% for EMC and 41.18\% for DMMC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>DMMC (%)</th>
<th>EMC (%)</th>
<th>EMCn (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cottonseed Meal</td>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>35-45</td>
<td>15-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV(^2) (%)</td>
<td>26.1(^a)</td>
<td>28.9(^a)</td>
<td>34.1(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Meal</td>
<td>53.4(^b)</td>
<td>57.0(^a)</td>
<td>72.4(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV(^2) (%)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat Bran</td>
<td>46.1(^b)</td>
<td>50.7(^a)</td>
<td>57.9(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV(^2) (%)</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{a, b: Means in the same row having different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).}\)

Such differences might be associated with the effect of feed chemical composition, age, and poultry genetic potential on the metabolism bioassays used to obtain the energetic values.

**Conclusions**

Chemical composition variations were observed compared to the values cited in the literature. Feed metabolizable energy values were influenced by the age of the birds.
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