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Abstract

Direct brazing is a well-known technique for joining ceramics either themselves or to metals, whereby the required costly active filler alloys are considered a handicap of this process. In this work the indirect brazing of zirconia to zirconia mechanically metallized with Ti was successfully achieved using conventional filler alloys. The mechanical metallization specially applied to oxide ceramics is a successfully technique that was patented by Forschungszentrum Juelich, Germany, and it has been the subject of applied researches in the last 10 years. This particular metallization is made at room temperature with low cost production and is environmentally safe. Partially stabilized zirconia with yttria was mechanically metallized with Ti and wetting conditions were evaluated using Ag-28Cu and Au-18Ni fillers. These conventional fillers dissolve part of the Ti-coating becoming on-site at brazing temperature an active filler alloy which reacts with the zirconium oxide to improve wetting on the ceramic surface. Better results were selected for brazing tests in a high-vacuum furnace (< 3×10⁻⁵ mbar). Helium gas leak detection was made at the ceramic/ceramic interface at room temperature; samples from reliable vacuum tight joints were examined by microstructural analysis and linescan technique by energy dispersive X-ray analysis in its cross-section. Microhardness profiles were made across the joint interface where zirconia undergone darkening after brazing process. Sound brazed joints were produced even when just one ceramic surface of the joint assemble was previously metallized, due to titanium diffusion from the metallized ceramic surface to the other ceramic side at the joint assemble.
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INTRODUCTION

Advanced ceramics include oxides, carbides, nitrides and a number of their composites that usually have high corrosion and oxidation strength at elevated temperatures, high abrasion strength and good mechanical properties. However, these materials are expensive, brittle and exhibit poor machinability to be difficult to fabricate into large or complex shaped components [1-2]. Brazing is a flexible and well-documented process to overcome these drawbacks.
and produce hybrid components with reliable interfaces and improved engineering properties [3-5].

Active metal brazing is the most common method for joining ceramics to either themselves or to metals in which is used a filler alloy that contain low percents of an active metal in its composition, such as V, Cr, Ta, Hf, Zr and specially Ti (commercially 1.5-4.5 wt.%). These solutes promote lower contact angles and enhance the ceramic/metal wettablity by the melted filler alloy reducing the oxide that constitutes the main ceramic phase. Formation of a continuous interfacial reaction layer between the ceramic and filler is crucial for joint strength [6-8]. However, excessive reduction reactions can produce a reaction layer with undesirable intermetallic phases decreasing mechanical properties of the brazing joint. Moreover, higher costs of these fillers has driven forward alternative joining approaches such as previous metallization on the ceramic surface with an active metal and subsequent indirect brazing process using active-metal free filler alloys [9-11].

Several techniques have been documented for ceramic metallization in the scientific literature, such as plasma spray, physical and chemical vapour deposition (PVD and CVD process, respectively). Nevertheless they have the handicap of being more expensive and laborious than the here proposed mechanical metallization. By the other side, the well-known Mo-Mn process is inappropriate for zirconia metallization because it does not have a glassy intergranular phase, which is a key factor for joining between the formed metallic film and ceramic substrate [3, 12]. The mechanical metallization technique was patented by Forschungszentrum Juelich (Germany) and it has been in continuous development, involving studies about oxide and non-oxide ceramics to produce vacuum tight ceramic/metal joints with good mechanical strength and low cost production [10, 11]. It has been successfully applied at laboratory scale using commercially pure Ti tools to make an active metal coating on the ceramic surface. It has been noticed that typical microstructure at the ceramic/metal interface is characterized by eutectic constituent closely to a rich-Ti reaction layer and eventually by a precipitation zone between them, which contains dispersive intermetallic phases [9].

Zirconia ceramic exhibits three well-defined polymorphs: monoclinic (m-ZrO₂) at room temperature and pressure; tetragonal (t-ZrO₂) increasing temperature above ≈1170 °C; and then to a cubic structure (c-ZrO₂) starting about 2360 °C with melting temperature ≈2716 °C [3, 13]. A suitable tetragonal-monoclinic (t → m) transformation is important to promote high abrasion strength associated to better mechanical properties. However, additions of certain metal oxides such as calcia (CaO), ceria (CeO₂), magnesia (MgO) or yttria (usually 3.0-4.5 mol% or ≈6-8 wt.% Y₂O₃) plus a controlled heat treatment are crucial to overcome the volume increase (3-5%) typical in pure zirconia caused by t → m transformation, which is known to be similar to that of martensite formation when quenching steel [13, 14]. The stresses induced are sufficient to produce a spontaneous cracking in pure zirconia on cooling from its firing temperature.

Commercial forms of transformation-toughened zirconia are: partially stabilized zirconia (PSZ; e.g. Ca-PSZ, Mg-PSZ, Y-PSZ) with lenticular tetragonal precipitates – lens shaped – in a cubic matrix; and tetragonal zirconialpyocrystals (TZP; e.g. Y-TZP, Ce-TZP) nominally ≈98% tetragonal with fine grain size <0.5 µm) [13, 14]. Properties like high corrosion and oxidation strength at elevated temperatures and higher mechanical strength and fracture toughness comparing to other dense ceramics particularly at temperatures below ≈300 °C for KIC values in the range 15-20 MPa.m¹/² are beneficial in a large technical applications range from wire drawing dies, cutting and machining tools, gas turbines to oxygen sensors and solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) [1, 3, 4, 15].

In the present study, titanium was mechanically deposited on the ceramic surface as a previous stage for brazing zirconia to zirconia using two commercial active-metal-free filler alloys. The objectives were producing reliable vacuum tight brazing joints, based on previous knowledge about wetting behavior on the metallized ceramic surface, characterizing the resultant microstructure at the joint interface and evaluating how the darkening effect in zirconia affects the joining quality.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Ceramic material rods Ø8.0 mm are yttria partially stabilized zirconia (Y-PSZ, ≈8.5 wt.% Y₂O₃) produced by Friatec, Germany, whose properties are shown in Table I. The conical-shape tools used for mechanical metallization were produced from a rod of commercially pure Ti (ASTM

---

Table I – Physical and mechanical properties of the zirconia ceramic (Degussit FZY*) used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (wt.%)</th>
<th>Apparent density, 20 °C (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Open porosity (%)</th>
<th>Knoop hardness (100 g)</th>
<th>Bending strength (MPa)</th>
<th>E modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Maximum operating temperature (°C)</th>
<th>CTE (10⁻⁶ °C⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.5% Y₂O₃, ZrO₂ balance</td>
<td>5.50 – 5.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>(20-1000 °C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*source: [http://www.friatec.de/content/Germany/friatec_neu](http://www.friatec.de/content/Germany/friatec_neu)
grade 2). Commercial filler alloys with 50 μm thickness were VH780 (Ag-28Cu) and VH950 (Au-18Ni), respectively for working temperatures in the range 780-820 °C and 970 °C, according to the manufacturer (Umicore AG & Co. KG).

An obvious consideration about the filler alloy is that it must have its liquidus temperature higher than provided work temperature of brazed joint, but lower than component’s melting temperature. The eutectic Ag-Cu based filler is generally preferred for ceramic/ceramic or ceramic/metal joining because it is ductile and chemically inert, what reduces oxidation and therefore minimizes residual stresses during cooling from brazing temperature [4, 15]. In relation to the Au-18Ni filler, gold has excellent thermal conductivity (315 W/m.K) and resistance to oxidation, including very high ductility that is also beneficial to the accommodation of the thermal stresses via interfacial plastic flow [6].

Before metallization, the ceramic samples were polished to 6 μm diamond suspension and ultrasonically cleaned in acetone bath for 10 min. Afterwards, samples were attached to the lathe and turned on the counterclockwise under constant speed (800 rpm), while the conical-shape tool was attached to a reworking high speed that turned on the clockwise (27,000 rpm), according to the scheme in Fig. 1. During the contact between these parts, the metallic tool wore out and titanium was deposited on the ceramic surface. Parameters used for metallization process were selected from the previous studies; oxidation behavior was not detected under these conditions [9, 10].

Wetting tests were made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Standard thermal cycle used for both wetting and brazing tests is shown in Fig. 2. There are two levels for temperature homogenization at 700 °C and 750 °C and the third level is specified for wetting and subsequent brazing temperature (soaking for 10 min), depending on the filler alloy.

Contact angles were measured when samples were first removed from the vacuum furnace in the end of wetting tests by software developed at UFRN using images analysis. Wetting conditions were also qualitatively analyzed by visual inspection and microstructural analysis techniques at the ceramic/filler interface to determine better conditions for subsequent brazing tests.

Each joint assemble was submitted by a pressure on its top approximately 120 kPa and fixed to avoid misalignments. Mechanical loads should be applied to improve brazing alloys spreading on the ceramic surface at brazing temperatures, but this limits the application fields in industrial products with complicated shape [1]. Brazing zirconia to zirconia was made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Wetting tests were made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Standard thermal cycle used for both wetting and brazing tests is shown in Fig. 2. There are two levels for temperature homogenization at 700 °C and 750 °C and the third level is specified for wetting and subsequent brazing temperature (soaking for 10 min), depending on the filler alloy.

Contact angles were measured when samples were first removed from the vacuum furnace in the end of wetting tests by software developed at UFRN using images analysis. Wetting conditions were also qualitatively analyzed by visual inspection and microstructural analysis techniques at the ceramic/filler interface to determine better conditions for subsequent brazing tests.

Each joint assemble was submitted by a pressure on its top approximately 120 kPa and fixed to avoid misalignments. Mechanical loads should be applied to improve brazing alloys spreading on the ceramic surface at brazing temperatures, but this limits the application fields in industrial products with complicated shape [1]. Brazing zirconia to zirconia was made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Wetting tests were made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Wetting tests were made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Wetting tests were made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].

Wetting tests were made in a high-vacuum furnace at FZ-Juelich (Pfeiffer MOV262) in zirconia samples mechanically metallized with Ti using both filler alloys for two thermal cycles for each one. Wetting temperatures tested for the respective fillers were 820 °C and 880 °C for Ag-28Cu filler, 980 °C and 1050 °C for Au-18Ni filler. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50 °C over the liquidus of the fillers [3].
vacuum furnace (better 3x10⁻⁵ mbar) using a simple butt-joint configuration, which was also useful for He gas leak tests at room temperature in accordance to the Standard DIN EN 1779 (Inficon UL200 equipment) – see scheme in Fig. 3.

Samples were selected from tight brazing joints and its cross-section examined for microstructural analysis: mounted in epoxy and cut on a low-speed diamond wire, grinded using diamond grinding paper (76 µm, 40 µm, 20 µm, 10 µm), polished on diamond suspension (6 µm, 3 µm, 1 µm) and submitted to final polish using 0.025 µm SiO₂ colloidal silica polishing suspension. Cross-section at the joints interface was analyzed by optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using back-scattered electron image (BEI) – Shimadzu SSX-550 equipped with linescan technique by energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX), operating voltage of 20 kV at CTGAS (Natal, RN). Microhardness measurements were made using Vickers micro-indenter on a Shimadzu HMV-2 machine (load of 200 g for 10 s).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Wettability of the metallized ceramic surface by melted filler alloy is a key factor for brazing either ceramic to ceramic or ceramic to metals. In fact, the joining just will happen if the active metal from the filler superficially reacts with ceramic substrate to improve wetting on it, producing new phases and the formation of a resultant interface with reliable vacuum tightness and good mechanical strength. Suitable microstructure at the interface is also essential to obtain sound brazed joints, since that excessive growing of the reaction layer and precipitation zone will promote its embrittlement [9]. Moreover, the new component would be less able to support residual stresses produced during cooling from brazing temperature. Wetting behavior and resultant microstructure analysis at the interface are crucial for development of a joining process that involves a liquid phase.

Wettability and brazing condition

Results of wetting tests are shown in Table II, including the conditions selected for brazing tests at 820 °C and 980 °C for the Ag-28Cu and Au-18Ni filler, respectively. Mechanical metallization with Ti was efficient to obtain very good wetting conditions using the eutectic Ag-28Cu filler; however results for the Au-28Ni filler were not so satisfactory – wetting condition occurred but with insufficient spreading on the metalized surface. Although wetting results had not been so favorable using Au-18Ni filler (see partial wetting at 980 °C), this condition was also selected for subsequent brazing tests. There was no adherence by the melted Au-18Ni filler at 1050 °C on the metalized ceramic surface.

Fig. 4 shows representative wetting tests for both investigated filler alloys. Contact angle measurements were not made for tests using the Ag-28Cu filler because there was total wetting and spreading on the metalized ceramic surface for both wetting temperatures (see Fig. 4a). Results for melted Au-18Ni filler were not satisfactory because wetting occurred (contact angle, θ < 90°) but there was poor spreading on the ceramic surface (see Fig. 4b). Contact angles were measured when samples were removed from the vacuum furnace. These measurements for the Au-18Ni filler are in the range 35°-40° for tests at 980 °C. There was not adherence on the substrate using these filler for tests at 1050 °C. For practical purposes contact angle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filler alloy</th>
<th>Wetting temperature, soaking 10 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH780 (Ag-28Cu)</td>
<td>820 °C     wetting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH950 (Au-18Ni)</td>
<td>880 °C     wetting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>980 °C     partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1050 °C    No adherence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II – Summarized results of wetting tests in Y-PSZ ceramic metalized with Ti and selected conditions for brazing temperature (in bold).

Figura 4: (a) Condição de molhamento excelente com a liga de adição Ag-28Cu a 820 °C (vista superior); (b) Molhamento parcial com a liga Au-18Ni a 980 °C: espalhamento pobre da liga fundida sobre a superfície cerâmica.

(a) Partial means that contact angle <90° but insufficient spreading on the ceramic surface.
values between 70°-90° may be insufficient to provide satisfactory spreading on the ceramic surface [9, 16].

*Tightness of the brazed joints*

Table III shows the summarized results of leak test for investigated ceramic/ceramic joints. Leak rates were considered very satisfactory with values below $10^{-9}$ mbar L s$^{-1}$ for both Y-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Y-PSZ and Y-PSZ/Au-18Ni/Y-PSZ brazing joints. Titanium coating deposited by mechanical metallization was efficient for the joining process. Reliable vacuum tight joints were selected for microstructural analysis at the resultant interface.

Table III – Summarized results of the He gas leak tests for brazed joints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zirconia (Ø8.0 mm)</th>
<th>Active metal</th>
<th>Leak rates (mbar L s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y-PSZ</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>$&lt; 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brazing temperature: 980 ºC, 10 min
VH950 (Au-18Ni)

Schröder et al [17] suggested that the allowable maximum leak rate for most cases of technical application is in the range $10^{-6}$–$10^{-8}$ mbar L s$^{-1}$. These researchers consider that leak values below $10^{-8}$ mbar L s$^{-1}$ allow to classify vacuum tight components, which means that there would be approximately 3 ml of helium gas loss in 1 year in the presence of a discontinuity with diameter ≈0.4 µm. They also suggested that only extremely low leak rates ($<10^{-10}$ mbar L s$^{-1}$) can classify technically vacuum tight components.

*Darkening effect in zirconia*

Fig. 5 shows the darkening in zirconia next to the brazed joint interface. Tests made with the Au-18Ni filler exhibited a longer dark area at the joint interface than tests using the Ag-28Cu filler (see Fig. 5a). In fact, brazing temperature for the former filler was higher than that to the eutectic Ag-Cu filler – 980 ºC and 820 ºC, respectively. Titanium coating was deposited on the ceramic surface using approximately the same conditions because of the specificity of this metallization process; and soaking time for 10 min at the brazing temperature was maintained in all tests. Thus, it was noticed that the brazing temperature had influence in the darkening effect extension in zirconia ceramic. Fig. 5b exhibits cross-section of the ceramic/ceramic joints that were initially submitted to brazing tests to verify its real possibility of joining. In addition, the titanium deposition occurred on the ceramic surface that exhibited longer dark area extension from its brazed joint interface.

Researchers have reported this typical darkening effect in zirconia at the joint interface after active brazing using filler alloys containing Ti as active metal [2, 5, 6]. This phenomenon can be attributed to a partial scavenging of oxygen ions from the ceramic by titanium cations leading to O-deficient darkened region in zirconia [5, 15]. In other words, there is formation of a non-stoichiometric zirconia caused by an active metal with high affinity for oxygen according to the following equation [3]:

$$\text{ZrO}_3 \rightarrow \text{ZrO}_{2x} + X/2 \text{O}_2, \text{ where } 0 < X \leq 0.02$$

Figure 5: Darkening effect in zirconia: (a) Y-PSZ/Au-18Ni/Y-PSZ joints on top (brazing at 980 ºC) and Y-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Y-PSZ joints on bottom (brazei ng at 820 ºC); (b) Samples cross-section.

The darkening effect in zirconia can be also influenced by some factors, such as dwell time at brazing temperature, the substrate to which zirconia is being joined, and the percentage of active metal in the filler alloy composition (especially Ti). Moreover, it was proposed that the brazed joint strength and this O deficient dark area in zirconia are independent of each other [3].
Microhardness measurements

Vickers microhardness profiles across the examined ceramic/ceramic interfaces are shown in Fig. 6. Indentations were first performed on zirconia about 50 µm far from the interface. It was noticed that hardness is slightly higher inside of the thin braze region for the Au-18Ni filler than the Ag-28Cu filler. Hardness rises rapidly upon entering in ceramic region, which leads to a sharp discontinuity in the microhardness profile at the joint interface. Microhardness measurements from closely to braze region into the depth of zirconia revealed that besides of its typical darkening effect (see previous Fig. 5) there was no remarkable negative influence in this mechanical property.

Fig. 7 shows optical images with microhardness indentation at the brazed joints interface. In these samples, braze region for the Ag-28Cu filler is less thick than region with Au-18Ni filler (see Fig. 7a). A precipitation zone was formed at the braze region when used the Au18Ni filler, probably next to the ceramic surface where there was titanium deposition (at left side, Fig. 7b).

In addition, residual thermal stresses due to the mismatch among the linear thermal expansion coefficient (α-CTE) of the individual components should be also considered. Comparatively, the α-CTE of zirconia ceramic is 11.2 x 10^{-6} °C^{-1} and fillers α-CTE are rather high: 17.8 x 10^{-6} °C^{-1} for the Ag-28Cu filler in the range 20-400 °C and 14.6 x 10^{-6} °C^{-1} for Au-18Ni filler at 20-550 °C. According to these data, it is believed that residual stresses can be accommodate by plastic flow from the large ductility of these fillers during cooling from the brazing temperature [4, 8, 15].

Microstructural analysis

Fig. 8 suggests formation of a sound Y-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Y-PSZ joint for brazing tests at 820 °C. Optical micrograph in Fig. 8a exhibits a kind of reaction layer closely to the ceramic surface in side A; however it is not exactly a reaction layer as we can see the SEM image in Fig. 8b. It was noticed even with higher magnitude that microstructure in the braze region and closely to the both ceramic/filler interfaces is homogenous and entirely composed by a eutectic Ag-Cu constituent. Moreover, Fig. 8b exhibits a continuous dark reaction layer in both ceramic/filler interfaces which is basically composed by Ti-O compounds (TiO, Ti2O3, Ti3O5…TiO2) from superficial reduction of zirconium oxide. It is beneficial to improve wetting and spreading on the ceramic substrate by the melted filler alloy and precipitation of active metal oxide [3, 4, 8, 15].

A specific region in side A at the ceramic/filler interface
from Fig. 8b is shown with higher magnitude in Fig. 9. Microstructure closely to the ceramic surface is quite similar to the bulk of braze region; however here is a slightly Cudeficient region parallel to the interface where lamellar Cu constituent is lighter than other surround regions (see Fig. 9a). Elemental qualitative analysis by linescan technique is shown in Fig. 9b, in which indicates mechanical metallization with Ti was made in side A of the ceramic substrate (see higher EDX peaks of Ti at the interface). There was really titanium diffusion from the metallized ceramic surface across the bulk of the melted filler alloy to the other ceramic side in contact.

Fig. 10 also suggests formation of sound Y-PSZ/Au-18Ni/Y-PSZ joints for brazing tests at 980 °C. Similarly to the optical micrograph in Fig. 8a it was produced a kind of reaction layer closely to the ceramic surface (see side B in Fig. 10a). According to the image in Fig. 10b it is not exactly a reaction layer because microstructure is also quite similar to the bulk of braze region. Even though intermetallic phases had been formed in a precipitation zone at the ceramic/filler interface (see side A in Fig. 10a and 10b) reliable vacuum tight joints were produced any way. Titanium from the coating reacted with both zirconium oxide from the zirconia ceramic and with the melted Au-18Ni filler to produce intermetallics in a precipitation zone. Probably a dark and very thin reaction layer was formed at the ceramic/filler interfaces (not noticeable with this magnitude) and less thick than that mentioned when used the eutectic Ag-Cu filler. This precipitation zone is basically composed by Ti diluted by the melted filler alloy from its coating on the ceramic surface and Ti-Au compounds (see results exhibited in Fig. 11). The Au–Ti binary system is characterized by a series of well-established compounds, such as Ti₃Au, TiAu₂, TiAu₄ and α, β and γ-TiAu [11].

Scanning electron image plus respective EDX graphics for elemental Ti, Zr, Au and Ni by linescan technique through a selected region at the interface previously showed in Fig. 10b are shown in Fig. 11. According to the results,
the mechanical metallization with Ti was made in the side A of the ceramic substrate (see higher Ti EDX peaks at left) and there was Ti diffusion from the metallized surface across the bulk of melted filler alloy to the other ceramic side. It was noticed that there was also Zr diffusion into depth melted filler at the braze region. Elements like titanium or zirconium reduce drastically the contact angle with the ceramic substrate and improve the ceramic/filler adhesion by reacting with the oxide that constitutes the main phase of the ceramic at the brazing temperature [4] – in this case, specifically with zirconium oxide (and probably some reaction with yttrium oxide).

Fig. 12 shows a schematic illustration about titanium diffusion corresponding to the Ti mechanical metallization in just one of the ceramic surfaces to be joined using activemetal-free filler alloy in the study. It was shown in previous Fig. 9b and Fig. 11 that the brazing process was possible in these ZrO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ joints because there was Ti diffusion from the metallized surface to the other ceramic surface in contact. In fact, the Ti diffusion happened into depth its properly ceramic surface where Ti-coating was deposited and to the other substrate ceramic during brazing thermal cycle. It
was visually noticed that it happened with more intensity for the former than the second mentioned situation. Because it was basically formed a longer dark area (O-deficient zirconia) from the brazed joint interface into depth zirconia, specifically where Ti-coating had been mechanically deposited before. Moreover, it is also important to remember that the melted filler alloy became active with Ti diffusion even there were poor local availability of this active metal in some defect areas of the Ti-coating [10].

**Thermodynamic considerations – a short overview.**

Interfacial interactions between the individual components to be joined should be considered in the study. Possible reactions involving zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$) and yttrium oxide (Y$_2$O$_3$) from zirconia ceramic and elemental titanium (in this case from the metallic coating) are summarized in Table IV with their corresponding values of Gibb’s free energy change, ΔG, in the brazing temperature at 850 ºC.

Table IV – Possible chemical reactions between Ti and zirconia ceramic constituents; Gibb’s free energy change exclusively for reactions between ZrO$_2$ and Ti at 850 ºC [3, 6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions with ZrO$_2$</th>
<th>ΔG (kJ/mol) at 850 ºC</th>
<th>Reactions with Y$_2$O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$+2Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr+2TiO</td>
<td>+14,7</td>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$+2Ti $\rightarrow$ Ti$_2$O$_3$+2Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$+(4/3)Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr+(2/3)Ti$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>+79,7</td>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$+ (9/5)Ti $\rightarrow$ (3/5)Ti$_2$O$_3$+2Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$+(6/5)Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr+(2/5)Ti$_3$O$_5$</td>
<td>+102,6</td>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$+ (12/7)Ti $\rightarrow$ (3/7)Ti$_4$O$_7$+2Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$+(8/7)Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr+(2/7)Ti$_4$O$_7$</td>
<td>+113,9</td>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$+ (3/2)Ti $\rightarrow$ (3/2)Ti$_2$O$_3$+2Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$+Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr+TiO$_2$</td>
<td>+145,1</td>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$+3Ti $\rightarrow$ 3TiO+2Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The positive ΔG values (per mole of ZrO$_2$ and Y$_2$O$_3$) shown in Table IV predict that formation of titanium oxides by a simple direct reduction of zirconium and yttrium oxides is not thermodynamically favorable at 850 ºC. However, it might be possible to form these oxides from the reaction of Ti with the residual oxygen in the brazing furnace atmosphere, if not from the direct chemical reduction of the ZrO$_2$ and Y$_2$O$_3$ constituents on the zirconia ceramic surface. It means a few atomic levels into the depth of the ceramic surface, which can have some influence in the ΔG values calculation for these oxides. It is also believed that stable Ti oxides can be formed at even as low an oxygen partial pressure as pO$_2$ $\approx$ 10-28 atm [6]. Researchers have already proposed that Ti can act as an oxygen getter from the zirconia, which change its stoichiometry by producing an O-deficient ceramic area at the brazed joint interface and, thus these free oxygen atoms will be able to produce titanium oxides because the ΔG values for the Ti oxide formation are negative at the brazing temperature for calculations in the range 750-850 ºC [3, 5, 15]. For instance, considering the oxidation behavior of Ticusil filler (68.8Ag-26.7Cu-4.5Ti), the Gibb’s free energy values of Ti oxides formation at 750 ºC are (in kJ): TiO ($\rightarrow$-444.1), TiO$_2$ ($\rightarrow$-758.4), Ti$_3$O$_5$ ($\rightarrow$-2000.9) and Ti$_4$O$_7$ ($\rightarrow$2764.4) [15]. These negative ΔG...
values confirm the possibility to form titanium oxides.

In addition, according to the Ti-O phase diagram in Fig. 13 it is energetically favorable for α-Ti phase to dissolve up to 34 at.% oxygen; as the brazing temperature increases, the oxygen amount capable of going into solid solution also increases. It is also claimed that simple diffusion arguments based on Arrhenius-type equations can help to explain the zirconia reduction using titanium as the active metal [3].

CONCLUSIONS

Zirconia was mechanically metallized with titanium in just one ceramic side that was in contact at the interface and sound brazed ceramic/ceramic joints were successfully obtained using active-metal-free filler alloys. Satisfactory results were based on helium gas leak detection at the joints interface and conventional microstructural analysis. Conclusions below should be pointed out:

• Better results for wetting tests were obtained at 820 °C and 980 °C for the eutectic Ag-28Cu filler and the Au-18Ni filler, respectively.

• Helium gas leak tests for ZrO$_2$/Ag-28Cu/ZrO$_2$ joints were bellow 10$^{-9}$ mbar l s$^{-1}$, which characterize reliable vacuum tight components. Leak rates for brazed ZrO$_2$/Au-18Ni/ZrO$_2$ joints were also bellow 10$^{-9}$ mbar l s$^{-1}$.

• The darkening effect in zirconia at the joint interface was influenced by Ti (active metal) mechanically deposited and by the brazing thermal cycle.

• Interfacial microstructure in the braze region is relatively homogenous and characterized by the eutectic Ag-Cu and Au-Ni constituents depending on the filler, including a thin dark reaction layer (Ti-O compounds) closely to the ceramic/filler interfaces. Intermetallic phases were produced in a precipitation zone closely to the mechanically deposited Ti-coating on the ceramic surface, when it was used the Au 18Ni filler.

• There was chemical interdiffusion during brazing tests, which probably led to compositional changes across the joint interface – especially for Ti from the metallized substrate through the braze region to the other ceramic surface to be joined.

• Microhardness measurements were taken from the joint interface into depth zirconia along the darkening region and there was no measurable negative effect in this mechanical property.
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