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**RICE STRAW/TERMOPLASTIC COMPOSITE: EFFECT OF FILLER LOADING, POLYMER TYPE AND MOISTURE ABSORPTION ON THE PERFORMANCE**

**ABSTRACT:** Thermoplastic composites made with 45, 60 and 75% of rice straw as filler and two types of thermoplastics, virgin polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) were evaluated. The final boards were made with and without maleic anhydride modified polypropylene (MAPP) at 2% of the total weight of each specimen. The flexural and tensile strengths were measured for dry composites and also measured after 24 h of water immersion of the composites (wet condition). By increasing the filler content, the flexural and tensile strengths and also the density of the specimens decreased. The type of matrix (PE or PP) did not affect significantly the flexural strength, but PP led to higher values of tensile strength for low fiber loadings (45% and 60%). Coupling agents increased the flexural and tensile strength. After water immersion, modulus of elasticity and modulus of rupture were decreased, while tensile strength was less influenced.

**COMPÓSITO TERMOPLÁSTICO/PALHA DE ARROZ: EFEITO DA CARGA DE ENCHIMENTO, TIPO DE POLÍMERO E ABSORÇÃO DE UMIDADE NO DESEMPENHO**

**RESUMO:** Foram avaliados composites termoplásticos feitos com 45, 60 e 75% de palha de arroz como material de enchimento e dois tipos de termoplásticos, polietileno virgem (PE) e polipropileno (PP). As placas finais foram feitas com e sem polipropileno modificado com anidrido maleico (MAPP) a 2% do peso total de cada amostra. As resistências à flexão e à tração foram medidas para composites secos e também medidas após 24 h de imersão em água dos composites (condição úmida). Ao aumentar o teor de enchimento, as resistências à flexão e à tração diminuíram e a densidade das matérias diminuíram. O tipo de matriz (PE ou PP) não afetou significativamente a resistência à flexão, mas o PP levou os maiores valores de resistência à tração para baixas cargas de fibras (45% e 60%). Os agentes de acoplamento aumentaram a resistência à flexão e tração. Após a imersão em água, o módulo de elasticidade e o módulo de ruptura diminuíram, enquanto que a resistência à tração foi menos influenciada.
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INTRODUCTION

As open-field burning of rice straw is being phased out in the north of Iran and government agencies are looking for new rice straw uses as a lignocellulosic residue. Any substance that contains carbohydrate polymers, cellulose and hemicellulose and an aromatic polymer, lignin, is a lignocellulosic. Lignocellulosic includes types of wood, agricultural crops, like kenaf; agricultural residues, such as bagasse, corn stalks and rice stalk; agricultural pruning residue such as date palm fronds and other plant substances (MIRMENDI et al., 2014). In general, what is true for wood is also true for other lignocellulosics even though they may differ in chemical composition and matrix morphology, in fact these kind of natural lignocellulosic fibers are available in many different forms and produce different properties when added to thermoplastics (GILBERT, 1994; SANADI et al., 1995; ZAINI et al., 1995). These natural fibers are sustainable and available in large quantities and may be renewed annually. They are non-abrasive and possess high specific properties (ELGHARBAWY et al., 2016; DAYLAN; CILIZ, 2016).

The total area under rice in Iran is more than 600,000 ha and rice is grown in 15 provinces. However, more than 80 percent of rice area is distributed in the southern shores of the Caspian Sea (RANI, 2016) thus, there is a large amount of agricultural waste from rice harvests in these areas that can be considered and used as raw material in the manufacture of the lignocellulosic composites.

The properties of natural fiber/thermoplastic composites are influenced by the type of polymer, processing method and the type and content of natural fiber. The mechanical properties of wood fiber composites may not be significantly affected by wood fiber type (PARPARITA, 2014), but it has also been reported that the type of lignocellulose fiber and the lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose content have a strong influence on mechanical properties (HABIBI et al., 2008). Polymers that have been used in natural fiber-reinforced thermoplastic composites include low and high-density polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene. The source of polymer as virgin or recycled material may (ZABIHZADEH et al., 2011) or may not (ADHIKARY et al., 2008) affect the properties of natural fiber-thermoplastic composites. Furthermore, the fiber content has a noticeable influence on the mechanical properties of these composites (YAO et al., 2008).

This is due to the hydrophobic and hydrophilic characteristics presented by the fibers and polymers, respectively (SILVA et al., 2012), which interfere in fiber-matrix adhesion. In this way, the chemical affinity between the components can be improved by the modification of the polymer by means of maleic anhydride (LÓPEZ MANCHADO et al., 2003; SOMBATSOMPOP et al., 2005; KIM et al., 2008; SOLEIMANI et al., 2008; SILVA et al., 2012). Coupling agents also have a positive effect on the properties of agro-based fiber/thermoplastic composites (BAJWA et al., 2015; ESSABIR et al., 2016; POLETTO et al., 2012; POLETTO; ZATTERA, 2015; SOCCALINGAME et al., 2015).

The wood fiber itself may absorb moisture before compounding with polymer to form the composite. Moisture uptake also can be a processing problem if pre-compounded pellets are used as feedstock in a forming operation. In these fields, the composites themselves can absorb moisture, which may adversely affect mechanical properties. It is sometimes claimed that moisture is not a problem for wood-plastic composites because the wood is totally encapsulated in polymer. In the case of total encapsulation, polymers would protect the wood from moisture because they are hydrophobic. At high percentages of wood flour, however, a composite still absorbs moisture (ZABIHZADEH et al., 2011). Moisture is likely to affect the flexural and tensile strengths which are used in real conditions.

The flexural and tensile strengths in moist conditions are considered in the draft for development of the European standard BS EN 15534-1 (2014). This paper aims to investigate the flexural and tensile strengths and density in thermoplastics filled with rice stalk evaluated under dry and wet conditions. The effect of the fiber content, coupling agent and type of polymer on flexural and tensile strengths was investigated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Polymer matrix

The thermoplastic polymers, polyethylene (PE) with a melt flow index of 18 g/10 min at 190 °C and a density of 960 kg m⁻³ and polypropylene (PP) with a melt flow index of 5 g/10 min at 190 °C and a density of 918 kg m⁻³ was used as the virgin powder polymer matrix and obtained from Arak Petrochemical Corporation.

Filler

The raw rice straw was obtained from local farms around Gorgan city in Iran and at 45%, 60% and
75% by weight used as the discontinuous phase (filler or reinforcement) in the composites and their size was 40-mesh. Rice straw is an agricultural by-product and the dry stalk after the grain and chaff have been removed. Before grinding, the rice straw was oven-dried at 100 ± 5 °C for 24 h to expel moisture prior to blending with the polymers in order to encourage faster and uniform heating of the material. The moisture content of oven-dried fiber was reduced to about 3%. The oven-dried rice stalk was ground with a small laboratory grinder and then was stored in sealed plastic bags prior to compounding. Table 1 presents the average of chemical constituent of rice stalk (RAHNAMA et al., 2013).

### TABLE 1 Chemical constituents of rice stalks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Cellulose (%)</th>
<th>Hemicellulose (%)</th>
<th>Lignin (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice stalk</td>
<td>36-43</td>
<td>26-27</td>
<td>6.3-12.3</td>
<td>12-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Compatibilizing agent**

The maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene (MAPP), was used as coupling agent for both types of composites with a fixed amount of 2% (by mass) in the sample preparation. MAPP was used to obtain better bonding between the hydrophilic fiber and the hydrophobic matrix polymer.

**Composites preparation**

Polymer, filler, and compatibilizer were initially weighed and bagged according to the various filler contents indicated in Table 2. After weighing the required quantity of materials for each treatment, a dry-blending method was used for compounding the materials.

To prepare the composites, each mixture was separately stored in a two-layer plastic bag to ensure containment, and then spread as randomly as possible inside a metal frame and a wooden box with nominal dimensions of 25 cm x 15 cm x 1 cm in order to form a mat. This mat was cold pressed by using of a wooden sheet and wrapped in aluminum foil.

The final composites were made by pressing the wrapped mat between the hot plates of a compression press at 190 °C for 7 min at 30-35 bar pressure, followed by removal of the pressure for 1 minute to allow steam to be ejected (Table 3). Then, for a second time the 30-35 bar pressure was applied for 7 min. The composite was cooled at 40 bar pressure by placing the composite between the two cold plates of another press for 5 min. The prepared composites were kept at room temperature for 15 days in order to allow the condition of the composite to reach equilibrium.

### TABLE 2 Rice stalk (RS) / plastic composite formulations (% by mass).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Filler (%)</th>
<th>Polymer (%)</th>
<th>MAPP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45% RS, 55% PE, NO MAPP</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% RS, 40% PE, NO MAPP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% RS, 25% PE, NO MAPP</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% RS, 53% PE, 2% MAPP</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% RS, 38% PE, 2% MAPP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% RS, 23% PE, 2% MAPP</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% RS, 55% PP, NO MAPP</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% RS, 40% PP, NO MAPP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% RS, 25% PP, NO MAPP</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% RS, 53% PP, 2% MAPP</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% RS, 38% PP, 2% MAPP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% RS, 23% PP, 2% MAPP</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mechanical tests and conditioning**

All measurements were performed for three replicates of specimens and averaged to obtain the final result. An universal testing machine (Schenk) was used to obtain the flexural and tensile based on BS EN 15534-1 (2014) in dry and wet conditions. For the dry condition, the samples were oven dried at 100 ± 2 °C for 24 h. For the wet condition, the specimens were immerced in distilled water for 24 h, and then, oven-dried for 24 h.

Finally, the flexural and tensile strengths were measured in both conditions. This testing was carried out at room temperature. The obtained results presented here are the average of three measurements obtained for each kind of samples. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied using Minitab 17.3 (Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA) to determine significance of differences between means, at the significance level of 5%.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Density**

It was found that the density of the composites ranges from 791 to 904 kg·m⁻³ (for PE) and from 757 to 892 kg·m⁻³ (for PP), depending on the straw fiber content (Figure 1). Also, it can be seen that the composites
made with MAPP have a slightly higher density, which is consistent with previous finding (ADHIKARY et al., 2008), where the density of composites increased as the loading of wood fiber increased, the addition of rice straw reduced the density of the composites.

This trend can be seen to be logical if we consider the higher density of wood materials and also the lower density of rice straw fiber compared with the density of polymer. Hence, increasing the amount of lignocellulose fiber leads to a lower density composite, which is very important from an industrial point of view.

**Flexural strength**

The modulus of rupture (MOR) obtained from the specimens under flexural loading at the different rice stalk level and different polymer matrices type were compared with each other and in dry and wet conditions as shown in Figure 2. The findings show that for higher fiber loading the flexural strength are decreased. Hence the general conclusion is that fiber content variation at the lower fiber to polymer ratios does not affect the flexural strength, while at higher fiber to polymer ratios (>50%) the flexural strength declines.

Furthermore, composites with coupling agent have slightly higher flexural modulus than those without coupling agent. This finding is also consistent with previous findings (SOCCALINGAME et al., 2015; BAJWA et al., 2015; ADHIKARY et al., 2008). There were no significance differences between specimens made from PE and PP on flexural modulus at both dry and wet conditions.

**Tensile strength**

Filler plays an important role in determining the mechanical properties of cellulose filled/thermoplastic composites. The most crucial factor that affects the mechanical properties of the fiber reinforced materials is the fiber–matrix interfacial adhesion. The quality of
Interfacial bonding is determined by several factors, such as the nature of fiber and polymer components, the fiber aspect ratio, the processing method and the treatment of the fiber (MIRMEHDI et al., 2014). Result of tensile strength of rice stalk/thermoplastic composites is shown in Figure 4. The result showed that rice stalk/thermoplastic composites presented lower tensile strength values with increasing filler loading. The highest tensile strength was observed for specimens with 45% rice stalk with both PE and PP. There was statistical significance in tensile strength among the different filler loadings. Composites with PP matrix using 45% and 60% of fiber loading seems to present improved performance at tensile test than PE matrix in the same conditions.

Tensile strength is extremely dependable on interfacial adhesion between the phases. With adding MAPP, all of the samples had better tensile strength and its effect on tensile strength was more evident than flexural strength. This result is consistent with previous findings, which Mirmehdi et al. (2014) showed that increasing filler content in PE compound resulted in reduction of tensile strength.

**Wet conditions**

The flexural and tensile strengths are reduced by increasing moisture content. Comparing the wet and dry conditions reveals that in wet conditions the existence of a coupling agent may slightly reduce water absorption especially at higher levels of fiber loading and improve the flexural and tensile strengths in wet conditions.

However, more content of coupling agent is probably required to reduce water absorption and increase flexural and tensile strengths. The result is reasonably consistent with Adhikary et al. (2008) on the measurement of other polymer properties. Furthermore, this finding might be important from the point of view of economical savings during industrial production, particularly for Iranian manufacturers. Coupling agent, fiber loading and wetting conditions significantly influence the flexural and tensile strengths. High fiber loading should be avoided in wet conditions (MADHOUSHI et al., 2009).

Composites with coupling agent have better dispersion and better interfacial strength compared to the composites without coupling agent (WALKER, 2006). After water immersion, the MOE was reduced.
more than MOR values. Tensile strength showed the lowest reduction due to wetting.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The density of rice straw fiber-polymer composites decreased as the amount of fiber increased. The flexural and tensile strengths increased with using coupling agent and decreased when fiber loading is higher than 50%. After immersion, the values of MOE, MOR and tensile strength decreased for all formulations. The type of polymer matrix does not affect significantly the flexural strength, but PP matrix seems to present improved tensile strength than PE matrix when using 45% and 60% of fiber loading.
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