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PURPOSE: To determine the occurrence of incidental carcinoma of the prostate, its characteristics, and the risk factors for this diagnosis in a group of patients surgically treated for benign prostatic hyperplasia.

METHODS: The study comprised a retrospective analysis of 218 patients. After surgical treatment, patients with the finding of incidental carcinoma of the prostate were compared to those without this finding. The preoperative variables analyzed were patient age, digital rectal examination, PSA, PSA density, prostate volume, and preoperative prostate biopsy. We also determined the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of digital rectal examination and PSA for the finding of incidental carcinoma of the prostate at surgical specimen analysis.

RESULTS: Thirteen (6.2%) out of the 218 patients presented incidental carcinoma of the prostate. Eight (61.5%) of these tumors were classified as T1a and 5 (38.5%) as T1b. Only advanced age (P = 0.003) and the presence of a suspect digital rectal examination (P = 0.016) were statistically related to the findings of the surgical specimen analysis. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for the diagnosis of incidental carcinoma were 23.0%, 96.6%, 30.0%, and 95.2% for a suspect digital rectal examination and 85.0%, 34.1%, 7.5%, and 97.2% for a PSA greater than 4.0 ng/mL. The accuracy for these methods was 92.2% and 37.1%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Advanced age and the presence of a suspect digital rectal examination represent the most important risk factors for the diagnosis of an incidental carcinoma of the prostate. However, the low positive predictive values reflect the weak correlations among these variables.


INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the most common malignant tumor in men.1 Similarly, benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is the most frequent benign tumor, and about 90% of the men are affected by the ninth decade of life.2 Since many of these individuals are going to be surgically treated by transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) or open prostatectomy (OP) to relieve bladder outlet obstruction,3,4 a significant rate of carcinoma is expected to be found incidental to surgical specimen analysis.

Incidental carcinoma of the prostate (ICP) refers to well differentiated tumors that grow in a transitional zone and are eventually found during TURP and OP.3 With the widespread use of prostate specific antigen (PSA) as a tumor marker for screening of prostate cancer, the incidence of ICP ranges between 4.3% and 7.4% of the surgical procedures for BPH.5-8

In spite of being considered tumors of low malignant potential, if not treated, the biological behavior of ICP may vary, and significant progression and death can occur. A
study from the Mayo clinic reported progression rates of 8% to 37% for the T1a cases in a 10 year follow-up period. For this reason, the identification of parameters that could help in the preoperative diagnosis of these tumors is pivotal, since most patients would benefit from immediate curative treatment.

The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of ICP, its clinical and pathological characteristics, and the risk factors for this diagnosis in a group of patients with BPH treated surgically.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study comprised a retrospective analysis of 218 patients with clinical or pathologic findings of BPH who were treated with OP or TURP. In the preoperative period, patients were evaluated through digital rectal examination, serum PSA, acid phosphatases and creatinine, urine assays, and ultrasound to determine prostate volume.

A suspect digital rectal examination was defined as the presence of nodules or irregularities on the prostate surface. Every patient with a suspect digital rectal examination and/or an increased baseline PSA suggestive of prostate cancer underwent preoperative transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy. Patients with the finding of prostate cancer were not considered for study. Ultrasound-based prostate volume was determined as previously described.

All patients were treated surgically through TURP (169 patients) or OP (49 patients). Indications for surgery included the presence of severe low urinary tract symptoms that was unresponsive to clinical treatment, urinary retention, recurrent urinary tract infections, persistent hematuria, presence of bladder calculi or diverticula, or hydronephrosis due to BPH.

The retrieved prostate tissue was weighed, and surgical specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and forwarded to pathology for examination. This analysis included a semiquantitative determination of the percent of prostate tissue involved in the tumor. Pathological stage was defined by the 1992 American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system, and the Gleason score was used for analysis of histological grade.

After surgical treatment, patients with the finding of ICP were compared to those with diagnosis of BPH. The preoperative variables analyzed were patient age, digital rectal examination, serum PSA, PSA density, prostate volume, and preoperative prostate biopsy.

For statistical analysis, we used Student t, Wilcoxon, chi-squared, and Fisher exact tests. Statistical significance was set as a P value ≤0.05. We also determined the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of digital rectal examination and serum PSA for the finding of ICP on surgical specimen analysis.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the patient characteristics. The mean age was 67.9 years (49 to 90). The great majority of patients presented a nonsuspect digital rectal examination (95.4%) and a PSA value between 4.0 and 10.0 ng/mL (41.7%). The mean prostate volume was 65.4 g, and about 27% of the patients had an indwelling bladder catheter due to acute urinary retention. Forty-seven percent of the patients underwent a transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy, and 77.5% of the patients were treated through TURP.

Thirteen (6.2%) out of the 218 patients presented ICP. Eight (61.5%) of these tumors were classified as stage Ia and 5 (38.5%) as stage Ib. Table 2 shows the characteristics of the patients with ICP. Three patients with stage Ia tumors presented a suspect digital rectal examination, while no patients with stage Ib tumors presented this finding. The mean PSA for this last group was about half the value of the patients with stage Ia tumors. This finding can be explained by the fact that patients with stage Ia tumors had greater prostate volumes when compared to those with stage Ib tumors (83.1 g versus 54.2 g). The majority of patients with stage Ib tumors were using an indwelling bladder catheter, and none underwent a preoperative prostate biopsy. The mean percent of prostate tissue retrieved from patients with stage Ia tumors was 49.2% versus 27.2% for patients with stage Ib tumors, which reflects the fact that 3 patients from the first group were treated through OP, in which a greater amount of adenoma is removed when compared to endoscopic techniques. All the patients with stage Ia tumors were treated with watchful-waiting, and among the 5 patients with stage Ib tumors, 2 were observed, 2 underwent radical prostatectomy, and 1 underwent bilateral orchidectomy because he was 82 years old and had a Gleason score of 9 (5 + 4).

Among the analyzed risk factors, only an advanced age (P = 0.003) and the presence of a suspect digital rectal examination (P = 0.016) were significantly related to the finding of ICP on surgical specimen analysis (Table 3). The mean age among patients with ICP was 73.9 ± 11.2 years, versus 68.0 ± 7.4 years among patients with BPH. Similarly, while 23% of the patients with ICP presented a suspect digital rectal examination, this finding was present in only 3.4% of the patients with BPH.

When we correlated the presence of a PSA value greater than 4.0 mg/mL with the finding of ICP among patients without an indwelling bladder catheter using the chi-squared test,
this variable was not statistically significant ($P = 0.220$). The presence of a preoperative negative prostate biopsy for carcinoma did not exclude the possibility of ICP on surgical specimen analysis, because 6 (5.8%) out of 102 patients with a negative prostate biopsy had this finding.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV for the diagnosis of ICP were 23.0%, 96.6%, 30.0%, and 95.2% for a suspect digital rectal examination and 85.0%, 34.1%, 7.5%, and 97.2% for a serum PSA greater than 4.0 ng/mL respectively. The accuracy for these methods was 92.2% and 37.1%, respectively.

### DISCUSSION

Preoperative identification of cases with ICP is pivotal for institution of early radical curative treatment. In the present study, 6.2% of the patients presented ICP. Of these, 61.5% and 38.5% of the tumors were classified as T1a or T1b, respectively. Among the analyzed risk factors, only the presence of an advanced age and a suspect digital rectal examination showed a significant relationship with the finding of ICP. The mean age of patients with ICP was about 6 years greater than patients with BPH, and the find-
The finding of an ICP has been less frequent during the last decades. An analysis from the Utah cancer registry in the United States showed that the detection rates of ICP through TURP in men with 45 years or more were 39.0% between 1980 and 1984, 33.9% between 1985 and 1989, 12.2% between 1990 and 1994, and 7.4% between 1995 and 1999.6 A possible explanation for these numbers is the decrease in the TURP rates since 1987.12 A North American study showed that when comparing the periods of 1984 to 1990 with 1991 to 1997, the TURP rates decreased from 14.6 to 6.7 for 1000 white men and from 11.8 to 6.5 for 1000 black men respectively.13

In part, this finding can be explained by the increased number of patients treated with alpha-blockers or other alternative methods for treating BPH and even by the increasing role of the patients in the final therapeutic decision.6,12 Furthermore, with the widespread use of PSA and the increased acceptance and development of transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy techniques, many patients were found to have prostate cancer and underwent specific treatment.6

Most frequently, patients with the diagnosis of ICP present well differentiated tumors with low malignant potential. A study comparing the cases of prostate cancer found through prostate biopsy with the ICP cases diagnosed by TURP showed organ-confined rates of 81.6% versus 95.9%, respectively. Similarly, the well or moderately differentiated tumor rates were greater among the ICP cases when compared to tumors found through prostate biopsy (89.7% against 80.7% respectively).6 However, a study of 786 patients with BPH treated by TURP found a 4.3% ICP rate and demonstrated that 32.3% of these presented a Gleason score of 7 to 10.8

The mean age and mean PSA value among patients with stage T1a tumors was 70.1 years and 3.3 ng/mL, and among patients with stage T1b tumors was 74 years and 2.9 ng/mL, respectively. When compared with the rest of the group, patients with ICP were older and hadprostates with smaller volumes.5 In the present study, 1 (7.6%) out of the 13 cases with ICP presented a Gleason score of 9 (5 + 4). This patient was 82 years old, had a nonsuspect digital examination, a 65 g prostate, and a PSA value of 6.6 ng/mL; for these reasons, he did not undergo a preoperative prostate biopsy. This finding confirms the necessity of finding these cases preoperatively, since there may be a significant number of these tumors with aggressive biological behavior. Only 3 (23.0%) out of the 13 patients underwent definitive treatment.

Surprisingly, the presence of a high PSA value was not related with the finding of ICP. Despite its widespread use as a tumor marker, PSA is prostate tissue-specific and not prostate cancer-specific; therefore, the serum levels may also be altered in cases of BPH and prostatitis.14 One possible explanation for the lack of relationship between high PSA values and the finding of ICP among patients with urinary retention is the presence of the indwelling bladder catheter. However, when we analyzed the presence of high PSA levels among the 159 patients without bladder catheters this variable remained without significance. Possibly, the limited number of patients with the finding of ICP is the reason why we did not find a significant relationship.

In the present study, the finding of a negative prostate biopsy did not exclude the possibility of ICP upon surgical specimen analysis, since 5.8% of the patients with a negative biopsy presented this finding. We know that when a pros-

### Table 3 - Risk factors for diagnosis of Characteristics of patients with stage T1b incidental prostate cancer (ICP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ICP(13 patients)</th>
<th>BPH(205 patients)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years) ± SD</td>
<td>73.9 ± 11.2</td>
<td>68.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.003 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.016 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspect</td>
<td>3 (23.0%)</td>
<td>7 (3.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsuspect</td>
<td>10 (76.9%)</td>
<td>198 (96.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ng/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.159 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 4.0</td>
<td>2 (15.3%)</td>
<td>70 (34.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 to 10.0</td>
<td>5 (38.4%)</td>
<td>86 (41.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10.0</td>
<td>6 (46.1%)</td>
<td>49 (23.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.326 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.15</td>
<td>8 (61.5%)</td>
<td>154 (75.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0.15</td>
<td>5 (38.4%)</td>
<td>51 (24.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean prostate volume (g) ± SD</td>
<td>72 ± 28.8</td>
<td>65 ± 33.9</td>
<td>0.179 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative prostate biopsy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (46.1%)</td>
<td>96 (46.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 (53.8%)</td>
<td>109 (53.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Student t test; ** Fisher exact test; *** Wilcoxon test; BPH = benign prostate hypertrophy; PSA = prostate-specific antigen
tate biopsy is performed with the standard sextant technique, the false negative rate is about 20% to 25%. Furthermore, due to its low specificity, when a prostate biopsy is performed in patients with PSA values > 3 ng/mL, 70% to 80% of the cases will present negative results for cancer.

Finally, with the present study, we conclude that an advanced age and the presence of a suspect digital rectal examination for prostate cancer represent the most important risk factors for the diagnosis of an ICP. However, the low PPV reflects the weak correlation between these variables and the finding of an ICP. These results mean that new methods for the preoperative diagnosis of the patients with ICP are needed. Perhaps with the development of molecular techniques that allow the differentiation between benign and malignant prostate tissue through determination of the cellular gene expression profile, these tumors could be easily detected, favoring the institution of early curative specific treatment.

RESUMO


OBJETIVO: Determinar a ocorrência do carcinoma incidental da próstata, suas características e fatores de risco para o diagnóstico em um grupo de pacientes tratados cirurgicamente para hiperplasia prostática benigna.

MÉTODOS: O estudo compreendeu a análise retrospectiva de 218 pacientes. Após o tratamento cirúrgico, os pacientes com achado de carcinoma incidental da próstata foram comparados com os pacientes sem este achado. As variáveis pré-operatórias analisadas foram idade, toque retal, PSA, densidade do PSA, volume prostático e biópsia prostática pré-operatória. Também foram determinados a sensibilidade, especificidade, valor preditivo positivo e valor preditivo negativo do toque retal e do PSA para o diagnóstico do carcinoma incidental da próstata.

RESULTADOS: Treze (6.2%) dos 218 pacientes apresentaram carcinoma incidental da próstata. Oito (61.5%) deles foram classificados com T1a e 5 (38.5%) como T1b. Apenas a idade avançada (p=0.003) e a presença de um toque retal suspeito (p=0.016) se relacionaram estatisticamente com este achado na peça cirúrgica. A sensibilidade, especificidade, valor preditivo positivo e valor preditivo negativo para o diagnóstico de carcinoma incidental da próstata foram de 23.0%, 96.6%, 30.0%, 95.2% para a presença de um toque retal suspeito e 85.0%, 34.1%, 7.5% e 97.2% para um valor de PSA maior que 4.0 ng/ml respectivamente. A exatidão dos dois métodos foi de 92.2% e 37.1% respectivamente.

CONCLUSÕES: A idade avançada e a presença de um toque retal suspeito representam os fatores de risco mais importantes para o diagnóstico de carcinoma incidental da próstata.
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