INTRODUCTION: Epidemiological studies reveal a high male prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. A possible explanation for this male predominance is the existence of anatomical differences in the upper airway between men and women.

METHODS: The upper airways of 10 male and 10 female healthy volunteers were prospectively evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging. Anatomical pharyngeal and column cross-sectional linear measurements were made in sagittal T1 and axial T1 and T2 weighted fast spin-echo images.

RESULTS: Men had significantly greater mean sagittal pharyngeal structural dimensions compared to women for all structures with the exception of the craniocaudal length of the soft palate and the thickness of the submentonian fat. In contrast, cross-sectional linear dimensions were similar in men and women with the exception of the laterolateral tongue length, which was greater in men. All mean linear measurements of the pharyngeal air column were similar in men and women at all studied levels.

CONCLUSIONS: Men and women present pharyngeal air columns with similar dimensions, but in women this column is surrounded by smaller structures, which might imply a smaller effort to keep its patency. Our data suggest the existence of an anatomical protective factor in women against the upper airway collapse.
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larger overall soft tissue volume was found in men.7

In the present study, we sought to investigate upper airway anatomy of awake healthy men and women. We hypothesized that significant gender-related differences may at least in part explain the greater susceptibility of men to obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten healthy men and 10 healthy women were prospectively evaluated by MRI after they signed informed consent forms. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

All subjects underwent a clinical evaluation and verbally confirmed the absence of acute or chronic diseases, as well as the use of any medications. The absence of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome was assumed after observing the following criteria: a) absence of snoring; b) absence of daytime sleepiness (Epworth sleepiness scale \( < 10 \)); c) absence of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome-associated conditions (hypertension, diabetes, or other cardiac diseases); and d) absence of obesity (body mass index - BMI \( < 30 \) kg/m\(^2\)). Polysomnographic studies were not performed.

Men and women enrolled in the study were similar regarding their mean age (32.9 ± 7.8 years and 36.2 ± 10.5 years, respectively; \( P = 0.430 \)) and BMI (24.7 ± 1.3 kg/m\(^2\) and 23.7 ± 3.5 kg/m\(^2\), respectively; \( P = 0.350 \)).

The MRI studies were performed using the same equipment (General Electric Medical - Milwaukee, WI—1.5 Tesla). All patients were positioned in supine decubitus, with no head support, and were instructed to remain still and not to swallow, as well as to keep their mouths closed and to use soft nose breathing during the acquisition of the images. All study subjects remained awake during the exam.

Twelve to 15 sagittal images (T1 weighted; 3.0 mm thickness; 1.0 mm gap) and 20 axial images (T1 and T2 weighted; 4.0 mm thickness; 2.5 mm gap) were obtained with a fast spin-echo (FSE) pulse sequence. The most cranial axial image was programmed cranial to the hard palate; the most caudal axial image was programmed at the glottic level (Figure 1).

Repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE) for T1 weighted images were 600 msec and 20 msec respectively. The TR and TE for T2 weighted images were 3,000 msec and 90 msec respectively (number of excitations = 2; field of view = 24 cm). A cervical neurovascular coil was used. The total examination time did not exceed 10 minutes in each case.

For measuring purposes, the pharynx was schematically divided into 4 different regions: rhinopharynx, retropalatal oropharynx, retrolingual oropharynx, and hypopharynx.8–10

The inferior limit of the rhinopharynx consisted of an imaginary horizontal line crossing the hard palate. The oropharynx was defined as the air space between the inferior limit of the rhinopharynx and an imaginary horizontal line tangential to the epiglottic tip. The hypopharynx extended from the inferior limit of the oropharynx to the esophageal transition.

A median sagittal image in which the lingual septum and the odontoid process of the second cervical vertebra were distinguished was employed for sagittal measurements.

The following sagittal measurements were obtained:

1. The anteroposterior length of the tongue (APtongue): defined as the distance between the tip of the tongue and its posterior border (Figure 2).

2. CC-Softpal: cranio-caudal length of the soft palate.


4. AP-Softpal: anteroposterior measurement of the soft palate.

5. H-PNS: distance between the superior border of the hyoid bone body and the posterior nasal spine.


Figure 1 - Axial images programming. The most superior image was programmed cranial to the hard palate, and the most inferior axial image was programmed at the glottic level.

Figure 2 - (A and B) –Sagittal measurements
2. The craniocaudal length of the soft palate (CCsoftpal): defined as the distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the uvula (Figure 2).

3. The anteroposterior dimension of the soft palate (APsoftpal): defined as the anteroposterior measurement of the soft palate in its thicker region (Figure 2).

4. Thickness of the submentonian fat tissue (SubmentFat): defined as the craniocaudal measurement of the submentonian fat tissue in its thicker region (Figure 2).

5. Hyoid bone-posterior nasal spine distance (H-PNS): defined as the distance between the superior border of the hyoid bone body and the posterior nasal spine (Figure 2).

6. Distance between the hyoid bone and the posterior wall of the pharynx (H-Col): the smallest distance between the posterior border of the hyoid bone body and the posterior wall of the pharynx, near the vertebral column (Figure 2).

The following measurements were obtained from the axial images:

1. Laterolateral length of the tongue (LLtongue): defined as the distance between the lateral borders of the tongue in its thicker region, having as an anatomical landmark the hyoglossal muscles (Figure 3).

2. Mandibular angle (MandAng): defined as the angle measured between 2 lines whose vertex lies in the internal border of the chin, following each mandibular branch in posterior and lateral directions to its most posterior internal border, near the third molar teeth (Figure 3).

3. The space between the pharyngeal air column and the mandibular branch (LatWall): defined as the distance between the pharyngeal air column and the mandibular branch at the level of the retropalatal oropharynx, comprising the so-called lateral wall of the pharynx, the parapharyngeal space, and the pterygoid muscle (Figure 3).

The morphology of the pharyngeal air column was also observed. Linear laterolateral (LL) and anteroposterior (AP) axial measurements were obtained at the following levels (Figure 4):

1. Rhinopharynx (Level 1): axial pharyngeal image at the hard palate level (Figure 5a).

(A) 1. Litongue: laterolateral diameter of the tongue and 2. MandAng: mandibular angle. (B) 3. LatWall: soft tissue space between air column and mandible

Figure 3 - (A and B) – Axial measurements

(A) Laterolateral (LL1) and anteroposterior (AP1) air column dimensions at the rhinopharynx. (B) Laterolateral (LL2) and anteroposterior (AP2) air column dimensions at the high retropalatal oropharynx. (C) Laterolateral (LL3) and anteroposterior (AP3) air column dimensions at the low retropalatal oropharynx. (D) Laterolateral (LL4) and anteroposterior (AP4) air column dimensions at the retrolingual oropharynx.

Figure 4 - Planning of axial images for measurements of air column

Figure 5 - (A, B, C, and D) – Morphology of the pharyngeal air column
2. High retropalatal oropharynx (Level 2): pharyngeal measurements in a plane lying 1.0 cm below the inferior limit of the rhinopharynx (hard palate) (Figure 5b).

3. Low retropalatal oropharynx (Level 3): pharyngeal measurements at the uvula level or at the narrowest air column point of the low retropalatal region (Figure 5c).

4. Retrolingual oropharynx (Level 4): measurements obtained in an intermediate image between the uvula and the tip of the epiglottis (Figure 5d).

Statistical analysis included descriptive statistics and the Student t test, after checking the assumptions for the use of parametric tests, using STATA® (Statistical Data Analysis) software, version 7.0. A \( P \leq 0.05 \) in 2-tailed tests was considered significant.

RESULTS

Sagittal pharyngeal measurements

The mean anteroposterior length of the tongue was significantly greater in men (7.50 ± 0.48 cm) than in women (7.00 ± 0.39 cm) (\( P = 0.020 \)). The anteroposterior soft palate dimension was also greater in men (0.99 ± 0.07 cm) compared to women (0.88 ± 0.09 cm) (\( P = 0.009 \)). Men also showed significantly larger distances between the hyoid bone and the posterior nasal spine (6.50 ± 0.76 cm) and between the hyoid bone and the posterior pharyngeal wall (2.89 ± 0.34 cm) compared to women (5.67 ± 0.48 cm, \( P = 0.009 \), and 2.23 ± 0.31 cm, \( P = 0.0003 \), respectively).

In summary, MR images of normal men showed a significantly greater mean sagittal pharyngeal structural dimensions compared to those obtained from MR images of normal women, with the exception of the craniocaudal length of the soft palate and the thickness of the submentonian fat (Table 1).

Axial pharyngeal measurements

Regarding the cross-sectional linear dimensions, men and women had similar mean measurements, with the exception of the laterolateral tongue length, which was greater in men (4.55 ± 0.40 cm) than in women (4.21 ± 0.30 cm) (\( P = 0.05 \)) (Table 2).

Pharyngeal column cross-sectional linear measurements

All mean linear measurements of the pharyngeal air column were similar in men and women at the 4 levels considered (Table 3).

| Table 1 - Sagittal pharyngeal measurements in healthy men and women (cm) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | Women(n = 10)   | Men(n = 10)     | \( P \)  |
| APtongue (cm)                  | 7.00 ± 0.39     | 7.50 ± 0.48     | 0.020*  |
| minimum – maximum              | 6.2 – 7.6       | 7.0 – 8.5       |         |
| CCsofpal (cm)                  | 3.70 ± 0.33     | 3.80 ± 0.26     | 0.460   |
| minimum – maximum              | 2.0 – 4.0       | 3.5 – 4.3       |         |
| APsofpal (cm)                  | 0.88 ± 0.09     | 0.99 ± 0.07     | 0.009*  |
| minimum – maximum              | 0.8 – 1.0       | 0.9 – 1.1       |         |
| SubmentFat (cm)                | 1.21 ± 0.26     | 1.12 ± 0.36     | 0.530   |
| minimum – maximum              | 1.0 – 1.9       | 0.6 – 1.8       |         |
| H-PNS (cm)                     | 5.67 ± 0.48     | 6.50 ± 0.76     | 0.009*  |
| minimum – maximum              | 5.0 – 6.5       | 5.4 – 7.6       |         |
| H-Col (cm)                     | 2.23 ± 0.31     | 2.89 ± 0.34     | 0.0003* |
| minimum – maximum              | 1.9 – 2.9       | 2.3 – 3.3       |         |

APtongue: Anteroposterior length of the tongue; CCsofpal: Craniocaudal soft palate length; APsofpal: Anteroposterior soft palate dimension; SubmentFat: Submentonian fat tissue thickness; H-PNS: Distance between the hyoid bone and the posterior nasal spine; H-Col: Distance between the hyoid bone and the posterior pharyngeal wall; n: number of subjects; \( P \): 2-tailed value of the Student t test; *statistically significant.

| Table 2 - Axial pharyngeal measurements in healthy men and women (cm) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | Women(n = 10)   | Men(n = 10)     | \( P \)  |
| LLtongue (cm)                  | 4.21 ± 0.30     | 4.55 ± 0.40     | 0.050*  |
| minimum – maximum              | 3.9 – 4.8       | 4.0 – 5.1       |         |
| LatWall (cm)                   | 3.25 ± 0.28     | 3.47 ± 0.31     | 0.110   |
| minimum – maximum              | 3.0 – 3.8       | 3.1 – 4.0       |         |
| MandAng (grades)               | 69.3 ± 3.1      | 71.0 ± 6.3      | 0.470   |
| minimum – maximum              | 60 – 61         | 66 – 76         |         |

LLtongue: Laterolateral tongue length; LatWall: space between the air pharyngeal column and the mandibular branch; MandAng: mandibular angle; n: number of subjects; \( P \): 2-tailed value of the Student t test; *statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Since obstructive sleep apnea syndrome is more predominant in men overall, analyzing data from both men and women without obstructive apnea is an important starting point for better understanding of the mechanisms of the disease. It is also an established fact that obstructive sleep apnea syndrome is more predominant in obese subjects. Moreover, we emphasise that our study population comprised nonobese men and women. Regarding the use of linear cross-sectional pharyngeal measurements instead of area determinations, obtaining linear measurements of the...
The pharynx has the advantage of being totally reproducible on
the MRI console, on a PC, or even using conventional film.
After deciding to prioritize the development of standard
evaluation procedures that could be easily performed, we
chose these simpler linear measurements. The disadvan-
tages of area and volume measurements have been men-
tioned previously, including the following: the need for
time-consuming 3-dimensional reconstructions, high cost,
and the necessity of specialized personnel for interpreta-
tion and manipulation of the data at workstations.

Most of the pharyngeal measurements were found to
be significantly greater in men compared to women. These
anatomical differences can, at least in part, be explained by
the more massive physical structures observed in the
necks of men.

The evaluation of the position of the hyoid bone is tra-
ditionally obtained via the cephalometric measurement of
the distance between the most anterior and superior bor-
ders of this structure and an imaginary line that horizon-
tally crosses the inferior border of the mandible. The so-
called MP-H measurement is obtained routinely in the ce-
phalometric evaluation of patients with obstructive sleep
apnea syndrome. Neither CT scan nor MRI studies allow
a direct determination of this distance. The visualization
of the necessary anatomical repairs is not possible with
these imaging techniques unless 3-dimensional reconstruc-
tion or a CT survey is employed. As we aimed to bring to
MRI the possibility of determining the hyoid positioning
in a simple and readily available manner, we have described
a variation on this classical cephalometric measurement:
the distance between the hyoid bone and the posterior na-
sal spine (H-PNS). This measurement can be easily ob-
tained by direct sagittal MRI images and, like the MP-H
value, is proportional to the size of the tongue. The greater
mean distance between the hyoid bone and the posterior
nasal spine observed in men possibly represents a compen-
sating hyoid positioning (lower and more anterior) to ac-
commodate a larger tongue.

Despite the fact that most anatomical structures were
systematically larger in awake men, pharyngeal linear air
column dimensions were similar in both awake genders.
No difference was found in linear cross-sectional air col-
umn measurements at any level. These data may suggest
the existence in women of an anatomical protective factor
against possible upper airway collapse. Therefore, although
awake men and women present pharyngeal air columns
with similar dimensions, in women this column is subject
to compression by smaller surrounding structures, which
might require less effort to keep its patency.

A previous MRI study found a greater mean cross-sec-
tional area of the soft palate in healthy men compared to
women. In our study, which is part of a general research
project on obstructive apnea in our Institution, we meas-
ured not the palatal area, but its craniocaudal length and
anteroposterior dimension. The former was similar in both
sexes; however, the anteroposterior dimension of the soft
palate (the palatal “thickness”) was found to be greater in
men. Moreover, we found no gender-related differences re-
garding pharyngeal air column measurements, whereas in
the aforementioned study, the mean infrapalatal cross-sec-
tional area was found to be greater in men.

We studied awake men and women, and we know the
possibility of collapse of the upper airway when individu-
als with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome are sleeping. We
did not study obese subjects without obstructive apnea, re-
gardless of the fact that the syndrome affects predominantly
those individuals. These factors could possibly have biased
our study.

| Table 3 - Pharyngeal column cross-sectional linear measurements in healthy men and women (cm) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                                 | Women(n = 10)            | Men(n = 10)             | P                      |
| Laterolateral pharyngeal air column measurements (cm) |
| LL1                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 2.21 ± 0.38             | 2.29 ± 0.25             | 0.580                  |
|                                                 | 1.5 – 3.0               | 2.0 – 2.7               |                         |
| LL2                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 2.14 ± 0.41             | 1.97 ± 0.27             | 0.290                  |
|                                                 | 1.4 – 2.8               | 1.4 – 2.4               |                         |
| LL3                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 1.80 ± 0.51             | 1.79 ± 0.54             | 0.970                  |
|                                                 | 1.3 – 2.9               | 0.8 – 2.8               |                         |
| LL4                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 2.21 ± 0.54             | 2.21 ± 0.75             | 1.000                  |
|                                                 | 1.5 – 3.3               | 1.2 – 4.0               |                         |
| Anteroposterior pharyngeal air column measurements (cm) |
| AP1                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 2.02 ± 0.51             | 1.99 ± 0.59             | 0.900                  |
|                                                 | 1.2 – 3.0               | 1.2 – 3.2               |                         |
| AP2                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 0.88 ± 0.19             | 0.86 ± 0.20             | 0.820                  |
|                                                 | 0.5 – 1.1               | 0.5 – 1.2               |                         |
| AP3                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 0.58 ± 0.22             | 0.56 ± 0.15             | 0.810                  |
|                                                 | 0.4 – 1.0               | 0.4 – 0.9               |                         |
| AP4                                              |                         |                         |                         |
| minimum – maximum                               | 1.19 ± 0.74             | 1.20 ± 0.25             | 0.960                  |
|                                                 | 0.4 – 2.8               | 0.9 – 1.5               |                         |

LL1: Rhinopharynx; LL2: High retropalatal oropharynx; LL3: Low retropalatal oropharynx; LL4: Retrolingual oropharynx; AP1: Rhinopharynx; AP2: High retropalatal oropharynx; AP3: Low retropalatal oropharynx; AP4: Retrolingual oropharynx; n: number of subjects; P: 2-tailed value of the Student t test.
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RESUMO


INTRODUÇÃO: Estudos epidemiológicos demonstram alta prevalência da síndrome da apnéia obstrutiva do sono no sexo masculino. Uma explicação plausível para esta predominância masculina é a existência de diferenças anatômicas nas vias aéreas superiores entre homens e mulheres.

MÉTODOS: As vias aéreas superiores de 10 homens e 10 mulheres, voluntários saudáveis, foram avaliados prospectivamente através do exame de ressonância magnética. Foram realizadas medidas lineares das vias aéreas superiores e das estruturas da faringe através de imagens sagitais pesadas em T1 e imagens axiais pesadas em T1 e T2 com a técnica de fast spin-echo.

RESULTADOS: Os homens mostraram dimensões significativamente maiores das estruturas da faringe em relação às mulheres em todas as medidas realizadas nas imagens sagitais, com exceção do diâmetro crânio-caudal do palato mole e da espessura da gordura submentoniana. Em contraste, as imagens axiais mostraram medidas similares entre os sexos, exceto o diâmetro látero-lateral da língua que foi maior nos homens. Todas as medidas da coluna aérea das vias aéreas superiores foram semelhantes nos dois sexos.

CONCLUSÕES: Embora homens e mulheres apresentem vias aéreas superiores com dimensões similares, nas mulheres as estruturas anatômicas ao seu redor são menores, sugerindo um menor esforço para manter as vias aéreas superiores patentes no sexo feminino. Nossos resultados sugerem a existência de um fator de proteção anatômico contra o colapso das vias aéreas superiores nas mulheres.
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