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Sepsis is a syndrome related to severe infections. It is defined as the systemic host response to microorganisms in previously sterile tissues and is characterized by end-organ dysfunction away from the primary site of infection. The normal host response to infection is complex and aims to identify and control pathogen invasion, as well as to start immediate tissue repair. Both the cellular and humoral immune systems are activated, giving rise to both anti-inflammatory and proinflammatory responses. The chain of events that leads to sepsis is derived from the exacerbation of these mechanisms, promoting massive liberation of mediators and the progression of multiple organ dysfunction. Despite increasing knowledge about the pathophysiological pathways and processes involved in sepsis, morbidity and mortality remain unacceptably high. A large number of immunomodulatory agents have been studied in experimental and clinical settings in an attempt to find an efficacious anti-inflammatory drug that reduces mortality. Even though preclinical results had been promising, the vast majority of these trials actually showed little success in reducing the overwhelmingly high mortality rate of septic shock patients as compared with that of other critically ill intensive care unit patients. Clinical management usually begins with prompt recognition, determination of the probable infection site, early administration of antibiotics, and resuscitation protocols based on “early-goal” directed therapy. In this review, we address the research efforts that have been targeting risk factor identification, including genetics, pathophysiological mechanisms and strategies to recognize and treat these patients as early as possible.


INTRODUCTION

The word sepsis is derived from the Greek term for rotten or “to make putrid”. Sepsis, defined as the systemic host response to microorganisms in previously sterile tissues, is a syndrome related to severe infections and is characterized by end-organ dysfunction away from the primary site of infection. To meet the definition of sepsis, patients need to satisfy at least two of the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) criteria in association with having a suspected or confirmed infection. The severity and mortality increase when this condition is complicated by predefined organ dysfunction (severe sepsis) and cardiovascular collapse (septic shock). The normal host response to infection is complex, aiming to both identify and control pathogen invasion and start immediate tissue repair. Both the cellular and humoral immune systems are activated, giving rise to anti-inflammatory and proinflammatory responses. Exacerbating these mechanisms can cause a chain of events that leads to sepsis, promoting massive liberation of mediators and the progression of multiple organ dysfunction.

Morbidity and mortality remain unacceptably high despite increasing knowledge about the pathophysiological pathways and processes involved in sepsis. It still is one of the most prevalent causes of intensive care units (ICU) morbidity and mortality worldwide. More than 750,000 sepsis cases occur in the United States every year, leading to approximately 220,000 deaths. Consistent data on the incidence, outcome and costs of sepsis patients in Brazil and Latin America are scarce. However, a recent Brazilian study showed that up to 25% of ICU patients will meet sepsis diagnostic criteria during an ICU stay. Even with the
best treatment available, the mortality rates of septic shock could be as high as 50% \textsuperscript{1, 6, 8, 9, 11} or up to 75\% on longer follow-ups.\textsuperscript{12}

In an attempt to find an efficacious anti-inflammatory drug that reduces mortality, a large number of immunomodulatory agents have been studied in experimental and clinical settings. However, the vast majority of these trials showed little success in reducing the overwhelmingly high mortality rates of septic shock patients as compared to those other critically ill ICU patients,\textsuperscript{9,13,14} despite promising preclinical results. Clinical management usually begins with prompt recognition, determination of the probable infection site, early administration of antibiotics, and resuscitation protocols based on “early-goal” directed therapy.\textsuperscript{1,10}

In this review, we address the research efforts that have been targeting both risk factor identification, including genetics, pathophysiological mechanisms and strategies to recognize and treat these patients as early as possible.

Definitions

The syndrome currently known as sepsis has had many definitions over the years.\textsuperscript{2} In 1991, a consensus conference organized by the American College of Chest Physicians and the Society of Critical Care Medicine clinically defined the terms SIRS, sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock (Table 1).\textsuperscript{1,2,3,4} Even though the definition has high sensitivity and low specificity, it has been helpful in improving patient care, enrollment in clinical trials and communication between ICUs.

A second conference held in 2001 attempted to refine the definitions, increase specificity by emphasizing prompt recognition and add a list of common symptoms and signs of sepsis.\textsuperscript{2,3,5,10} The current definitions are as follows:

- **Infection**: pathologic process caused by invasion of normally sterile tissue, fluid or body cavity by pathogenic or potentially pathogenic microorganisms.
- **Sepsis**: documented or suspected infection associated with any of the systemic inflammatory syndrome signs.
- **Severe Sepsis**: sepsis complicated by a predefined organ dysfunction.
- **Septic Shock**: sepsis-induced acute circulatory failure characterized by persistent arterial hypotension despite adequate fluid resuscitation. Hypotension is: systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 90 mm Hg, mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 65 mm Hg or a reduction of > 40 mm Hg on baseline SBP.

Besides refining the diagnostic definitions, the 2001 consensus conference brought new insight into sepsis staging, with the aim of better characterizing disease severity. The PIRO concept was then introduced (Table 2) with the idea that these factors would have relevant impact on sepsis development and outcome.\textsuperscript{2,5,10}

**Table 1 - Sepsis definitions**

1. **Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS)**. Two or more of the following: a) temperature (core) > 38.3°C or < 36°C; b) heart rate > 90 beats/min; c) respiratory rate > 20 breaths/min, PaCO\(_2\) < 32 mm Hg or need for mechanical ventilation; d) WBC count > 12,000/mm\(^3\) or < 4,000/ mm\(^3\) or > 10\% immature forms (bands).

2. **Sepsis** is defined as SIRS associated with suspected or confirmed infection. Positive blood cultures are not necessary.\textsuperscript{16}

3. **Severe sepsis** is sepsis complicated by a predefined organ dysfunction.

4. **Septic shock** is cardiovascular collapse related to severe sepsis despite adequate fluid resuscitation. Hypotension is: systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 90 mm Hg, mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 65 mm Hg or a reduction of > 40 mm Hg on baseline SBP.

5. **Organ dysfunction criteria** are a) hypoxemia (PaO\(_2\)/FiO\(_2\) ratio < 300); b) acute oliguria (urine output < 0.5 ml/kg/h for 2 h) or creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL; c) coagulopathy (platelet count < 100,000, INR > 1.5 or pTTa > 60 s); d) ileus; e) plasma bilirubin > 4 mg/dL.\textsuperscript{1, 2, 5, 10}

**EPIDEMIOLOGY**

Sepsis has been recognized as a major public health problem in population-based and ICU-based epidemiological studies. Two studies have reported the sepsis incidence in the United States.\textsuperscript{8,9,11,13,14} Briefly, the methodology used by these reports is primarily based on the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes for principal hospital discharge diagnosis. The databases are linked with state and national population data from the US Census for the same year to generate population-based incidence rates. In order to iden-

**Table 2 - PIRO concept**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>Other tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P (predisposition)</td>
<td>Age, alcohol abuse, steroid or immunosuppressive therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (infection)</td>
<td>Site-specific (e.g., pneumonia, peritonitis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (response)</td>
<td>Malaise, temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (organ dysfunction)</td>
<td>Arterial pressure, urine output, Glasgow coma score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APTT: Activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP: C-reactive protein; CT: Computed tomography; PCT: Procalcitonin; WBC: White blood cell count

tify cases of severe sepsis, the authors selected all cases with ICD-9 for bacterial or fungal infection and a diagnosis of acute organ dysfunction. Then, the authors compared those patients selected by ICD-9 with the standard clinical criteria for the definitions of severe sepsis and sepsis. Martin et al. estimated the incidence of sepsis in the US as 240 cases per 100,000 people, and Angus et al. reported 300 cases of severe sepsis per 100,000 people. The incidence was projected to increase by 1.5% per annum. The mortality rate reported in these studies was also similar, ranging from 17.9% for sepsis to 28.6% for severe sepsis. These numbers translate into approximately 750,000 new episodes of severe sepsis, with an annual mortality rate of 220,000 (29%) in the US. It is the tenth most common cause of death in the US, more so even than Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome, breast cancer, colon cancer and a first episode of acute myocardium infarction. A French study found that septic shock was the reason for ICU admission in 8.4% of cases, with a mortality rate of 60%. Recently, “Sepsis Occurrence in Acutely Ill Patients (SOAP)” in Europe reported more than 35% of ICU patients meet sepsis criteria during their ICU stay, with a mortality rate of 27%. Silva et al., in the “Brazilian Sepsis Epidemiological Study (BASES study)”, evaluated 1383 consecutive patients in 5 ICUs of tertiary care hospitals distributed over two different regions of the country. They collected daily data on SIRS, sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock. The median age was 65.2 years-old, and the overall 28-day mortality rate was 21.8%. The incidence density for sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock were 61.4, 35.6 and 30.0 per 1000 patient-days, respectively. The mortality rates were 24.3% (SIRS), 34.7% (sepsis), 47.3% (severe sepsis) and 52.2% (septic shock). The respiratory tract was the most common source of infection. This study also showed that there are important regional differences in mortality rates, as well as differences related to the type of hospital administration (public or private). This was accounted for by the fact that Brazil is a continental country with a heterogeneous population and unequal access to health care facilities; thus, social factors may play a more significant role in determining infection patterns and mortality of septic patients. These findings suggest that issues related to the care of septic patients need to be discussed by the whole society.

The recent microbiological patterns of infections that cause septic shock and severe sepsis have changed significantly. Gram-negative bacteria used to be the most frequent germs involved in the pathogenesis of these syndromes. However, Gram-positive bacteria are currently as common as Gram-negative, and fungi are also responsible for a large portion of infections. It is not possible to isolate a pathogen in about a third of sepsis episodes; in some patients, it is difficult to obtain material for culture. Cultures are often not positive after the initiation of antibiotics.

Upward trends in sepsis incidence and patient survival are consistent in the medical literature; however, more people are exposed every year, and the total number of deaths is actually increasing. Patients who have met diagnostic criteria for severe sepsis and septic shock have higher overall mortality rates than other critically ill patients.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Sepsis describes a complex clinical syndrome that develops when the initial, appropriate host response to an infection becomes amplified and then dysregulated. Determining the structural components of the bacteria that are responsible for initiating the septic process has been important, not only in understanding the underlying mechanisms, but also in identifying potential therapeutic targets. These bacterial motifs, which are recognized by the innate immune system, have been called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), although it might be more accurate to call them microorganism-associated molecular patterns as it is by no means clear how the host distinguishes between signals from pathogens and commensals. In Gram-negative bacteria, lipopolysaccharide (LPS; known also as endotoxin) plays a dominant role. LPS is embedded in the outer membrane, and the lipid A portion of the molecule anchors LPS in the bacterial cell wall. Conformational changes of LPS seem to correlate with its ability to activate host cell membranes. There is no endotoxin in Gram-positive bacteria, but an important feature is the production of potent exotoxins. These Gram-positive exotoxins are of great interest because they exhibit the properties of superantigens, that is, they are able to bind promiscuously to major histocompatibility complex class II and a restricted repertoire of T-lymphocyte receptor (TCR) Vβ domains. In so doing, they cause massive T-cell activation and release of pro-inflammatory lymphokines. The best known examples are toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1)-producing strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and the pyrogenic exotoxins from *Streptococcus pyogenes*. Peptidoglycan and lipoteichoic acid from the gram-positive cell walls can bind to cell-surface receptors and are pro-inflammatory, although they are much less active on a weight-for-weight basis than LPS. Their role in the pathogenesis of clinical sepsis remains uncertain.

Host recognition of microbial components

The inability to identify an ‘LPS receptor’ was for many years a barrier to understanding how Gram-negative bac-
teria initiate the septic response; activation of host cell is dependent on the presence of LPS-binding protein (LBP) and the opsonic receptor CD14. Although CD14 was originally identified as the essential co-receptor that mediated LPS activation of monocytes, subsequent work has shown that it also participates in the activation by Gram-positive cell wall components, such as peptidoglycan, mediates macrophage apoptosis and is important in shutting LPS between serum proteins that have the capacity to bind LPS, such as LBP and serum lipoproteins.

Although the discovery of CD14 represented a significant step forward in understanding host responses to LPS, the fact that mCD14 had no intracellular tail meant that it remained unclear how ligation of the LPS–LBP complex led to cellular activation. This uncertainty was resolved by the discovery of the family of Toll-like receptors (TLRs). The TLRs have an intracellular domain that is homologous with the IL-1 and IL-18 receptors. Adapter proteins facilitate binding to IL-1 receptor-associated kinase, which in turn induces TNF receptor-associated factor-6, leading to nuclear translocation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) and ultimately to activation of cytokine gene promoters.

A family of (currently) ten TLRs has been identified with a wide range of ligand specificity, including bacterial, fungal and yeast proteins. Thus, TLR4 is the LPS receptor, TLR2 is predominantly responsible for recognizing Gram-positive cell-wall structures, TLR5 is the receptor for flagellin and TLR9 recognizes CpG elements in bacterial DNA.

An additional layer of complexity has been provided by the discovery that there are several additional pathways by which cells recognize microbial components. The triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells (TREM-1) and the myeloid DAP12-associating lectin (MDL-1) are two recently identified receptors involved in monocyctic activation and inflammatory response. TREM-1 is upregulated in the presence of various microorganisms, although its ligand is unknown. Monocytic intracellular proteins NOD1 and NOD2 (for nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain), which seem to have the ability to bind to and confer responsiveness to LPS, were recently described. Genotypic variations in NOD2 might be associated with phenotypic variations in LPS responsiveness. Peptidoglycan-recognition proteins (PGRPs) were identified in moths, and a family of PGRP genes was subsequently found in humans. Different PGRPs can distinguish between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

### Signal amplification

Following the initial host–microbial interaction, there is widespread activation of the innate immune response, which coordinates a defensive response involving both humoral and cellular components. Mononuclear cells release the classic pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α, but in addition, an array of other cytokines, including IL-12, IL-15 and IL-18, and a host of other small molecules are released. TNF-α and IL-1 are the prototypic inflammatory cytokines that mediate many of the immunopathological features of LPS-induced shock. They are released during the first 30-90 minutes after exposure to LPS, activate a second level of inflammatory cascades in turn, including cytokines, lipid mediators and reactive oxygen species and up-regulate cell adhesion molecules, which initiates inflammatory cell migration into tissues.

One of the most intriguing concepts related to host recognition and signal amplification after a challenge with microbes is tolerance. Exposure of macrophages to LPS or other proinflammatory stimuli, such as cytokine TNF-α, can induce a state of tolerance, in which reduced activation is found upon subsequent exposure to LPS or the proinflammatory mediator. Among the proposed mechanisms, reduced TLR expression has been speculated. Bruniati et al. have elegantly demonstrated that the expression of TLRs 2 and 4 in monocytes from septic patients is preserved, although they found a lower production of cytokines after inflammatory stimuli. These findings suggest that the down-regulation observed in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock appears to be related to intracellular pathways and not due to TLR expression. Indirect evidence from the authors had already demonstrated this, using biotinylated LPS and flow cytometry to study LPS-monocyte interaction and LPS-induced cellular activation in whole blood from septic patients. Furthermore, the same group has demonstrated that neutrophils from septic patients preserve their capacity for phagocytosis and generate reactive oxygen species. Taken together, these findings suggest that tolerance is a phenomenon linked to macrophage response and is not related to TLR expression.

More recently, a novel cytokine has been extensively evaluated. High mobility group B1 (HMGB1) has been identified as a cytokine-like product of macrophages that appears much later after LPS stimulation. It stabilizes nucleosomes, facilitates gene transcription and modulates the activity of steroid hormone receptors. Subsequently, patients with sepsis have elevated serum levels of HMGB1, and higher levels, in some studies are associated with an increased mortality, suggesting that clinical intervention by blocking or neutralizing HMGB1 might be a viable treatment option. Recently, we have shown that neutrophils from volunteers and septic patients show a different pattern of gene expression after culture with HMGB1.
ever, neutrophils from septic patients preserve intracellular activation and express proinflammatory genes, suggesting that neutrophils are not anergic or tolerant, in contrast to macrophages. These data are in agreement with those mentioned before.

Another macrophage-derived cytokine that has been identified as a potential therapeutic target in sepsis is macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF). It mediates shock caused by Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. MIF has a curious relationship with glucocorticoids, which are normally thought of as being anti-inflammatory, because low doses of glucocorticoids paradoxically induce macrophage MIF. Once released, MIF then acts as a proinflammatory agent, overriding the ability of glucocorticoids to prevent shock in animal models of sepsis.

Neutrophil migration

Neutrophils have a dual role in sepsis. On one hand, these cells are crucial for local control of bacterial growth and, consequently, for the prevention of bacterial dissemination. On the other hand, neutrophils play an important role in the endothelial activation and organ failure development. Cunha’s group has advocated that impaired neutrophil migration to the infectious focus is associated with high mortality and increased numbers of bacteria in peritoneal exudate and blood in a cecal-ligation and puncture CLP model in rats. Conversely, in sublethal sepsis, neutrophil migration was not suppressed, and the bacterial infection was restricted to the peritoneal cavity; consequently, no significant mortality was observed. This group has also addressed the mechanisms of neutrophil migration impairment. They have demonstrated that the nitric oxide pathway and TLR signaling are both involved in this process.

The coagulation cascade

Cytokines are also important in inducing a procoagulant effect in sepsis. Coagulation disorders are common in sepsis, and 30–50% of patients have the more severe clinical form, disseminated intravascular coagulation. Coagulation pathways are initiated by LPS and other microbial components, inducing expression of tissue factor in mononuclear and endothelial cells. Tissue factor activates a series of proteolytic cascades that result in the conversion of prothrombin to thrombin, which in turn generates fibrin from fibrinogen. Simultaneously, normal regulatory fibrinolytic mechanisms (fibrin breakdown by plasmin) are impaired because of high plasma levels of plasminogen-activator inhibitor type-1 (PAI-1) that prevent generating plasmin from the precursor plasminogen. The net result is enhanced production and reduced removal of fibrin, leading to the deposition of fibrin clots in small blood vessels, impairing tissue perfusion and organ function. Proinflammatory cytokines, in particular IL-1 and IL-6, are powerful inducers of coagulation; conversely, IL-10 regulates coagulation by inhibiting the expression of tissue factor in monocytes. An additional cause of the procoagulant state in sepsis is the down-regulation of three naturally occurring anticoagulant proteins: antithrombin, protein C and tissue factor pathway inhibitor. These natural anticoagulants are of particular interest because they have anti-inflammatory properties in addition to their effect on thrombin generation. These effects include the release of monocyte-derived TNF-α by inhibiting activation of the transcription factors NF-kB and activator protein (AP)-1. Particular attention has focused on Protein C, which is converted to the activated form (aPC) when thrombin complexes with thrombomodulin, an endothelial transmembrane glycoprotein. Once aPC is formed, it dissociates from an endothelial protein C receptor (EPCR) before binding protein S, resulting in inactivation of factors Va and VIIIa and, thus, blockade of the coagulation cascade. It has been shown recently that aPC uses EPCR as a co-receptor for cleavage of protease-activated receptor 1 (PAR1). Gene profiling showed that PAR1 signaling could account for the activation of aPC-induced protective genes, including the immunomodulatory monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), which suggests a role for PAR-1 activation in protection from sepsis. In septic patients, aPC levels are reduced, and expression of endothelial thrombomodulin and EPCR are impaired, providing some support for the notion that replacement of aPC might have therapeutic value.

The counter-inflammatory response

The profound proinflammatory response that occurs in sepsis is balanced by an array of counter-regulatory molecules that attempt to restore immunological equilibrium. Counter-inflammatory cytokines include antagonists such as the soluble TNF receptors and IL-1 receptor antagonist, decoy receptors such as IL-1 receptor type II, inactivators of the complement cascade and anti-inflammatory cytokines, of which IL-10 is the prototype. In concert with this, the host response to injury includes profound changes in metabolic activity (increased cortisol production and release of catecholamines), induction of acute-phase proteins and endothelial activation with upregulation of adhesion molecules and release of prostanoids and platelet-activating factor (PAF). Another facet of down-regulation of immunity that
occurs in sepsis is the development of lymphocyte apoptosis; subset analysis of autopsy tissue samples has shown that there is selective depletion of B and CD4+ lymphocytes. This process and its functional consequences are viewed as part of a more general state of immunosuppression, characterized by T-cell hypo-responsiveness and anergy, which occurs to some extent in most septic patients and is seen as a counter-balancing response (and sometimes, over-response) to the initial proinflammatory state. It is because of this over-response that some investigators view the counter-inflammatory response as the cause of an inadequate host defense against infection and thus as a potential ‘mediator’ of sepsis and progressive organ failure. Several researchers have pursued the notion that reversal of this immunosuppressive state might be of therapeutic value. For instance, mice transfected with the human gene bcl-2, which overexpresses the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2, are protected from death after caecal ligation and puncture, and patients that received IFN-γ in a small, nonrandomized clinical study showed up-regulation of HLA-DR in their monocytes and a better-than-anticipated survival.55

Mechanisms of organ failure

The ultimate cause of death in patients with sepsis is multiple organ failure. There is a close relationship between the severity of organ dysfunction on admission to an ICU and the probability of survival and between the numbers of organs failing and the risk of death. The mechanisms involve widespread fibrin deposition that causes microvascular occlusion, the development of tissue exudates that further compromise adequate oxygenation and disorders of microvascular homeostasis that result from the elaboration of vasoactive substances such as PAF, histamine and prostanoids. Cellular infiltrates, particularly neutrophils, damage tissue directly by releasing lysosomal enzymes and superoxide-derived free radicals. TNF-α and other cytokines increase the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase, and increased production of nitric oxide causes further vascular instability and may also contribute to the direct myocardial depression that occurs in sepsis.54, 55, 56 The tissue hypoxia that develops in sepsis is reflected in the oxygen debt, i.e., the difference between oxygen delivery and oxygen requirements. The extent of the oxygen debt is related to the outcome from sepsis, and strategies designed to optimize oxygen delivery to the tissues can improve survival57. In addition to hypoxia, cells may be dysoxic; i.e., unable to properly utilize available oxygen. Recent data suggest that this may be another consequence of excess nitric oxide production because skeletal muscle biopsies from septic patients show evidence of impaired mitochondrial respiration, which is inhibited by nitric oxide58, 59. Cross-talk between cytokines and neurohormones is the cornerstone of restoration of homeostasis during stress. Production and release of vasoressin and corticotropin-releasing hormone are enhanced by circulating TNF and interleukins-1, -6 and -2, by locally expressed interleukin 1 and NO and by afferent vagal fibers. Moreover, cortisol synthesis is modulated by locally expressed interleukin-6 and TNF-α. Up-regulated hormones help maintain cardiovascular homeostasis and cellular metabolism and contain foci of inflammation. Impaired endocrine responses to sepsis might result from cytokines, neuronal apoptosis, metabolic and ischemic derangements in the hypothalamic-pituitary and adrenal glands or drug administration. Deficiencies in adrenal gland function and vasoressin production occur in about a half and a third of septic shock cases, respectively, and contribute to hypotension and death. Other endocrine disorders during sepsis have unclear mechanisms and consequences60, 61.

Genetic polymorphisms

Among this vast array of host molecules that orchestrate the response to sepsis, there are many examples of genetic variability that influence physiological activity. Various genetic polymorphisms are associated with increased susceptibility to infection and poor outcomes. Markers of susceptibility could include single nucleotide polymorphisms of genes encoding cytokines (e.g., TNF, lymphotoxin-α, interleukin-10, interleukin-18, interleukin-1 receptor antagonist, interleukin 6 and interferon α), cell surface receptors (e.g., CD14, MD2, toll-like receptors 2 and 4 and Fc-gamma receptors II and III), lipopolysaccharide ligand (lipopolysaccharide binding protein, bactericidal permeability increasing protein), mannose-binding lectin, heat shock protein 70, angiotensin I-converting enzyme, plasminogen activator inhibitor and caspase-12. Use of genotype combinations could improve the identification of high-risk groups62, 63, 64, 65.

THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES

Despite the extraordinary developments in understanding the immunopathology of sepsis, therapeutic advances have been painfully slow. Septic shock remains a major source of both short- and long-term morbidity and mortality and places a large burden on the healthcare system66. The recent identification of molecules in humans that sense microbial determinants has been an important step in understanding the molecular and cellular basis of sepsis. Characterizing the links between inflammation, coagulation and the immune and neuroendocrine systems has led to the de-
Table 3 - Severe Sepsis Bundles

Sepsis Resuscitation Bundle

(To be accomplished as soon as possible and scored over the first 6 hours):
1. Measure serum lactate.
2. Obtain blood cultures prior to antibiotic administration.
3. From the time of presentation, administer broad-spectrum antibiotics within 3 hours for ED admissions and 1 hour for non-ED ICU admissions.
4. In the event of hypotension and/or lactate > 36 mg/dL:
   a) Deliver an initial minimum of 20 mL/kg of crystalloid (or colloid equivalent).
   b) Apply vasopressors for hypotension that does not respond to initial fluid resuscitation to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) > 65 mm Hg.
5. In the event of persistent hypotension despite fluid resuscitation (septic shock) and/or lactate > 4 mmol/L (36 mg/dL):
   a) Achieve central venous pressure (CVP) of > 8 - 12mmHg.
   b) Achieve central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO2) of > 70%.

Sepsis Management Bundle

(To be accomplished as soon as possible and scored over the first 24 hours):
1. Administer low-dose steroids for septic shock in accordance with a standardized ICU policy.
2. Administer drotrecogin alfa (activated) in accordance with a standardized ICU policy.
3. Glucose control maintained above lower limit of normal, but < 150 mg/dL.
4. Maintain inspiratory plateau pressures at < 30 cm H2O for mechanically ventilated patients.
5. IV antibiotic therapy should be started within 1 hour (and should be reasonable until the third hour) that severe sepsis is recognized, after appropriate cultures have been obtained. Establishing a supply of premixed antimicrobial therapy is initiated as the clinical situation dictates.67, 68

Initial Resuscitation

The resuscitation of a patient with severe sepsis or sepsis-induced tissue hypoperfusion should begin as soon as the syndrome is recognized. An elevated serum lactate concentration identifies tissue hypoperfusion in patients at risk who are not hypotensive. During the first 6 hrs of resuscitation, the goals of initial resuscitation of sepsis-induced hypoperfusion should include all of the following as one part of a treatment protocol:
- Central venous pressure (CVP): 8 – 12 mm Hg,
- Mean arterial pressure (MAP): ≥ 65 mm Hg,
- Urine output: ≥ 0.5 mL·kg⁻¹·hr⁻¹ and
- Central venous (superior vena cava) or mixed venous oxygen saturation: ≥ 70%.69

Predictive factors of fluid responsiveness have been evaluated in order to select patients who might benefit from volume expansion and avoid ineffective or even deleterious volume expansion. There is a minimal value of static ventricular preload parameters, and the use of dynamic parameters in the decision-making process is more effective concerning volume expansion. In sedated patients receiving mechanical ventilation in a volume-controlled mode with a tidal volume of at least 8 ml/kg with acute circulatory failure related to sepsis, the use of pulse pressure variation is an accurate indicator of fluid responsiveness.70

Diagnosis

Appropriate cultures should always be obtained before antimicrobial therapy is initiated. Two blood cultures should be obtained, with at least one drawn percutaneously and one drawn through each vascular access device, unless the device was recently (<48 hrs) inserted. Cultures from other sites should be obtained before antibiotic therapy is initiated as the clinical situation dictates.71, 72, 73

Antibiotic Therapy

Intravenous antibiotic therapy should be started within the first hour (and should be reasonable until the third hour) that severe sepsis is recognized, after appropriate cultures have been obtained. Establishing a supply of premixed antibiotics is an appropriate strategy to enhance the likelihood that antimicrobial agents will be infused promptly. The initial selection of an empirical antimicrobial regimen
should be sufficiently broad; there is ample evidence that failure to initiate appropriate therapy promptly has adverse consequences on outcome. All patients should receive an initial full loading dose of each antimicrobial. De-escalation antibiotic therapy should be tailored according to clinical status, severity of illness and culture results.

Source Control

Every patient presenting with severe sepsis should be evaluated for the presence of a focus on infection amenable to source control measures; specifically, the drainage of an abscess or local focus of infection, the debridement of infected necrotic tissue, the removal of a potentially infected device or the definitive control of a source of ongoing microbial contamination.

Fluid Therapy

Fluid resuscitation may consist of natural or artificial colloids or crystalloids. There is no evidence-based support for one type of fluid over another. As the volume of distribution is much larger for crystalloids than for colloids, resuscitation with colloids requires less fluid to achieve the same end points. Fluid challenge in patients with suspected hypovolemia may be given at a rate of 500–1000 mL of crystalloids or 300–500 mL of colloids over 30 min and repeated on the basis of response (e.g., increase in blood pressure and urine output) and tolerance (e.g., evidence of intravascular volume overload).

In animal models, ethyl pyruvate (EP) reduces organ system damage in ischemia/reperfusion injury and hemorrhagic and endotoxic shock, at least in part through its antioxidant action. In addition, EP appears to have direct beneficial effects on cytokine expression and proinflammatory gene regulation. These findings could be a rationale for the use of EP in septic patients; however, more studies are needed to support its use.

Our group has tested the hypothesis that a hypertonic solution could be an alternative solution for sepsis. In a previous study, we found that an early, large volume of crystalloid after live *Escherichia coli* injection in dogs promoted partial and transient benefits during the fluid infusion, which were especially poor at the splanchnic bed. Subsequently, we have tested the hypothesis that hypertonic solution (HS) infusion promotes better systemic and regional benefits than conventional isotonic crystalloid infusion in experimental sepsis (infusion of *E. coli* at a dose of 1.2 × 10^9 cfu/kg). A large volume of Lactated Ringer’s solution or a small volume of HS promoted similar transient hemodynamic benefits that were unable to restore sepsis-induced perfusional deficits. However, a single bolus of HS did promote sustained systemic and mesenteric oxygen extraction reductions without deterioration of perfusional markers such as lactate levels and pCO2 gradients. A clinical trial should be carried out to validate these findings.

Vaspressors

When an appropriate fluid challenge fails to restore adequate blood pressure and organ perfusion, therapy with vasopressor agents should be started. Use of an arterial catheter provides a more accurate and reproducible measurement of arterial pressure. Either norepinephrine or dopamine (through a central catheter as soon as available) is the first-choice vasopressor agent for correcting hypotension in septic patients. Low-dose dopamine should not be used for renal protection as part of the treatment of severe sepsis. Vasopressin use may be considered in patients with refractory shock despite adequate fluid resuscitation and high-dose conventional vasopressors. It should be administered at infusion rates of 0.01–0.04 units/min.

Inotropic Therapy

In patients with low cardiac output despite adequate fluid resuscitation, dobutamine may be used to increase cardiac output. If used in the presence of low blood pressure, it should be combined with vasopressor therapy. A strategy of increasing cardiac index to achieve an arbitrarily predefined elevated level is not recommended.

Steroids

Intravenous corticosteroids (e.g., hydrocortisone, 200–300 mg/day for 7 days in three or four divided doses or by continuous infusion) are suggested in patients with septic shock who respond poorly to both fluid replacement and vasopressors. Some experts would use a 250 µg adrenocorticotropic hormone ACTH stimulation test to identify responders (i.e., >9 µg/dL increase in plasmatic cortisol 30–60 min post-ACTH administration) and discontinue therapy in these patients. However, in a recent trial (CORTICUS), the ACTH test was not able to discriminate patients who will eventually respond to steroid treatment. Hence, this test has been discouraged. Because hydrocortisone has intrinsic mineralocorticoid activity, there is controversy as to whether fludrocortisone should be added.

A post hoc analysis of the effect of treatment with low doses of hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone on mortality in patients with septic shock showed that a 7-day treatment with low doses of corticosteroids was associated with bet-
of water, clinical outcomes are similar whether lower or higher positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) levels are used\textsuperscript{89}. This currently suggested strategy of ventilation with low lung volumes can aggravate lung collapse and potentially produce lung injury through shear stress at the interface between aerated and collapsed lung, as a result of repetitive opening and closing of alveoli. An ‘open lung strategy’ focused on alveolar patency has therefore been recommended. In animal studies, recruitment maneuvers clearly reverse the derecruitment associated with low tidal volume ventilation, improve gas exchange and reduce lung injury. Data regarding the use of recruitment maneuvers in patients with ARDS show mixed results, with increased efficacy in those with a short duration of ARDS, good chest wall compliance, and extrapulmonary ARDS. More data are needed to support this strategy\textsuperscript{102,103}

In experienced facilities, prone positioning should be considered in ARDS patients who require potentially injurious levels of Fi\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}}, or plateau pressure who are not at high risk for adverse consequences of positional changes\textsuperscript{100}.

A weaning protocol should be in place, and mechanically ventilated patients should undergo a spontaneous breathing trial to evaluate their ability to discontinue mechanical ventilation when they satisfy the following criteria: a) arousable; b) hemodynamically stable (without vasopressor agents); c) no new potentially serious conditions; d) low ventilatory and end-expiratory pressure requirements; and e) requiring levels of Fi\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} that could be safely delivered with a face mask or nasal cannula. If the spontaneous breathing trial is successful, extubation should be considered\textsuperscript{101,102,103}.

**Glucose Control**

Following initial stabilization of patients with severe sepsis, blood glucose should be maintained at <150 mg/dL. Studies supporting the role of glycemic control have used continuous infusion of insulin and glucose. With this protocol, glucose should be monitored frequently after initiation of the protocol (every 30–60 min) and on a regular basis (every 4 hrs) once the blood glucose concentration has stabilized. A large single-center trial of postoperative surgical patients showed significant improvement in survival when continuous-infusion insulin was used to maintain glucose between 80 and 110 mg/dL\textsuperscript{104}. Another trial in a medical ICU showed that intensive insulin therapy significantly reduced morbidity but not mortality. Although the risk of subsequent death and disease was reduced in patients treated for three or more days, these patients could not be identified before therapy\textsuperscript{105,106}.

**CONCLUSION**

Sepsis syndromes are still a daily challenge for intensivists all over the world. Despite great improvements
in the understanding of epidemiology, pathophysiology and genetic predisposition to sepsis, both morbidity and mortality associated with severe sepsis and septic shock remain unacceptably high. Recent efforts aiming to provide a framework of uniform definitions and terms have been performed in order to facilitate communication, research and patient care. There has been progress in clinical management based on widely known guidelines, and interventions targeting specific pathologic pathways have led to important breakthroughs, as well as some deceptions. In the future, we hope that it will be possible to tailor treatment strategies based on knowledge of an individual’s genetic profile, comorbidities and phenotypic expressions derived from environmental influences and host-pathogen interactions.
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