
OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the effect of different concentrations of estrogen on the ovarian superficial epithelium in senile female rats. Design: Fifty female rats at 15 months of age and with irregular estrous cycles were selected and randomly divided into five experimental groups containing equal numbers of animals in each: GPROP, control group receiving vehicle only; GE0.05mg, group receiving conjugated equine estrogens (CEE) at a dose of 50 µg/kg; GE0.5mg, group receiving CEE at 500 µg/kg; GE1mg, group receiving CEE at 1 mg/kg; and GE2mg, receiving CEE at 2 mg/kg. The length of treatment was 21 days. After this period, the animals were anesthetized and the ovaries were fixed in 10% formaldehyde and processed for routine histology. Histomorphology was analyzed by light microscopy, and histomorphometrics were evaluated using the Imagelab program.

RESULTS: In the GPROP and GE0.05mg groups, the superficial epithelium of the ovary had a simple cuboidal shape, and as the estrogen dose increased, the epithelium thickened, with pseudo-stratified or stratified epithelium appearing in the GE2mg group. The animals in the group given the highest estrogen dose (GE2mg) showed the thickest ovarian epithelium and the largest perimeter and surface area of the surface ovarian epithelium (P < 0.01). However, the difference in epithelium thickness between the GE0.5mg and GE1mg groups was only slight.

CONCLUSION: Our data suggest that CEE at a dose of 2 mg/kg may induce marked proliferation of rat ovarian epithelium.


INTRODUCTION

Ovarian carcinoma has an extremely poor prognosis and is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in women with cancer. In general, the diagnosis of ovarian cancer occurs when the clinico-surgical stage is already quite advanced; in fact, 70% of women diagnosed with this condition are in stage III or IV.1 Cancer recurs in about 75% of patients with advanced primary tumors, even after initial therapy.2 The prognosis for patients with recurring disease remains poor, with a 23% five-year survival rate overall and a 14% survival rate for stages III and IV.3 For these reasons, the molecular pathways involved in the progression of ovarian cancer are excellent candidates as targets for treating and even preventing the disease.

The gonadotropic theory of ovarian cancer postulates that it develops when the hypophysial gonadotropins (luteinizing hormone [LH] and follicle-stimulating hormone [FSH]) stimulate excessive growth of ovarian tissue.4 Pregnancy and the use of oral contraceptives containing prostagestagens suppress the release of gonadotropins, and may protect against development of ovarian cancer, particularly cancer of the ovarian superficial epithelium.5 Thus, it is possible that when ovarian cancer arises due to the superficial
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Elevated estrogen levels stimulate proliferation of ovarian superficial epithelial cells by activating cellular estrogen receptors. This promotes genetic instability because of the frequent rounds of DNA replication and recombination. The mitogenic effects of estrogen on ovarian cancer cells are a result of several signaling pathways, including regulation by growth factors and cytokines. Bcl-2, activation of the c-myc protooncogene, and TGF-β. Estrogen signaling activates interleukin-6, inducing a rise in the circulating levels of growth factor. In a recent study using complementary DNA, several cancer-related genes regulated by estradiol were identified, including genes involved in cell cycle regulation (CCNB1), apoptosis (TRAP1), transcription (TFAP4), and cellular signaling (LCN2). Additionally, the estradiol metabolite 4-hydroxy estradiol enhances the expression of both inducible factor hypoxia-1α (HIF-1α) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in cancerous ovarian cells. This enhancement may play an important role in the progression and neoangiogenesis in tumors via phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt/FRAP signaling. Moreover, estrogen signaling may decrease GnRH and GnRHR expression, thus significantly reducing the ability of GnRH to inhibit the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells. Estrogens also affect tumor progression by promoting invasion and metastasis through up-regulation of some genes involved in remodeling the cytoskeleton or extracellular matrix, such as fibulin-1C and fibronectin.

In animals, continuous administration of estradiol (17beta-estradiol) leads to decreased ovulation and promotes proliferation of the ovarian superficial epithelium as well as the emergence of ovarian cysts. In rabbits, administration of 17beta-estradiol estrogen induces the formation of papillae on the ovarian superficial epithelium (OSE), which is histologically similar to the lesions found in low-malignancy serous neoplasia in human ovaries. The senile female rat does not undergo the hypoestrogenism secondary to ovarian failure that occurs in postmenopausal women, but when the rat is acyclic it maintains high levels of gonadotropins. High levels of circulating gonadotropins in acyclic rats is a good model system for studying the effects of unopposed estrogens on the OSE.

Conjugated equine estrogens (CEE) are commonly used in postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy (HRT). These hormones are a combination of soluble estrogens from the urine of pregnant mares, and they include several biologically active components such as estrone, estradiol, and its respective sulphates, and sulphates of equine estrogens (equilin and equilenin). It should be pointed out that after absorption into the bloodstream, equilin and equilenin are converted into 17beta-dihydroequilin and 17beta-dihydroequilenin, respectively. When conjugated estrogens are orally administered, part of the combination is absorbed as sulphate and the rest is hydrolyzed in the digestive tract and sulphated again after absorption. Nonconjugated estrogens may be interconverted in the liver and target tissues. These nonconjugated estrogens circulate bound to a globulin that transports sex hormones, whereas sulphated forms bind with high affinity to albumin. The pharmacokinetic parameters of conjugated equine estrogens are complex. When bound to albumin, the sulphated forms of estrone, equilin, equilenin, and their 17-dihydro metabolites are known to circulate at higher concentrations than nonconjugated estrogens.

However, the effects of these hormones on the OSE have not been clarified. Results from epidemiological studies investigating the effects of HRT on carcinogenesis are contradictory. Several studies have been undertaken,
with some showing a significant increase in cancer risk, and others reporting no significant positive associations. The inconsistencies among these studies may be due to differences in methodology or lack of control over confounding factors. For this reason, we decided to evaluate the carcinogenic effects of different doses of conjugated equine estrogens in senile female rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifty rats (Rattus norvegicus albinus) of the EPM-1 Wistar strain at 15 months of age were used. Average weight was 300 grams. The animals were supplied by the Center for the Development of Experimental Models in Medicine and Biology (CEDEME) of the Escola Paulista de Medicina at the Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP-EPM). The project design was approved by the ethics and research committee of the Federal University of São Paulo (protocol 0944/03).

The animals were transported to the Histology and Structural Biology Biotery of the Morphology Department of UNIFESP-EPM, where they were confined to plastic cages 45 x 30 x 15 cm in length, width, and height, respectively. The cages were lidded with metal grids. The rats were fed ad libitum, room temperature was maintained at 22°C and artificial lighting was maintained with fluorescent lamps (40 W, daylight, Phillips).

After acclimatization for new cages for one week, the rats were randomly divided into five study groups as follows: GPROP, which received 0.5 ml of propylene glycol (vehicle); GE50µg, which received a daily dose of CEE of 50 µg/kg; GE0.5mg, which received a daily CEE dose of 500 µg/kg; GE1mg, which received a daily CEE dose of 1 mg/kg; and GE2mg, which received a daily CEE dose of 2 mg/kg.

The conjugated equine estrogens were diluted in 0.5 ml of propylene glycol and administered daily in the morning by gavage for 21 consecutive days. Gavage was performed with a standard metal tube supplied by the UNIFESP-EPM Pharmacology Department.

Twenty-four hours after ingesting the final dose of CEE, the animals were anesthetized with xilazine (20 mg/kg) and ketamine (100 mg/kg) intraperitoneally. Upon laparotomy, the ovaries were removed and immediately immersed in a 10% buffered formaldehyde solution for eight hours, sliced, and the pieces were dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethyl alcohol, diaphanized in xylol, and impregnated with and embedded in paraffin. The histological cuts (4 µm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosine (HE). For morphological analysis, the cuts were observed with a light microscope under magnifications ranging from 100X to 400X.

Morphometric studies were performed using a light microscope (Axiolab, Carl Zeiss) attached to an image capture system (AxionLab, Carl Zeiss). OSE measurements were made at four clock positions (12, 3, 6, and 9 o’clock) on serial sections using the AxionVision Morphometric Program (Carl Zeiss). A total of 40 consecutive observations were recorded for each animal (10 histological sections per rat). Also, the total evaluated width of the epithelium was approximately 5 mm per ovary (sums of all clock positions). The ovarian surface epithelial thickness, area, and perimeter were measured on profiles showing the whole epithelium from basement membrane to surface. The thickness was calculated from the average distances between the epithelium-stroma interface and the cell surface. The perimeter and area were measured by tracing the epithelium-stroma interface (Fig. 1). The area (A) and perimeter (P) formulas are as follows: A = length x width, and P = (2 x length) + (2 x width).

The sample size was calculated based on the central limit theorem. The minimum number of animals necessary was calculated to be eight per group. To evaluate possible differences in histomorphometric parameters (perimeter, area, and thickness) among the experimental groups, the Bartlett test for assessing data homogeneity was used first, followed by the ANOVA test, and then finally by Bonferroni’s post-hoc. The significance level for the rejection of the null hypothesis was fixed at 0.05 or 5% (α < 0.05).

RESULTS

Histological Analysis

No rats died during the experiment. The OSE was of...
simple cuboidal shape in all animals in the GPROP and GE0.05mg groups. However, as the estrogen dose increased, the lining of the epithelium thickened. This thickening was most obvious in the GE2mg group, where the epithelium was observed to be pseudo-stratified or stratified (Fig. 2). The percentage of rats with thickened ovarian superficial epithelium was 10%, 40%, and 90%, in the GE0.5mg, GE1mg, and GE2mg groups, respectively. The ovarian stroma contained a large number of interstitial cells in all groups receiving CEE. These cells were most numerous in the GE1mg and GE2mg groups (Fig. 2). The percentage of rats with increased interstitial cells was 70% and 100% in GE1mg and GE2mg, respectively. In these two groups, we also noticed leukocyte infiltration in several ovarian follicles, suggesting follicular degeneration. This phenomenon in G2mg (80% of the rats) was more pronounced than other groups. We did not observe significant differences in the number of ovarian follicles or in the number of corpora lutea among the groups under investigation.

**Histomorphometric Analysis**

The animals in the GE2mg group, which received the largest dose of CEE (2 mg/kg), showed the largest area (56.63 ± 1.91 µm² x 10⁻³), perimeter (23.74 ± 6.81 mm) and thickness (10.41 ± 0.18 µm) of OSE when compared
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exposure to DMBA leads to epithelial cancer in 30-40% of ovarian surface epithelium and promotes neoplasia. This local epithelial ovarian cancer. This increase is especially strong in females, these carcinogens also increase the incidence of ovarian cancer. This finding is worrisome and brings to light new questions regarding the effects of estrogen on OSE proliferation and cancer. This finding is worrisome and brings to light new questions regarding the effects of estrogen on OSE proliferation and cancer. By the local release of other growth-promoting factors.

These values are similar to those found in postmenopausal women. In our study, only high doses of estrogen (2 mg/kg day) induced the morphometric signs of proliferation in the OSE. However, this dose is 20-fold higher than conventional HRT doses that induce similar estrogen concentrations in postmenopausal women. In a previous study with rats we showed that, following treatment with 50 µg/kg of CEE, estrogen levels are close to 32 pg/ml. These values are similar to those found in postmenopausal women receiving estrogen therapy.

Rat models, and particularly rat models of the chemical carcinogens 7,12-dimethylbenzanthracene (DMBA) and N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU) are the most frequently used to study breast cancer chemoprevention. In intact females, these carcinogens also increase the incidence of epithelial ovarian cancer. This increase is especially strong in the DMBA model, where DMBA is directly applied to the ovarian surface epithelium and promotes neoplasia. This local exposure to DMBA leads to epithelial cancer in 30-40% of the treated rats and to more frequent preneoplastic changes in the ovary. However, although this model is effective, it is based on artificial induction of epithelial growth. Our study was designed to evaluate the proliferation of epithelium after estrogen treatment in senile rats, and this model may be more physiologically relevant than a model involving direct application of DMBA to the ovarian surface.

As female rats age, the number of ovulations and litter size gradually declines, until they eventually cease to cycle and reproduce. Daily vaginal smear examinations revealed that, starting around 8-12 months of age or even earlier, rats gradually change from regular to irregular cycles, and then to constant estrus and finally to an anestrous state in the oldest rats. Clemens and Meites showed that gonadotropin levels, particularly FSH levels, are elevated during this anestrous phase. Therefore, senile female rats may be a good model because they mimic the rise in gonadotropin levels observed in menopausal women.

Since both gonadotropins and steroids control the ovary, these hormones are strong candidates as regulators of OSE proliferation. The fact that hormone receptors are present on the surface of the OSE in several species supports the possibility that they function as regulators, even though interspecies variation of levels of receptor on the OSE surface has been reported. In general, in vivo studies have suggested that gonadotropins and estrogens stimulate OSE proliferation. The histomorphologic and histomorphometric data in the present study seem to support these findings. The results of in vitro studies, in contrast, are contradictory. Whereas some studies have reported that gonadotropins and estrogens stimulate proliferation of OSE cells in culture, other studies have found no such mitogenic effect on isolated OSE cells, suggesting that the effects of gonadotropin and estrogens are mediated by the local release of other growth-promoting factors. Alternatively, these results may be an artifact of the in vitro nature of the studies.

An interesting finding of our study is that all experimental groups receiving estrogen showed an increase in the number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic of ovarian superficial epithelia</th>
<th>GPROP (n = 10)</th>
<th>GE 0.05mg (n = 10)</th>
<th>GE 0.5mg (n = 10)</th>
<th>GE 1mg (n = 10)</th>
<th>GE 2mg (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total analyzed width per ovary (mm)</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.23</td>
<td>5.03 ± 0.11</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.23</td>
<td>5.06 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.02 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter (mm)</td>
<td>12.15 ± 3.11</td>
<td>12.09 ± 5.69</td>
<td>12.89 ± 7.65</td>
<td>16.21 ± 2.39</td>
<td>23.74 ± 6.81*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (µm)</td>
<td>5.44 ± 0.30</td>
<td>6.04 ± 1.36</td>
<td>6.48 ± 2.68</td>
<td>7.15 ± 0.126</td>
<td>10.41 ± 0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (µm² x 10⁻³)</td>
<td>29.11 ± 1.99</td>
<td>30.80 ± 1.96</td>
<td>31.91 ± 1.65</td>
<td>33.94 ± 2.07</td>
<td>56.63 ± 1.91*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = number of animals; *Sum of all clock position fields; *P < 0.01 compared to all other groups.

to the other groups (P < 0.01). In contrast, the GE0.5mg and GE1mg groups showed little difference in epithelium thickness. Results of the morphometric analysis are shown in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have identified some risks associated with HRT, including cardiovascular complications and an increase in hormone-dependent cancer. The balance between the benefits of HRT and its risks for women at the menopausal transition and in post-menopause remains controversial. A meta-analysis of research between 1966 and 2006 showed an increased risk of ovarian cancer among users of unopposed estrogen therapy compared to non-users women, with the relative risk of the therapy equal to 1.28 (1.18-1.40). Other studies reinforce the tumorigenic effects of estrogen on ovarian cancer development. This finding is worrisome and brings to light new questions regarding the effects of estrogen on OSE proliferation and cancer. By the local release of other growth-promoting factors.

In general, in vivo studies have suggested that gonadotropins and estrogens stimulate OSE proliferation. The histomorphologic and histomorphometric data in the present study seem to support these findings. The results of in vitro studies, in contrast, are contradictory. Whereas some studies have reported that gonadotropins and estrogens stimulate proliferation of OSE cells in culture, other studies have found no such mitogenic effect on isolated OSE cells, suggesting that the effects of gonadotropin and estrogens are mediated by the local release of other growth-promoting factors. Alternatively, these results may be an artifact of the in vitro nature of the studies.

An interesting finding of our study is that all experimental groups receiving estrogen showed an increase in the number
of interstitial cells, which are responsible for producing androgens that may increase the proliferation on OSE. Entrapment of ovarian superficial epithelial cells in inclusion cysts increases the likelihood of OSE neoplastic transformation, possibly as a result of the androgen-rich milieu of the stroma.\textsuperscript{55,56} Consistent with this androgen theory, women with polycystic ovary syndrome have a higher risk of developing ovarian cancer, which is probably attributable to anovulation and higher levels of circulating androgen. Although the androgen theory may explain the effect of HRT on the OSE, it is extremely difficult to prove.\textsuperscript{56} One of the limitations of the present study is that it does not provide a rigorous test of this theory. Another limitation is that only high doses of estrogen were observed to induce the proliferation and therefore increase the histomorphometric parameters of OSE. These doses were more than 2-3 times higher than the doses given to women. Therefore, additional mechanisms and factors apart from estrogen may be involved in ovarian cancer, such as growth factors. It is important to emphasize that some women may present with high circulating estrogen levels following infertility treatment with gonadotropins or analogues, and these high levels may place them at greater risk of ovarian neoplasia.\textsuperscript{57} Estrogen is considered to be a risk factor for development of cancer on the ovarian superficial epithelium.\textsuperscript{38} Furthermore, repetitive cycles of epithelial insult and repair during ovulation promote genomic instability, rendering the superficial epithelial layer vulnerable to carcinogenesis. In fact, increased activity of the FSH receptor on ovarian cells and on the epithelial surface at the site of ovulation induces genomic instability, increasing the likelihood that this cellular layer will show aberrant proliferation.\textsuperscript{5,8} Thus, administration of high-dose estrogen in our experimental model (senile female rats) created the conditions for excessive proliferation of ovarian superficial epithelium, which may have increased the chances of developing ovarian cancer. However, despite promising initial results, further long-term studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.
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