Correlation between the characteristics of resonance and aging of the external ear

Correlação entre as características da ressonância e o envelhecimento da orelha externa

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Aging causes changes in the external ear as a collapse of the external auditory canal and tympanic membrane senile. Knowing them is appropriate for the diagnosis of hearing loss and selection of hearing aids. For this reason, the study aimed to verify the influence of the anatomical changes of the external ear resonance in the auditory canal in the elderly. Methods: The sample consisted of objective measures of the external ear of elderly with collapse (group A), senile tympanic membrane (group B) and without changing the external auditory canal or tympanic membrane (group C) and adults without changing the external ear (group D). In the retrospective/clinical study were performed comparisons of measures of individuals with and without alteration of the external ear through the gain and response external ear resonant frequency and the primary peak to the right ear. Results: In groups A, B and C was no statistically significant difference between Real Ear Unaided Response (REUR) and Real Ear Unaided Gain (REUG), but not for the peak frequency. For groups A and B were shown significant differences in REUR and REUG. Between the C and D groups were significant statistics to the REUR and REUG, but not for the frequency of the primary peak. Conclusion: Changes influence the external ear resonance, decreasing its amplitude. However, the frequency of the primary peak is not affected.

RESUMO

Objetivo: O envelhecimento ocasiona alterações de orelha externa como colabamento do meato acústico externo e membrana timpânica senil. Conhecê-las é oportuno para o diagnóstico da deficiência auditiva e para seleção do aparelho de amplificação sonora individual. Por esse motivo, o estudo propôs-se a verificar a interferência das alterações anatômicas da orelha externa na ressonância do meato acústico em idosos. Métodos: A amostra foi composta por medidas objetivas de orelha externa de idosos com colabamento (grupo A), membrana timpânica senil (grupo B) e sem alteração de meato acústico externo ou membrana timpânica (grupo C) e de adultos sem alteração de orelha externa (grupo D). No estudo retrospectivo/clínico realizaram-se comparações de medidas de indivíduos com e sem alteração de orelha externa por meio do ganho e resposta de ressonância da orelha externa e da frequência de pico primário para orelha direita. Resultados: Nos grupos A, B e C houve diferença estatisticamente significante entre Real Ear Unaided Response (REUR) e Real Ear Unaided Gain (REUG), mas não para a frequência de pico. Para os grupos A e B ocorreu diferença significativa na REUR e REUG. Entre os grupos C e D houve significância estatística para a REUR e REUG, mas não para a frequência do pico primário. Conclusão: As alterações na orelha externa influenciam na ressonância, diminuindo a sua amplitude. Todavia, a frequência do pico primário não é afetada.
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INTRODUCTION

The external ear consists of the pinna and the external auditory canal (EAC). The pinna is a fibrocartilaginous structure with hillocks and recesses, among them are the helix, anti-helix, tragus, anti-tragus, concha, and the external auditory meatus. The lower portion, comprising the lobe, is the only region of the ear that has no cartilage and composed of adipose tissue, dermis, and subcutaneous tissue(1,2). The EAC is slightly sinuous, being about 2.5–3.0 cm long in adults, from its opening up to the tympanic membrane(2). It is a tube with an open end (concha portion) and a closed end (tympanic membrane), which behaves as a resonator from a quarter-wave, with the resonance frequency being represented by the equation $F=v/4L$, where “$v$” is the speed of sound and “$L$” the length of the EAC. The resonance of the canal occurs at a frequency range of 2,700 Hz, with amplitude between 10 and 20 dB(3,4), and these frequencies are essential for speech recognition(4,5).

This resonance may suffer interference from anatomical and physiological conditions of the external ear and/or middle ear(6–8). Resonance means the natural amplification that the structures of the external ear (pinna, concha, and external auditory meatus) promote in the sound, that is, the external ear starts vibrating at the same frequency as the sound wave from the external source incident on the tympanic membrane, being influenced by it.

Studies suggest that resonance is dependent on age, according to the size of the ear. It is observed that the resonance peak occurs at a frequency at which the wavelength is equal to one quarter(7,8). The resonance of the concha is in the range of 5,000–6,000 Hz (amplitude of approximately 10 dB), and that of the pinna is approximately 4000 Hz (approximate amplitude of 3 dB). For the EAC, the resonance is approximately 2,500–2,700 Hz at 13 dB(9,10).

With aging, anatomical and structural changes in the global auditory system can be observed. In the external ear, a loss of elasticity and increased sagging occurs with consequent collapse of the EAC, which causes a decrease in its volume as well as a decrease in the fat layer. There is an increase in the production of earwax, in the growth of hairs, and in the growth of the pinna(10). These changes can cause ear fullness and dizziness, reflecting in conductive hearing loss and attenuating or preventing the conduction of sound to structures such as the cochlea(10,11).

The measurement of the resonance of the external ear may be performed by probe microphone measurements. In this procedure, important information is obtained on the acoustic variations of the sound incident on the tympanic membrane, caused by both the structures of the external ear itself and by the head and body of the individual(12).

With the development of miniature microphones, it was possible to record the variations in the EAC, because they can be introduced into the canal by a flexible probe tube, being placed near the tympanic membrane and hence measuring the sound pressure level (SPL). Thus, these measurements contributed to the quick, objective, and accurate evaluation of electroacoustic characteristics of hearing aids(12,13). Also referred to as in situ (Latin, on site), it refers to the condition in which the hearing aid (HA) is evaluated in the EAC. The measurement checks the SPL achieved, considering a given SPL input. The advantage of this method is that it requires minimal cooperation from the individual, being easy to perform(13–15).

The resonance of the external ear can be quantified by measuring the level of absolute sound pressure generated in the tympanic membrane for a given input sound, known as real-ear unaided response (REUR). However, when the level of the input sound is subtracted from REUR, it is possible to obtain the natural gain (amplification) of the external ear, known as real-ear unaided gain (REUG)(16,17).

In REUG, little resonance is observed in the frequency range below 1,500 Hz (between 0 dB and 4), with the presence of a primary amplification peak between 2,600 and 3,000 Hz (amplitude between 14 and 18 dB) and secondary peak between 4,000 and 5,000 Hz (amplitude between 10 and 15 dB), given by the properties of the concha(18).

It is important to ascertain the extent of the gain of the external ear because it serves as a basis for obtaining the real-ear insertion gain (REIG), determining the amplification provided by the HA in the EAC, being compared with REIG values preestablished by prescriptive formulas, to verify if the amplification needs were met. The formulas that prescribe REIG are based on the user’s typical REUG. If this is not the case, the use of other measures, such as real-ear aided response, is required for verifying the real-ear performance of the prosthesis(18,19).

Thus, this study aims to verify the interference of anatomical changes of the external ear as a result of aging, in the responses of REUR and REUG.

METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted between August 2010 and July 2011 at the Clinic of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology of Faculdade de Odontologia de Bauru, Universidade de São Paulo, accredited by the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS).

The study was approved for implementation under protocol no. 58/2010, being carried out with the financial support from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), process no. 2010/05908-2.

Initially, REUR and REUG measures from the individuals’ records were analyzed. The selection of records was random, although, as a prerequisite, individuals had to meet the following criteria: bilateral sensorineural hearing loss, age above 20 years and, for anatomical conditions of the external ear, intact tympanic membrane and absence of otologic surgery. To assess the integrity regarding the status of the external ear, we analyzed the reports of the clinical evaluation performed by the otolaryngologist.
The sample consisted of 141 measures of individuals distributed as described below:

- **Group A**: consisting of measures from 15 elderly subjects, 5 women and 10 men between 60 and 84 years, presenting collapse of the EAC;
- **Group B**: consisting of measures from 40 elderly subjects, 18 women and 22 men between 60 and 82 years, presenting senile tympanic membrane;
- **Group C**: consisting of measures from 17 elderly individuals, all females between 60 and 79 years, without changes in the EAC;
- **Group D**: consisting of measures from 69 adult subjects, 32 women and 37 men between 20 and 59 years, without anatomical or otological changes of the external ear.

Anatomical changes of the external ear, such as collapse and senile tympanic membrane, were diagnosed considering the inspection performed by an otolaryngologist.

The following analyses were performed: measure of REUR, measure of REUG and, finally, the primary peak frequency to the right ear, chosen as the test ear, because all individuals had it registered in their medical records, which did not occur with the left ear. The measurement procedure with the probe microphone were carried out with an Unity device (Siemens), in free field, at position 0° azimuth at a distance of approximately 50 cm from the sound field.

The REUR records the SPL according to the frequency, obtained by the probe microphone positioned at a specific point in the EAC, not occluded, for a given sound field. The speech noise test signal and the intensity level of 65 dB SPL were the parameters used in the REUR and REUG measurements, obtaining, respectively, the natural resonance curve of the external ear and the gain relative to the intensity of the stimulus, according to the protocol preestablished in the literature.

For statistical analysis, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used, and Tukey’s test and Student’s $t$-test were used for the groups’ correction analysis.

### RESULTS

Figures 1 and 2 show, respectively, the average absolute values of the REUR and REUG measures for the four groups studied, and it is possible to visually verify the difference in these parameters. After application of ANOVA, statistical significance between the values of samples for REUR (p=0.0000) and REUG (p=0.0000) measures was confirmed, as shown in Table 1.

Figure 3, together with Table 1, shows the frequency in which the primary peak of resonance is registered, a variable that presented an absence of statistical significance (p=0.5416) in all groups.

Once the significance of the ANOVA was confirmed between groups A, B, and C regarding the average of the REUR and REUG measures for the right ear, Tukey’s test was used for comparison of means, to ascertain whether there was minimal significant difference.

### Table 1. Comparison of groups A, B, and C regarding the response of measures of real-ear unaided response and of primary peak frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real-ear unaided response (dB NPS)</td>
<td>67.6±1.06</td>
<td>71.53±2.61</td>
<td>76.41±1.73</td>
<td>0.0000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-ear unaided gain (dB)</td>
<td>2.60±1.06</td>
<td>6.28±1.99</td>
<td>10.12±1.32</td>
<td>0.0000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak frequency (kHz)</td>
<td>3.04±0.39</td>
<td>2.99±0.51</td>
<td>3.14±0.43</td>
<td>0.5416 (ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA = analysis of variance, *p<0.05 (statistically significant)

**Caption**: ns = no statistically significant difference regarding ANOVA. Group A = elderly showing collapse of the external acoustic canal; group B = elderly showing senile tympanic membrane; group C = elderly without changes in the external auditory canal; group D = adults without anatomical or otological changes in the external ear.

**Figure 1.** Average of real-ear unaided response measurements of the groups studied.

**Figure 2.** Average of real-ear unaided gain measurements of the groups studied.

**Table 1.** Comparison of groups A, B, and C regarding the response of measures of real-ear unaided response of real-ear unaided gain and of primary peak frequency.
The results showed that the difference occurred between groups A and B in REUR and REUG measures with p=0.0001 in both cases, as can be seen in Table 2. Table 3 shows the comparison of results between individuals over 60 years from group C and adults from group D.

By applying Student’s t-test, it became possible to compare the average of the samples, verifying the significance between groups C and D for REUR and REUG measures, respectively (p=0.0043 and 0.0000), and no significance was observed for the primary peak frequency (p=0.1983).

**Table 2. Comparison of groups A and B regarding the correlation of anatomic changes in the response for real-ear unaided response and real-ear unaided gain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Group A Mean±SD</th>
<th>Group B Mean±SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real-ear unaided response (dB NPS)</td>
<td>67.60±1.06</td>
<td>71.53±2.61</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-ear unaided gain (dB)</td>
<td>2.60±1.06</td>
<td>6.28±1.99</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tukey’s test; *p<0.05 (statistically significant)

**Caption:** SD = standard deviation

**Table 3. Comparison of groups C and D regarding real-ear unaided response, real-ear unaided gain, and primary peak frequency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Group C Mean±SD</th>
<th>Group D Mean±SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real-ear unaided response (dB NPS)</td>
<td>76.41±1.73</td>
<td>79.25±3.87</td>
<td>0.0043*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-ear unaided gain (dB)</td>
<td>10.12±1.32</td>
<td>14.25±3.87</td>
<td>0.0000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak frequency (kHz)</td>
<td>3.14±0.42</td>
<td>2.98±0.45</td>
<td>0.1983 (ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student’s t-test; *p<0.05 (statistically significant)

**Caption:** ns = no statistically significant difference for Student’s t-test; SD = standard deviation

**DISCUSSION**

Today, worldwide, the increase in the number of elderly is already a reality, while in Brazil it becomes increasingly significant. In the age pyramid, this gradual change is enforcing a different behavior in different areas of health, and with it, new public policies to the elderly are being developed, providing improved quality of life.

In the course of aging, endogenous and exogenous factors affect humans. In this process, degenerative changes in the physical, cognitive, and sensory aspects negatively affect the elderly, and hearing loss is considered one of the toughest of them in the psychosocial context.

There are many primary and secondary consequences of hearing loss in the elderly. In addition, the process of selection and adaptation to the HAs is a step that should be performed carefully, following appropriate protocols throughout the process, along with the guidance and counseling of the patient, so that problems related to acceptance and difficulty of the device’s daily maintenance are minimized. In this context, the difficulties related to the anatomical aspect of the external ear are extremely important as they may direct the response of the HA amplification. However, a detailed study of these factors was necessary, affecting the better use of amplification. Thus, the aim of this study was to verify the influence of the anatomical changes of the external ear as a result of aging in response to REUR and REUG.

Analyzing the results presented in Table 1, it was possible to verify a statistically significant difference in the comparison of groups A, B, and C in relation to measurements of the resonance of the external ear. Groups A and B (presenting collapse of the EAC and senile tympanic membrane) obtained results that differed from the elderly group without changes in the external ear (group C) in the procedures performed (REUR and REUG). Patients in groups A and B obtained inferior results to group C, with the lowest results being observed in group A. The difference between groups A, B, and C showed the effect of the changes caused by aging of the natural resonance of the external ear, due to loss of elasticity and increased sagging of the EAC, ratifying the consulted literature\(^3,6,7\).

The primary peak frequency of REUR and REUG measures was also investigated, with no offset being verified in the record of the primary peak frequency for REUR or for REUG considering participating groups. Statistical tests revealed no significance for primary peak frequency (p=0.5416) for three groups of individuals over the age of 60. This difference was not observed because, according to the literature, the dimensions of the external ear among the elderly are similar, justifying the answers provided.

According to the results presented by the groups, a more detailed analysis of groups A and B was made necessary to verify which among the two anatomical changes presented by them would cause major impact on the response of the resonance of the ear (Table 2). Through this correlation, it was possible to observe a statistically significant difference between the groups in the measures of REUR and REUG. Knowing that
both changes interfere with the response of the resonance, we observed a major impact on individuals of group A.

Regarding aspects of aging, Table 3 shows that it was possible to verify statistical significance between groups C and D for measures of REUR and REUG when comparing the average of the samples, which leads us to reflect on the importance of senile factors in the anatomical characteristics of the EAC.

When comparing elderly individuals (groups A, B, and C) with adults (group D), it was verified, by the statistical results, that the resonance values found, both for REUR and REUG, were lower for the elderly, which may be justified by anatomical changes of the external ear due to the aging process. Therefore, changes in the natural resonance of the external ear were observed, being in accordance with the studies.

In the selection and verification process for HAs, it is important to mention that the responses can be significantly affected because of changes in the anatomical characteristics of the EAC.

In the literature, authors consider that the dimensions of the EAC are different only between children and adult/elderly. In this study it was found that the resonance characteristics of adults are preserved. However, for elderly patients with anatomical changes in the EAC, these characteristics may be in a modified state. In parallel with amplification, it is known that changes in the natural resonance of the external ear directly affect the response of the HA, so it is important to consider the characteristics of the aging process for the selection and fitting of HAs.

This study aimed to understand the role of aging in the natural resonance of the external ear, which could aid audiological diagnostic tests in the process of selection and adaptation to HAs process, and consequently, in better use of amplification.

**CONCLUSION**

According to the study, it is possible to conclude that the anatomical changes caused by aging interfere with measurements of natural resonance of the external ear and, consequently, on its resonance gain.

We believe that this study contributes to the Audiology field, specifically in the selection and verification of electroacoustic characteristics of HAs within the auditory rehabilitation process of elderly individuals with disabilities, aiming to maximize amplification and its adaptation to the user’s auditory needs.
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