ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to report the results using PediGuard (electrical conductivity device) to reduce radiation exposure while drilling the pilot hole for pedicle screw placement. Method: Eighteen patients diagnosed with degenerative lumbar spine, that required a posterior spinal fusion. Average age of the patients were 55 ± 12 years. Patients received postoperative CT scans of all screws. Scans were reviewed by an independent reviewer grading ‘in’ < 2 mm of breach , or ‘out’ > 2 mm of breach. In a randomized fashion, the surgeon created pilot holes with either his standard technique or by using the PediGuard. Fluoroscopy was used for each drilling as necessary. Once the pilot hole was created, the surgeon inserted titanium screws into the pedicle pilot holes. A total of 78 screws (39 standard probe and 39 PediGuard ) were analyzed. Results: 78 screws (39 standard probe and 39 PediGuard were analyzed. No significant difference in breach rate > 2mm by either method (p=1.000), with one screw out in each group. Fluoroscopy shots averaged 5.2 (range, 0 to 15), average decrease of 2.3 (30%) per screw in the PediGuard group vs. 7.5 (range, 2 to 17) in the standard group (p< .001). Conclusion: This trial to assess pedicle probe location within the pedicle and vertebral body showed the number of fluoroscopy shots were reduced by 30%, compared to a standard probe while maintaining a 97.5% screw placement accuracy.
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INTRODUCTION

Pedicle screw fixation has been shown to be superior to other methods of instrumentation of the spine for spinal fusion and correction of spine deformity.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^7\) In a meta-analysis of the literature by Yahiro\(^8\) of 5,756 patients reported in 101 articles, the success of fusions with pedicle screws was 94.8%, attesting to the clinical usefulness of pedicle screw instrumentation. However, one of the complications of pedicle screw placement is pedicle perforation.

Perforation rates range from 2.5 to 40%\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^-\)\(^1\)\(^2\). Many of the differences in the literature depend on the study methodology used to determine the perforation. Perforations can further lead to complications such as dural tear,\(^1\)\(^2\) nerve root injuries,\(^1\)\(^2\) paraplegia,\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^1\)\(^5\) or vascular injury.\(^1\)\(^6\) In a large series of 2,187 patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis,\(^1\)\(^7\) 5% had intraoperative adverse events associated with the technical aspects of screw insertion. Nerve root injury, spinal cord injury, and vascular injury occurred in 1% of patients. Radicular pain occurred in 1.5% of patients, and dural tears occurred in 0.5%. In a meta-analysis of the literature of 5,756 patients reported in 101 articles, there were 65 dural tears (1.1%) and 99 neural injuries (1.7%).\(^9\)

Many surgeons employ a manual technique of preparing the pedicle hole with a pedicle probe. The “Freehand Technique” is based on knowledge of spinal anatomy. This technique results in the least radiation exposure to the patient and surgeon but is less accurate in placing contained pedicle screws as compared to imaging techniques.\(^1\)\(^8\) A fluoroscopic technique may provide more consistent results but carries some risks associated with radiation dose, especially to young patients\(^1\)\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^2\)\(^0\) and to the surgeon.\(^2\)\(^1\)

This manual PediGuard technique most closely matches the freehand manual technique. The PediGuard is a pedicle probe but provides the surgeon with additional feedback in the form of an audible tone when a breech has occurred or is about to occur. This theoretically gives the surgeon additional opportunity to prepare a contained pilot hole without additional radiation exposure. The purpose of this prospective randomized, controlled study is to report the results of using the PediGuard device to reduce radiation exposure while drilling the pilot hole for pedicle screw placement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighteen patients with a diagnosis of lumbar degenerative spine having a posterior spinal fusion (all by the principal investigator [CDC]) were enrolled in the study. The average age of the patients was 55 ± 12 years. In a randomized fashion, the surgeon placed a pilot hole either with a standard technique (manual probe) or the PediGuard device and used fluoroscopy for each drilling as a guidance assist as necessary. Every pedicle screw insertion (as opposed to subject) was randomized by an alternating technique using either a standard probe or the PediGuard probe. The first pedicle was probed at either the most distal or most proximal vertebra to be instrumented. Then, the opposite pedicle at the same level was drilled using the technique not used initially. At each subsequent level, the technique used on each side was reversed. For example, if at L3 the PediGuard was used on the left and surgeon’s procedure on the right, then at L2 the PediGuard will be used on the right and surgeon’s procedure on the left, and this process was continued until all levels were instrumented. Once the pilot hole was placed, the surgeon inserted the titanium screws in his standard fashion. EMG testing was not done by the surgeon. A total of 78 screws (39 via standard probe and 39 with PediGuard assist) were analyzed.

The PediGuard tool to be used in this study is a 510(k) approved device for pedicle screw fixation manufactured by SpineGuard, Inc. The PediGuard system consists of an awl instrument with is a perforation detecting instrument with an impedance measurement capability at the tip. The electrical impedance changes as the instrument passes through cancellous and cortical bone as well as soft tissue.\(^2\)\(^1\) When the electrical impedance at the tip of the PediGuard changes, the surgeon is alerted to this change via audible and visual means. The PediGuard is used in an anticipatory function during drilling of the vertebral pedicle. Due to the shape of the electromagnetic field at the tip of the device, the pitch and cadence of the sound emitted slightly changes before the nature of the bone or tissue changes. When first entering the cancellous bone, keeping firm pressure is necessary to get a sense of the rate and pitch of the sound for that particular pedicle. As one advances, if the rate and pitch slow, then one is probably near or up against cortical bone. One can then gently reangle the tip, keeping firm pressure to look for the original sound of the cancellous bone. Once the sound of the original cancellous bone is heard, then one should advance the PediGuard in that direction. It is extremely important not to decrease pressure of the tip on the bone, or blood will intervene, and then a very high pitch and rate of sound will result. In addition, if one angles the tip too far in any one direction, then blood will seep in and surround the electrode tips, and a high pitched, high cadence sound will be heard as a consequence of the tip measuring blood.

Each subject had a full neurologic exam at discharge or their first outpatient follow up visit. All patients had a CT scan of all screws placed. These scans were reviewed by an independent reviewer (AFS) Perforations < 2mm on CT scan are thought not to be associated with clinical sequelae,\(^2\)\(^2\) and other authors report perforations as large as 4mm being associated with no problems.\(^2\)\(^3\) Gertzbein and Robbins\(^2\)\(^4\) reported an incidence of medial cortical penetration up to 8mm with two minor neurologic injuries. They hypothesize a 4mm safe zone, which includes 2mm of epidural space and 2mm of subarachnoid space. Belmont et al.\(^2\)\(^5\) considered screw penetration of the medial pedicle wall less than or equal to 2mm to be acceptable. We considered 2mm or less of screw perforation to be acceptable in this study. Screws were considered ‘in’ (\(<\) 2mm of breech [considered clinically insignificant]), or ‘out’ (\(\ge\) 2mm of breech [possibly clinically significant]).

Data analysis

Breach rates were compared (PediGuard versus manual) using Fisher’s exact test. The number of fluoroscopy shots were analyzed using a repeated measures ANOVA on normalized ranks comparing PediGuard and manual methods of placement. All analyses were carried out using SAS V9.1.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

There was no significant difference in breach rate \(\ge\) 2mm by either of the two methods (\(p = 1,000\)), with one screw out in each group. Both were medial breaches, one L4, one L5. (Figure 1 A, B) Fluoroscopy...
shots averaged 5.2 (range, 0 to 15) per screw in the PediGuard group vs. 7.5 (range, 2 to 17) in the standard group (p < .001). This represents an average decrease of 2.3 (30%) fluoro shots per screw with PediGuard. There were 202 total fluoro shots used in the PediGuard group vs. 293 in the standard group. (Table 1)

**Figura 1.** A) Patient 1: L5 R PediGuard. B) Patient 4: L5 R Standard.

**Table 1.** Results of pedicle screw placement using PediGuard or standard manual probe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In (or &lt; 2mm breach)</th>
<th>Out (or ≥ 2mm breach)</th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard manual technique (N=39)</td>
<td>38 (97.5%)</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td>75 (range, 2-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PediGuard technique (N=39)</td>
<td>38 (97.5%)</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td>5.2 (range, 0-15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The major clinical significance of this study is the opportunity to reduce radiation exposure to the surgeon while maintaining safe pedicle screw placement for the patient. No patient in either group had a new radiculopathy or new neurologic deficit. Since patients were prospectively evaluated neurologically and follow up was 100%, this study provides high level evidence that the PediGuard can provide safe pedicle screw placement similar to placement with standard techniques that are more heavily dependent on fluoroscopic imaging. One advantage of this study is that postoperative CT scans for assessment of screw breach were obtained in all cases. The use of all titanium screws improved the accuracy of the review for screw breach. Studies using a postoperative CT scan reviewed by independent or blinded reviewers show higher rates of perforation than those determined by radiograph. Laine et al. reviewed 30 low back operations. In this series of 152 pedicle screws, 32 screw perforations (21%) were detected by CT scan, whereas only 3 were detected by plain radiographs. Screws perforated less than 4 mm caused no neurological problems. In only 10 of the 30 patients were all the screws located within the pedicle. Many surgeons rely on plain radiographs to assess screw perforation postoperatively. However, the number of malpositioned screws are underestimated. In an article by Learch et al. using cadaver specimens of the lumbar spine, only 63% of the screws’ positions were correctly identified on radiograph as compared to 87% with CT scan.

Weinstein et al. wrote a classic article on the use of fluoroscopic guidance for screw placement in cadaver specimens in which any evidence of cortical perforation was considered to be a failure of screw placement. This occurred in 21% of the screws placed where direct visualization was the definite endpoint. Of the screws demonstrating perforations, 92% were medial, potentially injuring the spinal cord or a nerve root. Additional variations exist -- for example, using anatomic placement for the anteroposterior (AP) positioning of the fluoroscope for sagittal guidance. The basic concept is that fluoroscopy is used to guide each drill hole instead of just confirming screw placement. Use of fluoroscopy, however, is inefficient, especially with the time involved in switching from AP to lateral views. In addition, it is ergonomically obstructive and may expose the surgeon and patient to potentially hazardous amounts of radiation. Fluoroscopic techniques have been reported to have relatively high false-negative rates.

A study by Ul Haque et al. on radiation exposure with all screw constructs in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis showed that a nonclassified radiation worker (i.e., the surgeon) inserting approximately 2,600 screws under fluoroscopic guidance is projected to receive 13.49 mSv of whole body ionizing radiation and 4.31 mSv of thyroid gland irradiation annually. The National Council on Radiation Protection’s current recommendations set lifetime dose equivalent limits for classified workers (radiologists) at 10 mSv per year of life and at 3 mSv for nonclassified workers (spine surgeons). At the levels estimated, a surgeon beginning his/her career at age 30 years would exceed the lifetime limit for nonclassified workers in less than 10 years.

A study by Rampersaud et al. reported that surgeons may be exposed to 10–12 times greater radiograph radiation dose rates during fluoroscopically assisted pedicle screw insertion than during other nonspinal musculoskeletal procedures. This is primarily due to the increased energy required to fluoroscopically image the lumbar spine and the proximity of the surgeon’s hands to the primary and backscatter sources of radiation that occur during this imaging. Currently, established guidelines recommend monitoring for personnel who are exposed to greater than 10% of the maximum permissible annual whole-body dose. Given a permissible whole-body dose of 5000 mrem per year, the levels of radiation exposure documented in this study would place many spine surgeons above this 10% limit.

Three-dimensional image-guidance systems allow the surgeon to visualize patient-specific imaging along surgically relevant planes both pre and intraoperatively. Image-guided surgery utilizing postoperative CT scans or intraoperative fluoroscopy have been promoted to give better accuracy of pedicle screw placement. Laine et al. showed in a randomized study a reduction in the perforation rate from 13.4% in a conventional group to 4.6% in a computer assisted image guided system. However, this technique has not become popular because of the initial cost ($200,000), the added surgical time, and the need to reregister the system for each vertebral level being instrumented. This technique requires less radiation exposure than fluoroscopy. Since 3-D image guidance is not in widespread use, we did not compare it to the PediGuard.

This is not a screw insertion technique per se; it is another method similar to radiograph to confirm safe position of the pilot probe (stimulation of the probe) or the screw (stimulation of the screw). Stimulus thresholds are listed in Table 1. Clements et al. report thresholds above 10 mAmps as being associated with no postoperative nerve root radiculopathies.

Some surgeons like to use EMG stimulation of the probe for muscle contraction to assist in determining pedicle wall breaches before inserting the pedicle screw. The PediGuard may be used in conjunction with any standard EMG monitoring system to detect contraction of muscles. An EMG monitor is not provided with the PediGuard system. As is standard in the use of an EMG monitor, leads should be strategically placed on the patient’s legs and attached to the monitor so that the monitor will register muscle contraction in the leg should the PediGuard stimulate a corresponding nerve in the spine.

Previous studies with the PediGuard, Bolger et al. reported 147 manual pedicle drillings performed in 11 hospitals during 28 spinal surgeries between September 2002 and March 2003. The purpose of the study was to determine perforations detected by: 1) the PediGuard; 2) the physician; and 3) both the PediGuard and the physician. A total of 23 vertebral cortex perforations out of the...
REDUCTION IN RADIATION (FLUOROSCOPY) WHILE MAINTAINING SAFE PLACEMENT OF PEDICLE SCREWS DURING LUMBAR SPINE FUSION

The protocol required a postoperative CT scan only if the hospital had a CT scanner. Five patients (17.8%) had a CT postoperatively that confirmed the intraoperative findings. When using this more definitive method of assessment, PediGuard identified all 5 of the CT scan confirmed pedicle screw breaches.

CONCLUSION

In this prospective, randomized trial of a pedicle drilling device that uses electrical conductivity differentiation at the tip for assessing bone versus soft tissue, the number of fluoroscopy shots was reduced by 30% as compared to a standard drilling probe. A 97.5% safe screw placement was maintained on CT imaging, and no neurological complications or new radicular symptoms occurred.
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