ADOLESCENT IDIOPATHIC SCOLIOSIS: EVALUATION ON THE EFFECT OF SCREW DENSITY IN THE CORRECTION

INTRODUCTION

Scoliosis is a complex three-dimensional deformity of the vertebrae and ribcage, with deviations in the sagittal, coronal, and horizontal planes. It is a common disease, affecting 2 to 3% of the population, most commonly in its idiopathic form (80 to 90% of cases) and is most often diagnosed in adolescence. Approximately 10% of patients need treatment and 0.1% require surgical treatment.1

Clements et al.2 described the term implant density (the ratio between the number of implants used in the assembly divided by the number of pedicles available X 100).

The surgical treatment method has evolved greatly in the past few decades. The Harrington fixation system, which uses a rod to distract the concavity and a compressor in the cavity, was the first instrumentation method for the surgical treatment of AIS. The Luque technique uses an instrument comprised of L-rods and sublaminar steel thread; this was later combined with Harrington rods, providing greater stability, but with greater neurological risk. The Cotrel-Dobouset fixation method uses two multiple hook rods, providing greater stability, but with greater neurological risk.

The surgical treatment has been modernized with pedicle screws, resulting in a higher rate of correction and a lower rate of complications.3

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective was to investigate implant density or the number of screws correlated with the correction of the main curve in patients undergoing surgery for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS). Methods: We evaluated 112 medical records: 33 patients with screw density of up to 50%, and 79 patients with a density of 100%; all patients underwent surgical correction by posterior approach with trans-pedicular fixation. Results: Of the group of patients with screw density of up to 50% the residual Cobb median was 10°; in the group with 100% density, the median was 7°. Conclusion: Biostatistical analysis showed that the group with up to 50% of screw density presented correction rate of 82.1% and the group with 100% density had correction of about 86.8%. It is therefore concluded that the difference is statistically significant in favor of the fixation with 100% density (p = 0.010).
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RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo foi investigar a densidade do implante ou o número de parafusos correlacionados com a correção da curva principal em pacientes operados em decorrência de escoliose idiopática do adolescente (EIA). Métodos: Avaliaram-se 112 prontuários: 33 pacientes com densidade de parafusos de até 50% e 79 pacientes com densidade de parafusos de 100%; todos os pacientes foram submetidos à correção cirúrgica por via posterior, com fixação transpedicular. Resultados: No grupo de pacientes com fixação de até 50% de densidade de parafusos, o Cobb residual apresentou mediana de 10°; no grupo com 100% de densidade, a mediana foi 7°. Conclusão: O estudo bioestatístico mostrou que o grupo com até 50% de densidade de parafusos, apresentou taxa de correção de 82,1% e o grupo com 100% de densidade teve correção de cerca de 86,8%. Conclui-se, portanto, que a diferença é estatisticamente significativa a favor da fixação com densidade de 100% (p = 0,010).
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Garcia et al. obtained statistically significant correction in the coronal plane in 36 patients with AIS. The aim of this study was to investigate implant density or the number of screws in relation to the correction of the primary curve, in patients who underwent surgery for AIS.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

This is a retrospective study of 284 patients representing the 6 Lenke types, surgically treated for AIS with bilateral posterior segmental instrumentation performed by a single spine surgeon. Forty-five patients with incomplete or missing medical records, 37 patients recently operated but not yet evaluated, and 90 cases with screw density between 50.1% and 99.9%, were excluded. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee (CAA: 312666214.6.0000.5138).

A total of 112 twelve patient records were included: 33 patients with screw density up to 50%, and 79 patients with 100% screw density.

All patients underwent posterior approach corrective surgery with transpedicular fixation connected to two longitudinal rods, molded to preserve sagittal balance, and locked into the transverse devices using clamps and fixation. A combination of anterior and posterior approaches was used in rigid deformities greater than 70°, curves with the potential to worsen, young patients, and cases with marked vertebral rotation.

Pearson’s chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test, the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test, and the PASW statistical program, version 18, were used. In all the statistical tests performed, a significance level of 5% was used, with p values less than 0.05 considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The distribution of fixed pedicle screw density is shown in Figure 1. As shown in Figure 1, 79 patients had a fixed pedicle screw density of 100%, while the other 33 patients had fixed pedicle screw density of less than 50%.

According to the results in the histogram in Figure 1, the study patients were separated into two groups (with up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density and 100% fixed pedicle screw density). In terms of sample size, 33 patients (29.5%) underwent correction for scoliosis with fixed pedicle screw density of up to 50% and 79 patients (70.5%) underwent correction for scoliosis with fixed pedicle screw density of 100%. Figure 2 illustrates this distribution. Analyzing the association between the sex of the patient and the patient group (patients who underwent correction for scoliosis with fixed pedicle screw density of up to 50% and patients who underwent correction for scoliosis with fixed pedicle screw density of 100%), we observed that these variables are mutually independent, or unrelated, since the p-value was greater than 0.05. This is demonstrated by the fact that 94.9% of the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group were women and 90.9% of the up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density group were also women (Table 1).

We observed that the variable of race was mutually independent or unrelated between the two groups, with a p-value higher than 0.05. This is supported by the fact that 78.2% of the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group were White and 69.7% of the up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density group were also White. (Table 1)

The mean age of the group with 100% fixed pedicle screw density was 15 years of age, while the mean age of the up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density group was 16 years of age. No statistically significant difference between the ages of the two patient groups was identified by using the Mann-Whitney statistical test. (Table 2)

Regarding the preoperative variable Cobb angle, the hypothesis that the means of both fixed pedicle groups are equal (given that the p-value was greater than 0.05) was confirmed (Table 2) by the fact that there were no statistically significant differences between the mean values. The mean for the preoperative Cobb variable was 53° in the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group and 56° in the up to 50% fixed screw density group.

In Table 2, the Mann-Whitney test results show that the 2 groups (100% fixation screw density and up to 50% fixation screw density) had a statistically significant difference in the postoperative Cobb variable. The group of patients with up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density had a statistically significant difference in the postoperative Cobb variable compared to the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>100% pedicle screw density</th>
<th>Up to 50% pedicle screw density</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Race</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p-value: descriptive level of the chi-square test.

Figure 1. Distribution of Fixed Pedicle Screw Density for the total sample.

Figure 2. Distribution of the sample by fixed pedicle screw density patient groups.
density had a greater mean value for this variable than the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group, at 10° and 7°, respectively.

We also observed that the group with 50% fixed pedicle screw density had a mean preoperative Cobb angle of 56°, while the mean postoperative Cobb angle was 10°. A comparison between these two mean values shows a reduction of approximately 82.1% in postoperative Cobb in relation to the preoperative Cobb. In the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group, the mean preoperative Cobb was 53°, while the mean postoperative Cobb was 7°. A comparison of these two mean values shows a reduction of 86.8% from the preoperative Cobb to the postoperative Cobb. As confirmed by the statistical test, the difference between the percentages of the two groups analyzed was considered to be significant.

In terms of the number of screws, the 100% fixed pedicle screw density group had a statistically greater number (20 screws) than the group with up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density (9 screws). (Table 2)

The data revealed no statistical difference between the two groups when the Lenke variable of the patients was analyzed. (Table 2 and Figure 3)

To summarize, in terms of the variables analyzed (sex, age, race, Lenke, and preoperative), no statistical difference was identified between the groups analyzed in this study (patients who underwent correction for scoliosis with up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density and patients who underwent correction for scoliosis with 100% fixed pedicle screw density), meaning that the groups are homogenous for these variables.

In terms of the postoperative variable, the Mann-Whitney test showed that the two groups had a statistically significant difference. (Figures 4 to 10)

Table 2. Frequency distribution of the 33 patients with up to 50% fixed pedicle screw density and the 79 patients with 100% fixed pedicle screw density by age, preoperative and postoperative Cobb angles, number of screws, and Lenke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Descriptive Measurements</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>100% Fixed</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 50% Fixed</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreCobb</td>
<td>100% Fixed</td>
<td>58.52</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>46.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 50% Fixed</td>
<td>58.24</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>46.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostCobb</td>
<td>100% Fixed</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 50% Fixed</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Screws</td>
<td>100% Fixed</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 50% Fixed</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenke</td>
<td>100% Fixed</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 50% Fixed</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The probabilities of significance (p-values) are in reference to the Mann-Whitney test.

DISCUSSION

Clements et al. described the term implant density (the ratio between the number of implants used in the assembly divided by the number of pedicles available x 100). They conducted a prospective multicenter study of 292 patients with curves of all six Lenke types. They found a significant positive correlation between a higher implant density and the percentage of curve correction. The higher the implant density, the greater the postoperative reduction of the kyphosis.

Chen et al. published a retrospective study of 39 patients with AIS (Lenke 5). Without taking the flexibility of the curve into account, implant density had a positive correlation with the correction.

Larson et al. conducted a retrospective study of 952 patients who underwent surgery for AIS (Lenke 1, 2, and 5). Among the Lenke 1 and 2 cases, there was a higher percentage of correction of the primary curve in the patients with greater screw density. There was no association between screw density and outcome for the Lenke 5 curves.
Figure 6. 15 a, Instrumentation of 50% of the pedicles. Radigraphs and photos pre (A and C) and postoperative (B and D).

Figure 7. 13 a, Instrumentation of 100% of the pedicles. Radigraphs and photos pre (A and C) and postoperative (B and D).

Figure 8. 15 a, Instrumentation of 100% of the pedicles. Radigraphs and photos pre (A and C) and postoperative (B and D).

Figure 9. 16 a, Correction of very severe deformity, anterior and posterior approach. Instrumentation of 50% of the pedicles. Radigraphs and photos pre (A and C) and postoperative (B and D).
No statistically significant difference was observed in the radiological results, but greater correction of the ribcage was shown.

Like the authors above, we found that the two groups (100% fixation screw density and up to 50% fixation screw density) presented a statistically significant difference in favor of 100% fixation. (Figure 3)

Bharucha et al.6 conducted a retrospective study of 91 patients with Lenke 1 curves. There was no evidence of an advantage to using high-density implants in more flexible curves in patients with AIS. We emphasize that this author only evaluated flexible curves so a comparison of results between groups with more severe curves and with patterns more complex than Lenke 1 could show differences in effectiveness between using low- and high-density implants.

Quan and Gibson10 conducted a retrospective study of 49 patients with AIS (Lenke 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6). The correction of the principal thoracic curve was closely correlated with the flexibility of the curve and not with the density of the screws.

In spite of the fact that certain authors did not confirm greater correction in relation to implant density, it is expected that a greater number of points of support promote better correction, greater stability, and greater vertebral rotation, although the correction of vertebral rotation is not evaluated in most of the studies conducted. An improvement in this correction was observed in the paper by Gotfryd in 2012 and also in our study. It is also wise to remember that greater screw density increases both morbidity and cost.

We suggest, therefore, that common sense be used in each situation, considering factors like the severity of the curve, rotation, age, and the degree of flexibility of the deformity.

CONCLUSION

This biostatistical study showed that the group with up to 50% screw density had a rate of correction of 82.1% and the group with 100% density was corrected to around 86.8%. We conclude, therefore, that the difference is statistically significant and in favor of fixation with a density of 100% (p-value=0.010).
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