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ABSTRACT

Surgical treatment of cranio-cervical junction pathology has evolved considerably in recent years with the implementation of short fixation techniques rather than long occipito-cervical fixation (sub-axial). It is often difficult and sometimes misleading to determine the particular bone and vascular features (high riding vertebral artery, for instance) using only the conventional images in three orthogonal planes (axial, sagittal and coronal). The authors describe a rare clinical case of congenital malformation of the craniovertebral junction consisting of hypoplasia/agenesis of the odontoid process and bipartite atlas associated with atlantoaxial instability which was diagnosed late in life in a patient with a previous history of rheumatologic disease. The authors refer to the diagnostic process, including new imaging techniques, and three-dimensional multiplanar reconstruction. The authors also discuss the surgical technique and possible alternatives.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital malformations of the cranio-cervical junction are rare and often diagnosed incidentally. In the presence of chronic bulbo-medullary compression, the diagnosis is usually late (a high clinical suspicion being fundamental) or achieved following an acute event, particularly a traumatic one.

The surgical approach (anterior or posterior) to the cranio-cervical junction, particularly in atlantoaxial instability/luxation, depends on the reducibility of the luxation.

In most cases, the adoption of short posterior vertebral fixation techniques² (C1-C2) has replaced long occipital-cervical fixation (sub-axial) with good clinical outcomes and the preservation, in large part, of cervical mobility, although with an increased risk of neuro-vascular lesions, particularly of the vertebral artery. The need to extend the fixation to the occipital bone, which may increase the stability of the fixation and improve the clinical outcome, has also been questioned in pure atlantoaxial instabilities.

Clinical Case: A 62-year old female patient with a personal history of rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren’s syndrome, chronic thyroiditis...
(autoimmune), anemia, and degenerative musculoskeletal disease (bilateral coxarthrosis and supraspinatus rupture). She was regularly followed up by a rheumatologist and had multiple hospitalizations following episodes of worsening rheumatic disease.

About a year and a half before the referral to our Neurosurgery Department, the patient reported a fall from the standing position that resulted in tetraparesis with an inability to walk or to perform activities, including feeding herself. It was initially considered a polyarthritides flare given the rheumatologic precedents. There was clinical improvement following prolonged physiotherapy, with partial recovery. At that time, the patient could walk semiautomatically, but with the assistance of forearm crutches, despite mechanical-type axial cervicalgia.

Upon receiving the results of electromyography (EMG) performed in the outpatient clinic compatible with “signs of compromise to the 1st neuron/spinal lesion”, she was referred for consultation to Neurology, which in turn identified mild tetraparesis (predominantly left), with a drop (to the left) in the extended arms and Mingazzini tests, very brisk osteotendinous reflexes (OTR) over an extended area, the presence bilaterally of the exhaustible Achilles reflex and the extensor cutaneous-plantar reflex (CPR).

The imaging evaluation (CAT and MRI of the cervical spine) revealed a complex malformation of the craniocervical junction with the presence of central fusion defect of the anterior and posterior arch of the atlas (called rachischisis of the atlas, bifid atlas or Cur- rarinio type A bipartite atlas) associated with odontoid process hypoplasia. Severe atlantoaxial luxation with an increase in the space between the anterior arch of C1 and the odontoid process (ADI – atlanto-dens interval – 9.7mm) and a reduction in the diameter of the vertebral canal (PADI – posterior atlanto-dens interval – around 8mm, also called SAC – space available for the cord) with signs of severe spinal compression (Figure 1A-E).

Imaging evidence of “signs of arthrodesis between the lateral masses of the atlas and the axis, especially on the left” (figure 1D) and “possible fusion of the posterior arch of the atlas to the occipital bone” was reported by Neuroradiology, which could mean that the atlantoaxial luxation would be irreducible with consequences relative to the treatment and surgical approach. In the detailed analysis of the MRI images the presence of a hypersignal on the left between C1-C2 articular facets (Figures 2A-B) stood out, which could indicate the presence of intra-articular fluid and, therefore, counter the hypothesis of fusion between both joints.

Then, dynamic radiography and CAT were performed in a neutral position and in hyper-extension (not performed in hyper-flexion because of the potential neurological risk) and confirmed the total reduction of atlantoaxial luxation and the existence of atlantoaxial instability (Figure 3A-B) and the absence of fusion of the posterior arch of the atlas to the occipital bone.

Posterior approach atlantoaxial fixation surgery was performed with the placement of 4mm X 28mm screws in the lateral masses of C1,5,6 and 4mm x 36mm crossing translaminar screws in C2 (Wright technique),7,8 with no intercurrences reported. (Figure 4A-F) The pedicle fixation technique was not used in C2 (properly speaking, the Harms/Goel technique) because of the presence of a moderately high-riding left vertebral artery. This was done following a three-dimensional multiplane reconstruction using OsirisX software, a technique that our group has often used.9 (Figure 5A-C) The coaptation between the occipital condyles and the lateral masses of C1 was also evaluated in this analysis and there were no signs of instability. For this reason, no fixation to the skull as performed.

The patient was discharged on the 6th day of hospitalization, with significant neurological recovery, walking by herself, without ataxia two months following surgery, maintaining very acceptable cervical mobility (with less than 50% reduction in cervical rotation, maintaining normal flexion and extension). The control MRI showed adequate spinal decompression without any signs of other complications. (Figure 4F)

DISCUSSION

Congenital malformations of the craniocervical junction are rare and their frequently late diagnosis depends on high clinical suspicion. The embryology and possible bony malformations of the craniocervical junction are described in detail by other authors,10 and include the presence of multiple distinct configurations. In this specific case, the presence of both a defect in the fusion of the anterior and posterior arches of C1 and odontoid process hypoplasia/agenesis in C2 were found in the same patient in an extremely rare configuration. In fact, we did not find any other case with the same configuration described in the published medical
Figure 2. Cervical T2-weighted MRI in coronal (A) and para-sagittal (B) sections showing the presence of C1-C2 intra-articular fluid on the left (arrow).

Figure 3. Exames pré-operatórios em posição de extensão: (A) radiografia e TAC-cervical (B, C e D) com redução completa da luxação atlanto-axial; (E) topograma, demonstrando o posicionamento do doente na mesa da TAC. Vídeos 3-D disponíveis através da leitura dos QR code anexos.

Figure 4. Postoperative images showing the characteristics of the C1-C2 fixation: cervical CAT in sagittal (A), para-sagittal (B), C2 axial (C), C1 axial (D), and coronal (E) sections, cervical MRI in sagittal (F) section showing an increase in PADI and spinal decompression.

Figure 5. Three-dimensional reconstruction sequence using OsiriX® software: 5a) In the initial CAT (spine from the left) there seems to be a high-riding vertebral artery on the left side (*); 5b) after the 1st correction sequence for the true axial and sagittal planes, there seems to be favorable anatomy (>); 5c) following reconstruction for the true anatomical pedicle of C2, the minimum diameter was verified as 5.0 x 5.3 mm (arrow), for which reason translaminar fixation was chosen.
The surgical approach depends on the degree and reducibility of C1-C2 instability. According to the different diagrams that have been published over the years, itces include cases of reducible atlantoaxial luxations and ventral spinal compression, decompression should be performed via anterior approach (trans-oral or endoscopic endonasal, for example), followed by posterior craniovertebral fixation in cases of acquired or iatrogenic instability. In cases of reducible lesions, only posterior fixation (with or without posterior decompression) is required. In the clinical case reported, the possibility of irreducibility was introduced by the presence of signs of fusion between the articular facets of C1 and C2 to the left and by the possible partial fusion/assimilation of the posterior arch of the atlas. The presence of intra-articular fluid and the subsequent execution of the dynamic cervical CAT demonstrate the instability and reducibility of the malformation and, additionally, confirm the absence of atlanto-occipital instability, for which reason an extension of the fixation to the skull was not performed.

Short posterior cervical fixation (such as the Harms/Goel type, for example) increases the risk of neuro-vascular complications, but offers highly efficient consolidation of the instability, maintaining a large percentage of cervical mobility. A preoperative study (three-dimensional, multiplane) is fundamental for a study of bone and vascular (vertebral artery, for example) variations of the craniocervical junction and especially for the study of adequacy of the anatomic C2 pedicle for surgical fixation. In this clinical case, even though the first part of the three-dimensional reconstruction with Osirix™ software indicated an apparently favorable pedicle, the second part of the reconstruction for the true anatomic pedicle revealed dimensions at the lower limit of what is considered to be safe. (Figure 5) for which reason posterior fusion was performed according to Wright’s technique, which has a lower risk of iatrogenic neurovascular lesion.

CONCLUSION

The authors report a case of surgical treatment of severe atlantoaxial instability associated with the complex malformation of the craniovertebral junction, consisting of a defect in the fusion of the anterior and posterior arches of the atlas (bipartite atlas) and odontoid process hypoplasia. The use of functional/dynamic imaging techniques in addition to simple radiography permits adequate characterization of the instability and should be performed frequently, as it can change the surgical approach or technique.
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