CORRELATION BETWEEN CERVICAL MORPHOLOGY, PAIN, FUNCTIONALITY, AND ROM IN INDIVIDUALS WITH CERVICALGIA

Estudios pronósticos - Investigación del efecto de características de un paciente sobre el desenlace de la enfermedad.

Especially from extension, which, in turn, results in greater functional losses in individuals with neck pain.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To verify if there is a correlation between the morphology of the cervical spine curvature, pain intensity, functional disability, and range of motion in individuals with cervicalgia. Methods: Thirty-nine individuals were evaluated using x-rays in the right sagittal plane (Cobb C1-C7 two-line method), visual analogue scale, Neck Disability Index questionnaire, and fleximeter. Descriptive statistical analysis (percentage, mean and standard deviation) and inferential (independent t-test and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient, α = 0.05) were performed. Results: There were significant correlations, ranging from moderate to high, between functional capacity and pain intensity (r = 0.637, p < 0.001), and total range of motion (r = -0.568, p < 0.001), and extension (r = -0.610, p < 0.001), and between pain intensity and range of motion (r = -0.422, p = 0.007). Regarding the morphology of the cervical spine curvature, none of the variables showed a significant correlation. Conclusions: Cervical morphology, more specifically related to the curvature in the sagittal plane, does not seem to interfere alone with pain, functionality, and range of motion. In contrast, it is possible to affirm that higher levels of pain generate a smaller range of cervical movement, especially of extension, which, in turn, results in greater functional losses in individuals with neck pain.

Objective: To verify if there is a correlation between the morphology of the cervical spine curvature, pain intensity, functional disability, and range of motion in individuals with cervicalgia. Methods: Thirty-nine individuals were evaluated using x-rays in the right sagittal plane (Cobb C1-C7 two-line method), visual analogue scale, Neck Disability Index questionnaire, and fleximeter. Descriptive statistical analysis (percentage, mean and standard deviation) and inferential (independent t-test and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient, α = 0.05) were performed. Results: There were significant correlations, ranging from moderate to high, between functional capacity and pain intensity (r = 0.637, p < 0.001), and total range of motion (r = -0.568, p < 0.001), and extension (r = -0.610, p < 0.001); and, between pain intensity and range of motion (r = -0.422, p = 0.007). Regarding the morphology of the cervical spine curvature, none of the variables showed a significant correlation. Conclusions: Cervical morphology, more specifically related to the curvature in the sagittal plane, does not seem to interfere alone with pain, functionality, and range of motion. In contrast, it is possible to affirm that higher levels of pain generate a smaller range of cervical movement, especially of extension, which, in turn, results in greater functional losses in individuals with neck pain.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Verificar se existe correlação entre a morfologia da curvatura da coluna cervical, a intensidade da dor, a incapacidade funcional e a amplitude de movimento em indivíduos com cervicalgia. Métodos: Foram avaliados 39 indivíduos, por meio de Raios x no plano sagital direito (método Cobb C1-C7 duas linhas), da escala visual analógica, do questionário Neck Disability Index e de um flexímetro. Foi realizada análise estatística descritiva (porcentagem, média e desvio padrão) e inferencial (teste t independente e coeficiente de correlação produto-momento de Pearson, α = 0.05). Resultados: Foram encontradas correlações significativas, que variaram de moderada a alta, entre a incapacidade funcional e a intensidade da dor (r = 0.637; p < 0.001) e a amplitude de movimento total (r = -0.568; p < 0.001) e de extensão (r = -0.610; p < 0.001); e, entre a intensidade da dor e a amplitude de movimento de extensão (r = -0.422; p = 0.007). Quanto a morfologia da curvatura da coluna cervical, nenhuma das variáveis apresentou correlação significativa. Conclusões: A morfologia cervical, relacionada mais especificamente à curvatura no plano sagital, parece não interferir por si só no acometimento algético, na funcionalidade e na amplitude de movimento. Em contrapartida, é possível afirmar que níveis mais elevados de dor geram uma menor amplitude de movimento cervical, especialmente de extensão, os quais, por sua vez resultam em maiores perdas funcionais, em indivíduos com cervicalgia.

Nível de Evidência II; Estudos prognósticos – Investigação do efeito de característica de um paciente sobre o desfecho da doença.

Descritores: Cervicalgia; Postura; Raios x.

RESUMEN

Objeto: Verificar si existe correlación entre la morfología de la curvatura de la columna cervical, la intensidad del dolor, la incapacidad funcional y la amplitud de movimiento en individuos con cervicalgia. Métodos: Se evaluaron 39 individuos, por medio de rayos X en el plano sagital derecho (método Cobb C1-C7 dos líneas), de la escala visual analógica, del cuestionario Neck Disability Index y de un flexímetro. Se realizó un análisis estadístico descriptivo (porcentaje, promedio y desviación estándar) e inferencial (prueba t independiente y coeficiente de correlación producto-momento de Pearson, α = 0.05). Resultados: Se encontraron correlaciones significativas, que variaron de moderada a alta, entre la incapacidad funcional y la intensidad del dolor (r = 0.637, p < 0.001) y la amplitud de movimiento total (r = -0.568, p < 0.001) y de extensión (r = -0.610; p < 0.001); e, entre la intensidad del dolor y la amplitud de movimiento de extensión (r = -0.422, p = 0.007). En cuanto a la morfología de la curvatura de la columna cervical, ninguna de las variables presentó correlación significativa. Conclusiones: La morfología cervical, relacionada más específicamente a la curvatura en el plano sagital, parece no interferir por sí solo en la manifestación algérica, en la funcionalidad y en la amplitud de movimiento. En cambio, es posible afirmar que niveles más elevados de dolor generan una menor amplitud de movimiento cervical, especialmente de extensión, el cual a su vez resulta en mayores pérdidas funcionales en individuos con cervicalgia.

Nivel de Evidencia II; Estudios pronósticos - Investigación del efecto de características de un paciente sobre el desenlace de la enfermedad.

Descritores: Dolor de Cuello; Postura; Rayos X.
INTRODUCTION

Cervicalgia is defined as the presence of musculoskeletal pain in the posterior region of the neck, above the shoulder blades, or in the upper dorsal zone.\(^1\) It is estimated that from 22 to 70% of the population will have an episode of cervical pain at some time during their life and this is a very common and increasingly frequent problem.\(^1\) The annual incidence in adults is 14.6% and women have a higher probability of developing cervical pain and suffering from persistent problems than men.\(^2\)

In addition to pain in the cervical region, the main complaints from individuals with cervicalgia include reduced range of motion (ROM) and difficulty in completing daily tasks.\(^3\) Lee, Nicholson, and Adams\(^4\) suggest that changes in cervical ROM are associated with the development of pain in the region. Additionally, Takeshima et al.\(^5\) state that the ROM changes in flexion and extension are also associated with the sagittal alignment of the altered cervical spine.

In this sense, recommendations from American guidelines for managing cervical pain\(^1\) indicate that the diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and evaluation of the outcomes should be based, among other factors, on the perception of pain and functional disability of the patient and an assessment of the active ROM of the cervical spine. However, despite the high prevalence of dysfunction in the cervical region, to the best of our knowledge, any evidence about existing relationships between morphology, pain, functionality, and ROM is just beginning to come to light. Within this context, the objective of this study was to verify whether there is a correlation between the morphology of the curvature of the cervical spine, pain intensity, functional disability, and range of motion in individuals with cervicalgia.

METHODS

This observational, cross-sectional study\(^6\) was approved prior to execution by the Institutional Review Board of the university where it was conducted (CAAE: 55897216.6.0000.5347). The sample size was calculated using G*Power v3.1.7 software, using the family of z-tests (Pearson’s correlation test), assuming an expected correlation of 0.7, an alpha of 0.05, and a power of 90%, yielding a requirement of 25 individuals. To allow for possible sample losses, 39 individuals of both sexes were invited to participate in the study; each of whom voluntarily signed the Informed Consent Form, in compliance with resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council – Brazil. The inclusion criteria were a manifestation of interest in participating in the research, the presence of the cervical pain described, and being between 18 and 60 years of age. And, the exclusion criteria were low quality radiographic images and the existence of any prior surgical spinal intervention.

All the individuals were evaluated on the same day and at the same location in terms of (1) morphology of spinal curvature from X-ray exams; (2) cervical spine flexion and extension ROM; (3) answers to a functional disability questionnaire; and (4) pain profile, determined by means of the visual analog scale. To obtain digital right-profile cervical radiographs in the sagittal plane, the individuals were seated on a bench with shoulders perpendicular to the x-ray bucky and instructed to close their eyes, flex and extend the cervical spine two times, and stop in the neutral position in order to standardize the posture for assessment.\(^7\) Once in this position, the individual was instructed to open their eyes and look straight ahead without moving. The magnitude of the cervical curvature was calculated from the digital radiographs taken using a mathematical routine developed in MATLAB® v. 7.5 software, using the C1-C7 two-line Cobb angles (C1-C7) methodology, considered the gold standard.\(^8\) After digitization of the anatomical points (center of the anterior and posterior tubercles of C1 and the anterior inferior and posterior inferior corners of vertebra C7 [Figure 1A]), the Cobb angle of the cervical curvature was obtained (Figure 1B).

The evaluation of the ROM was always performed by the same evaluator. With the individual seated in a chair, a fleximeter (Sanny® - Brazil) was attached to the side of the head with elastic bands (Figure 2A) and the individual was instructed to close their eyes, flex and extend the cervical spine twice, and stop in the neutral position. In this position the fleximeter was zeroed and the individuals instructed to perform maximum cervical flexion (Figure 2B) and extension (Figure 2C), the maximum values reached being recorded.\(^1\) Total ROM was obtained by summing flexion and extension.\(^9\) It should be noted that there is not yet any consensus in the literature around

![Figure 1. Radiographic analysis: A) marking of the anatomical points in the radiograph; B) obtaining the Cobb C1-C7 angle.](image1)

![Figure 2. Evaluation of ROM: A) Initial position and attaching the inclinometer; B) Measurement of cervical flexion ROM; C) Measurement of cervical extension ROM.](image2)
normal ROM values of cervical spine flexion/extension, however, according to Magge,12 the cervical spine has a flexion range of from 0° to 60/90° and an extension range of from 0° to 70°.

Then an evaluation of functional disability was conducted by means of the validated and reproducible Neck Disability Index (NDI) questionnaire11 recommended by the American guidelines for cervical pain management,1 which consists of 10 sections, including personal care, daily life and leisure activities, among others. Each section is scored on a scale from zero to five, in which five refers to the worst possible state and zero to the lowest level of functional impairment. For analysis, all answers are summed to provide a total score. The interpretation of the final score is as follows: 0 to 4 – no disability; 5 to 14 – mild disability; 15 to 24 – moderate disability; 25 to 34 – severe disability, and greater than 35 – total disability.12

Immediately following the completion of the questionnaire, an evaluation of pain intensity was conducted using the visual analog scale (VAS), which consists of a straight line 10 centimeters (cm) in length where the individual marks their current pain level with an “X”, zero being the absence of pain and ten being the worst pain possible.13 The VAS results were interpreted using the criteria proposed by Jensen, Chen, and Brugger:13 0 to 0.4 cm – no pain, 0.5 to 4.4 cm – mild pain, 4.5 to 7.4 cm – moderate pain, and 7.5 to 10 cm – severe pain.

For the purpose of comparative analysis, the sample was divided into three groups, taking the morphology of the spinal curvature into account: GR – group with correction, consisting of individuals with Cobb angle less than 35°; GN – group with normal curvature, made up of individuals with Cobb angle between 35° and 45°; and, GA – group with increased curvature, with individuals with Cobb angles greater than 45°.13 Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS v. 20.0 software by means of descriptive (percentile, mean, and standard deviation) and inferential statistics, with α=0.05 being adopted. The normality of the data was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test and the data was distributed normally. A one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test (to analyze possible differences between the groups) and the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient were applied in order to correlate the variables morphology (Cobb angle), pain intensity, functional disability, and ROM. The correlations (r) were classified as very low (between 0.0 and 0.1), low (between 0.1 and 0.3), moderate (between 0.3 and 0.5), high (between 0.5 and 0.7), very high (between 0.7 and 0.9), and practically perfect (between 0.9 and 1.0).16

RESULTS

Thirty-nine (39) individuals were evaluated (61.5% women, 38.5% men; age: 36.1 ± 14.3 years; weight: 75.4 ± 18.1 kg, height: 169.7 ± 9.1 cm), of whom 71.8% had minimum disability and 61.5% had mild pain, and, only 41% were included in the cervical spine correction group. A statistically significant difference was observed in the comparison between the groups only in relation to the variable cervical curvature, in accordance with that expected due to the stratification of the groups. The levels of functional disability, pain, and ROM did not differ significantly between the groups (Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptive results of the total sample and separated by groups, and inferential comparison between the groups.

| Variables          | Total sample (n = 39) | GR (n = 16) | GN (n = 12) | GA (n = 11) | GR x GN x GA F | p
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----
| Cervical curvature (Cobb°) | 38.3 ± 11.0           | 27.3 ± 4.5 | 40.8 ± 3.3  | 51.6 ± 4.3  | 118.4          | < 0.001 *
| Pain intensity (cm)    | 4.1 ± 2.6             | 4.3 ± 2.8  | 4.0 ± 2.8   | 3.9 ± 2.4   | 0.073          | 0.929
| Functional disability (NDI score) | 7.9 ± 6.0         | 7.9 ± 7.5  | 8.3 ± 5.2   | 7.5 ± 4.7   | 0.048          | 0.963
| Flexion ROM (°)        | 59.1 ± 11.3           | 57.6 ± 13.1| 58.6 ± 6.5  | 61.7 ± 12.9 | 0.447          | 0.643
| Extension ROM (°)      | 66.7 ± 15.5           | 66.5 ± 14.2| 66.3 ± 18.8 | 67.5 ± 14.7 | 0.017          | 0.983
| Total ROM (°)          | 125.8 ± 22.7          | 124.1 ± 23.3| 124.9 ± 20.6| 129.2 ± 25.7| 0.170          | 0.844

Key: * statistically significant correlation.

Statistically significant correlations ranging from moderate to high were found between functional disability and pain intensity (r=0.637, p<0.001), functional disability and extension ROM (r=−0.610; p<0.001), functional disability and total cervical ROM (r=−0.568; p<0.001), and pain intensity and extension ROM (r=−0.422; p=0.007). These results suggest that the smaller the ROM, especially of extension, the greater the functional disability and the intensity of cervical pain. In terms of the morphology of the curvature of the cervical spine, none of the variables (functional disability, pain intensity, and ROM) presented a statistically significant correlation (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

As already shown, the incidence of cervical pain is higher in women than in men,17 a fact that corroborates that observed in this study where there was a higher prevalence among female volunteers. Additionally, it should be noted that cervical pain is becoming a public health issue, directly affecting an increasing number of economically active individuals,18 i.e., adults, which aligns with the study sample.

In terms of changes in the alignment of the cervical spine, there are different points of view regarding the vertebral limits to be used for evaluation (C1-C7 and C2-C7). It is well established that the gold standard for evaluation of the cervical spine is radiography using the Cobb method. However, the upper vertebral limit is still unclear and studies are lacking. In spite of this, the combination of limits C1-C7 seems to best represent lordotic curve in its totality, and, for this reason it was adopted for this study.18,20 Several studies point out that changes in sagittal alignment, cervical correction in particular, can result in the acceleration of degenerative processes of the discs and vertebral bodies, and the onset of cervicalgia and headaches.21-23 Other authors suggest that asymptomatic individuals with changes in sagittal alignment are probably a normal variation,24-26 this being a possible explanation for the fact that in our study we found no difference between the stratified groups in terms of the cervical curvature angle values in relation to the variables of pain intensity, functional disability, and ROM (Table 1).

In addition, our findings corroborate those of Kim et al.,27 who likewise did not observe any correlation between sagittal alignment of the cervical spine, that is, cervical morphology, and the NDI score,
or with the intensity of pain evaluated by the VAS. However, this fact draws attention, since what is commonly observed in the current clinical routine is consistent with greater pain in individuals with correction of the physiological cervical lordotic curvature during static posture, which is directly related to technological advances and the daily use of mobile devices in inappropriate postures for long periods of time.28

Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that, despite the clinically relevant values observed in relation to pain and functional disability, when we classified both the VAS (4.1±2.6cm) and the NDI (7.9±6.0), the results were mild pain intensity12 and mild disability,12 respectively. These findings are confirmed by the high correlation observed between the two variables, which suggest that they are directly related to each other (Table 2). Moreover, the mild pain intensity observed may be justified by the fact that they are young people with initial symptoms of disability related to repetitive tasks and inappropriate postures.10,29 However, it is important to emphasize that the pain assessment methodology used for including individuals in the sample did not allow us to identify whether the pain was acute and radiating or whether it entailed headache, which could be considered a limitation of the study. But, in spite of this, it is evident that, even in a sample where pain and functional impairment are mild, a significant inverse correlation can be observed between extension ROM, pain intensity, and functional disability.

Regarding ROM, both the total samples and the stratification of groups according to the variable of cervical curvature presented mobility close to the upper limit of normality for flexion and extension, with discretely higher angle values in the group with increased cervical curvature. Ries and Bérzin39 state that compensation may be a mechanism required to provide stability for the mandibular and cervical systems. Moreover, according to Ferão and Traebert,31 pain is a factor that can interfere with the movement of the cervical spine and prevent total ROM, a situation associated with pressure points in the cervical structures. However, with respect to the non-significant correlation between the variables of pain intensity and ROM in this study, this statement becomes contradictory.

CONCLUSIONS

Cervical morphology, more specifically that related to the curvature of the sagittal plane, does not seem to interfere in and of itself with pain, functionality, or ROM. In contrast, it can be stated that higher levels of pain cause less cervical range of motion, especially extension, which in turn results in greater functional loss in individuals with cervicalgia.
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