Yield, water use efficiency, and yield response factor in carrot crop under different irrigation depths
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ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of different irrigation depths on the yield, water use efficiency (WUE), and yield response factor (Ky) of carrot (cv. 'Brasília') in the edaphoclimatic conditions of Baixada Fluminense, RJ, Brazil. Field trials were conducted in a Red-Yellow Argisol in the 2010-2011 period. A randomized block design was used, with 5 treatments (depths) and 4 replicates. Depths were applied by drippers with different flow rates, and the irrigation was managed by time domain reflectometry (TDR) technique. The reference (ETo) and crop (ETc) evapotranspiration depths reached 286.3 and 264.1mm in 2010, and 336.0 and 329.9mm in 2011, respectively. The root yield varied from 30.4 to 68.9t ha\textsuperscript{-1} as a response to treatments without irrigation and 100% replacement of the soil water depth, respectively. Values for WUE in the carrot crop varied from 15 to 31kg m\textsuperscript{-3} and the mean Ky value was 0.82. The mean values for Kc were obtained in the initial (0.76), intermediate (1.02), and final (0.96) stages. Carrot crop was influenced by different water depths (treatments) applied, and the highest value for WUE was obtained for 63.4% of soil water replacement.
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INTRODUCTION

Carrot (\textit{Daucus carota} L.) is an important short-cycle vegetable. It is considered the main tuberous vegetable in economic value in Brazil (LUZ et al., 2009), with more than 28,000ha cultivated and a root production higher than 750,000 tons (SILVA et al., 2012). The carrot crop is expressive in the tropical and subtropical areas. It requires appropriate soil temperature and moisture, especially during the growth stage (ROSENFELD et al., 1998), and areas with
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excessive soil moisture are considered unsuitable for cultivation (ISLAM et al., 1998).

Due to the high economic value of carrot, irrigation planning and management should be adopted to obtain maximum efficiency. However, maximum yield not always correspond to the highest levels of water use efficiency (WUE) as well as maximum economic return. According to STEWART et al. (1977), it is possible to estimate the crop yields for different water depths applied. However, it is necessary to know the yield response factor (Ky) under water deficit, which has not been reported for carrot crops (DOORENBOS & KASSAM, 1994). NAGAZ et al. (2012) obtained WUE values for irrigation depths corresponding to crop evapotranspiration (100% ETc; 8.53kg m⁻³) and deficit irrigation (60% ETc; 9.23kg m⁻³). IMTIYAZ et al. (2000) obtained average commercial yields (37.7 and 38.4t ha⁻¹) corresponding to different irrigation intervals (WUE: 3.73 and 6.66kg m⁻³, respectively).

In Brazil, due to an increase in the competitive use of water resources and decrease in the water irrigation quality, crop area has become restricted, requiring a higher yield per unit area and water applied (JENSEN, 2007). In this sense, the use of more efficient irrigation systems, associated with studies to evaluate crop performance under controlled water deficit (DOMÍNGUEZ et al., 2012), became important tools in the search for higher WUE associated with quantitatively and qualitatively acceptable yields (LOVELLI et al., 2007), even in organic production systems (MIGLIORINI et al., 2014). Organic farming has been both encouraged by public policies for rural development and gradually accepted by consumers. These facts can be justified by the growing concern with the environment and food security (KERSELAERS et al., 2007).

In view of the need to optimize the use of resources in the agriculture and the growing demand for organic products, this study was conducted in order to estimate yield, water use efficiency, and yield response factor under water deficit of organic carrot in the edaphoclimatic conditions of Baixada Fluminense, RJ, Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two experiments were conducted in the Sistema Integrado de Produção Agroecológica (SIPA), in the municipality of Seropédica (coordinates: 22°46′ S e 43°41′ W; area: 59ha; average height: 33m), RJ, Brazil. According to CARVALHO et al. (2013), the climate in the region is classified as Aw (Köppen classification), with high temperatures and rains in summer, and dry weather with mild temperatures in winter. The rains are concentrated in the period Nov-Mar (annual averages for: rainfall: 1213mm; temperature: 24.5°C).

The areas intended for experimentation were prepared with one plowing and two harrowings and, then they were mechanically embedded. The soil is classified as Red-Yellow Argisol (layer: 0-20cm; clay: 22%; silt: 8%; and sand: 70%) and its chemical composition (Ca²⁺: 2.8; Mg²⁺: 1.1; K⁺: 104; and P: 69.8cmol dm⁻³; and pH: 5.9) was characterized according to the methodology proposed by EMBRAPA (1997). Analyzes were performed before the initial tillage with samples collected in the experimental area (depth: 0-20cm; effective depth of the carrot root system). In view of the results obtained, correction or basic fertilization were not carried out in the soil.

Carrot (cv. ‘Brasília’) was cultivated in 2010 (sowed in June) and 2011 (sowed in August). Sowing was performed in a continuous line and spaced by 25cm. After chopping (25 days after sowing, DAS), the plants were spaced by 5cm. Cured cattle manure (composed of Ca²⁺: 10.7; Mg²⁺: 2.7; K⁺: 12.3; and P: 2.2cmol dm⁻³; and N: 1.4%) was used in fertilization (dose: 73g m⁻³ on line; based on dry mass weight), which was performed soon after sowing, just to cover the seeds. This quantity of manure corresponds to an average amount of 3Mg ha⁻¹. At 30 DAS, topdressing fertilization was also performed on-line with castor bean cake, characterized by Ca²⁺: 12.95; Mg²⁺: 6.55; K⁺: 8.5; and P: 2.42cmol dm⁻³; and N: 5.81%, (dose: 1.5Mg ha⁻¹).

The experimental design of randomized blocks was adopted, with 5 treatments (depths) and 4 replications, in a total of 20 experimental plots of 1.15m². Distinct irrigation depths were obtained with drippers of different flow rates (3.9; 6.5; 9.0; and 10.8L h⁻¹), which respectively corresponded to 43 (T2), 72 (T3), 100 (T4) and 120% (T5) of the crop evapotranspiration (ETc). Treatment T1 consisted of irrigation water (applied with a sprinkler, in the crop establishment period) and effective precipitation (which occurred during the experiment). At the end of the experiment, 14 plants per plot were evaluated.

The irrigation management was based on the water balance in the soil (Equation 1), and the water content (θ) was monitored by means of the Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) technique (TOPP et al., 1980). Therefore, 15cm length probes were built, placed horizontally in the soil, and then calibrated according to the methodology described.
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The TDR100 (Campbell Sci.) equipment was used to determine the dielectric constant of the medium (ka). Daily readings were made by using the 2.07 PCTDR software, and the values were used in calibration equations to obtain the 0 value. Probes were installed (depths: 10 and 20 cm) in the plots corresponding to treatment T4, and irrigation management was carried out considering the effective depths of 5, 10, and 20 cm.

\[ \text{ETc} = I + \text{Ep} - \text{RO} - \text{Dd} + \text{CR} + \Delta \text{SF} + \Delta \text{SW} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where I: irrigation; Ep: effective precipitation; RO: run-off; Dd: deep drainage; CR: capillary rise; ΔSF: variation in the subsurface inflow and outflow; and ΔSW: variation in soil water storage (all terms of the equation in mm).

The irrigation depth was determined considering an every 2 days irrigation schedule to raise θ to the field capacity (0.181 cm³ cm⁻³), which was previously predetermined in a field test. The RO, CR, and ΔSF terms of the equation were considered null, given the characteristics of the area and irrigation system used (GARCIA y GARCIA et al., 2009). Although the water amount applied by irrigation has been enough to raise θ to the field capacity, Dd and ΔSW were also monitored by TDR. The Ep term was determined for each treatment according to the methodology described by CARVALHO et al. (2013). It consisted of the fraction of the precipitated depth that contributed to raise the soil moisture to the field capacity in the depth corresponding to the root system.

The meteorological data from an automatic station installed close to the experiment area was used in order to estimate the reference evapotranspiration (ET₀) by using the Penman-Monteith (FAO-56) equation (ALLEN et al., 1998). The average carrot crop coefficients (Kc) for the initial, middle, and final stages (ALLEN et al., 1998) were determined in both (2010 and 2011) culture cycles, by using the ratio between ETc and ET₀ (CARVALHO et al., 2013). In each experimental unit, the root fresh weight was determined for 14 plants by using a 0.01 g precision scale. Then, the roots were classified commercially means of a constant-level lysimeter in Botucatu, SP, Brazil. The authors obtained k values of 0.57, 1.47, and 1.14, respectively, for the same growth stages.

\[ \left( 1 - \frac{Y_r}{Y_m} \right) = K_y \left( 1 - \frac{\text{ET}_a}{\text{ET}_m} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

in which Y: real yield; Y: maximum potential yield; ET: actual evapotranspiration; and, ET: maximum crop evapotranspiration.

Since this relationship is linear, Ky correspond to the slope of the regression line, which was obtained interactively by maximizing the Y value so that the equation intercept with the ordinate axis became equal to zero. The procedure was performed in an electronic datasheet (MS Excel), using the Solver module.

Results were submitted to analysis of variance (P<0.05) when there was significance. Mean values were compared by Tukey test (P<0.05) and the values for the WUE were tested by using the polynomial regression models (MACHADO & CONCEIÇÃO, 2007). The models were chosen with basis on statistical significance (F test), adjustment in the determination coefficient (R²), and biological significance of the model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the field trials, the crop cycles had 90 (2010) and 94 (2011) days (Table 1). The values for total ET were equal to 286.3 mm (calculated ET: 264.1 mm) in 2010 and 336.1 mm (calculated ET: 329.9 mm) in 2011. From the crop water requirements and reference evapotranspiration depths, mean Kc values were obtained for the carrot in the initial (0.76), intermediate (1.02), and final (0.96) stages. These values are lower than those proposed by CARVALHO et al. (1995) and SANTOS et al. (2009) in different regions in Brazil (0.8 and in the range 1.2-1.4, respectively). However, they are very close to those proposed by the FAO (ALLEN et al., 1998; 0.7, 1.05, and 0.95) and MONTENEGRO et al. 2010 (0.7, 1.05, and 0.9). The cultivars, climate conditions, irrigation systems, management practices adopted, and soil types could explain the differences reported in the study. LUNARDI & LAPERUTA FILHO (1999) evaluated the crop coefficients for carrot (cv. ’Nantes Superior’) by means of a constant-level lysimeter in Botucatu, SP, Brazil. The authors obtained k values of 0.57, 1.47, and 1.14, respectively, for the same growth stages.

The water depths applied (irrigation+Ep) ranged from 67.8 to 285.5 mm due to the different treatments applied to the carrot crop in 2010 (Table 2). In 2011, the water depths varied from 120.0 to 351.6 mm due to a higher demand in evapotranspiration. It is noteworthy that water depths of 244.4 (2010) and 308.8 mm (2011) were applied in treatment T4 (100% of ETc), which was considered...
as a reference in this study. Conversely, water depths of 67.0 (2010) and 120.0mm (2011) characterized treatment T1, and corresponded to the depths applied by sprinkling in the crop period establishment and the effective rainfall as evaluated in the period.

The commercial yields showed differences between treatments, being up to 2.5 (2010) and 3.0 (2011) times higher, as compared to treatments T4 and T1 (Table 2). Conversely, treatment T1 provided a drastic reduction in the yield of carrot roots. These results are in agreement with those found by NAGAZ et al. (2012), who reported a reduction in the carrot crop yield applying irrigation depths corresponding to 60 and 80% of ETc. Furthermore, the irrigation depth value (T5), which is above the crop water requirement, did not cause increase in the crop yield. Several studies have reported a substantial increase in crop yields, as a result of an appropriate irrigation management (NAGAZ et al., 2012). In 2010 and 2011, maximum total (62.7 and 62.8Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) and commercial (47.9 and 56.9Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) yields were obtained, respectively. In the present study, it was used the same variety as that used by SILVA et al. (2011), who obtained a yield of up to 60t ha\(^{-1}\) in Itumbiara, GO, Brazil, when 150% of class A-pan evaporation (1925mm) was applied. LUZ et al. (2009) used the cvs. of the Brasilia group and obtained lower average total (35.5Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) and commercial yields (27.4Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) in the autumn-winter period in Uberlândia, MG, Brazil.

Quadratic polynomial regression models (Figure 1) were adjusted to WUE values (Table 2), and maximum values were obtained for 2010 (27.3kg m\(^{-3}\); water depth: 140.0mm) and 2011 (24.2kg m\(^{-3}\) water depth: 214.6mm). These values for water depth correspond to 57.3 (2010) and 69.5% (2011) replacement of soil water. SILVA et al. (2011) obtained only 3.32kg m\(^{-3}\) for maximum WUE when the irrigation depth applied was equivalent to 90% of class-A pan evaporation.

Table 1 - Development stages for carrot crops and reference (ETo) and culture (ETc) evaporotranspiration depths in 2010 and 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>(d^1)</th>
<th>ETo</th>
<th>ETc</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>(d^1)</th>
<th>ETo</th>
<th>ETc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IN(^2)</td>
<td>16 Jun 05 Jul 20</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>02 Aug 21 Aug 20</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG(^3)</td>
<td>06 Jul 01 Aug 27</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>22 Aug 20 Sep 30</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT(^4)</td>
<td>02 Aug 31 Aug 30</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>21 Sep 20 Oct 30</td>
<td>108.2</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN(^4)</td>
<td>01 Sep 13 Sep 13</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>21 Oct 03 Nov 14</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>264.1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>336.1</td>
<td>329.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)IN: Initial; \(^2\)RG: Rapid growth; \(^3\)IT: Intermediate; \(^4\)FN: Final; \(^1\): duration.

Table 2 - Total and commercial yields of carrot roots and water use efficiency (WUE) in both years of cultivation under different water depths applied (WDA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>WDA (mm)</th>
<th>Total Yield (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Commercial Yield (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>WUE (kg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>WDA (mm)</th>
<th>Total Yield (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Commercial Yield (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>WUE (kg m(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>30.7 c</td>
<td>15.3 b</td>
<td>22.6 ab</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>31.7 b</td>
<td>22.8 b</td>
<td>19.0 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>130.9</td>
<td>47.5 b</td>
<td>40.6 a</td>
<td>31.0 a</td>
<td>190.9</td>
<td>53.7 a</td>
<td>48.9 a</td>
<td>25.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>188.9</td>
<td>52.9 b</td>
<td>44.3 a</td>
<td>23.4 ab</td>
<td>251.1</td>
<td>59.5 a</td>
<td>56.2 a</td>
<td>22.4 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>244.4</td>
<td>62.7 a</td>
<td>47.9 a</td>
<td>19.6 ab</td>
<td>308.8</td>
<td>62.8 a</td>
<td>56.9 a</td>
<td>18.4 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>285.5</td>
<td>53.2 b</td>
<td>42.9 a</td>
<td>15.0 b</td>
<td>351.6</td>
<td>57.2 a</td>
<td>53.8 a</td>
<td>15.3 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values followed by same lowercase letter between lines were not significantly different at 5% level of probability by the Skott Knot test.
An average Ky (0.82) was obtained for the carrot crop as a response to water deficit. The Ky values lower than 1.0 indicate that the crop showed some adaptability to the water deficit. For a better control of irrigation, it is recommended that more detailed studies be performed to obtain Ky values for specific stages of crop development. Although knowledge about this parameter is important, information about the yield response factor under water deficit in carrot crops with the methodology applied in the present study were not reported in the literature.

CONCLUSION

Carrot crop was influenced by different water depths applied, and the highest WUE was obtained with average replacement of 63.4% of soil water. Results indicate that high carrot yields can be obtained under the edaphoclimatic conditions in Seropédica, RJ, Brazil, for organic farming and controlled water deficit.
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