Third eyelid gland protrusion in dogs. An experimental model proposal
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to present an experimental model for the prolapse of the third eyelid gland in male, adult mongrel dogs by the use of a periorbital glandular support conjunctiva tissue resection followed by macro and microscopic assessments. Length, thickness and width of normal glands were statistically studied at baseline and then again after a period of 30 days of exposition. In relation to the experimental model, 84.21% of glands, which underwent the resection of the conjunctiva support tissue, support tissue, remained protrusioned. There were significant differences between normal and protusioned glands. Protusioned glands were larger in respect to length, thickness and width. For the microscopy studies, two experimental groups were established: non-treated protusioned glands (GI); and buried protusioned glands (GII). Glandular, conjunctiva tissue, and duct alterations were studied in both groups. Through the results obtained by the Schirmer’s test, it was evidenced that the lachrymal production decreased when compared to the normal ones not protusioned, especially in those cases where the protusioned glands were not buried.
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INTRODUCTION

The tear film helps in the maintenance and integrity of the eyeball surface and the eyelid and eyeball conjunctiva tissues (DAVIDSON & KUONEN, 2004). The lacrimal and third eyelid, or nictitating, glands are responsible for, respectively, the production of 70% and 30%, on average, of the aqueous portion of tears (GELLAT, 1991; SAITO et al., 2001).

The third eyelid gland is located on the distal portion of the third eyelid, fixed by a support conjunctiva tissue to the periorbital fascias (CONSTANTINESCU, 2005). Some conditions may
The third eyelid gland protrusion is a condition characterized by the glandular exposition close to the orbit’s inferior medial commissure, hyperemia, increase in the gland volume (MORGAN et al., 1993; MOORE, 1998; FARIAS et al., 2001) and ocular discharge and conjunctiva tissue inflammation (MOORE, 1998). There are hypotheses that correlate the occurrence of the third eyelid gland protrusion to the loss of tensile force of the periorbital support conjunctiva tissues (SLATTER, 1990; CONSTANTINESCU, 2005).

GELLAT (1991) states that the third eyelid gland protrusion is more frequent in young animals, up to two years of age, and that it may be uni or bilateral. MOORE (1998) mentions that the third eyelid gland protrusion occurs more frequently in animals belonging to breeds such as the Beagle, American Cocker Spaniel, Boston Terrier, Poodle and brachycephalic dogs.

In respect to therapy, procedures such as the burial of the gland or its surgical exeresis are proposed (FARIAS et al., 2001). It is well documented, however, that the gland’s exeresis is one of the causes reported of iatrogenic keratoconjunctivitis sicca in dogs (FARIAS et al., 2001; ALMEIDA et al., 2004).

The objective of this research was to present an experimental model for the prolapse of the third eyelid gland in male, adult mongrel dogs by the use of a periorbital glandular support conjunctiva tissue resection followed by macro and microscopic assessments.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Nineteen male, adult mongrel dogs between 2 and 4 years of age, average weight between 8 and 14 kg were selected after physical inspection; Schirmer’s test I; biomicroscopy; aplanation tonometry; fluoresceine test) and complementary exams (hemogram and biochemical). The animals were vaccinated, vermifuged and individually kept in appropriate cages, receiving water and feed ad libitum. The experimental protocols were conducted obeying the norms established by the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO, 1985), and after the approval by the State University of Londrina (UEL) Animal Ethics Committee.

Two experimental phases were conceived. In the first one, the third eyelid gland protrusion experimental model and the macroscopic assessment were carried out. In the second one, histological assessment of the non-treated protrusioned glands and the surgically buried protrusioned glands were performed.

After feed fasting of 12 hours, the animals received acepromazine (0.05mg kg⁻¹) and morphine (0.2mg kg⁻¹) intravenously, as pre-anesthetics. Anesthesia was induced by the intravenous administration of thiopental (12.5mg kg⁻¹), and after endotracheal intubation, inhalatory administration of halothane in closed circuit was conducted.

The third eyelid gland protrusion was induced, bilaterally, by a distal incision of the third eyelid bulbar conjunctiva, followed by the exposition and resection of the periorbital support conjunctiva tissues. During the surgical procedure, a macroscopic assessment of the third eyelid right and left glands was carried out in respect to its length, width and thickness, using a manual pachymeter. The cranium-caudal, lateral-lateral and dorsal-ventral axes were measured, respectively, for the assessment of the variables. It was established that the protrusioned glands that spontaneously returned to their anatomic place would not be included in the study.

Protrusioned glands were kept for 30 days to be later assessed in respect to the same macroscopic variables, and statically analyzed by Student’s t-test System for Elementary Statistical Analysis for paired data. These protocols constituted the first phase of the research.

In the second stage, two groups were conceived (GI e GII). Group GI was composed by the non-surgically buried protrusioned right glands, whereas GII, by the protrusioned left glands buried using the technique proposed MORGAN (1993).

After 30 days, the exeresis of all glands was performed. The samples were fixed in a buffered formalin solution at 10%, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, serially cut by a rotation microtome with 5μm thick and stained with Hematoxylin - Eosin (HE). The slides were observed in an optical microscope with objective of 4x, 10x e 20x in "double blind".

A graduation pattern of tissue alteration according to severity was established. The level and nature of conjunctiva inflammation (lymphoplasmocytes, neutrophiles, granulomatose, neutrophiles-lymphocytes and neutrophiles-plasmocytes); duct alterations (inflammation, dilation, scaling metaplasia); and glandular alterations (inflammation, tubular-acinar dilation; atrophy and excess of fat deposition). Concerning the level of severity, the following criteria were used: absence of signs, discrete, moderate and severe signs.
RESULTS

The conjunctiva support periorbital tissues resection promoted the exposition of 84.2% of glands in the margin medial corner of the third eyelid. Six cases (15.7%) were observed in which the third eyelid gland spontaneously returned to the third eyelid conjunctiva’s bulbar fascia. The macroscopic assessment of the normal third eyelid glands showed that the average thickness, width and length corresponded to 0.29cm; 0.61cm; 0.98cm, respectively (Table 1). In respect to the protrusioned third eyelid glands, the averages were 0.36cm thick, 0.77cm wide and 1.22cm long (Table 1).

Protrusioned glands showed significant alterations (P<0.01) in the cranial-caudal dimension (length), lateral-lateral (width), and dorsal-ventral (thickness), comparatively to their normal values before being protrusioned (Figure 1).

As far as the histological assessments were concerned, duct, glandular and conjunctive inflammation were observed in the samples in both group GI and in GII. In relation to the nature of inflammation it was noticed that the glands presented granulomatose alterations 6.2%, neutrophiles-plasmocytes 3.1%; lymphocytes 18.7%; neutrophiles-lymphocytes 25% and lympho-plasmocytes 46.8%. In GI, the greater percentage of inflammatory alterations was of neutrophiles-lymphocytes type (37.5%), whereas in group GII a greater percentage was of the lympho-plasmocytic type (62.5%) (Table 2).

Concerning glandular alterations, in GI 18.7% had discrete glandular alterations, 75% moderate and 6.2% severe (Table 3). In GII, 75% of the alterations identified were classified as discrete, 12.5% moderate and 6.2% severe (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The third eyelid gland protrusion is also described as protrusion, hypertrophy, glandular hyperplasia, nictitating gland adenoma or cherry eye. It is a condition that has been reported in dogs for more than 40 years (MORGAN et al., 1993). Although the cause is still controversial, support conjunctiva tissue abnormalities or the loss of tensile force that anchors the gland to the periorbit has been proposed as a predisposing condition (MORGAN et al., 1993; CONSTANTINESCU, 2005).

In this research, it was demonstrated that in 84.2% of glands, on which the periorbital support conjunctiva tissue resection took place, they remained protrusioned in the free edge of the third eyelid. It was evidenced, however, six cases (15.2%) of spontaneous topographic return to the third eyelid internal face, which had also been described by SLATTER (1990), in clinical cases.

In the macroscopic assessment of the third eyelid glands of normal male dogs, CABRAL et al. (2005) mentioned averages of 1.29cm long, 0.8cm wide and 0.42cm thick. In the present study, however, it was observed averages of 0.98cm long, 0.61cm wide and 0.29cm thick. The differences in respect to the parameters may be correlated to the number in the sample. In that study the sample was composed by 14, whereas in this study was 32.
GELLAT (1991) and MOORE (1998) stated that the increase in glandular volume is a consequence of abrasion and drying promoted by exposition. In this study, it was possible to observe that there were significant differences in respect to the variables studied.

DUGAN et al. (1992) reported discrete inflammatory alterations in non-treated protrusioned lacrimal glands, excised or surgically repositioned. In the present study, however, the inflammatory alterations identified were discrete, moderate and severe.

The burial surgical technique described by MORGAN (1993), adopted in this study, was based in the employment of the conjunctiva bulbar face tissue for incision and repositioning of glands. However, it is known that the third eyelid lacrimal gland excretory ducts are located in the conjunctiva’s bag close to the basis of the third eyelid (KASWAN et al., 1984). It has been observed that 62.5% of protrusioned glands presented moderate duct alterations. In the treated protrusioned glands, 62.5% of alterations were classified as discrete and there was just one case in that was considered severe.

Corroborating the DUGAN findings et al. (1992), in the analysis of the Schirmer test results, it was evidenced that the lacrimal production diminished, when compared with the normal ones not protrusioned, mainly in those cases where the prolapsed glands were not buried.

Table 2 - Assessment in respect to the nature of protrusioned third eyelid glands conjunctiva tissue inflammatory infiltrate (GI), and those protrusioned and surgically buried (GII) in male, adult mongrel dogs (Canis lupus familiaris, Linnaeus 1758).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of the connective tissue infiltrate</th>
<th>GI Percentage</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>GII Percentage</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Total Percentage</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoplasmocytes</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophiles-plasmocytes</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophiles-lymphocytes</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulomatose</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results obtained in this study it is possible to state that: the periorbital conjunctiva support tissue resection is a viable technique and capable of promoting an experimental model protrusion of the third eyelid gland in dogs. Protrusioned glands that were not buried presented a greater percentage of duct and glandular inflammatory alterations and lower production of the tear, when compared to those surgically buried.

SOURCES OF ACQUISITION

aPachymeter: Stainless Hardened.
bNikon Eclipse E 800.
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Table 3 – Assessment of severity level in the connective tissue inflammation process, glandular and duct alterations in protrusioned (GI*) and protrusioned and surgically buried (GII**) third eyelid glands in male, adult mongrel dogs (Canis lupus familiaris, Linnaeus 1758).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>GI* (% of each severity level)</th>
<th>GII** (% of each severity level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory infiltrate</td>
<td>Absent: 0</td>
<td>Discrete: 56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glandular alterations</td>
<td>Absent: 0</td>
<td>Discrete: 18.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* After 30 days of the protrusion; ** After 30 days of the surgically buried.