Beef heifers performance in natural grassland under continuous and rotational grazing in the autumn-winter
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of beef heifers in natural grassland under continuous and rotational grazing during the autumn-winter period. The treatments were distributed in a completely randomized design and conducted using the forage mass above eight cm and 50% of the leaf blades mass of tussocks. The animals were Brangus beef heifers with initial body weight of 258kg. The variables evaluated were available forage mass (FMa), leaf blades mass of tussocks (LBMt), real forage allowance (FrA), sward height of the lower stratum (HLS), crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), organic matter digestibility (OMD), total digestible nutrients (TDN), average daily gain (ADG), body condition score (BCS), reproductive tract score (RTS) and stocking rate (SR). FMa, HLS, OMD and TDN decreased while FrA, CP and NDF were similar during the experimental period. The ADG was positive only at third experimental period while BCS, RTS and SR decreased over time. The natural grassland management under continuous and rotational grazing during the autumn-winter period, using the forage mass above 8cm and 50% of the leaf blades mass of tussocks, does not allow the adequate corporal development for breeding the beef heifers at 24 months old.
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INTRODUCTION

The Pampa Biome natural grasslands have been the forage base to the livestock production in the Rio Grande do Sul state (RS, Southern Brazil) for more than three centuries. However, the research related to primary and secondary production in this ecosystem still are recent and reflected in the low reproductive indexes as a high maintenance of heifers to replacement (42% of the total herd of the state) (ANUALPEC, 2012). In this sense, the low reproductive efficiency in this category when they are reared in these grasslands...
is mainly credited to the absence of food planning and appropriate grazing strategies for breeding them early (CANELLAS et al., 2013).

The research results in these natural grasslands are distinct. There are some positive results in terms of primary and secondary production, as there are results demonstrating some restriction. However, some studies demonstrate the potential of these grasslands for rearing beef heifers (SOARES et al., 2005; MEZZALIRA et al., 2012) and, after that, breeding this category. Besides, to promote a higher livestock production efficiency is necessary to breed heifers until 24 months old due to her capacity of increasing the genetic progress of the herd, weight and number of calves for sale (BERETTA et al., 2001).

In pastoral environments, the pasture structure and its relation with the variables related to grazing process will determine the levels of primary and secondary production (GONÇALVES et al., 2009). The bite mass is the more important variable of the intake behavior once that it explains the higher percent of the range in the daily forage intake (MEZZALIRA et al., 2014). In this sense, some authors (UTSUMI et al., 2009; FONSECA et al., 2012) have related the bite mass with the sward height. GONÇALVES et al. (2009), in a natural grassland of the RS, determined the sward height of the lower stracta between eight and twelve cm as ideal for a maximum efficiency in the forage intake (i.e. higher bite mass) for beef heifers.

In addition, other possible ways to promote the efficiency of these grasslands could be the frequency control (i.e. grazing methods) and severity of defoliation (i.e. intensity) (CARVALHO, 2013). BRISKE et al. (2008) reviewed some trials with grazing methods and claim that there are not differences between them. However, among the trials compared, there are great differences in the grazing intensity settings and it makes hard (or makes unfeasible) the comparison between the methods (TEAGUE et al., 2013).

On that basis, the aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of beef heifers, for breeding at 24 months old, in a natural grassland under continuous and rotational grazing using the forage mass above eight cm and 50% of the leaf blades mass of tussocks, during the autumn-winter period.

**MATERIALS E METHODS**

The experiment was conducted in a natural grassland belonging to EMBRAPA CPPSSul, located at Bagé city (RS), in a transition zone between the Southern Campaign and Southeast hills. The climate is the Cfb, temperate humid, according to Köpen classification with the historical average rainfall, between March and August, of 592mm and average temperatures of 16.2°C (INMET, 2013). During the experimental period the average temperature was 14.5°C and total rainfall was 477mm (INMET, 2013). The evaluations were subdivided in six experimental periods (03/13 to 04/03; 04/04 to 04/30; 05/01 to 05/28; 05/29 to 06/25; 06/26 to 07/23; 07/24 to 08/20). Previous to the beginning of the experiment, experimental area was managed with beef cattle herd with low stocking rates (<0.5UA ha−1) and, nine months before the beginning of the experiment, the area was mowed and remained excluded from grazing.

The treatments were two grazing methods: continuous (CONT) and rotational (ROT). In the CONT were used two areas with 4.9ha each and in the ROT were used two areas with 5.6ha subdivided each one in eight paddocks of 0.7ha. In the ROT treatment, the rotational criteria between the animals input and output was the time to thermal accumulative of 375 degree-day (DD). The DD was calculated through the sum of average daily temperatures. Thus, the number of days in each paddock was determined by average daily temperature necessary to reach a similar value to the division of 375 by number of paddocks less one. The rotation established was used to favor the native grass of the functional groups A and B (QUADROS et al., 2009). Furthermore, in the ROT it was selected a representative paddock (in each repetition) from the vegetation characteristics wherein were performed the evaluations of pasture.

During the experimental period, the area was managed with continuous and rotational grazing with a variable number of animals. For that, two criteria of pasture evaluations were used to define the forage mass available for the animals (FMa, kg DM ha−1): forage mass above eight cm (FM8kg DM ha−1) in the lower stracta (sites with presence of prostrate species without presence of tussocks) (GONÇALVES et al., 2009) and 50% of the leaf blades mass of tussocks (LBMt, kg DM ha−1).

The forage mass (FM) was estimated through comparative yield method each day before the input of the animals in the representative paddock in the ROT treatment and, in the same day, it was estimated the FM in the area of the CONT. For the FM estimate, it was performed, previously, a survey about the tussock contribution (upper stracta) and lower stracta. Then, the number of evaluated points in each stracta was defined by its relative contribution in area. Thus, in each paddock, it was performed 20 visuals estimative of FM and six cuts, above ground,
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There was no interaction between treatment×period for FMa, HLS and FAR (P=0.05) (Table 1). FMa, HLS and FAR were similar between grazing methods, continuous and rotational. There was difference in the FMa and HLS between the periods with decreasing values during the experimental period and with positive correlation between them (r=0.82; P<0.001). Meanwhile, FAR was similar between treatments and periods evaluated and it was a fundamental premise to allow the comparison between grazing methods. Besides, the bromatological pasture characteristics available to the animals were similar between treatments during the experimental period (CP=7.3%; NDF=78.2%) (P=0.05). There was only reduction in the OMD (34.2%) and TDN (32.8%) (r=0.65; P<0.0001) along the experimental period. Anyway, CP never got below of the value (7%) necessary to keep the growing of cellulolytic bacteria of the rumen (EGAN; DOYLE, 1985).

The FAR was null during the experimental period (data not shown) and in this way even with the adjust in the stocking rate, the forage harvested by the animals was reducing the mean value of FMa and HLS along the experimental period. SOARES et al. (2005) working in a natural grassland in the autumn-winter period, obtained similar value of FMa in a similar FA. However, in this experiment the FAR value was greater than the pre-established (12%) as well as PINTO et al. (2008) that obtained greater FAR values than the pre-established with herbage allowance protocols in a natural grassland.

There was no interaction between treatment×period for ADG, BCS and RTS and these
variable were similar between the treatments (P>0.05) (Table 1). ADG was positive only in the third period (0.114kg) and, in the other periods it had negative value (between 0.072 and 0.633kg). Regardless the period differences, the animals showed a similar performance between treatments and it corroborates with BRISKE et al. (2008) which demonstrated that in 57% of the cases the grazing methods were similar in relation the animal variables. Furthermore, the BCS was decreasing along the experimental period wherein the animals lost 1.14 points in the BCS scale accompanied by a loss of 45kg BW. The decrease in the BCS had a positive correlation with the reduction in the FMa (r=0.63; P<0.0001), TDN and OMD (r=0.8; P<0.0001) showing the animal response (individual performance) as much as the reduction in quantity of available food as the quality of the same.

GONÇALVES et al. (2009) worked with four sward heights (HLS 4, 8, 12 and 16cm), in a natural grassland with high density (2070kg DM ha⁻¹; HLS 6cm) determined sward heights between eight and twelve cm to use the ideal to maximize the efficiency of forage intake (i.e. bite mass). MEZZALIRA et al. (2012) worked with FA protocols obtained value of 1398kg DM ha⁻¹ and 7.9cm for FMa and HLS, respectively, in the FA of 12% with positive animal performance in the autumn-winter period. However, in this experiment, with FMa and HLS similar to the previous experiment, the animal performance was negative during the autumn-winter period, using the sward height of the lower strata as one of the criteria to adjust the stocking rate. Nevertheless, the inclusion of the LBMt in the FMa may have been the main criteria to define the low animal performance.

The SR was similar between treatments and it was different along the experimental period (Table 1). Our results had SR greater than other experiments in natural grassland of RS with FA of 12% (MEZZALIRA et al., 2012; PINTO et al., 2008). However, in our results, the FA was based in the FMa and LBMt (considering the percentage contribution of each strata), differently to the experiments previously mentioned. Thus, the sampling realized can represent better the forage in the pasture, but the criteria of the inclusion of LBMt was arbitral, once that this research did not show if the quantity of this strata effectively is intaked by the animals. There was no difference between treatments (P=0.48) in the inclusion of the LBMt (28%) in the FMa. However, there was different between experimental periods (P<0.001), wherein there was a greatest LBMt contribution in the last experimental period (56%) in relation to the previous (22%). Then, it is evident that the great contribution of LBMt in the FMa could have overestimated the SR which, in turn, could have influenced negatively the animal performance, if the upper strata species were not grazed effectively.

The SR had correlation with HLS (r=0.86; P<0.0001) and FMa (r=0.8; P<0.0001) as well FMa and HLS had a significant correlation (r=0.82; P<0.0001).

Table 1 - Available forage mass (FMa, kg DM ha⁻¹), real forage allowance (FAr, kg DM 100kg⁻¹ BW), sward height of the lower stratum (HLS, cm), average daily gain (ADG, kg BW animal⁻¹ ha⁻¹ day⁻¹), body condition score (BCS, 1 to 5), reproductive tract score (RTS, 1 to 5) and stocking rate (SR, kg BW ha⁻¹) of beef heifers managed in a natural grassland under continuous and rotational grazing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>FMa</th>
<th>FAr</th>
<th>HLS</th>
<th>ADG</th>
<th>BCS</th>
<th>RTS</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotational</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD*</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FMa</th>
<th>FAr</th>
<th>HLS</th>
<th>ADG</th>
<th>BCS</th>
<th>RTS</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/13 – 04/03</td>
<td>2112</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>866*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/04 – 04/30</td>
<td>2274</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>12.4*</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>692*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01 – 05/28</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>10.8*</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>676*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29 – 06/25</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>468*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/26 – 07/23</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>287*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/24 – 08/20</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>272*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values followed by lowercase letters in the column differ by LSmeans test (P<0.05).
**Standard deviation.
P < 0.001) and, besides, the regression model of SR adjusted to HLS (Ŷ = 206.11 + 83.52 HLS; r² = 0.73; P < 0.001). Meanwhile, the animal variables as ADG, RTS, BCS and W:H did not have correlations with the forage variables, just correlations among themselves. Therefore, the SR increasing allowed by the inclusion of LBMt propitiated the occurrence of an overgrazing in the lower strata (showed through the reduction in the HLS) as well, in the last period, a significative increase in the LBMt proportion in the FMa. The significant presence of conservative resources species (QUADROS et al., 2009), added with the historical of area utilization, propitiated the occurrence of an increase in the available heterogeneity, as evidenced by BAUMONT et al. (2005). On this way, these conditions may have hampered the grazing pattern of the animals, which is directly related to the dynamic of the lower and upper strata (GORDON, 2000).

The RTS was decreasing along the experimental period with positive correlation with BCS (r = 0.74; P < 0.0001), BW (r = 0.78; P < 0.0001), ADG (r = 0.48; P = 0.002) and W:H (r = 0.84; P < 0.0001). At the beginning of the experiment, 60% of the heifers had ovarian follicles 10-12mm (indicating a start in the reproductive activity). However, from the third period, there were not heifers with ovarian follicles greater than eight mm and, furthermore, they stopped the developing of other reproductive structures. Still, through a linear regression, it was possible to adjust a model to RTS from BW (Ŷ = -1.502 + 0.012 BW; r² = 0.7; P < 0.001). Using these equations, it was possible to evaluate that heifers would need a BW of 375kg and a W:H of 2.9 for an adequate RTS for matting (RTS = 3) (ANDERSON et al., 1991). Although the heifers had a HH adequate, the BW was not adequate to the reproductive tract development. Consequently, it was not adequate for breeding them at 24 months old.

CONCLUSION

The management of the natural grassland, both under continuous or rotational grazing during the autumn-winter, using the forage mass above eight cm and 50% of leaf blades mass of tussocks, does not allow the beef heifers corporal development for breeding at 24 months old.
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