Bispectral index assessment in calves subjected to the continuous infusion of propofol combined with fentanyl administration
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ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to evaluate the bispectral index (BIS) effects in calves through continuous infusion of propofol with or without fentanyl. Eight Holstein male calves (ages from six to twelve months old) with an average weight of 123±18kg were used. All animals participated in both groups, always keeping a minimum interval of one week between the anesthetic procedures; the calves were randomly distributed between groups. Anesthesia was induced with an intravenous (IV) dose of propofol of 5mg kg⁻¹ in control group (Gc) or with propofol (4mg kg⁻¹) associated with IV fentanyl 0.001mg kg⁻¹ (Gf). All the calves were positioned in right lateral recumbency and were allowed to spontaneously breathe room air. Subsequently, the anesthesia was maintained by continuous infusion of propofol at the rate of 0.6mg kg⁻¹ min⁻¹ IV in Gc and associated with the infusion of fentanyl 0.001mg kg⁻¹ hour⁻¹ in Gf. Measurements of BIS, signal quality index (SQI) and electromyography (EMG) were evaluated before anesthesia induction (Tₐ), and at 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after the beginning of continuous drugs infusion (Tf₀, Tf₁, Tf₂, respectively). The heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (f), end-tidal carbon dioxide tension (ETCO₂) and recovery times were evaluated as well. No significant differences were observed between the groups in the BIS variables and the recovery time was longer in Gf. Co-administration of propofol and fentanyl infusions, at the doses reported here, did not change the values of BIS in cattle, but delayed the recovery time.
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clearance and high volume of distribution, despite its lack of analgesic properties. Propofol is an effective IV agent for maintaining anesthesia by constant rate infusion (CRI) in several species, including llamas DUKÉ et al. (1997), horses OKU et al. (2006); UMAR et al. (2015), pigs XIAO et al. (2014), dogs LOPES et al. (2008a), and cattle DESCHK et al. (2015), in addition to its extensive use as an inducing agent.

Similarly, fentanyl, which is a μ-opioid receptor agonist, is used in many species via administration of CRI to provide analgesia during anesthesia with propofol (SMITH et al., 1994). Fentanyl has already been used as an anesthetic and as a pre-anesthetic in buffalos, in combination with different alpha-2 receptor agonists SINGH et al. (2013). However, its use in cattle has only been reported as an adjunctive analgesic, administered as a bolus WILSON et al. (2000), and not as an agent for continuous infusion in these species.

When using these drugs to maintain anesthesia, the assessment of anaesthetic depth is mandatory. In addition to ocular reflex testing, further methods for assessing CNS depression may also be useful, including electroencephalogram (EEG), which may be effectively correlated with changes in the level of consciousness, and is a continuous and noninvasive measurement. Nonetheless, the routine use of EEG was impractical because of the complexity of its parameters and operator-dependent nature for the interpretation of results DUARTE, (2006); CAMPAGNOL et al. (2007). Since then, the bispectral index (BIS) monitor was developed through extensive research to numerically assess the degree of anesthetic depth of patients.

The interpretation of BIS monitor values is relatively simple, with values ranging from zero to 100. In humans, zero corresponds to an isoelectric EEG, with the total suppression of cortical electrical activity, and 100 corresponds to a normal state of consciousness (HAJAT et al., 2017). In dogs, values ranging from 40 to 60 represent an adequate anesthetic plan, without occurring intraoperative awareness (GUERRERO & NUNES, 2003).

Another key issue during an anesthetic procedure is the assessment of patients anesthetic depth. An inadequate anesthetic plane may be harmful for the large-sized animal and surgical team. Its use has been reported in horses (HAGA & DOLVIK, 2002) and in calves (ARAUJO et al., 2015; DESCHK et al., 2016).

This study aimed to assess the use of BIS monitoring during CRI of propofol alone, or combined with fentanyl in cattle. Moreover, to evaluate its effects over the following variables: heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (f), end-tidal CO₂ concentration (ETCO₂) and recovery times.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective, randomized, non-blinded, crossover study. Eight Holstein bull calves, with ages ranging from six to twelve months (mean weight: 123±18kg) were enrolled in this study. Based on a completed blood count performed performed two days prior induction of anesthesia and a physical examination (HR and f, rectal temperature and the inspection of the color of the visible mucosa) on the day of the experiment, they were considered healthy and classified as ASA I (American Society of Anesthesiologists).

Calves were randomly distributed between the groups, via simple, random sampling. All animals were anesthetized twice and participated in both groups, with at least a one-week interval between each induction of anesthesia.

After selection and weighing, the animals were subjected to water and food fasting for 24 and 12 hours, respectively. On the day before the experiment, each animal was restrained in a standing position in order to perform trichotomy on the area of the left jugular and cephalic veins and on the frontal, cephalic, and zygomatic areas to place the BIS electrodes. On the day of the experiment, the animal was placed on the surgical table and restrained in a right lateral decubitus position; an introducer³ was placed in the left jugular vein using the Seldinger technique, through which a Swan-Ganz catheter was introduced for CRI of propofol³, allowing for maintenance fluid therapy with the administration of Ringer’s solution with lactate⁶, at an infusion rate of 5mL kg⁻¹ hour⁻¹. Another catheter⁴ (20G) was placed in the left cephalic vein for IV administration of fentanyl.

After preparation and instrumentation, the animal remained restrained in right, lateral decubitus for a 10-minutes period to minimize the effect of animal handling stress on the baseline values of the study variables. Baseline parameters were assessed immediately before anesthetic induction (T₀) and included BIS, SQI, EMG, HR, f and ETCO₂. Immediately after baseline parameters recording, anesthesia was induced with propofol at an IV dose of 5mg kg⁻¹, in the group that was anesthetized with propofol infusion only (Gᵢ). In the group Gᵢ, the propofol dose was 4mg kg⁻¹ mixed with 0.001mg kg⁻¹ fentanyl, in the same syringe,
for two minutes, in the group that was anesthetized with propofol infusion combined with fentanyl (Gf). Immediately after, the animals were intubated, using a long, blade laryngoscope with tracheal probes of compatible size, while maintaining the cuff inflated throughout the anesthetic procedure.

The calves were hoisted, transferred onto a surgical table, placed in right lateral recumbency and were allowed to breathe room air (FiO₂=0.21) throughout the experiment. Immediately thereafter, anesthesia was maintained with a CRI of propofol, administered using an infusion pump at an IV rate of 0.6mg kg⁻¹ min⁻¹, combined with or without the CRI of fentanyl at a 0.001mg kg⁻¹ hour⁻¹ infusion rate, for 60 minutes. The use of these infusion rates was described by DESCHEK et al. (2015), TRANQUILLI et al. (2007) and pilot studies performed at our institution.

Selected variables were assessed at T₀ and at 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after the beginning of the CRI of propofol with or without fentanyl. The assessment of anesthetic recovery began as soon as the drug infusion was completed.

Heart rate was assessed using an electrocardiograph set to read in DII derivation. Respiratory rate was assessed via a direct read of the monitor using a suction sensor placed in front of the nostrils on the face mask outlet. This set-up was connected to the end portion of the orotracheal tube. End-tidal carbon dioxide tension values were assessed from a direct read of a capnograph using the placement of the suction sensor in a similar manner to its placement during assessment.

Direct monitoring of BIS was used by means of a specific device, involving the placement of its electrodes in the frontotemporal position adapted from CAMPAGNOL et al. (2007). Electrode impedance was automatically checked using the monitor, and the electrodes were discarded if the impedance was higher than 7.5kΩ, as recommended by the manufacturer. The signal quality index (SQI) was also assessed and the BIS discarded when the SQI was lower than 50. Bispectral index values were recorded, and had their mean values calculated, at all time points for one minute. In addition to BIS, the electromyography (EMG) and SQI values were also assessed.

After 60 minutes of anesthesia, the infusions were stopped. Anesthetic recovery involved the observation of the animal in the recovery room of a surgical center with a rubber floor and padded walls. Animal contact was avoided, and the animal was engaged only if it showed intense movements so as to prevent it from hitting its head on the floor. The times between the cessation of the CRI of propofol and the positioning of the animal in sternal recumbency (SR) and the standing position (SP), were recorded.

Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and subjected to analysis of variance for repeated measures using the MIXED procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) and multiple mean comparisons with the Least Squares Means (LSMEANS), adjusted for the Tukey’s test at a 5% significance level. Statistical analysis of variables without normal distribution was performed using the Friedman test for comparison between the times points and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparison between groups. Data were analyzed using the software SAS 9.3 (SAS, 2011).

RESULTS

No additional boluses were required to induce general anesthesia, in any calve. Endotracheal intubation was successfully and smoothly performed in most of the calves. Only one animal from the group Gf showed a small quantity of ruminal liquid reflux during intubation, albeit without any repercussions regarding the tracheal aspiration of such contents.

After anaesthetic induction, HR was higher for both groups at all time points compared to baseline, with the exception of GF at T30. Between treatments, differences in HR were only seen at T15. Compared to baseline, f was significantly lower at all time points compared with TB in both treatments, with no differences between them. With regard to ETCO₂, the values were significantly lower at baseline compared with all the other time points. No differences between treatments were observed within this parameter.

The BIS and EMG values were significantly lower throughout all the period compared to baseline, with no differences between treatments. No significant differences in sternal recumbency times occurred between groups (Table 1). However, animals receiving in group GF required longer periods of time to adopt the standing position than those in group Gp (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

It is worth highlighting that the methodology that was used in the present study...
was performed adequately and smoothly. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the administration of a CRI of propofol combined with fentanyl in calves, based on the literature review performed. The propofol dose which was used was retrieved from DESCHK et al. (2015), who assessed two different propofol infusion rates in calves (0.6mg kg\(^{-1}\) hour\(^{-1}\) and 0.8mg kg\(^{-1}\)min\(^{-1}\)), whereby the best results were assessed using the 0.6mg kg\(^{-1}\)min\(^{-1}\) infusion rate in this study.

The necessity of adding an analgesic to this protocol as an adjunct method for TIVA was identified in the present study. Thus, the drug chosen was fentanyl, using an infusion rate of 0.001mg kg\(^{-1}\) hour\(^{-1}\) as indicated by TRANQUILLI et al. (2007).

After beginning the infusion, the HR increased significantly in both groups, remaining high throughout the infusion period, which was in contrast to the findings by DZIKITI et al. (2010), who observed no increase in HR when using propofol and fentanyl or midazolam infusion in goats. A possibility accounting for such a difference might be related to the higher infusion rate (12mg kg\(^{-1}\) hour\(^{-1}\) and 0.02mg kg\(^{-1}\) hour\(^{-1}\)) of drugs (propofol and fentanyl, respectively) used in the aforementioned study, which mediated a decrease in HR during the infusion, albeit without statistically, significant differences.

At T\(_{30}\), the values of f and ETCO\(_2\) were the highest and the lowest, respectively, significantly differing from the other time points. These results can be explained by the fact that all animals were restrained at baseline. DZIKITI et al. (2010) also observed significant differences between T\(_{30}\) and the other time points, which were assessed when infusing propofol combined with fentanyl in goats. However, that difference was much steeper, with f values of approximately 7 respiratory movements per minute, without requiring the use of mechanical ventilation. An adverse effect of fentanyl is respiratory depression, according to TRANQUILLI et al. (2007). However, the results from our study were similar across both groups G\(_P\) and G\(_F\); thereby, suggesting that the infusion rate that was used was insufficient to cause the expected, adverse effects.

With regard to ETCO\(_2\), both groups showed a considerable increase in their values, confirming that the respiratory changes that were

### Table 1 - Mean ± standard deviation of the variables of heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (f) end-tidal CO\(_2\) concentration (ETCO\(_2\)), bispectral index (BIS), signal quality index (SQI) and electromyography (EMG) assessed in calves (n=8) anesthetized by continuous infusion of propofol at a rate of 0.6mg kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\); intravenous (IV), combined with or without fentanyl infusion at 0.001mg kg\(^{-1}\) hour\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>T(_{15})</th>
<th>T(_{30})</th>
<th>T(_{45})</th>
<th>T(_{60})</th>
<th>T(_{90})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats minute(^{-1}))</td>
<td>G(_P)</td>
<td>74±24(^{*})</td>
<td>104±20(^{*})</td>
<td>98±15(^{*})</td>
<td>106±12(^{*})</td>
<td>110±10(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G(_F)</td>
<td>72±13(^{*})</td>
<td>122±33(^{*})</td>
<td>113±28(^{*})</td>
<td>112±23(^{*})</td>
<td>116±23(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f(_e) (breaths minute(^{-1}))</td>
<td>G(_P)</td>
<td>42±12(^{*})</td>
<td>17±2(^{*})</td>
<td>18±3(^{*})</td>
<td>19±2(^{*})</td>
<td>20±3(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G(_F)</td>
<td>38±18(^{*})</td>
<td>20±6(^{*})</td>
<td>18±1(^{*})</td>
<td>18±1(^{*})</td>
<td>18±1(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETCO(_2) (mmHg)</td>
<td>G(_P)</td>
<td>17±5(^{*})</td>
<td>54±4(^{*})</td>
<td>56±4(^{*})</td>
<td>55±5(^{*})</td>
<td>54±5(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G(_F)</td>
<td>19±7(^{*})</td>
<td>55±4(^{*})</td>
<td>55±5(^{*})</td>
<td>56±6(^{*})</td>
<td>53±8(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS</td>
<td>G(_P)</td>
<td>93±5(^{*})</td>
<td>52±10(^{*})</td>
<td>51±8(^{*})</td>
<td>51±8(^{*})</td>
<td>54±12(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G(_F)</td>
<td>89±9(^{*})</td>
<td>56±11(^{*})</td>
<td>53±8(^{*})</td>
<td>52±11(^{*})</td>
<td>54±12(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQI (%)</td>
<td>G(_P)</td>
<td>87±8(^{*})</td>
<td>97±3(^{*})</td>
<td>93±6(^{*})</td>
<td>90±15(^{*})</td>
<td>96±4(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G(_F)</td>
<td>86±12(^{*})</td>
<td>89±14(^{*})</td>
<td>89±11(^{*})</td>
<td>93±9(^{*})</td>
<td>91±14(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>G(_P)</td>
<td>51±3(^{*})</td>
<td>35±4(^{*})</td>
<td>33±4(^{*})</td>
<td>35±4(^{*})</td>
<td>32±5(^{*})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G(_F)</td>
<td>51±4(^{*})</td>
<td>33±5(^{*})</td>
<td>34±6(^{*})</td>
<td>33±4(^{*})</td>
<td>32±5(^{*})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters for values within a treatment are significantly different (P<0.05). *GF significantly different from GP at the same time point (P<0.05).

### Table 2 - Mean ± standard deviation of the sternal recumbency (SR) time, in minutes, and the standing position (SP) time, in minutes, according to groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SP e SR ((\bar{X} \pm s))</th>
<th>G(_P)</th>
<th>G(_F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sternal Recumbency</td>
<td>26±6A</td>
<td>31±18A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Position</td>
<td>35±8B</td>
<td>46±17A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letter, in rows, are not different from each other according to the Tukey’s test (P>0.05).
observed were propofol-mediated and were not attributable to the synergistic effects of fentanyl infusion. Such a significant increase in ETCO₂ may also be associated with the position of the animals because of the abdominal compression of the diaphragma, compromising a correct ventilation by reducing the residual lung capacity, even when remaining in lateral decubitus throughout the experimental period.

Bispectral index is still seldom used in cattle anesthesia, mainly due to the cost benefit of the device and the fact that few studies have been conducted in cattle. This has precluded the validation of BIS values because the development of this index for humans, as well as for use in animals, especially in cattle, has only recently begun. Using the BIS, APREA et al. (2014) clearly showed in a case report of the cardiopulmonary resuscitation of a propofol-anesthetized calf that the BIS values that were assessed had varied with cardiovascular function and that BIS was indicative of cerebral perfusion in cattle.

ARAÚJO et al. (2014) and ARAÚJO et al. (2015) reported mean BIS values of approximately 40 when conducting studies in calves using inhalation anesthesia with isoflurane combined with butorphanol infusion, and assessing BIS in isoflurane-anesthetized calves with or without CRI of lidocaine, respectively. Such values were slightly lower than those reported in this study, which is most likely related to the protocol that was used and to the difference in anesthetic plan. Similarly, DESCHK et al. (2015) reported mean BIS values of 50 in calves anesthetized with propofol at two infusion rates and reported no significant differences in the variable between groups, which showed that the increase in infusion rate was insufficient to change BIS values proportionally. This demonstrated that the results from the BIS assessment was directly dependent upon the anesthetic protocol which was used for cattle. Conversely, the study by DESCHK et al. (2015), who also used the same protocol and assessed values that were very similar to those of the present study, suggested that the low infusion rate of fentanyl was insufficient to further depress the CNS of the animals. This resulted in a decrease in the BIS values, and/or a BIS value of approximately 50 in calves anesthetized with CRI of propofol.

Considering the method that was used, the BIS values reported herein are presumably reliable because the SQI, which is the parameter assessing signal intensity and quality, did not differ between the time points or the groups. Similarly, EMG did not differ between groups either and had only differed at the baseline values when compared against the other time points in both groups. Additionally, as muscle contraction directly affects the EMG values, the difference between the baseline values and that of the other time points can be explained by the fact that the calves were resisting restraint, and that the subsequent muscle activity would have accounted for the changes in those values. Conversely, the values reported during the infusion period corroborated with those of GUERRERO & NUNES, (2003), who used SQI values higher than 90 and EMG values lower than 30; LOPES et al. (2008a), who assessed EMG values lower than 43 and SQI values higher than 92; and LOPES et al. (2008b), who assessed mean SQI values higher than 84 and EMG values lower than 40; thereby, confirming that the difference in the baseline values was directly related to muscle contraction.

The Gₗ prolonged recovery times when the animal was positioned in both sternal recumbency and in a standing position, revealing significant differences between the groups only during the SP time. These results go against the findings reported by DZIKITI et al. (2010), who observed no significant differences in the recovery time when combining propofol infusion with the adjunctive administration of midazolam or fentanyl in goats. Those authors also reported that goats from the fentanyl group showed signs of disparate behavior at the time of recovery, displaying unrest, which was also observed in the present study in the animals in group Gₗ. However, the severity of these effects were less intense and were restricted to chewing movements. Nonetheless, we believed that the incidence of adverse effects during recovery could be similar to those observed by DZIKITI et al. (2010) in goats if the infusion rate of fentanyl was increased.

However, our study is not free of limitations. Another way to assess anesthetic depth involves subjective evaluation, which was not performed in this study, such as the use of Guedel’s classification combined with BIS values. Therefore, further studies aims to perform a subjective evaluation of anesthetic depth correlated with BIS values, which should be conducted, in order to define a more reliable range of BIS values for cattle. Moreover, the absence of a painful stimulus to test analgesia against the BIS values could be considered as a limitation, primarily in group Gₗ. Nonetheless, for ethical reasons, the animals in group Gₗ could not receive a noxious stimulus because no analgesia
is provided by propofol. Thus, further studies should be performed using other fentanyl infusion rates until the adequate dose that provides anesthesia and analgesia to patients is determined.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, propofol infusion combined with or without fentanyl, induced significant respiratory depression without changing the BIS values and prolonged the total recovery time of the group anesthetized with the CRI of fentanyl.
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