Sealing type effect on corn silage quality in bunker silos
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ABSTRACT: Forage conservation in silos depends on the efficiency of silo sealing, among other factors, to minimize aerobic deterioration and consequent qualitative and quantitative losses in silage. Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of two sealing types on dry matter recovery rate, aerobic stability, nutritional composition, and in vitro digestibility of corn silage in bunker silos: 110-µm-thick double-sided polyethylene sealing (conventional sealing) and 110-µm-thick double-sided polyethylene sealing superimposed on 111-µm-thick translucent polyethylene sealing (double sealing). There were no significant differences in nutritional composition of silages between conventional and double sealing. However, the double sealing system was more efficient (P<0.05) in maintaining lower silo temperatures (30.48°C vs. 31.18°C), in dry matter recovery (88.79% vs. 85.64%), and increased in vitro neutral-detergent fiber digestibility (33.04% vs. 24.6%), when compared to the conventional version.
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INTRODUCTION

The nutritional composition of corn silage determines particularities of its use in diet formulation for ruminant production systems. This composition depends on intrinsic factors of the crop and/or plant, such as hybrid characteristics, sowing density, fertilization level, growth rate, moisture degree, and physiological maturity at harvest. Moreover, characteristics related to the ensilage process are also important, such as particle size, specific storage mass and type of silage sealing material (BORREANI et al., 2007; SILVA et al., 2015), besides silage filling time, grain processing efficiency, process hygiene and fermentation time (NEUMANN et al., 2014).

Preservation of silage forage quality, both at storage and during use periods, depends on the anaerobiosis degree reached inside the sealed silo. Lateral and upper portions of bunker silos are more vulnerable to deterioration due to increased aerobic exposure and external temperature variations, and when sealing is inadequate and thin or present perforations and poor polyethylene quality (BORREANI et al., 2007).

Low efficiency in silo sealing triggers anaerobic and aerobic environment disturbances, leading to decreased aerobic stability due to changes in pH and temperature after silo opening and silage nutritional composition losses due to soluble carbohydrates spoliation by aerobic...
microorganisms, with energy production in the form of heat. Therefore, dry matter (DM) and fiber digestibility tends to decrease with increasing spoilation levels (FERRARETTO & SHAVER, 2015; NEUMANN et al., 2014).

To reduce the deterioration process, oxygen penetration and temperature oscillations in silage mass must be reduced during storage and silos unload. Such goal can be achieved with plastic tarpaulins of high oxygen impermeability, refractoriness to solar rays, and good mechanical properties, and with double sealing systems, in which other polyethylene types act as oxygen barriers (BORREANI et al., 2007; BORREANI & TABACCO, 2014; SILVA et al., 2015). Few published studies have tested the effect of double sealing on bunker silos.

In this context, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of two sealing types on DM recovery index, aerobic stability, nutritional composition, and digestibility of corn silages in bunker silos.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in the Animal Production Center (Nupran, Núcleo de Produção Animal), for the Master’s Degree Program in Agronomy, Department of Agrarian and Environmental Sciences of the Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste (Unicentro) located in the city of Guarapuava, State of Paraná (PR), Brazil.

The region of Guarapuava, Paraná is classified a scfb (subtropical humid mesothermal climate), without a dry season, with fresh summer and moderate winter, according to Köppen classification. Its approximate altitude is 1,100m, average annual rain fall of 1,944mm, average annual temperature of 12.7°C (min.) and 23.5°C (max.), and 77.9% relative humidity (IAPAR, 2000).

The soil of the study area is classified as typical haplohumox and presented the following chemical characteristics in its 0~20cm profile, before planting: pH CaCl₂,0.01M: 4.7; P: 1.1mg dm⁻³; K⁺: 0.2cmol dm⁻³; Mo: 2.62%; Al³⁺:0.0cmol dm⁻³; H⁺+Al³⁺:5.2cmol dm⁻³; Ca²⁺:5.0cmol dm⁻³; Mg²⁺:5.0cmol dm⁻³; and base saturation: 67.3%.

The study objective was to evaluate the effect of two sealing types on the DM recovery rate, aerobic stability, nutritional composition, and in vitro digestibility of corn silage in bunker silos: 110-µm-thick double-sided polyethylene sealing (conventional sealing) and 110-µm-thick double-sided polyethylene sealing superimposed on 111-µm-thick translucent polyethylene sealing (double sealing).

The evaluated silage was produced from a two-hectare area, using the SG-6418 hybrid type. For crop establishment, line spacing was 0.80m, sowing depth was 0.04m, and the distribution was 4.4 plants in linear, totaling a final harvest population of 53,625 plants ha⁻¹. The 08-30-20 compound (N-P₂O₅-K₂O) was used as base fertilizer (400kg ha⁻¹), according to the Fertilization and Liming Guidelines for the states of Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina (CFS-RS/SC, 2004).

During the first 30 days after emergence, plants were treated with herbicide (commercial product: Atrasina, 4L ha⁻¹) plus mineral oil (commercial product: Assit, 1L ha⁻¹) and defensive for armyworm control (commercial product: Karate; 150ml ha⁻¹), according to the crop technical report. Cover fertilization was conducted with nitrogen (125 kg N ha⁻¹) in urea form (46-00-00), applied when plants had four to six totally expanded leaves.

Crops were harvested at chalky grain phenological stage, using a Nogueira® silage filler adjusted to the particle size and plant cutting height of 20cm. The particle size analysis showed 11.56% proportion in the first sieve (>19mm), 47.60% in the second sieve (8-19mm), and 40.85% in the third sieve (<8mm). The harvested material was transported and stored in eight horizontal bunker silos placed in a leveled and well-drained location, with 1.5m wide × 1.0m high × 10m long concrete walls and floors. The material was compacted using a tractor and later completely sealed and protected according to the study factor. Filling and closing time for each silo ranged from 6~8 hours.

After the silos were opened, 120 days after they were produced, silage was continuously used to feed animals, respecting the daily silage extraction slice of 15cm for eight consecutive weeks for each silo.

The recovery index of DM was obtained according to method developed by NEUMANN et al. (2007), using eight bags placed in each experimental silo, in its lower and upper portions, whose weights and DM were measured before and after the ensiling process. Bags were composed of 100% polyamine malleable nylon containing 85-µm pores, 12cm in diameter and 50cm in length, with the average capacity of 2,000g.

During the desensilation periods that occurred daily at 7:30am and 4:30pm, silage pH and temperature (°C) were determined, using silage samples from lower and upper strata of the silos facial panel. Temperature (°C) was determined using a metal
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Avoiding oxygen infiltration in the ensiled material. The result is the better efficiency of double sealing in available food. One possible justification for this lower ensilage costs, and higher final volume of to lower losses during the fermentation process, conventional sealing (88.79% DM recovery rate (overall average) than the double sealing, regardless of silo portion and period of the day. BORREANI et al. (2011), when evaluating doubled-sealed silos with polyethylene and polyamide tarpaulins (of high oxygen impermeability) and conventional sealed silos (of simple double-sided tarpaulin), observed losses in upper silo layers of 8.2 and 13.8%, respectively. In these systems, DM losses were highly correlated with tarpaulin oxygen permeability. In the present study, losses in DM were 14.36 and 11.21% for conventional and double sealing, respectively.

BORREANI et al. (2007) compared different types of polyethylene and verified that DM losses were 3.7 times higher in the upper portion of conventionally sealed silos in relation to doubled-sealed silos, suggesting the influence of plastic film on DM recovery index.

Therefore, the use of plastic tarpaulin double sealed systems in relation to the conventional sealing favors anaerobic storage conditions, which results in proper conditions for the preservation and conservation of silage quality, especially in regions that are susceptible to losses, like the upper silos portion (BERNADES et al., 2011).

In addition to these advantages, BORREANI & TABACCO (2014) reported that the use of double sealing was associated with smaller quantities of discarded silage due to lower losses, thus requiring less labor. In this sense, despite the higher cost, the net economic benefit was evident in properties in which such silo cover was used.

Regarding the temperature analysis (Table 1), on average, the conventional sealing promoted higher temperatures (P<0.05), of approximately 2.29% in relation to the double sealing, regardless of silo portion and period of the day. According to JUNGA & TRÁVNICEK (2015), temperature increases in the ensiled mass are indicative of aerobic deterioration. They suggest the heat increase inside the silo originates from soluble carbohydrates and lactic acid degradation by yeasts and filamentous fungi. These organisms act in the presence of oxygen, resulting in lower DM recovery, higher pH and lower nutritional value.

Of note, the difference between treatments observed in this parameter occurred in the upper stratum, where the double sealing was 7.54% greater than the conventional sealing.

Similarly, BORREANI & TABACCO (2014) observed higher DM recovery rates for double-sealed silos when compared to the conventional version (94.15% vs 77.95%), with most central silo areas 17.2% higher in this parameter in relation to the upper and lateral portions. BERNARDES et al. (2011), when evaluating doubled-sealed silos with polyethylene and polyamide tarpaulins (of high oxygen impermeability) and conventional sealed silos (of simple double-sided tarpaulin), observed losses in upper silo layers of 8.2 and 13.8%, respectively. In these systems, DM losses were highly correlated with tarpaulin oxygen permeability. In the present study, losses in DM were 14.36 and 11.21% for conventional and double sealing, respectively.

BORREANI et al. (2007) compared different types of polyethylene and verified that DM losses were 3.7 times higher in the upper portion of conventionally sealed silos in relation to doubled-sealed silos, suggesting the influence of plastic film on DM recovery index.

Therefore, the use of plastic tarpaulin double sealed systems in relation to the conventional sealing favors anaerobic storage conditions, which results in proper conditions for the preservation and conservation of silage quality, especially in regions that are susceptible to losses, like the upper silos portion (BERNADES et al., 2011).

In addition to these advantages, BORREANI & TABACCO (2014) reported that the use of double sealing was associated with smaller quantities of discarded silage due to lower losses, thus requiring less labor. In this sense, despite the higher cost, the net economic benefit was evident in properties in which such silo cover was used.

Regarding the temperature analysis (Table 1), on average, the conventional sealing promoted higher temperatures (P<0.05), of approximately 2.29% in relation to the double sealing, regardless of silo portion and period of the day. According to JUNGA & TRÁVNICEK (2015), temperature increases in the ensiled mass are indicative of aerobic deterioration. They suggest the heat increase inside the silo originates from soluble carbohydrates and lactic acid degradation by yeasts and filamentous fungi. These organisms act in the presence of oxygen, resulting in lower DM recovery, higher pH and lower nutritional value.
BORREANI & TABACCO (2010) evaluated 54 dairy farms and observed variations in silo temperature. A reference temperature of silos was measured in their central portion, and differences between that and temperatures measured in peripheral and moldy spots areas were related to aerobic deterioration. This process was positively correlated with pH increase; water activity; filamentous fungi, yeast and clostridial spores count; and negatively correlated with the presence of lactic and acetic acid, and nitrate concentration.

Lower stratum from conventionally sealed silos presented higher pH (P<0.05), when compared to double sealing (3.76 vs 3.69). However, neither the upper stratum nor the average pH was significantly different between the groups.

McGECHAN & WILLIAMS (1994) proposed a model in which oxygen enters the silo through a pressure difference mechanism and is spread inside the silo by diffusion. Thus, this higher pH observed in conventionally sealed silo may result from greater oxygen infiltration due to greater tarpaulin permeability. It may have allowed the activity of microorganisms, such as yeasts and filamentous fungi, causing the abovementioned spoliation activity, with effect on pH elevation (GALLO et al., 2015). There was no difference in pH, temperature, and DM content in relation to the period of silage use when comparing both sealing types (Figure 1).

Table 2 shows these aling type did not affect corn silage nutritional composition (P>0.05), except for NDF digestibility. A different result was reported by BORREANI & TABACCO (2014), who evaluated two sealing types in two commercial properties and observed the average proportions of NDF, ADF, GP, and MM were respectively 11.6%, 21.9%, 25.9%, and 32.9% lower in double-sealed silos.

According to ROOKE & HATFIELD (2003), the greater participation of fibrous carbohydrates in the abovementioned study was due to the activity of yeasts and filamentous fungi. These organisms degrade non-fibrous carbohydrates, generating a fibrous carbohydrates increase as a result of the concentration effect.

Nevertheless, the most expressive result obtained in this study and that shows the great influence of this system, was the NDF digestibility, which was 25.54% higher (P<0.05) in double sealing system, demonstrating its importance for the production of high quality silage. BERNARDES et al. (2011) also observed a 6% decrease of NDF
proportion associated with the use of double sealing with high oxygen impermeability, when compared to the conventional sealing.

Corn silages with more digestible fiber imply higher DM consumption, as it reduces the filling effect. In addition, increasing the energy of this material would reduce variable property costs due to the lower participation of concentrate in the diet and it could ensure ruminant health due to the greater frequency of rumination, which implies greater ruminal buffer, higher milk fat production and extended animal production, provided that particle size is respected (FERRARETTO & SHAVER, 2015).

Table 2 - Chemical and nutritional composition of the corn silage, according to the sealing type used in the storage silo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional composition</th>
<th>Conventional sealing</th>
<th>Double sealing</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>P&gt;F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter, %</td>
<td>30.49</td>
<td>30.91</td>
<td>30.70</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.3503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein, % of DM</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0.6253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethereal extract, % in DM</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.4767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral detergent fiber, % of DM</td>
<td>46.03</td>
<td>47.10</td>
<td>46.56</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>0.7035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicellulose, % of DM</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent fiber, % of DM</td>
<td>26.97</td>
<td>28.03</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>0.5798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral matter, % of DM</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td>0.3059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium, % of DM</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>30.66</td>
<td>0.2534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus, % of DM</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>0.3868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM digestibility, %</td>
<td>75.46</td>
<td>74.46</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.2335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF digestibility, %</td>
<td>24.60ª</td>
<td>33.04ª</td>
<td>28.82</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages followed by different letters in the line differ (P<0.05) from each other by F test.
CONCLUSION

The use of polyethylene double sealing systems in bunker silos is recommended to determine higher NDF digestibility, reduction of DM losses, and greater silage aerobic stability.
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