Felis catus gammaherpesvirus 1 (FcaGHV1) and coinfections with feline viral pathogens in domestic cats in Brazil
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ABSTRACT: Felis catus gammaherpesvirus 1 (FcaGHV1) may causes an asymptomatic infection that result in an efficient transmission and subsequently dissemination of the virus in feline population. This study used molecular detection by qPCR (quantitative PCR) based on DNA polymerase gene fragment amplification to evaluate the occurrence of FcaGHV1 and its correlation with other feline viral pathogens, such as Carnivore protoparvovirus 1 (CPPV-1), Felid alphaherpesvirus 1 (FeHV-1), and feline retroviruses such as feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) and feline leukemia virus (FeLV). Of the 182 blood samples evaluated 23.6% (43/182) were positives for FcaGHV1. Approximately 37.9% (33/87) of the samples that tested positive for retrovirus were also positive for FcaGHV1 infection (P<0.0001). Among FIV-infected samples, 49% (24/49) were positive for FcaGHV1 (P<0.0001). FcaGHV1 infection was not associated with FeLV (P>0.66) or CPPV-1 (P>0.46) coinfection. All samples were negative for FeHV-1. Male felines were significantly associated to FcaGHV1 (P<0.0001) and their risk of infection with FcaGHV1 was about of 7.74 times greater compared to females. Kittens (≤ 1year) were the least affected by FcaGHV1 infection, being verified a rate of 7.7% (4/52). Therefore, male cats over one year old and infected with FIV were considerably more likely to be infected with FcaGHV1. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report the occurrence and molecular detection of FcaGHV1 infection in domestic cats in Brazil and in South America.
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Ocorrência de Felis catus gammaherpesvirus 1 (FcaGHV1) e coinfeções com patógenos virais felinos em gatos domésticos no Brasil

RESUMO: Felis catus gammaherpesvirus 1 (FcaGHV1) pode causar uma infecção assintomática, que resulta em uma transmissão eficiente e consequente disseminação do vírus na população felina. Este estudo utilizou a detecção molecular por qPCR (PCR quantitativa) baseado na amplificação de um fragmento do gene da DNA polimerase para avaliar a ocorrência de FcaGHV1, sendo correlacionado a outros patógenos virais felinos como Carnivore protoparvovirus 1 (CPPV-1), Felid alphaherpesvirus 1 (FeHV-1) e aos retrovírus felinos como vírus da imunodeficiência felina (FIV) e vírus da leucemia felina (FeLV). Das 182 amostras de sangue avaliadas, 23,6% (43/182) foram positivas para FcaGHV1. Aproximadamente 37,9% (33/87) das amostras positivas para retrovírus também foram positivas para FcaGHV1 (P<0.0001). Entre as amostras FIV-infetadas, 49% (24/49) foram positivas para FcaGHV1 (P<0.0001). A infecção por FcaGHV1 não foi associada à coinfeção por FeLV (P>0.66) e CPPV-1 (P>0.46). Todas as amostras foram negativas para FeHV-1. Fêmeas foram significativamente associadas a FcaGHV1 (P<0.0001) e o risco de infecção com FcaGHV1 foi aproximadamente 7,74 vezes maior comparados às fêmeas. Filhotes (≤ 1 ano) foram os menos acometidos pela infecção por FcaGHV1 sendo verificado uma proporção de 7.7% (4/52). Assim, gatos machos com mais de um ano de idade e infectados por FIV foram, consideravelmente, mais susceptíveis a serem infectados com FcaGHV1. Para nosso conhecimento, este é o primeiro estudo que relata a ocorrência e molecular detecção de FcaGHV1 infecção em gatos domésticos no Brasil e na América do Sul.
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INTRODUCTION

Herpesviruses are large and enveloped viruses that have a linear double-stranded DNA genome. They are divided into three distinct subfamilies: alpha-, beta- and gamma herpesvirus (DAVISON, 2010). The majority of members of the Gammaherpesvirinae subfamily are potentially oncogenic (DAVISON et al., 2009). Thus, gamma herpesviruses are associated with the development
of lymphoproliferative disorders that persist after the viruses became latent in B lymphocytes (BARTON et al., 2011; SPECK & GANEM, 2010). These viruses can be effectively contained by the immune system of infected healthy individuals who remain asymptomatic (USHERWOOD et al., 2001). However, in immunosuppressed individuals, infection with gammaherpesvirus, either as a sole agent or by coinfection with other viruses, may lead to the development of neoplasms and lymphoproliferative diseases (MEANS et al., 2007; KAYE et al., 2016).

Felis catus gammaherpesvirus 1 (FcaGHV1) was first identified in the United States by TOYER et al. (2014) and has since been associated with coinfections, mainly with feline retroviruses (BEATTY et al., 2014; TROYER et al., 2014). Coinfections involving retroviruses and herpesviruses are common and can occur in humans and animals (ISFORT et al., 1992). Synergistic interactions between herpes and retroviruses can favor disease progression producing a long latency period and efficient immune system evasion (EVERMANN et al., 1991). However, the clinical signs and pathogenesis related to FcaGHV1 infection are still not clear (KAYE et al., 2016; STUTZMAN-RODRIGUEZ et al., 2016).

FcaGHV1 DNA has been detected in cats from countries on most continents including Europe, Oceania, North America and Asia (TROYER et al., 2014; BEATTY et al., 2014; ERTL et al., 2015; TATENO et al., 2017). Until date, no cases of FcaGHV1 infection have been reported in felids in Brazil or elsewhere in South America. Thus, this study investigated the occurrence of FcaGHV1 infection among domestic cats and correlated it with feline retroviruses (feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) and feline leukemia virus (FeLV)) and with other viral pathogens such as Carnivore protoparvovirus 1 (CPPV-1), previously known as feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), and Feline alphaherpesvirus 1 (FeHV-1). This research also evaluated potential risk factors of domestic cats for FcaGHV1 infection, regarding sex and age.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We analyzed EDTA-treated whole blood samples that were taken from 182 domestic cats in Brazil and sent to routine diagnostics services provided by the Laboratory of Molecular Diagnostics in the Department of Microbiology and Immunology of Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”, between years 2008 and 2015. Samples were from cats that had infectious disease suspect and were from several localities of the country. DNA was extracted from the blood samples using a commercial kit (GE HealthCare, Buckinghamshire, UK) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Through molecular techniques using qPCR (quantitative PCR), were detected proviral DNA in 87 feline retrovirus-positive DNA samples, while 95 samples were considered negative for the retrovirus. Of those 87 samples retrovirus-positive, 49 were FIV-positive, 32 were FeLV-positive and 6 samples were positive for both FIV and FeLV. All samples were then tested for FcaGHV1, CPPV-1 and FeHV-1. Detection of FIV proviral DNA was performed based on the method of TANIWAKI & ARAÚJO JR (2011), and the FeLV proviral DNA detection method used primers described by TANDON et al. (2005) using an ABI 7500 Fast thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The CPPV-1 VP2 gene and the FeHV-1 glycoprotein B gene were detected based on the methods described by DECARO et al. (2008) and VÖGTLIN et al. (2002), respectively, using an ABI 7300 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems).

The qPCR assay was used as qualitative method for detecting the presence of FcaGHV1 DNA. To detect FcaGHV1 DNA, specific primers were designed to target the DNA polymerase gene based on the GenBank sequence KF840715, resulting in a product of 174bp in length. The primer sequences used for FcaGHV1 gene amplification were FcaGHV1-P1 (forward) - 5'CCACATTGAAAGGCCCAAGG-3' and FcaGHV1-P2 (reverse) - 5'TGTTGTCTCCAGATGCTGACG-3'. The qPCR reaction was then prepared with 10μL of GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, WI, USA), 0.2 µM of each FcaGHV1 primer, 2.5% of DMSO (≥99.5%), 4μL of extracted DNA and nuclease free water to a total volume of 20μL. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 2min followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10s and 60°C for 30s using an ABI 7500 Fast thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems). Samples evaluated were considered positive when they showed a single melting curve peak at a melting temperature (Tm) of approximately 77.20°C (±0.55).

During qPCR testing, amplicons of samples considered to be positive for FcaGHV-1 were analyzed on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with Neotaq Brilliant Plus DNA Stain at 0.5µL/mL (NeoBio, SP, Brazil) and then visualized under ultraviolet light. Next, amplicons bands were excised from the agarose gel and purified using the Illustra GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification kit (GE HealthCare) per
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The qPCR reaction for FcaGHV1 detection was considered specific since it did not show amplification of FeHV-1, CPPV-1, FCV, C. psittaci or CRFK cell amplification. Sequences of amplicons obtained from blood samples that were positive for FcaGHV1 revealed 98% identity with those deposited in the GenBank under number accession KF840715 and KT595939. The frequency of FcaGHV1 among the domestic felines evaluated in this study was 23.6% (43/182). Approximately 37.9% (33/87) of the samples in which retrovirus was detected were also positive for FcaGHV1 infection ($\chi^2 = 22.0604$ with 1 degree of freedom and $P < 0.0001$). Among FIV-infected cats, 49% (24/49) were coinfected with FcaGHV1 ($\chi^2 = 36.9916$ and $P < 0.0001$). Frequency of coinfection with FcaGHV1 and FeLV (15.6%, 5/32) was not statistically significance ($\chi^2 = 0.195; P > 0.66$). Consistent with observations made by McLUCKIE et al. (2017), infection with FcaGHV1 did not correlate with FeLV coinfection. Infection with FcaGHV1 was associated to CPPV-1 because of the 11 samples that were positive for CPPV-1 only 9.1% (1/11) were coinfected with FcaGHV1 ($P > 0.46$). The proportion of monoinfected cats with FcaGHV1 (without others infections evaluated) was 4.9% (9/182).

According to a logistic regression model, the frequency of FcaGHV1 infection among the blood samples examined in this study correlated significantly with both sex ($\chi^2 = 26.14; P < 0.0001$) and ($\chi^2 = 16.55; P = 0.0009)$. The cats’ risks for infection with FcaGHV1 according sex and age are presented in table 1.

The proportion of infection with FcaGHV1 among young, adult and elderly cats was higher than kittens ($\leq 1$ year), which had the lowest infection rate at 7.7% (4/52). However, the age range most affected was the adult group at 33.3% (17/51). Regression models showed that belonging to the adults age group correlated with risk for infection with FcaGHV1 (OR

### Table 1 - Logistic regression analysis of potential risk factors for FcaGHV1 infection associated with sex and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9% (8/89)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>37.6% (35/93)</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>3.2332</td>
<td>18.5654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitten (≤1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7% (4/52)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Young (&lt;1 and ≤3)</td>
<td>27.3% (12/44)</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>1.9166</td>
<td>25.1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult (&gt;3 and ≤10)</td>
<td>33.3% (17/51)</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>2.4046</td>
<td>28.3948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old (&gt;10)</td>
<td>28.6% (10/35)</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>1.6728</td>
<td>23.3524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Confidence Interval.
8.26; P≤0.005; 95% CI: 2.4–28.39). The average age of the FcaGHV1-positive cats was 6.25 years.

FcaGHV1 infection was associated significantly with the male sex (P<0.0001) with a frequency of 37.6% (35/93; P<0.0001) among the male cats. Infection rate among female cats was 9% (8/89; P=0.427), indicating that the occurrence of FcaGHV1-infected males was 4.18 times higher than that among females. Proportion of cats evaluated was 51.1% (93/182) male and 48.9% (89/182) female; thus no gender bias occurred. We verified that males cats were more likely to be FcaGHV1-infected, with a 7.74 times greater chance when compared to females (P = 0.0001; 95% CI: 3.23-18.56). These results were consistent with those of previous studies (BEATTY et al., 2014; ERTL et al., 2015).

The association of males coinfected with FIV and FcaGHV1 was significant (P<0.0001). Proportion of coinfection with FcaGHV1 and FIV in males was 23.7% (22/93) and in females, was 2.2% (2/89). Gender distribution of cats that were infected with the viral pathogens tested in this study and those coinfected with FcaGHV1, is presented in figure 1.

Detecting FcaGHV1 DNA with qPCR technique may be useful for specifically and rapidly identifying infected animals (BEATTY et al., 2014). During primary infections with EBV, the circulating EBV DNA levels (in whole blood, plasma, serum, or memory B cells) rise over 2 weeks but may decline for several weeks to months to undetectable levels, then recovering to a steady state (GULLEY & TANG, 2008). Additionally, FcaGHV1 possibly may establish latency at low-level infection in blood cells (STUTZMAN-RODRIGUEZ et al., 2016). Therefore, presence of gammaherpesvirus DNA in whole blood indicates that are infected individuals (LJUNGMAN et al., 2002). However, a negative qPCR does not necessarily indicate that an animal is not infected, but could instead result from the animal having a viral load too low or with undetectable levels by qPCR (BEATTY et al., 2016; TROYER et al., 2014). Seroprevalence studies suggested that the actual prevalence of FcaGHV1 infection among cats may be higher than those measured using qPCR. For instance, STUZTMAN-RODRIGUES et al. (2016) reported the prevalence of FcaGHV1 infection among cats in the USA to be 32% when measured with ELISA but only 15% when measured with qPCR. Studies realized in some countries using qPCR for FcaGHV1 DNA detection were found a prevalence of virus among cats to be 15-19% in the USA, 11.4% in Australia, 1.3% in Japan and 16.2% in Germany and Austria (TROYER et al., 2014; BEATTY et al., 2014; ERTL et al., 2015; TATENO et al., 2017). Our study found a frequency of FcaFHV-1 infection among the sample tested to be 23.6%. However, it should be noted that all samples used in this study were samples sent to molecular diagnostic service for feline infectious diseases, instead of taken randomly from an entire population of infected and healthy cats. This may had resulted in a higher frequency rate and the results may not reflect the actual prevalence of FcaGHV1-infected among cats in Brazil. However, it was possible to verify strongly the presence of FcaGHV1 in infected felines.

Male cats, aged over 1 year and coinfected with retroviruses, particularly FIV, were found to be at risk factors for FcaGHV1 infection. Of the positive samples for FIV (n=49), 49% (24/49) were found positivity for FcaGHV1. This finding is similarly to that of ERTL et al. (2016), who reported that 40.4% FIV-infected male cats in Europe were coinfected with FcaGHV1. Others studies have verified correlation of FcaGHV1 with FIV infection, as well as the increased FcaGHV1 viral loads related to FIV coinfection (BEATTY et al., 2014; ERTL et al., 2016). Cats with progressive immunodeficiency feline due to viral replication have decreased CD4+ cells and increase of CD8+, occurring an inverse relationship between CD4+ and CD8+ (PAILLOT et al., 2005; HARTMANN, 2012). The lack of T-cell control induces polyclonal proliferation of B-lymphocytes infected by the gammaherpesvirus, which can persist in the peripheral blood; thus, the frequency of infected cells may be stable for years (BABCOCK et al., 1998; SICA et al., 2009; MARQUES et al., 2012). Therefore, felines coinfected with FcaGHV1 and FIV may present a lytic infection by gammaherpesvirus, allowing the detection viral DNA at this stage (BEATTY et al., 2014). Additionally, territorial, exploratory and aggressive behaviors by male cats increase their risks of virus exposure, as has been observed with FIV infection (NORRIS et al., 2007; DUNHAM & GRAHAM, 2008). Therefore, horizontal transmission should be evaluated as a possible main route of viral spreading (BEATTY et al., 2014). A previous study found high viral loads in small intestinal tissue of infected cats, and viral excretion in the saliva of FcaGHV1 is suspected as a likely transmission route (BEATTY et al., 2014; TROYER et al., 2014). This is similar to EBV, in which the primary infection is transmitted via saliva and cases of gastroenteritis with EBV have been reported (COHEN, 2000; SICA et al., 2009; FUGIWARA et al., 2009). In contrast, the low occurrence of FcaGHV1 verified in kittens (7.7%; 4/52) and female cats (9%;
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8/89), may indicate that vertical transmission has little implication in the spread of the virus, such as was verified in seroprevalence study by STUTZMAN-RODRIGUES, 2016.

All blood samples tested during this study were negative for FeHV-1. The prevalence of FeHV-1 can vary according to population density of cats, reaching rates lower than 1% (GASKELL et al., 2007). Some studies suggested that FeHV-1 might be act as cofactor in the pathogenesis of FIV infection and coinfections with both virus may occur naturally (REUBEL et al., 1994; KAWAGUSHI & MIKAMI, 1995; ONGRADI et al., 2013). However, this association was not observed among cats in this study.

Using qPCR, BEATTY et al. (2014) reported higher viral loads of FcaGHV1 in bone marrow. Detection of the virus in the bone marrow suggested that FcaGHV1 might contribute to bone marrow failure, as has been observed in gammaherpesvirus infections (BARANSKI et al., 1988; HART et al., 2008). Therefore, FcaGHV1 infection may potentially compromise bone marrow function as well as FeLV and CPPV-1 (SHIMODA et al., 2000; HARTMANN, 2012). Although, all of them are feline lymphotropic viruses, CPPV-1 and FeLV are T-lymphotropic and FcaGHV1 has tropism for B-lymphocyte (THOMPKINS et al., 1991; IKEDA et al. 1998; McLUCKIE et al., 2016). Unlike for FIV and FcaGHV1, the results of this study do not suggested a correlation among infections with among FeLV, CPPV-1 or FcaGHV1 infection.

Herpesvirus can remain latent throughout the lives of their hosts, which remain exposed at risk for viral reactivation and subsequent disease (BARTON et al., 2007). Moreover, host reservoirs can transmit the virus without evident signals of the disease and virus excretion usually occurs at low levels constantly or intermittently (ACKERMANN, 2006; SPECK

Figure 1 - Sex ratio of cats infected with viral pathogens evaluated using molecular techniques. (a) Cats concomitantly infected with feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) and feline leukemia virus (FELV) were not included. (b) Cats infected with FIV, FeLV and FIV-FeLV were considered in this group. (c) All FcaGHV1-positive cats were included (both monoinfected and coinfected with other pathogens).
& GANEM, 2010). Therefore, FcaGHV1-positive animals can be considered as potential reservoirs of the virus and sources of infection. Thus, diagnosis of FcaGHV1-infected cats may have a role in the control of virus dissemination and allow evaluating the possible effects of the infection on the animal.

CONCLUSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report presence and molecular detection of FcaGHV1 in domestic cats in Brazil and South America, and thereby confirm that this virus is widely distributed throughout the world. Additionally, the results of this study suggested that males cats that are infected with FIV and more than one year old are at higher risk of becoming infected with FcaGHV1 and thus should be investigated.
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