Epidemiology of *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster in flocks of northeastern Brazil
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**ABSTRACT:** The present study aimed to investigate contagious agalactia (CA) in flocks from Pernambuco State. The study involved 225 goats and 63 ewes; 288 milk samples and 100 vaginal swabs were collected in total. The PCR assays were carried out using specific primers to *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and the *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. Among the goat’s milk samples, 12.0% (27/225) were positive for *Mycoplasma agalactiae* DNA, while 5.3% (12/225) contained the *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. Of the vaginal swabs taken from goats, 15.4% (12/78) were positive for *Mycoplasma agalactiae* DNA and 3.8% (3/78) contained the *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. In the case of ewes, 4.3% (1/23) of the milk samples contained *Mycoplasma agalactiae* DNA, and 7.5% (3/40) were positive for the *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. Vaginal swabs taken from sheep’s were negative. Analysis of risk factors for mycoplasmosis, showed that goats and sheep flocks on the extensive breeding system are more likely to have mycoplasmosis than those on the intensive breeding system (odds ratio (OR) 6.2; p=0.004); meat goat and sheep flocks are more likely to have infection compared to dairy flocks (OR 4.8; p=0.011); unclean animal housing increases the chances of infection (OR 5.0; p=0.031) and not performing quarantine increases the chances of mycoplasmosis (OR 4.6; p=0.042). Based on these findings we conclude that CA syndrome in the semi-arid region of Pernambuco state can be associated with *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster.
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**RESUMO:** O objetivo deste estudo foi investigar a Agalaxia contagiosa em rebanhos do estado de Pernambuco. Foram examinadas 225 cabras e 63 ovelhas; das quais foram colhidas 288 amostras de leite e 100 suabes vaginais. Foram realizadas reações da PCR com iniciadores específicos para *Mycoplasma agalactiae* e *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. A frequência total de *Mycoplasma agalactiae* em amostras de leite caprino foi de 12,0% (27/225) e de 5,3% (12/225) para *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. Dos suabes vaginais de cabras as frequências detectadas na PCR foram de 15,4% (12/78) para *Mycoplasma agalactiae* 3,8% (3/78) para *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. Em leite de ovelhas a frequência de Ma foi de 4,3% (1/23) e de 7,5% (3/40) para *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. Na análise dos fatores de risco para micoplasmoses verificou-se que rebanhos de caprinos e ovinos mantidos no sistema extensivo são mais prováveis de adquirir micoplasmosose quando comparados com o sistema intensivo (odds ratio (OR) 6,2; p=0,004); rebanhos de caprinos e ovinos de corte são mais prováveis de adquirir micoplamsose do que rebanhos de leite (OR 4,8; p=0,011); não realizar limpeza das instalações aumenta as chances de infeção (OR 5,0; p=0,031); não realizar quarentena aumenta as chances das micoplasmoses estudadas (OR 4,6; p=0,042). Conclui-se que *M. agalactiae* e *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster estão envolvidos na síndrome de CA em rebanhos de caprinos e ovinos do semiárido pernambucano.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Contagious agalactia (CA) is an infectious disease that affects goats and sheep. It is characterized by mastitis-agalactia, arthritis, and keratoconjunctivitis. Outbreaks of CA have been reported in several wild ruminants (CHAZEL et al., 2010; OSTROWSKI et al., 2011). The disease is caused by *Mycoplasma agalactiae* (*Ma*), as well as by several species in the *Mycoplasma mycoides* (*M. mycoides*) cluster; namely, *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (*Mcc*), *Mycoplasma...
mycoides subsp. capri (Mmc), and *Mycoplasma putrefaciens* (Mp). All of these pathogens cause indistinguishable clinical symptoms (OIE, 2013). Of these, *Mp* was included in the phylogenetic *M. mycoides* cluster by MANSO-SILVÁN et al. (2007). The *M. mycoides* cluster has complex taxonomy and includes the causative agents of contagious caprine pleuropneumonia and contagious bovine pleuropneumonia. In addition, it encompasses the bovine pathogen *M. leachii* and the small ruminant pathogens *Mmc* and *Mcc* (FISCHER et al., 2012).

The *Ma* primarily affects the mammary glands, eyes, joints, and less frequently the respiratory tract. In contrast, the other species mentioned are primarily related to respiratory diseases (NICHOLAS, 2002). Congenital infections have been reported in newborn goatling in Brazil, confirming that *Ma* is transmitted across the placenta (SILVA et al., 2014). Furthermore, several authors have suggested that *Ma* in goats is transmitted venereally (GIL et al., 2003; AMORES et al., 2011; GÓMEZ MARTÍN et al., 2012). In sheep, *Ma* is the most common causative agent of CA; although, *Mmc* also occurs sporadically. Conversely, goat CA is more complex, four species can cause the disease (*Ma, Mcc, Mmc* and *Mp*), and mixed infections have been reported in the Mediterranean region (GÓMEZ MARTÍN et al., 2012; GÓMEZ MARTÍN et al., 2013). More specifically, the *Mmc* and *Mcc* species cause ‘MAKEPS’ syndrome, ( mastitis, arthritis, keratitis, pneumonia, and septicemia), while *Mp* mainly causes mastitis and arthritis (PEYRAUD et al., 2003).

The epidemiology and geographical distribution of CA remain unclear in South America. In Brazil specifically, only a few authors have reported CA outbreaks in goats and sheep (NASCIMENTO et al., 1986; AZEVEDO et al., 2006). Nonetheless, as control measures have failed, the disease has spread to many regions of the country. The present study constitutes an epidemiological and molecular investigation of CA causing agents in semiarid mesoregions in the state of Pernambuco, northeastern Brazil.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This investigation was conducted across eleven properties in semiarid regions with a high concentration of goat and sheep stocks. The target districts were as follows: Serra Tallhada (two goat farms, A and B, and one sheep farm, C), Sertânia (five farms of Saanen and Toggenburg dairy goats maintained in intensive production management, D, E, F, G, and H), Custódia (one goat farm, I), and Floresta (one sheep farm, J and one goat farm, K).

After visual inspection of the animals, 225 goats’ and 63 ewes’ milk samples and 100 vaginal swabs were collected, regardless of the animals’ clinical symptoms. To collect the milk samples, the ostium ceiling was flushed with water and soap, dried with wipes, and sterilized with 70% alcohol. Next, numbered, sterile vials were used to collect 5mL of milk from each mammary gland. In addition, 100 vaginal swabs in total were collected from 78 goats and 22 ewes using sterile swabs rubbed on the lateral and internal walls of the vagina. These were then stored in tubes containing 2mL of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.2).

The milk and vaginal swabs were then refrigerated at 4°C and transported to the Laboratory of Infectious Diseases (LDIC/DMV/UFRPE), where they were submitted to DNA extraction using commercially available kits (Wizard SV Genomic DNA purification System<sup>®</sup>; Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA; Ref. A2361 for the milk samples and Ref. A1125 for the vaginal swabs). These kits were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions; although, some adjustments were made. Quantity and quality of the extracted DNA were evaluated using an automatic quantifier (Multiscan Go<sup>®</sup>; ThermoScientific). Next, PCR assays were carried out using specific primers for *Ma* (FS1: 5’-AAAGGTGCTTGGAGAAATGCC-3’and FS2: 5’-GGTGCAAGAAGAGTCCAATC-3’, which amplify a 375-bp fragment) and for the *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster (F-REAP: 5’- GAAACGAAAGATAATACCGCATGTAG-3’ and R-REAP: 5’-CCACTTGTGGCGGGTGCCCT-3’, which amplify a 785-bp fragment).

The PCR reaction used a thermal profile that has been previously described, with some adjustments (TOLA et al., 1997; PERSSON et al., 1999). A reaction mix was prepared containing 5μL of DNA template, 30pmol of each primer, 6.25μL of GoTaq<sup>®</sup>Green Master Mix (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA; Ref. M7122) and Milli-Q ultrapure water up to 25μL, in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp.*capri* (GM12) DNA was used as a positive control in the *M. mycoides* cluster reactions, as well as in the reactions for a *Mycoplasma agalactiae* strain isolated in Paraiba State (BrPB01; Gen Bank No.JQ612164:0.8ng/μL). Reactions were carried out in a thermocycler (Bioer XP Thermal Cycler<sup>®</sup>);
Bioer Technology Corporation Ltda., Hangzhou, China. Products were then analyzed in 1.5% electrophoresis gel, and the amplicons visualized in a transilluminator (L-Pix; LocusBiotechnology®) and photographed.

The genomic DNA samples obtained in the PCR were purified using a commercial kit, MegaQuick-spin™ Total Fragment DNA Purification Kit (Intron Biotechnology®, Korea). Subsequently the DNA was quantified using an automatic quantifier for quality and quantity measurement. Purified products after amplification were bidirectionally sequenced using the BigDyeTerminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used for the sequencing were the same as used in the amplification step.

Milk and vaginal swab samples that were positive for mycoplasma DNA in the PCR were processed for mycoplasma isolation. In the case of milk samples, 2mL was sterilized using a syringe coupled with a membrane filter (0.45μM), and 100μL of the filtrate was diluted to concentrations of 10-7 to 10-3 and inoculated onto liquid and solid modified Hayflick’s medium. These samples were incubated at 37°C for 21 days, and the agar plates were then placed in a microaerophilic jar. Growth in the plates was verified using a stereomicroscope (80× magnification). Mollicute isolates were confirmed using a Dienes probe, and Mycoplasma genera were identified with a digitonin sensitivity test (WHITFORD et al., 1994; RAZIN & TULLY, 1996).

Epidemiological questionnaires were used to obtain information about the type of farming and management practices and thus identify possible risk factors in each herd. The questionnaires were delivered by a single trained investigator and answered by personnel who could provide information about the animals’ nutrition, reproduction, and milking system. Variables investigated and their respective answer categories were as follows: consortium creation (yes/no); herd type (dairy/dairy and meat); wetlands on the property (yes/no); flooded areas on surrounding properties (yes/no); presence of hematophagous insects (yes/no); insect control (yes/no); presence of quarantine (yes/no); reproductive management (natural breeding/artificial insemination/both). Univariate analysis of infection-associated risk factors was performed using the Pearson chi-square test ($\chi^2$) or Fisher’s exact test. A logistic regression analysis was then performed, which considered the “Gold standard” PCR result for mycoplasmas (Ma or M. mycoides cluster) as the dependent variable. Independent or explanatory variables with a p-value <0.20 were considered in the model, so that no possible event risk factors were excluded from the analysis (HOSMER & LEMESHOW, 1989). Epinfo7™ software was used to perform statistical calculations; the significance level was set at 5.0%.

RESULTS

In this study, Mycoplasmataceae were detected using PCR in farms from semiarid mesoregions. Figure 1 shows gel electrophoresis of PCR results for Ma and M. mycoides cluster. We found that 18.2% (41/225) of goats and 6.3% (4/63) of sheep were positive for Mycoplasmataceae DNA. On each farm, the Ma results were as follows: A—63.6%, B—17.6%, C—4.0%, and K—8.3%. The M. mycoides cluster results on the individual farms were: E—25.0, H—7.7, I—11.7, J—7.5, and K—10.0. Figure 2 shows the frequency of Ma and M. mycoides cluster on each farm, as detected by PCR analysis.

Throughout all districts, the frequencies of Ma and M. mycoides cluster in goats’ milk samples were 12.0% and 5.3%, respectively; table 1 shows the results in the individual districts. In the goat vaginal swabs, the frequency of Ma was 15.4% (12/78), while that of the M. mycoides cluster was 3.8% (3/78). The highest Ma frequency in goat vaginal swabs (30.0%) occurred in the Serra Talhada district. In ewes’ milk, Ma was detected in 4.3% (1/23) of samples, while the M. mycoides cluster was found in 7.5% (3/40). Ewes’ vaginal swabs were all negative for Mycoplasmataceae in the PCR analysis. Among farms that shared an owner, some signals of CA were reported, such as polyarthritis (19.2%), sudden drop in milk production (11.8%), and reproductive disorders (17.9%). It was possible to confirm the viability of the bacteria, by isolation in milk, where by mollicutes grew in 26.7% of the goats’ milk samples.

In the subsequent analysis, the main risk factor for CA was the extensive system of goat breeding, which carried a higher risk of mycoplasmosis than the intensive system (OR = 6.2; p=0.004). Furthermore, meat flocks were more likely to carry infection than dairy flocks (OR = 4.8; p=0.011). Failure to clean the animals’ housing increases the risk of infection (OR = 5.0; p=0.031), as does failure to perform quarantine (OR = 4.6; p=0.042; Table 2).
DISCUSSION

CA is a significant infectious disease among goat and sheep flocks, which limits production on both dairy and meat farms. In the present study, the prevalence of CA in goats, as expected, corroborated previously published data from endemic areas of northeastern Brazil, which range from 20% to 56.43% of infection (BANDEIRA et al., 2008). In contrast, using serological analysis during CA outbreaks among goats in the Paraiba State, CAMPOS et al. (2009) reported a higher prevalence of 83.2%. In ewes and lambs; however, the prevalence of infection varies. For instance, in a CA outbreak in Paraiba State, the morbidity rate was of 26% in goats and 49% in lambs, with a total prevalence of 100% (AZEVEDO et al., 2006). In France, CHAZEL et al., (2010) reported agalactia is most often caused by Mmc, Mcc, and Mp in goats, whilst in sheep, Ma is the most common causative species. In Spain, ARIZA-MIGUEL et al. (2012) reported that the prevalence of CA in sheep varied from 50% to 100% on different farms; in Jordan, AL-MOMANI et al. (2008) reported a prevalence of 39% in sheep and 36% in goats.

Distribution of Ma among dairy sheep and goats varies in different locations. In Spanish dairy sheep farms, Ma is the most common causative factor, while the M. mycoides cluster is reported most often in goat flocks (ARIZA-MIGUEL et al., 2012). In the present study, we reported that both Ma and the M. mycoides cluster circulate freely in goat and sheep flocks. More specifically, conditions that favor spread include keeping animals in mixed flocks, poor sanitary conditions, and inadequate facilities. For instance, the high prevalence of CA in farm A was likely due to poor sanitary management, which contributes to the spread of Ma and M. mycoides cluster. On the same farm, the farmer had a history of managing flocks with CA. Among all the farms investigated, the most common causes of CA among meat goats and sheep were poor sanitary conditions and inadequate facilities. In farms that used an intensive breeding system, the probable prevalence of the M. mycoides cluster was 25%, and it was common to exhibit and trade animals without disease control.

AL-MOMANI et al. (2008; 2011) reported that the seroprevalence values of Ma among sheep, goats, and mixed flocks are 25%, 21%, and 30%; those of the Mmc were 32%, 38%, and 34% in the same study, suggesting that these mycoplasmas circulate widely among flocks of sheep and goats that are kept together in mixed farms. Similar circumstances occur in the semiarid regions of northeastern Brazil. Using PCR, ALVES et al. (2013) reported Ma frequencies of 17.9 in goat semen and 3.7% in goats’ milk. In the present study we identified a higher frequency of 30% among milk samples of goats.
On a separate note, outbreaks of respiratory syndrome do occur among goats in Brazil. These outbreaks are associated with mastitis, arthritis, and keratoconjunctivitis caused by Mmc (NASCIMENTO et al., 1986). The present study corroborated these results by identifying Mycoplasma mycoides cluster in areas endemic for CA. Furthermore, the risk factors for Ma and the M.mycoides cluster were as follows: extensive breeding system, type of flock (meat), and failure to clean animal housing or perform quarantine. In their study of risk factors for Ma seroprevalence among sheep and goats, AL-MOMANI et al. (2008) demonstrated that only three variables increased Ma risk: use of outside rams, improper cleaning of the milking utensils, and separation of young from their mother. Failure to perform quarantine increased the risk of mycoplasmosis. NICHOLAS (1999) reported a similar correlation in the use of rams from other flocks for breeding which was accompanied by increasing seroprevalence of Ma.

Similarly, cleaning of milking utensils should decrease infection by Ma; accordingly, the

Table 1 - Results of PCR for Ma and the Mycoplasma mycoides cluster in goats from northeastern Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Ma (n=34)</th>
<th>Milk (Goat)</th>
<th>Vaginal swab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3/34 (8.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floresta (n=60)</td>
<td>5/60 (8.3)</td>
<td>6/60 (10.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra Talhada (n=73)</td>
<td>22/73 (30.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12/73 (16.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertânia (n=58)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3/58 (5.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27/225 (12.0)</td>
<td>12/225 (5.3)</td>
<td>12/78 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

present study demonstrated that failure to clean the animal housing was a risk factor for CA. AL-MOMANI et al. (2008) reported that such improper practices may disseminate *M. a* and increased the number of infected animals in the flock. According to AL-MOMANI et al. (2010), the risk factors for *Mmc* were exacerbated by concentrated grazing supplements, *Mmc* seropositivity, and stress caused by changes in husbandry, nutrition, and climate, which is seen as a major factor among outbreaks in adults.

In the dry season, poor sanitary management and nutrition may promote the spread of *M. a* and the *M. mycoides* cluster by inhaled aerosol among sheep and goats, particularly if the animals are kept together (MADANAT et al., 2001; AL-MOMANI et al., 2008). A similar situation may occur in semiarid regions of northeastern Brazil. Thus, *M. a* and the *M. mycoides* cluster should be monitored in this group in particular, as these infections are important for animal health and publications. Moreover, *M. a* and *M. mycoides* cluster species cause serious, unexpected economic losses to northeastern Brazil.

**CONCLUSION**

In this context, it was possible to conclude that *M. a* and *M. mycoides* cluster species occur in high rates in semiarid areas of Pernambuco State.

Inadequate facilities and extensive breeding enhance the risk of CA and other mycoplasmosis.
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