Assessment of the nitrification process in a culture of pacific white shrimp, using artificial substrate and bacterial inoculum in a biofloc technology system (BFT)
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ABSTRACT: Litopenaeus vannamei is the most cultured marine shrimp in all types of systems including the Bioflocs Technology System (BFT). Bioflocs are formed by microorganisms, among these, autotrophic bacteria are responsible for the nitrification process. This study aimed to identify and promote the development of nitrifying bacteria by adding artificial substrates and biofloc inoculum in L. vannamei culture in a BFT system. The experiment consisted of four treatments with three replicates (4x3) as follows: (1) Control: clear water in which bioflocs were formed; (2) IN (10%): clear water with biofloc inoculum (10%); (3) IB: clear water with substrate (immature “bioballs”); and (4) MB: clear water with mature “bioballs” inoculum from a recirculation system. Treatments were stocked with shrimp juveniles (4.92±0.45 g) in 12 tanks with 200 L working volume at a stocking density of 200 shrimp/m³. Shrimps were fed twice a day with a commercial feed (38% CP) following a feeding table, and daily observations intake were made over the four weeks of the experiment. Biofloc and “bioballs” samples were collected to detect the growth of the population of nitrifying and heterotrophic bacteria by FISH. There was no significant difference between treatments (P>0.05) for survival, obtaining mean values greater than 88%. The IN (10%) treatment had lower concentrations of ammonia and nitrite, and nitrate concentration increased, while MB had a higher weight and biomass final, productivity, weekly weight gain and lower conversion of apparent feed for production performance results.
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INTRODUCTION

The bioflocs technology system (BFT) is based on the growth of microorganisms in the culture medium, benefiting from zero or minimal water exchange (SCHRHYVER et al., 2008), and it is composed of microorganisms (bacteria, ciliates, diatoms, etc.), feces, feed remains, and carapace, among others. Microorganisms have two main functions: maintaining water quality by transforming the nitrogenous compounds into digestible protein (nitrifying and heterotrophic bacteria) and animal...
nutrition, increasing crop viability, reducing apparent feed conversion and feeding costs, which represent the 50% of total cost of aquaculture production due to the high cost of protein in commercial diets (SCHVEITZER et al., 2013). The nitrification is a crucial process for culture in biofloc systems. It is the transformation of ammonia into nitrate by autotrophic nitrifying bacteria, depending on the concentrations of ammonia and nitrite, the carbon/nitrogen ratio, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, alkalinity, and organic and inorganic carbon (EBELING et al., 2006).

Specific species of autotrophic bacteria absorb the inorganic carbon present in the system known as Ammonia-Oxidants (AOB) and Nitrite-Oxidants (NOB). The AOBs have higher efficiency in the transformation of nitrogen in relation to heterotrophic bacteria, but they take longer to oxidize the ammonia in nitrite and belong to the genera Nitrosomonas, Nitrosococcus, Nitrospira, Nitrosolobus and Nitrosovinhrio. The NOB oxidation of nitrite to nitrate mostly belong to the genera Nitrobacter, Nitrococcus and Nitrospira, but they have a slower growth than the AOBs, accumulating a significant amount of nitrite in the system (SERRA et al., 2015).

It was observed that the reuse of a minimum inoculum of 2.5% of water from a previous crop to produce *L. vannamei* in a BFT system accelerated the formation of microbial aggregates in water (KRUMMENAUER et al., 2014) and that the use of artificial substrates increases the fixation of nitrifying bacteria, contributing to better maintenance of water quality in the system (SCHVEITZER et al., 2013; FURTADO et al., 2015b).

To verify the adherence of the bacteria to the substrate and their presence in the culture water, the FISH molecular technique, which relies on the binding of fluorescent labels to DNA probes and the binding of these probes to ribosomal RNA (rRNA) of the bacteria, was performed. However, to improve the nitrification process in *L. vannamei* culture in a BFT system, the objective of this study was to promote and identify the development of nitrifying bacteria through the addition of artificial substrates ("bioballs") and biofloc inoculum.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Location and facilities**

Marine Station of Aquaculture, Institute of Oceanography from Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (EMA/IO/FURG).

**Experimental design**

The experiment consisted of four treatments with three replicates each, as follows: (1) Control: clear water in which bioflocs were formed; (2) IN (10%): clear water with biofloc inoculum (10%); (3) IB: clear water with substrate (immature "bioballs") and (4) MB: clear water with mature "bioballs" inoculum from a recirculation system. Quality parameters of the culture water of shrimp origin and mature "bioballs" were analyzed before the start of the experiment (Table 1).

The shrimps were fed commercial feed (Guabi-potimar 38% CP), with the aid of trays, twice a day (08:00 and 17:00) for four weeks. This value was then adjusted according to the estimated weekly biomass. A total of 1ppm of probiotic (PRO-W Sanolife) was added prophylactically to the culture water once per week, as recommended by the manufacturer (INVE). The commercial probiotic mixture (Sanolife-ProW® - INVE Aquaculture) used in this study is composed by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus Licheniformes* strains, in a bacterial concentration of 5.10⁹ cfu/g.

**Table 1 - Quality of cultivation water of origin of shrimp and bioflocs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water quality</th>
<th>Bioflocs</th>
<th>&quot;Bioballs&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA-N (N-(NH₄)₂+NH₃) mg/L</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO₂ (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO₃ (mg/L)</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃)</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>180.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g/L)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature(°C)</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg/L)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O. (mg/L)</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TA-N Total Ammonia Nitrogen; N-NO₂⁻; N-NO₃⁻; pH; TSS-Total Suspended Solids; D.O.-Dissolved oxygen.

**Origins of shrimps**

Nauplii of *L. vannamei* were purchased from Aquatec Ltda. (Canguaretama/RN), and after their arrival at EMA, they were kept in the larval sector and cultivated. They were later stored in a nursery (1500/m²) in the BFT system, transferred to the fattening sector and grown until they reached an average weight of 4.92±0.45 g. Only then were they allocated to the experimental units (200 L), with 40 shrimps/tank (200 shrimps/m³).

**Quality of cultivation water of origin of shrimp and "bioballs."**

---

*Santos et al., Ciência Rural, v.49, n.6, 2019.*
The “bioballs” were allocated to plastic canvas pillows with a 5 mm opening (34 cmL x 18 cmW x 8 cmH), each containing 40 “bioballs” of 32 mm². They were attached to the edges of the tanks with nylon threads and remained submerged throughout the experiment. The number of “bioballs” was based on the bottom area of the tank (0.36 m²).

### Organic fertilization

Bioflocs were inoculated from a culture system where the shrimp were allocated until reaching an average weight of 4.92±0.45 g. Sugarcane molasses containing 37.46% carbon was used as the source of organic carbon.

For the addition of the carbon source, the research of EBELING et al. (2006) was used, where 6 g of carbon is required to convert 1 g of ammonia to bacterial biomass, favoring a nominal C/N ratio of approximately 15:1. When total ammonia exceeded 1 mg/L, organic fertilization was performed to adjust the C/N ratio in all tanks.

### Physical and chemical parameters of water

The water temperature was controlled (~28 °C) with the use of heaters with thermostats distributed in the tanks. The aeration was provided by a blower and distributed by two hoses with porous stones/tank. Salinity was maintained at approximately 32 g/L and was measured once a week with the aid of a portable refractometer. When necessary, dechlorinated fresh water was added to correct it.

The parameters of water quality, temperature, pH and D.O. were measured twice a day using the multiparameter. The experiment was carried out in a room with a controlled temperature of 30 °C. The concentrations of total ammonia nitrogen (NH₃+NH₄⁺) and nitrite (N-NO₂⁻) were measured daily (UNESCO, 1983; BENDSCHNEIDER & ROBINSON, 1952), and nitrate (N-NO₃⁻) was measured every seven days (AMINOT & CHAUSSEPIED, 1983).

Alkalinity was measured twice a week (APHA, 1999); when it reached concentrations below 125 mg/L CaCO₃, which was determined every three days, 0.03 g/L of hydrated lime (Ca(OH)₂) was added to the tanks to maintain the value above the recommended minimum (FURTADO et al., 2014).

The TSS were measured weekly (STRICKLAND & PARSONS, 1972), with the value estimated by the difference between final and initial weight of each filter. The water of the tanks was filtered with a mesh of 50 microns when the solids reached concentrations higher than 500 mg/L (GAONA et al., 2015).

### Water quality measurements

Water samples from all tanks were collected weekly for the identification of nitrifying (Nitrospira, Nitrobacter spp., Nitrosomonadales, Nitrospira moscovensis, N. marina, Nitrosomonas marina like, Nitrococcus) and heterotrophic bacteria (Pseudomonas spp.) The FISH methodology was used, with 4.5 ml of water/tank in Falcon tube (15 ml) and 0.5 ml of 2% paraformaldehyde solution, also used to fix the substrates (four external area pins of the bioballs) of the IB and MB treatments collected in triplicate in 1.5 ml Eppendorfs.

The technique was performed according to the protocol of the Laboratory of Ecology and Molecular Biology of Microorganisms—LEBIOMM—adapted from COTTRELL & KIRCHMAN (2003), where the samples were generally fixed, sonicated, centrifuged, filtered, hybridized, washed, and stained with DAPI; slides were assembled and visualized using an epifluorescence microscope. Probes used are specified in table 2.

### Zootechnical performance of shrimps

The initial mean weight of the shrimp/tank was estimated from the initial biometry of 12 individuals. During the experiment, weekly biometrics were performed with 12 shrimp/tank. Samples were randomized and the shrimps individually weighed, using a digital scale with accuracy of 0.01 g, adjusting the feed throughout the experiment according to the estimate of the calculated biomass. In the final biometry, the shrimp from each tank were counted and weighed individually.

The experimental performance was evaluated by the final biomass (g), weekly weight gain (g/week), final weight (g), survival (%), productivity (kg/m²) and feed conversion ratio (FCR).

### Statistical analysis

The comparison between means of different treatments was performed by analysis of one-way variance (ANOVA). The data were assessed for homoscedasticity and normality, and the means were submitted to the Tukey test (P<0.05). Some data were transformed mathematically before being analyzed, and percentage values (e.g., survival) were transformed into a square root arc. Nitrogen compound data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA among treatments for each week of cultivation.

### RESULTS

The values of temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity and salinity did not differ...
significantly (P>0.05). Meanwhile the values of ammonia and nitrite were lower (P<0.05) in the IN (10%) while nitrate was higher in relation to the other treatments. An increase in TSS was observed in all treatments throughout the experiment, where IN (10%) was significantly different (P<0.05) from the others (Table 3).

More organic carbon was added to the culture water of the control and IB treatments (P<0.05), with 8.68% less carbon added to the IN (10%) treatment, 117.9% more carbon to IB and 50.5% less to MB in relation to the amount added to the control treatment (Figure 1). A significant difference was reported only in the total number of

---

### Table 2 - Probes used to identify and count the bacteria present in the samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Sequence of probe (5'—3')</th>
<th>Place of destination (rRNA) and position</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>%FA</th>
<th>NaCl (mM)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NON</td>
<td>TAGTGAGCCCGTGCAG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>YOKOKAWA &amp; NAGATA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIT3</td>
<td>CCTGTCCCATGCCTCGG</td>
<td>16S (1030–1047)</td>
<td>Nitrobacter spp.—NOB</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>WAGNER et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NITCO206</td>
<td>CGGTGCGAGCTTGCAAGC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Nitrooccus mobilis—AOB</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>JURETSCHIKO et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSO190</td>
<td>CGATCCCTGTCTTTCTCC</td>
<td>16S (190–208)</td>
<td>Nitrosomonadales—AOB</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>MOBARRY et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSO1225</td>
<td>CGCCATTGATTACGTTGAC</td>
<td>16S (1224–1243)</td>
<td>Nitrosomonadales—AOB</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>MOBARRY et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSMR76</td>
<td>CCCCCTCTTCTGAGTAC</td>
<td>16S (132–149)</td>
<td>Nitrosomonas marina-like—AOB</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>BURRELL et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSPA685</td>
<td>CACCGGGAVTTCCGCCTC</td>
<td>16S (664–685)</td>
<td>Nitrobacter moscovensis, Nitrobacter marina—NOB</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>BURRELL et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSPA712</td>
<td>CGCCCTTCACCGGCTTCCC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Phylum Nitrospira—NOB</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>DAIMS et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentage of formamide (FA) in the hybridization solution. **Concentration of sodium chloride in the wash solution.

Analysis performed at the Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), at LEBIOMM, located in the Department of Biology in Minas Gerais.

---

### Table 3 - Mean ± standard deviation (n=3) of the water quality parameters of the different treatments — (1) Control: clear water in which bioflocs were formed; (2) IN (10%): clear water with biofloc inoculum (10%); (3) IB: clear water with substrate (immature “bioballs”) and (4) MB: clear water with mature “bioballs” inoculum from a recirculation system— over the 29 days of experiment with *L. vannamei*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>IN (10%)</th>
<th>IB</th>
<th>MB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>28.79±0.56</td>
<td>28.95±0.51</td>
<td>29.24±0.48</td>
<td>28.39±0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)</td>
<td>5.76±0.15</td>
<td>5.77±0.17</td>
<td>5.66±0.14</td>
<td>5.79±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.02±0.16</td>
<td>7.97±0.12</td>
<td>8.09±0.12</td>
<td>8.01±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃)</td>
<td>124.07±27.01</td>
<td>117.41±23.61</td>
<td>137.41±17.50</td>
<td>131.30±24.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (g/L)</td>
<td>32.31±0.53</td>
<td>33.21±0.56</td>
<td>32.51±0.57</td>
<td>32.37±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)</td>
<td>236.67±225.37b</td>
<td>424.0±278.80b</td>
<td>213.0±198.16b</td>
<td>199.0±215.92b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.44±0.42b</td>
<td>0.04±0.04a</td>
<td>0.61±0.53b</td>
<td>0.37±0.35b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (mg/L)</td>
<td>4.34±2.82a</td>
<td>0.14±0.06a</td>
<td>5.65±3.18a</td>
<td>1.82±1.21b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (mg/L)</td>
<td>11.92±1.99b</td>
<td>25.06±3.95a</td>
<td>6.92±2.81b</td>
<td>10.83±2.97b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters overlapping on the same line indicate significantly different means (P<0.05).
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There was a significant difference (P<0.05) in the number of Nitrococcus mobilis and Nitrossomonadales bacteria in the bioballs samples on 23th and 29th day in which IB had more bacteria that MB. For all other probes, throughout the experiment, bacterial densities (105cells/g) were statistically the same (P>0.05) among treatments (Figure 3). There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in survival, weight and initial biomass, final weight and weekly weight gain among treatments (Table 4).

The final biomass and productivity were higher in the MB treatment (P<0.05) than IN (10%), and there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in relation to control and IB treatments. The FCR in IN (10%) was statistically similar (P>0.05) to the control and different from the others (P<0.05). The FCR in the control was equal (P>0.05) to all other treatments.

DISCUSSION

The water quality parameters for L. vannamei production were kept within the standards recommended by VAN WYK, P. & SCARPA, J. (1999). Expected increases in solids concentrations in all treatments were observed due to the induction of the formation of the bioflocs, with control, IB and MB treatments below the limits recommended of 500–600 mg/L and within the concentrations of TSS (100–300 mg/L) recommended during the formation of bioflocs (GAONA et al., 2015). Except for the IN (10%) treatment that started with a higher solids content due to the 10% BFT inoculum, lower ammonia and nitrite values and higher nitrate values were reported because it was already colonized with autotrophic and heterotrophic bacteria.

The values of TA-N and N-NO2- observed throughout the experiment were lower than the recommended maximum values for the species (3.95 mg/L and 25.7 mg/L, respectively) (LIN & CHEN, 2001, 2003). Nitrate values, which remained below the lethal range of 300 mg/L throughout the crop (FURTADO et al., 2015a), did not affect the zootechnical performance of shrimps.

A lower concentration of carbon in the IN(10%) treatment was added in relation to the other treatments, since it presented lower peaks of ammonia throughout the experiment due to the higher colonization of bacteria NOB. It has been reported that inoculating nitrifying bacteria maybe a viable alternative for promoting their development in the system.

This finding means that during the experiment, the bacteria were colonizing the
Figure 2 - Mean ± standard deviation (n=3) of total microorganism density (DAPI) and bacteria (10^5 cells/g) detected by probes NTSPA 712–Phylum Nitrospira, NIT 3–Nitrobacter spp., NSO 190-1225–Nitrosonomadales, NTSPA 685–Nitrospira moscovensis, N. marina, NSMR 76–Nitrosomonas marina like, PAE 997–Pseudomonas spp. and NITCOC–Nitrococcus in the water of the different treatments — (1) Control: clear water in which bioflocs were formed; (2) IN (10%): clear water with biofloc inoculum (10%); (3) IB: clear water with substrate (immature “bioballs”) and (4) MB: clear water with mature “bioballs” inoculum from a recirculation system — over the 29 days of experiment with L. vannamei. Probes organized in the taxonomic order of bacteria (from left to right).
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[Figure 3 - Total bacteria density (10^5 cells/g) detected by probes NSO190-1225 – Nitrosomonadales (a) and NITCOC – Nitrococcus mobilis (b) on the outside of the treatments “bioballs” — (3) IB: clear water with substrate (immature “bioballs”) and (4) MB: clear water with mature — over the 29 days of experiment with L. vannamei. Results are presented as mean ± standard error (n=3). Different letters indicate significantly different means (P <0.05). Gaps are organized according to the taxonomic order of the bacteria.]

medium and oxidizing the ammonia in nitrite in all the treatments. Nitrite is the smaller value of these compounds reported in the treatments IN (10%) and MB, in which bacteria were inoculated at the beginning of the experiment, proving that they remained alive and active and that they presented nitrate concentrations more rapidly, as was also observed by KRUMMENAUER et al., (2014).

In the present study, a greater number of bacteria adhering to the “bioballs” was found than in the culture water (Control treatment), as was also observed by UMESH et al. (1999). The same cannot be said for the treatment with IN (10%), because a great amount of bacteria was added in the water of the same.

The IN (10%) and MB treatments received a bacterial inoculum at the beginning of the experiment, where IN (10%) showed a higher concentration of TSS, leading to a higher contribution of nitrate in this system in relation to the others and MB to a higher volume of biofilms, which were already adhered to mature bioballs.

In the present study, different types of bacteria were present in the system, such as Pseudomonas spp., which are heterotrophic bacteria responsible for the reduction of nitrate and phosphate, and nitrate removed by denitrifying microorganisms.

The species AOB, Nitrospira moscoviensis and N. Marina are obliged chemoautotrophic, important in marine habitats, and generally found in fresh and/or salt water. Under aerobic conditions, they consume inorganic carbon (HCO3- and CO2) and pyruvate, their doubling time is every 12–32 hours (EHRICH et al., 1995). In this study, it was found in the control and MB treatments.

Most species of Nitrospira moscoviensis and N. Marina are obliged chemoautotrophic, important in marine habitats, and generally found in fresh and/or salt water. Under aerobic conditions, they consume inorganic carbon (HCO3- and CO2) and pyruvate, their doubling time is every 12–32 hours (EHRICH et al., 1995). In this study, it was found in the control and MB treatments.

The AOB Nitrosomonas marine Proteobacterium is an obligatory, aerobic, gram-negative halophile of the genus Nitrospora (BURRELL et al., 2001). It was observed only in the IN (10%) and MB treatments because they were stabilized systems with a longer time of colonization.

Specimens of the genus Nitrobacter are facultative chemoautotrophic, they are reported in terrestrial and aquatic environments (EHRICH et al., 1995) and were present only in the IN (10%) and MB treatments due to the higher concentration of NOB in these systems, responsible for the transformation of nitrite into nitrate, having IN (10%) the highest concentration of nitrate between the treatments.

In the literature on nitrifying systems, mention is made of a percentage of nitrifying agents in approximately 10% of the total bacterial population (HARMS et al., 2003), as can be observed in the present study for the concentration of AOB and NOB on the extraneous part of “bioballs” of the IB and MB treatments. In addition, a greater amount of nitifying bacteria than heterotrophic bacteria was observed in the substrates.

High NOB concentrations in relation to AOB have been reported in several studies. HARMS et al. (2003) found 5 times more cells of Nitrospira sp. than AOB in full-scale systems treating...
municipal sewage. High concentrations of NOB were also observed in this study for the IN (10%) and MB treatments in the biofloc samples, because the more mature the flake (the longer the formation time) the higher the concentration of NOB due to the amount of nitrite being formed the same. In general, members of the *Nitrospira* spp. and/or *Nitrosomonas oligotropha* is the dominant AOB in environments with low N-NH4+ concentrations, whereas *Nitrosomonas europaea* and *Nitrosococcus mobilis* predominate in environments rich in ammonium ions, as seen in another research (LIMPIYAKORN et al., 2005). This phenomenon must have led to a higher concentration of AOB in the IB and Control treatments, due to the high ammonia rate in the system in relation to the others. In general, in the biofloc samples, a higher concentration of nitrifying bacteria was observed in the MB treatment, with more NOB observed in IN (10%), showing that these systems are more stabilized than the others. The IB showed a higher concentration of AOB, followed by the control, proving the occurrence of colonization of the systems. For the substrate samples, a higher concentration of AOB was observed for the IB treatment, showing a colonization of the younger system. Meanwhile, MB obtained higher amounts of NOB and heterotrophic bacteria, proving to be an older and more stabilized system, with more bacteria adhering. Studies conducted by SCHVEITZER et al. (2013) testing the density and the presence or absence of substrate for the cultivation of juvenile *L. vannamei* with a mean weight of 2.7±0.1 g in bioflocs for 34 days. The survival values (70.6±17.1%), FCR (4.1±1.8) and weekly weight gains (0.73±0.04 g) obtained by them in the D238 treatment (238 shrimps/m³) were lower than those found in the control in the present study. Conversely, the D238+S treatment (238 shrimps/m³ + substrate-polyethylene screens with 1.0 mm mesh) compared to the IB treatment obtained survival values (95.2±2.9%), weekly weight gain (1.40±0.05 g) and FCR (1.6±0.0) similar to those reported in the present study: 99.2±1.4%, 1.33±0.21 g and 1.4±0, 19, respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

Inoculums of biofloc and “bioballs” are effective options for better nitrification in the system, and “bioballs” are an efficient substrate for a greater fixation of bacteria that increase the assimilation of the nitrogen compounds and, consequently, allow a greater production of microbial biofilm, helping to stabilize of these compounds in the system.
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