Technological and sensory characteristics of hamburgers added with chia seed as fat replacer
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ABSTRACT: In order to improve nutritional and functional quality, chicken burgers were made with different amounts of chia seed (0, 2, 4 and 8%) as pork fat replacers and evaluated for the microbiological, technological and sensorial characteristics. The proximate composition and the microbiological characteristics of raw burgers were in accordance with Brazilian legislation. Higher additions of chia increased the amount of dietary fiber (up to 1.46%) and carbohydrate in the products and reduced the fat content by up to 29%. The addition of chia seed reduced the a* values and increased the h* values of the raw burgers and reduced the tenderness and degree of lipid oxidation in the cooked burgers. Lower sensory taste scores and overall acceptance were conferred on products with higher chia addition; although, the degree of acceptance of the products was high. Results of this study highlighted the potential use of chia seed in the elaboration of low fat and enriched dietary fiber burgers.

Key words: Salvia hispanica, dietary fiber, lipid oxidation, shear force, check-all-that-apply analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Meat and meat products are considered harmful for health due to their high saturated fatty acid and cholesterol content (YADAV et al., 2018). However, fat presents an important role in meat products, being directly related to some sensorial properties, such as juiciness, texture and flavor, and technological aspects, like cooking loss, emulsion stability, water holding capacity and rheological properties (SELANI et al., 2016). A large intake of saturated fat can lead to the occurrence of chronic diseases (DING et al., 2018). Therefore, the growing demand for healthier products is stimulating the development of meat products with reduced fat.

In this context, due to its practicality and its popular reach, the restructured meat products like burgers were a compelling choice for fat reduction (RODRÍGUEZ-CARPENA et al., 2012). For the modern food industries, reduction of fat in burger processing represents a challenge, since it must have good acceptance in the market and compete with the traditional ones that had high-fat content. Even knowing the risks of excessive
consumption of fats, there is a preference of the consumers for the fat in this type of product, since it’s responsible for the juiciness, as well as flavor and aroma (MILLER et al., 1993).

Fiber is one of the most common functional ingredients for functional foods and has been used as the fat replacer. Its use is interesting since in addition to its capacity to improve cooking yield, reduce formulation costs and enhance texture in meat products, improving nutritional value due to the considerable evidence from epidemiological, clinical and biochemical studies that dietary fiber has a positive influence on human health (SELANI et al., 2016; LÓPEZ-VARGAS et al., 2014). Among the different sources of fiber with a favorable appeal to be used in a healthy diet, chia seeds (Salvia hispanica L.) have gained attention as a food ingredient. Seeds of chia have high dietary fiber content that has become an important component in the daily diet (REYES-CAUDILLO et al., 2008; DING et al., 2018; CAPITANI et al., 2012).

Further, chia seed diet get the most by its namely popularity as the main source of hydration fiber compared to other sources of fiber, with higher water absorption and absorption of organic molecules with high emulsifying activity (ALFREDO et al., 2009; DING et al., 2018). Moreover, it has good nutritional characteristics, such as low digestibility, high protein and unsaturated omega-3 fatty acids contents (FERNANDES & SALAS-MELLADO, 2017) and its antioxidant and functional capacity (MARINELI et al., 2014; MOHD ALI et al., 2012; SARGI et al., 2014).

Chia seeds functionality has been expected and applied by the food industry, since when a chia seed is immersed in water it forms a gel called a chia mucilage as a thickener, gel-forming and chelating agent (CAPITANI et al., 2012; FERNANDES & SALAS-MELLADO, 2017).

In general, an intake of dietary fiber has many health beneficial effects. Some of them include reduction of cholesterolemia, modification of the glycemic and insulineic responses, changes in intestinal function and antioxidant activity. Dietary fiber has the same technological properties as a fat-binding, gel-forming, chelating and texturizing agent (REYES-CAUDILLO et al., 2008).

Although, chia is a potential ingredient in healthy and dietetic foods, to our knowledge, there are fewer published data using chia seeds for meat products production (SCAPIN et al., 2015; DING et al., 2018). Therefore, the aim of the present paper was to develop a fiber-enriched chicken burger using chia seeds as pork back fat replacer and study the effect of fiber incorporation on physicochemical and sensory characteristics of burgers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fresh poultry breast and thigh meat (without skin), pork back fat and chia seed were obtained from the local market. Chia seeds were previously sterilized in UV lighting for 15 minutes. The burgers were produced and analyzed at the laboratories of the Food Science and Technology Department (DCTA) of the Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of the Southeast of Minas Gerais (IF SUDESTE MG). The 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and texture analyses were conducted at the Laboratory of Technology of Meat and Meat Products (LabCarnes) of the Food Science Department (DCA) of the Universidade Federal de Lavras (UFLA).

Poultry meat and pork back fat were separately ground (CAF-model 22STB, São Paulo, Brazil) using a 5 mm plate and then ground poultry meat was divided into 4 treatments. The first treatment was used as control (CONT) and its fat content was adjusted to 18% by the addition of pork back fat. All the poultry ground meat was used for the formulation: 76% (48% thigh and 28% breast), 18% pork backfat, 2.0% sodium chloride, 0.3% sodium erythorbate, 0.3% sodium polyphosphate, 3.1% spices mix (minced garlic, onion, rosemary, black pepper, and curry powder), and 0.3% monosodium glutamate. Three treatments with chia addition at 2% (CH2), 4% (CH4), or 8% (CH8), respectively, as pork backfat replacer into the formulation of burgers were also produced. Other ingredients were used in similar concentration as in control. After burger batter forming, about 80 g portions were manually shaped using an inox burger maker machine, to give the dimensions of 10 cm diameter and approximately 1 cm thickness. The raw burgers were then placed in polyethylene packages and stored per 24 hours under -18 °C until further analysis and cooking treatment.

Burgers samples of each treatment were individually weighed and grilled in a preheated (150 °C) clam-shell grill (George Foreman, Super Jumbo, GBZ31SB, NY, USA) for 2 minutes for each side to achieve a core temperature of 71 °C monitored with help of thermocouple probe (MT525, Minipa industry Co., Ltd., São Paulo, Brazil). Samples were cooled at room temperature (25 °C) per 15 minutes before reweighing for cooking loss determination.
In order to verify the compliance with the microbiological limits required by Brazilian legislation for raw chilled or frozen meat products (ANVISA, 2001), the raw burgers were evaluated for thermotolerant coliforms (most probable number, MPN/g sample), Staphylococcus coagulase positive (colony forming unit, CFU/g sample) and Salmonella, by the techniques described by the American Public Health Association (DOWNES AND ITO, 2001).

The physicochemical analysis analyses were performed in raw burgers. Moisture, ash, lipid (Soxhlet), and protein (Kjeldahl, N × 6.25) were determined according to AOAC (2012), and the available carbohydrates were calculated by difference of total percentage and sum of all other components, as follow: % Carbohydrates = 100% - (%moisture + %protein + %fat + %ash). Dietary fiber content was quantified according to the enzyme-gravimetric method described by the Instituto Adolfo Lutz (IAL, 2008). Color of raw burgers was also determined using a colorimeter (Konica Minolta, Chroma Meter, CR-10, NJ, USA) with a measurement area of 8 mm in diameter, observation angle of 10° and illuminant D65. Five measurements were taken from each sample. Lightness (L*) redness (a*) and yellowness (b*) were recorded. Chroma (C*) and hue angle (h°, graus) were calculated as: $C^* = (a^{*2} + b^{*2})^{1/2}$; and $h^o = \tan^{-1} (b^*/a^*)$. Higher C* values suggested more vivid color; and $h^o$ values near 0 are red and near 90° are yellow (RAMOS AND GOMIDE, 2017).

For grilled burgers, pH, water activity (Aw), cooking loss, reduction of diameter, lipid oxidation and shear force were evaluated. The pH of the products was measured using a digital pH meter (Digimed model DM20, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) after homogenization of 10 g of sample in 100 mL of distilled water. The pH meter calibrated with three different standard solutions (4.00 and 7.02 pH buffers) at a temperature of 20 °C ± 1 °C. For water activity (Aw), samples were ground and direct analyzed in an AquaLab CX2 (Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) hygrometer. Diameter and thickness of the raw and cooked burgers were recorded using a digital caliper rule and calculated using the following expression: Reduction in diameter (%) = [(raw chicken burger diameter – grilled chicken burger diameter)/raw chicken burger diameter] × 100. Cooking loss was determined by calculating the weight differences before and after grilling as follows: Cooking loss (%) = [(weight of raw chicken burger (g) – weight of grilled chicken burger (g))/weight of raw chicken burger (g)] × 100.

Level of lipid oxidation in the products was assessed by measuring the TBARS proposed by RAHARJO et al., (1992), with modifications described by CARDOSO et al., (2016). Shear force was determined using a Texture Analyzer TA-XT (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, United Kingdom). All determinations were made in triplicate.

Shear force measurements were conducted in a cuboid (1.0 cm × 1.0 cm square cross-section) cores according to the Warner-Bratzler square Shear Force (WBsSF) protocol, as described by SILVA et al., (2015). Five cores were obtained from each burger and sheared in a crosshead speed test of 3.33 mm/s by a Warner-Bratzler blade coupled to a TA.XT plus texturometer (Stable Micro Systems Ltd., Godalming, Surrey, UK). The average peak shear force (N) of the five cores was used for the statistical analyses.

Sensory analysis was fulfilled behind the National Research Ethics System (SISNEP, Brazil) permission under protocol CAAE 35793414.5.0000.5588. To describe the sensory characterization of each product formulated, the check-all-that-apply (CATA) questions were used as proposed by JORGE et al., (2015) and MASSINGUE et al., (2018). Twenty untrained participants were firstly met to define the CATA terms. They were randomly recruited at IF SUDESTE MG and consisted of undergraduate and graduate students, with ages ranging from 18 to 30 years old. All participants declared to be frequent consumers (more than twice per week) of burgers. Samples were presented in a single testing session (Repertory Grid technique), and judges used an open-ended question to establish the appropriate terms for describing their appearance, flavor and texture. The most mentioned terms for each attribute were chosen to compose the CATA questions (Table 1).

In the second stage, 50 untrained participants (17 males and 33 females) with ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Texture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellowish pale color</td>
<td>Pleasant flavor</td>
<td>Integrate texture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown color</td>
<td>Cooked flavor</td>
<td>Grain texture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform appearance</td>
<td>Caramel flavor</td>
<td>Fibrous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bland flavor</td>
<td>Crispy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salty</td>
<td>Juicy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned</td>
<td>Tenderness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter after taste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - Terms surveyed for check-all-that-applies (CATA) questions of each sensory attribute.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microbial growth of the raw burgers was not affected \((P>0.05)\) by the addition of chia seeds. The microbial counts of all treatments were \(<10^2\) MPN/g for thermo tolerant coliforms, \(<10^3\) CFU/g for coagulase-positive \textit{Staphylococcus} and absence of \textit{Salmonella} in 25 g. All treatments showed counts within the limits \((5.0 \times 10^3\) MPN/g for thermo tolerant coliforms; 5.0 \times 10^1\) CFU/g for coagulase-positive \textit{Staphylococcus}; and absence/25 g for \textit{Salmonella}) established by the Brazilian Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA, 2001). While extract of chia seeds did not act like an antimicrobial agent (SCAPIN et al., 2015), this result was expected since all steps on processing was observed the hygienic and sanitary practices of production.

The proximate composition of raw chicken burgers and Dietary fiber are shown in table 2. All samples were in accordance with Brazilian legislation (ANVISA, 2001), which establishes a maximum amount of 23% fat and a minimum amount of 15% protein. No dilution effects resulting from the chia seeds added to the formulations was observed \((P>0.05)\) for protein, moisture and ash content. These were probably due to the high content of protein (15 to 25%) and fat (30%) of the chia seeds (MOHD ALI et al., 2012), but especially to its high content of carbohydrates (26 to 41%), which contributed to the increase \((P<0.05)\) of carbohydrates in the products.

It was observed a significant effect \((P<0.05)\) of chia seeds addition on reduction of fat content (Table 2). The treatment with 8% chia seeds (CH8) resulted in a significant reduction of fat compared to the others. This result is supposed to be due the chia seeds have also high amount of fiber in its composition. Thus, higher amount added chia seeds higher fiber content and lower fat results (MOHD ALI et al., 2012; MARINELI et al., 2014). TREVISAN et al., (2016) observed reduction of 35% in the fat content for the treatments with 3% and 6% of added oat fiber as compared to the control. By the Brazilian law, this treatment could be labeled as “reduced fat” or “light” burger, since it provides more than 25% fat reduction when compared to the traditional product (ANVISA, 2003). In addition, due to its high (18 to 30%) dietary fiber content (MOHD ALI et al., 2012), with predominant soluble fiber (MARINELI et al., 2014), the use of chia seeds allowed incorporating dietary fiber into the product. Brazilian legislation (ANVISA, 2012) requires that each food portion contain at least 2.5 g or 5.0 g in order to include the terms “source of dietary fiber” or “high content of dietary fiber”, respectively, on the product label. Since the hamburger portion is 80 g (ANVISA, 2003), 3.1% of dietary fiber should be added to apply this label. Addition chia seeds up to 8% represent less than a half amount of fiber required for food to be reported as fiber source. Moreover, chia seeds are excellent source of \(\omega\)-alpha-linolenic acid (an omega-3 fatty acid), contains high levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids (MOHD ALI et al., 2012; SARGI et al., 2014) and their bioactive components promoted health benefit (MARINELI et al., 2014).

The results of cooking loss, reduction in diameter, pH, water activity, TBARS and shear force are shown in table 2. Cooking loss, reduction in diameter after grilling process, \(pH\) and water activity \((Aw)\) were not significantly different \((P>0.05)\) between treatments. In their study, CHOI et al., (2016) observed a significant reduction of cooking loss and reduction diameter when they replaced pork back fat with chia seeds.
Table 2 - Proximate composition, physicochemical and shear force characteristics (mean values ± standard deviations) of raw and grilled chicken burgers containing chia seeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>CONT</th>
<th>CH2</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>CH8</th>
<th>Pr&gt;F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proximate composition and dietary fiber (Raw samples)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>16.9±0.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.3±0.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.3±1.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.0±0.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>19.8±0.7</td>
<td>19.6±0.3</td>
<td>19.6±0.6</td>
<td>19.9±0.4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>58.7±1.8</td>
<td>57.2±0.6</td>
<td>55.7±1.1</td>
<td>55.3±1.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>3.7±0.4</td>
<td>3.8±0.2</td>
<td>3.9±0.4</td>
<td>4.1±0.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (%)</td>
<td>2.8±0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.5±0.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.2±1.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.0±1.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber (%)</td>
<td>0.1±0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.4±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9±0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4±0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physicochemical and shear force analyses (Cooked samples)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.4±0.1</td>
<td>6.3±0.04</td>
<td>6.3±0.2</td>
<td>6.3±0.1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aw</td>
<td>0.8±0.02</td>
<td>0.9±0.04</td>
<td>0.8±0.01</td>
<td>0.8±0.01</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking loss (%)</td>
<td>21.3±3.5</td>
<td>24.1±4.0</td>
<td>22.2±3.7</td>
<td>20.4±3.3</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in diameter (%)</td>
<td>12.6±1.0</td>
<td>10.6±4.7</td>
<td>11.2±3.0</td>
<td>10.8±1.8</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBARS (mg MDA/kg)</td>
<td>2.5±0.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4±0.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.1±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8±0.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear force (N)</td>
<td>37.8±5.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46.1±3.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61.9±5.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.1±0.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONT = control; CH2 = 2% fat replaced by chia seed; CH4 = 4% fat replaced by chia seed; CH8 = 8% fat replaced by chia seed.
TBARS, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; MDA, malonaldehyde.
<sup>a</sup>Significant probabilities (P<0.05) are placed in bold.
<sup>b</sup>Means with different superscripts within the same row for each characteristic differ significantly (P<0.05).

level by dietary fiber from Wheat Sprout in reduced-fat chicken patties. Therefore, replacement pork back fat with chia seeds up to 8% resulted in similar cooking loss and reduction in diameter (21.9±3.6% vs. 11.3±2.6%) compared with those of the control sample. Both pH and Aw (6.3±0.1 vs. 0.8±0.02) values of grilled samples with added chia seeds also placed similar for control. It was expected that increased chia seeds added could cause an increase in Aw and decreasing of pH (CHOI et al., 2016). An explanation of the results reported this experiment could be related to the fact that there were no differences in protein and moisture of raw samples, and, the addition of chia seeds have provided a small variation in carbohydrates content and greater reduction of fat content due to the increased amounts of chia seeds. In addition, cooking process used in this experiment consisted in a preheated technique (clam-shell grill at 150 °C) prior a grilling in a short time (2 minutes), which maybe was efficient to minimize greater differences in weight loss. This was expected since pH values of raw batters are the same. In this scenario, the grilling technique and similar pH values could be the main reasons to the similar values of diameter and water activity among treatments.

The TBARS test has been widely used to measure lipid oxidation in meat and meat products. Since heating accelerates oxidative reactions in meat, the oxidation process is strongly enhanced during cooking (ARAÚJO, 2011). The TBARS values of the grilled burgers were dependent on the amount of chia seeds added (P<0.05): higher additions resulted in lower TBARS values. This means that chia seed retained its antioxidant effect during cooking. High antioxidant activity of chia seeds results from their polyphenols content (MOHD ALI et al., 2012), mainly quercetin, kaempferol and chlorogenic acid (MARINELI et al., 2014; REYES-CAUDILLO et al., 2008). Dietary fibers added to meat products showed a high ability to keep moisture and fat in the meat matrix, increasing cooking yield and reducing burger shrinkage (SELANI et al., 2016; LÓPEZ-VARGAS et al., 2014). Chia seeds had excellent potential as a hydrocolloid, due to its marked swelling capacity and high viscosity in aqueous solution (MOHD ALI et al., 2012). Instead, cooking loss was not different (P>0.05) between treatments, which means that chia seeds additions do not contribute to hold water/fat from burgers during the cooking process and avoid fluid loss. The shear force increasing (P<0.05) due to chia seeds addition was observed in this study. This result could be probably due to the cooking process that allows loosing water and; therefore, has resulted in higher fiber content that could cause
an increase of the shear press modulus. Higher shear force was observed by YADAV et al., (2018) when 9% bran level was used in comparison to the control sample, whereas no significant difference was noticed in shear force value of control and dried carrot pomace treated sausage. They also supposed that varied results could be due to that obtained on textural properties of meat products depending on amount and type of fiber added. This approach can be confirmed with the study of TREVISAN et al., (2016), who observed that both the hamburgers with 3% and 6% of added oat fiber showed values for hardness, cohesiveness and chewiness similar to those of the control.

Table 3 shows the color characteristics of raw and grilled chicken burgers prepared with different levels of chia seeds. There are significant interactions ($P<0.05$) between cooking (raw or grilled samples) and treatment (chia seed content) for redness ($a^*$) and hue angle (CIE $b^*$). Control samples were reddish (higher CIE $a^*$ and lower CIE $b^*$ values; figure 1). The chia seeds addition did not alter ($P>0.05$) both $L^*$, $b^*$ and $C^*$, but grilling process increased ($P<0.05$) CIE $L^*$ and CIE $b^*$ values. A similar result was also reported by TREVISAN et al., (2016) who observed that CIE $b^*$ values have increased in grilled chicken burgers when oat fiber was added.

Although, CIE $a^*$ value is commonly analyzed individually in meat science literature, Ramos and Gomide (RAMOS AND GOMIDE, 2017) reported that chromaticity indices (CIE $a^*$ and CIE $b^*$) alone do not correctly describe the color of the products, since they are coordinates in a solid color and, together, numerically describe the saturation and hue attributes of the color. Despite the lack of effects on luminosity and color saturation (CIE $C^*$) of the samples, greater chia seeds additions as fat replacement has resulted in more yellowish (higher $b^*$ values) products. Since the addition of chia seeds implies in a reduction of fat from the formulation, it was expected that the color of its final product would be darker than control, as observed by SELANI et al., (2016) in fat replacement pineapple fiber in beef burgers. In fact, reduction of CIE $L^*$ values with higher additions of chia seeds was observed (Table 2), but this reduction was not significant ($P>0.05$) due to the great variation observed between samples. This variation was probably due to the presence of chia seeds (or dark color) on the surface of the sample.

All the samples had typical sensory characteristics of burgers based on the Identity and Quality Standards established by the Brazilian legislation (BRASIL, 2000). Overall, the inclusion of chia seeds in burger formulation as a substitute for fat had little effect on the acceptance of the products (Table 4), whose scores were between 7 and 8 (“I fairly liked it” and “I liked it a lot”). The addition of 4% or 8% chia seeds resulted in lower ($P<0.05$) overall impression acceptance hedonic scores of chicken burger samples. Control and CH2 burgers samples had same score (8.1±1.1), while CH4 and CH8 had also similar (7.6±1.6) of acceptance. A slight differences ($P<0.05$) in flavor was also observed, but there are unperceived differences between treatments control, CH2 and CH4, or either among all that contain added chia seeds. However, the addition of 2% chia seed resulted in products with the same sensory acceptance of the control.

For a better understanding of how the sensory attributes were affected by the treatments can be obtained through the external preference map (EPM) from the CATA analysis (Figure 2). The first two main components of the EPM explained

---

**Table 3 - Instrumental color characteristics (mean values ± standard deviations) of chicken burgers prepared with different levels of chia seeds.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Raw</th>
<th>Grilled</th>
<th>CONT</th>
<th>CH2</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>CH8</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Pr&gt;F*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lightness (CIEL*)</td>
<td>35.3±5.6</td>
<td>45.9±5.4</td>
<td>46.0±7.8</td>
<td>37.2±8.5</td>
<td>38.6±5.9</td>
<td>40.8±6.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness (CIEa*)</td>
<td>5.0±4.6</td>
<td>4.1±1.4</td>
<td>7.9±5.2</td>
<td>2.9±1.7</td>
<td>3.3±1.6</td>
<td>4.2±1.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowness (CIEb*)</td>
<td>12.4±4.5</td>
<td>16.3±2.2</td>
<td>13.8±3.6</td>
<td>13.4±4.3</td>
<td>14.5±5.6</td>
<td>15.6±2.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chroma (CIE C*)</td>
<td>14.0±4.8</td>
<td>16.8±2.4</td>
<td>16.7±2.5</td>
<td>13.7±4.5</td>
<td>14.9±5.6</td>
<td>16.2±2.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hue angle (CIE b*, graus)</td>
<td>69.4±16.6</td>
<td>76.0±3.6</td>
<td>60.4±19.1</td>
<td>78.9±4.8</td>
<td>76.1±6.1</td>
<td>75.3±3.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONT = control; CH2 = 2% fat replaced by chia seed; CH4 = 4% fat replaced by chia seed; CH8 = 8% fat replaced by chia seed.

1Significant probabilities ($P<0.05$) were placed in bold.

2*Means in the same row, into each effect, followed by different letters differ ($P<0.05$).
74.7% of the variance in the data after fitting with a vector model and a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.9686. NUNES et al., (2012) reported that at less 45% of variance in the data indicated that the model was adequate. Therefore, the present study achieved a better variance suitable to explain the main differences among samples.

There are three distinctive groups for sample-characteristics in accordance with the CATA analysis. Control group samples were reported by CATA questions as “seasoned”, “tenderness”, and with perceived “pleasant flavor” and “brown color” appearance. Conversely, CH2 and CH4 were grouped together throughout the attributes relating for “juicy”, “fibrous”, “salty”, “grain texture”, and perceived “bland flavor”, “caramel flavor”, “cooked flavor”, and “bitter aftertaste”, while treatment CH8 was described as “yellowness pale color”, “crispy”, “integrate texture”, and “uniform appearance”. It is suitable to affirm that the treatments CH4 and CH8 had lower scores acceptance may be due to the masked flavor with higher added chia seeds, mitigating the spicy taste (well perceived in control group samples, figure 2) and other flavor components formed in the products during the cooking process. The CATA analysis has also indicated the control group with “tenderness” texture, which can explain clearly an increasing of shear force in those samples with chia seeds added.

Instrumental color measured in grilled burgers has not shown to be detrimental for the sensory appearance. Hence, sensory analysis results indicated that all samples had good acceptability with hedonic scores above than 7 (moderately liked). Concerning the shear force, which was similar between CH4 and CH8, was maybe weakly

Figure 1 - Redness (CIE $a^*$) and hue angle ($h^*$) of raw and grilled chicken burgers prepared with addition of chia seeds. CONT: control, with 18% fat; CH2: 2% fat replaced by chia seed; CH4: 4% fat replaced by chia seed; CH8: 8% fat replaced by chia seed.
perceived by the sensory panel as “fibrous” likely reported for CH2 and CH4, with the exception of those samples containing up to double added chia seeds (CH8). Either, CATA terms provided “pleasant flavor” for the control, but closely near of both CH2 and CH4. However, this concern could be attributed of the limitations of the CATA questions that they do not allow a direct measurement of the intensity of perceived attributes, which could potentially hamper discrimination between products that have similar sensory characteristics but differ slightly in the intensity of those characteristics (MEYNERS et al., 2016). According to ARES et al., (2015) the hedonic scales combined with CATA questions assessment compose the important tool for understanding consumer preference and to identify sensory attributes driving optimization of product formulation.

Table 4 - Means (± standard deviations) of the acceptance scores given by the judges for the sensory attributes of burgers prepared with partial replacement of pork fat by chia seed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>CONT</th>
<th>CH2</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>CH8</th>
<th>P&gt;F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>7.6±1.5</td>
<td>7.6±1.2</td>
<td>7.3±1.3</td>
<td>7.6±1.2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>8.3±1.2a</td>
<td>7.9±1.0b</td>
<td>7.6±1.2ab</td>
<td>7.8±1.0b</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>8.1±1.2</td>
<td>8.0±0.9</td>
<td>7.6±1.3</td>
<td>7.8±1.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall impression</td>
<td>8.1±1.2a</td>
<td>8.1±0.9a</td>
<td>7.6±1.2a</td>
<td>7.6±1.1b</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONT = control; CH2 = 2% fat replaced by chia seed; CH4 = 4% fat replaced by chia seed; CH8 = 8% fat replaced by chia seed.
1Significant probabilities (P<0.05) are placed in bold.
2Means with different superscripts within the same row for each characteristic differ significantly (P<0.05).

Figure 2 - External preference map (EPM) of the sensory terms on the check-all-that-apply (CATA) questionnaire for the burger samples in the correlation matrix with overall consumer impression. CONT: control, with 18% fat; CH2: 2% fat replaced by chia seed; CH4: 4% fat replaced by chia seed; CH8: 8% fat replaced by chia seed.
CONCLUSIONS

Chia seed additions as pork back fat replacer promoted small changes in technological characteristics of processed poultry burgers. Additionally, there was no great impact on the final acceptance of the burgers. Increasing chia seed content into producing burgers was effective in reducing the lipid oxidation, besides contributing to add dietary fibers to the product. Chia incorporation in grilled burgers appears to induce the fibrous, grain texture and crispy burgers texture. However, its uniformity and integrate texture allow to conclude that the replacement of pork back fat by chia seed, even up to 8%, seems to be a technologically viable alternative for elaborating burgers, improving the nutritional value and “healthy” image of these products.
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