Following the interdisciplinary tradition of collective health, Jairnilson Silva Paim, physician and professor at Federal University of Bahia, is in a special position to talk about the 20 years of the Brazilian Unified Health System - SUS. As a member of the sanitary movement since the beginning of the struggle to democratize and universalize the health system, as well as a researcher in the area of collective health, he has been working on the different dimensions of health and on the building of Brazilian health system. In his more recent books, he has discussed the challenges collective health will be facing in the 21st century and analysed the political and institutional processes of Brazilian health reform.

Vaitsman, Moreira, Costa  To what extent have the principles of integrality, universality, equity and social participation proposed by Sanitary Reform and expressed in the UHS been effectively fulfilled in these 20 years?

Paim  It is difficult to indicate, in absolute terms, effectively fulfilled principles. In the same way, Brazilian Sanitary Reform that is, a “totality of changes” as Sérgio Arouca used to say, is not restricted to a health sector reform in the UHS. Simply in relative terms, I could say that important steps have been taken towards social participation and universality and others, to a lesser degree, towards equity and integrality.

If it can be said that Law 8142/90 guaranteed channels for institutionalized social participation through health conferences and councils, at the same time, there has been an ebb in the sector’s social movements as well as obstacles to reaching full citizenship, compromising the quality of this participation in terms of representativeness and legitimacy, including the colonization of these spaces by party, corporate or group interests.

While there are no longer formal barriers to guaranteeing health services for all, there are still great difficulties in access and continuity of care, due to problems linked to service organization (absence of a regionalized and hierarchical network, regulation deficiencies, reference and counter-reference mechanisms, among others). And most of all, the question of financing is still not resolved,
especially for investments to expand the infrastructure of the public health system.

Equity was taken up in the hegemonic discourse of health sector reform promoted by international organisms in opposition to universality or, at the most, as a stage to achieve it. This fallacy, in reality, represented an ideological justification for the implementation of focused programs. The Family Health Program (PSF - Programa de Saúde da Família) expresses these contradictions because, as a strategy for reformulating the health system based on Primary Health Care (PHC), it would increase access for this level and redirect referrals to other points on the network, rationing the consumption of services and gaining in scale. But when it presents low coverage in towns with more than 100,000 inhabitants and concentrates on the pockets of poverty, it ends up recreating, in a concrete manner, the inverse of universality.

Integrality is the most neglected principle within the UHS. In the early 1990s, concern with it was limited to a few academic centers and municipal experiments, since political emphasis was concentrated on financing and decentralization matters. It was only with the expansion of the Family Health Program and its political reorientation as a strategy, from the second half of the 1990s, that it became possible to emphasize proposals such as territorialization, public health surveillance, reception, connection, programmatic actions, organized demand, among others, taking up again the discussion raised by Sanitary Reform. More recently, there has been a certain concern with integrality, with efforts of both academic groups and health professional staff of UHS services, especially through the Research Program of UHS (PP-SUS - Programa de Pesquisa do SUS).

Vaitsman, Moreira, Costa  The problems of managing the health system and services are often considered the main bottlenecks that need to be resolved in order to improve quality. There is a very strong ideological debate about the reasons behind the system's low effectiveness and the poor quality of UHS services. One position advocates reforming the management mechanisms, including human resources, as well as the rules for the organization of services. Another position states that it would be possible to solve these problems within the current management model, as long as there was greater investment in Primary Health Care (PHC), working conditions and pay for health professionals and social control. How do you see this debate?

Paim  The UHS is powered by people. As long as the question of the people who work in it and fulfill themselves in it as civil subjects is not laid out, there will be no miracles in management. Similarly, a Fiscal Responsibility Law, which is socially irresponsible, compromising the expansion of a system with an intensive work nature, coupled with the broad reproduction of a set of bureaucratic ties that, with the pretext of fighting corruption, paralyzes ad-
administration, damages the flow of vital materials for the care of people and treats the complexity of the health system as if it were just another sector that buys pencils and paper. This situation cannot be ignored as one of the major reasons for the “low resolvability of the system and the poor quality of UHS services”. The logical debate should give way to health policy evidence based referrals and not on beliefs. The decisions should be informed by case studies about disastrous experiences, whether by the direct administration or from outsourcing, as well as comparative studies of municipal and state management, as well as management of other health establishments that adopted other legal entities for state action, such as, special autarchies, state foundations, public companies, among others. The constitution establishes that health is a universal right and a duty of the state, but it doesn’t at any point condemn the UHS to be a hostage of direct administration. New institutionalities for the UHS could be conceived and researched within the scope of indirect administration which, as well as ensuring the greater effectiveness and quality of the services provided, also protects against colonization by political-partisan and corporate interests in the management of the system and of programs and services.

Vaitsman, Moreira, Costa In spite of the improvement in consumption indexes and a lower concentration of wealth these last few years, the social conditions in which the majority of the Brazilian population lives is still the main challenge facing our society. Up to what point is the UHS able to absorb the problems that result from this situation? Is it possible for the UHS to be efficient and effective in a society with the levels of inequality, poverty and violence that ours has?

Paim The final report of the 8th National Health Conference (8th NHC - 8a. Conferência Nacional de Saúde) and the Organic Health Law highlight that health depends on the way that society organizes its production. Although the economic dimension is not the only one to be considered in the social production of health, the issue of the main social determinants of health cannot be evaded. The UHS is only the institutional and sectorial dimension of a broader social reform, that is, Brazilian Sanitary Reform. This hasn’t exhausted its agenda, even though its process is confronting some structural elements of Brazilian society. Even if the UHS can absorb certain problems linked to the population’s way of life, through alternative care proposals or new technological intervention models, it seems to me that it is impossible to be “efficient and effective in a society with the levels of inequality, poverty and violence that ours has”.

Thus the pertinence of revisiting Brazilian Sanitary Reform and broadening our social and political bases in the sense of radicalizing democracy and fighting for the “totality of changes” promised by its project.