Early adversity and adult delinquency: 
the mediational role of mental health in youth offenders

Adversidade precoce e delinquência na idade adulta: 
o papel mediador da saúde mental em jovens ofensores

Abstract This research explores the mediational role of mental health in the relationship between early adverse experiences and current self-reported delinquency in young adults with past juvenile justice involvement. Seventy-five young adults with official records of juvenile delinquency in 2010/2011 filled out our protocol in 2014/2015 including the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) questionnaire, the Brief Symptom Inventory, and the D-CRIM questionnaire (evaluating delinquency). The global level of adverse experiences during childhood and adolescence was related to mental health problems and self-reported delinquency in young adulthood, while psychopathological symptoms were also related to current self-reported delinquency. The mental health indicator partially mediated the link between early adversity and current self-reported offending in individuals with past juvenile justice involvement. Our results are in line with previous psychological and neurobiological approaches and highlight the importance of mental health services in youth offender rehabilitation. Future directions for research are provided.

Key words Mental health, Childhood adverse experiences, Juvenile delinquency, Adult offending, Juvenile justice involvement

Resumo Esta pesquisa explora o papel mediador da saúde mental na relação entre experiências adversas precoces e delinquência autoreportada em jovens adultos com história de envolvimento no sistema de justiça juvenil. Setenta e cinco jovens adultos com registros de delinquência juvenil em 2010/2011 preenchiram o protocolo em 2014/2015, incluindo o Questionário de Experiências Adversas na Infância, o Inventário de Sintomas Psicopatológicos e o D-CRIM (que avalia a delinquência). O nível global de experiências adversas na infância e adolescência associou-se tanto a problemas de saúde mental como à delinquência autoreportada em jovens adultos, os sintomas psicopatológicos estiveram também associados à delinquência juvenil no presente. O indicador de saúde mental parcialmente mediou a relação entre adversidade precoce e o nível atual de delinquência em indivíduos com história de envolvimento no sistema de justiça juvenil. Os nossos resultados estão em linha com abordagens psicológicas e neurológicas prévias e salientam a importância dos serviços de saúde mental na reabilitação de jovens ofensores. Direções futuras são apresentadas.
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Introduction

Worldwide research shows that the majority of juvenile delinquents were victims of abuse, neglect or serious household dysfunction during childhood\(^1\), and those experiences have been highlighted as important promotors of offending persistence in juvenile delinquents\(^2\). From a neurobiological point of view, continued exposure to high stress situations could lead to the dysregulation of the biological response to stress situations (e.g., abnormal release of cortisol, serotonin), which affects the brain development including the areas responsible for interpreting external stimuli (cognitive processing) and regulating negative emotions\(^3\). Lack of self-control, depression and anxiety are some of the consequences found in several empirical studies\(^4\), leading to serious psychological dysfunction and consequently, to serious behavioral problems, including anti-social behavior\(^5\).

Simultaneously, children living in violent or distressed family environments could develop insecure attachment, affecting child development and relational skills, including empathy and social competence\(^6\). Those competencies, strictly related to adaptive psychological functioning, are tremendously important for prosocial behavior\(^7\). Within these relevant frameworks, the first impact of child and adolescent adversity is on psychological functioning, particularly promoting dysfunctional interpersonal interactions during the development process, which continuously affects the values and beliefs about the self, others, and the world. Past longitudinal studies conducted in large samples have found significant relationships between the number of different adverse experiences and future mental health problems\(^8\), while other studies found that mental health played a major role on future criminal behavior\(^9\).

More recently, a Portuguese research team found that 7 out of 10 self-reported adverse experiences (emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect, parental separation or divorce, witnessing domestic violence, incarcerated household members) were significantly more prevalent amongst individuals with past juvenile justice system involvement, as compared to others in the community\(^10\). Moreover, the same type of correlations, between mental health indicators and childhood adverse experiences, were found among young adults with an official history of juvenile delinquency\(^10,11\), which raises the following hypothesis: are mental health problems one of the mediators between serious adversity and offending? This question is much more relevant in young adults with past juvenile justice involvement, not only because they are more common victims of serious adverse experiences\(^1\) or in higher risk of recidivism, but also because it is particularly during young adulthood that offending achieves its peak\(^12\). Moreover, politically it is tremendously important to understand which variables are capable of promoting desistence from crime in youths with juvenile justice involvement. In this regard, our aim is to explore whether mental health problems are a mediator between early adversity and persistence in crime in young adults with an official history of juvenile delinquency.

Method

Participants and procedure

The current study includes 75 young adults with juvenile sentences in 2010/2011 for crimes committed between the age of 12 to 15 years old. The sample was collected in 2014/2015 through 28 Portuguese Juvenile Justice or Probation offices where these young adults have finished or were serving non-custodial sentences following convictions in juvenile courts or in adult courts (for new crimes committed after 15 years of age). Young adult participants who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate by the juvenile justice or probation office professionals. Overall, 219 potential participants were identified, 55.4% were ineligible to participate because a large set of reasons, including not attending to the juvenile justice or probation offices three (or more) times (where they should be officially invited to participate), had emigrated, or has been transferred to another justice office. From the participants initially tracked, only 9.6% refused to participate. Youngs who agreed to participate were informed about the aims of this study, signed the informed consent, and filled out the protocol (filling time around 30-35 minutes). The mean age of our sample was 20.20 (SD=1.56) and 92% of the participants are young adult males (n=69). The University of Minho Ethics Committee and the General Direction of Prison and Probation Services of the Ministry of Justice authorized this study. Detailed information about demographics is presented in Table 1.
Measures

Sociodemographic questionnaire. Information about age, gender, race/ethnicity (minority/majority), residential area (rural/urban) and occupation (student/worker/student worker without occupation) was collected using this questionnaire.

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study questionnaire. The ACE questionnaire is a self-report measure evaluating serious adverse experiences during the first 18 years of life. This questionnaire includes 53 items with dimensions related to physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, physical neglect, emotional neglect and household dysfunction including domestic violence, substance abuse, mental illness or incarceration in the household or parental divorce. Abuse, neglect and domestic violence dimensions were evaluated according to their frequency from “0 = Never” to “4 = Too Often” while the remaining experiences were evaluated through their presence (Yes/No). The global level of ACE was used in this study. The Portuguese and original versions showed appropriate psychometric proprieties15,16.

Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI). This inventory is a self-report measure evaluating psychological distress and psychiatric symptoms13,14. The BSI is considered a good indicator of general mental health state, with good temporal stability and good discriminative proprieties, namely regarding to the comparison between general population and patients followed in psychiatric services17,18. This questionnaire includes 53 items about current psychological symptoms through a Likert scale each participant classifies how often their symptoms have occurred in the last 7 days. The questionnaire includes nine symptom dimensions (e.g., depression, anxiety, hostility) and three general dimensions (global severity index, positive symptom index and the positive symptom total). The current study used the global severity index as an indicator of current mental health problems, this dimension showed an excellent level of reliability with a Cronbach = .96. The original and the Portuguese versions of this questionnaire showed appropriate psychometric proprieties13,14.

Self-Report questionnaire for measuring delinquency and crime (D-CRIM). The D-CRIM questionnaire2 evaluates the presence of criminal behaviors in the last year and during the lifetime. Each participant classifies the presence (No/Yes) of 12 different criminal behaviors (theft, robbery, driving without license, domestic violence, aggression, rape, drug trafficking, illegal carrying of a firearm, homicide, family violence, blackmail, and property damage) committed in the last twelve months and throughout life. The original version showed appropriate psychometric proprieties for the adult Portuguese population.

Data analysis

All the variables included in the analysis were described and correlations among them were tested (Pearson correlations for the relationship between quantitative variables, Phi coefficients for the relationship between categorical variables and Point bi-serial for the relationship between categorical and quantitative variables) using SPSS 23 (SPSS; Version 23.0, Chicago, IL, USA). The PROCESS macro for SPSS was used to test the mediation role of mental health problems on the link between childhood or adolescent adversity and current self-reported delinquency19. The significance of direct and indirect effects was estimated using the bootstrapping bias corrected percentile method with 1000 resamples.

Results

Descriptive data about each psychopathologic symptom, general symptom index, and the prevalence of each childhood adverse experience is provided in Table 2.

Pearson correlations showed that all the potential predictors were correlated with the hypothesized outcome (D-CRIM 12M). The largest correlation was established between Total score of Adverse Childhood Questionnaire (ACE) and self-reported delinquency in the last 12 months (r = .37, p < .001). Descriptive statistics and matrix correlation is presented in Table 3.

Following the procedure of mediation analysis19, we tested the predictive ability of adverse childhood and adolescent experiences on the D-CRIM (β = .37; CI 95 % [.15-.59]), the predictive ability of ACE on the global severity inventory (β = .33; CI 95 % [.15-.59]), and finally, the global severity index (GSI) as a predictor of the D-CRIM (β = .23, CI 95 % [.01-.45]), as presented in Figure 1.

Because the three regressions models were found to be significant we proceed to mediation analysis using the PROCESS macro. The significant indirect effect of GSI on the relationship between ACE and D-CRIM 12M was found to
be significant ($\beta = .07 \ CI 95\% [.01; .18])$, with an effect size of $\kappa^2 = .06 \ CI 95\% [.01; .18]$, indicating that the current mental health problems mediate the relationship between ACE and D-CRIM 12. The full model explained 18.24 % of the variance, $F (2,72) = 8.03, p < .001$. However, because the direct effects of ACE on D-CRIM is still significant in the presence of GSI ($\beta = .29 \ CI 95\% [.07; .52]$), this mediation is only partial.

**Discussion**

This is an exploratory study, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to test the mediational role of mental health on the link between early adversity and adult crime in individuals with a history of juvenile delinquency. Our study supports that early adversity exposure, such as abuse, neglect or serious household dysfunction, are related with persistence in crime and one of these paths is mental health problems.

Some points could be noted from this research. First, our study supports the previous links found between early adversity and mental health problems, as well as between mental problems.

**Table 2. Descriptive statistics for BSI and ACE dimensions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BSI Dimensions</th>
<th>Scale M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>ACE Dimensions</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatization</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsivity</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal sensitivity</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostility</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phobic anxiety</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoid ideation</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychoticism</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Symptom Index</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Correlation matrix.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>ACE</th>
<th>GSI</th>
<th>DCRIM 12 M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.25*</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.33**</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>0.33**</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI – Total</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCRIM 12</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. No missing data. ACE= Total score of Adverse Childhood Questionnaire; GSI= Global Severity Index/Overall psychological distress; DCRIM 12 M= Self-reported in the last 12 months.

† p<.1; ‡ p <.05; ‡‡ p <.001.
health problems and adult offending. Second, this study advances knowledge about the role of mental health problems as one of the mediators between early adversity and crime. Third, our results could be explained in the light of previous psychological and neurobiological approaches, supporting the view that chronic exposure to adverse experiences heighten the state of alarm which affects psychological functions, and consequently leads to dysfunctional interpersonal skills and interactions, promoting and reinforcing dysfunctional and anti-social cognitive patterns (e.g., hostile attribution bias) and behaviors, including offending. Therefore, if mental health is a mediator between child and adolescent toxic environments and persistence in crime during adulthood, as pointed out in this study, the juvenile justice systems should improve the accessibility and quality of mental health services, which may help to diminished recidivism. Moreover, primary and secondary interventions are recommended. Child Protective Services should provide a full screening for adverse childhood experiences, beyond physical and sexual abuse, thereby, helping to prevent delinquency in the first place, and other major problems associated to serious adverse experiences.

This research contains limitations that should be acknowledged. Despite the high number of participants per variable, this study has a small sample size, and generalizations should be made carefully. Another important limitation is the lack of a prospective longitudinal design to confirm the causality effects between variables. This is an exploratory study, future studies should test this mediation model in larger samples and with prospective longitudinal designs. Third, only 8% to 10% of participants in this study were female, nonetheless this percentage is very close to the Portuguese juvenile justice convictions.

Finally, legal, social, psychological, and family dimensions are important to explain juvenile offending and recidivism; however, this study has, for the first time, specifically focused on mental health state as a mediational link between childhood adverse experiences and persistence in crime in young adults with a history of juvenile delinquency. Future studies should explore other mediators explaining this relationship.
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