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Abstract

The synthetic estrogen 17α-ethinylestradiol, the principal component of oral contraceptives, has been identified as one of the main compounds accounting for adverse effects on the endocrine system in various species. This study aimed to analyze the state-of-the-art in legislation and guidelines for the control of this synthetic estrogen in water bodies in Europe and the United States and to draw a parallel with the Brazilian reality. Countries have generally attempted to expand the regulation and monitoring of certain emerging micropollutants not previously covered by legislation. Europe is more advanced in terms of water quality, while in the United States this estrogen is only regulated in water for human consumption. Brazil still lacks legal provisions or standards for this estrogen, which can be explained by the relatively limited maturity of the country’s system for controlling water pollutants.
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Introduction

A key environmental issue in recent years is the presence of micropollutants, or organic and inorganic compounds that pose high potential risk to human health and the environment, even at low concentrations (between \( \mu g.L^{-1} \) and ng.L\(^{-1} \)). Such pollutants include a wide range of natural and synthetic chemical compounds, including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, hormones, surfactants, flame retardants, pesticides, and nanoparticles. These micropollutants feature a group known as endocrine disruptors, including exogenous substances with the capacity to alter the endocrine system's functions, thereby provoking adverse effects for human and animal health.

Of the various micropollutants classified as endocrine disruptors, the synthetic estrogen 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol, the principal estrogenic component used in formulation of oral contraceptives and one of the most extensively consumed medicines in the world, has raised great concern in the academic community, since it has been identified as the main compound responsible for endocrine alterations in aquatic organisms.

This destabilization of the endocrine system leads to observable biochemical and histopathological alterations (of the liver, gonads, and kidneys), modifications in the reproductive process and development, and behavioral changes, among others. Table 1 lists studies from the last 15 years on the effects of exposure to 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol in various species in different life phases. The most alarming adverse effect is feminization – the development of female sex characteristics, including female reproductive anatomy. This process compromises the affected population's reproductive cycle and can trigger an imbalance in its ecosystem.

Fish species, due to their inherent ecological and economic importance, are among the most extensively studied organisms, acting as important indicators of the potential effects of endocrine disruption, especially those involved in reproductive physiology, since their reproductive system is regulated by estrogens that are similar to those of mammals.

The effects on fish, amphibians, and birds have also been assessed by the presence of biomarkers like vitellogenin (VTG) and zona radiata protein (ZRP), both normally found only in females. A key function of estrogens in oviparous organisms is to produce these markers during ovogenesis, and estrogenic micropollutants can increase the expression of these sexual proteins.

There are still no studies that prove the effects of 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol on human health based on environmental exposures, which may be partially explained by the serious difficulty in demonstrating causality when analyzing endocrine disruption. Given an endocrine disruptor with the capacity to provoke profound physiological changes with only a “trigger” dose, one can formulate the hypothesis that once present in the water ingested by humans, even at low concentrations, this hormone can trigger adverse effects in some individuals.

Basically, 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol is introduced into water by two main routes: excretion and disposal. Once consumed, the estrogen is excreted in urine and feces in its conjugated forms (sulfates and glucuronides). These are dumped in sewage, which later reaches aquatic environments in natura or in the form of effluents treated in sewage treatment stations. Importantly, conventional sewage treatment technologies have limited ability to remove this estrogen. The situation is aggravated by the fact that 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol is the most persistent of the estrogens, with a half-life in water of approximately 17 days and a low photodegradation rate.

Since water pollution threatens aquatic environments, with various negative effects on the species that inhabit water bodies or ingest the water from them, some countries have created a series of legal provisions and standards to limit the concentrations of these pollutants in water bodies.

To discuss the legislation on 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol, two types of provisions apply to water: water quality for the protection of aquatic life and protection of drinking water for human health. The current study thus analyzes the state-of-the-art of legal provisions and standards on the control of the synthetic estrogen 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol in water in Europe and the United States and to draw a parallel with the Brazilian reality.

Legal frameworks for regulation of 17\( \alpha \)-ethinylestradiol in water

European Union

Due to the geopolitical structure of the European Union, various member countries share rivers and lakes. The member states have thus pursued an integrated solution for the environmental recovery of their water bodies. This joint effort spearheaded by the European Parliament and Council of the European Union resulted in
### Table 1

**Adverse effects of 17a-ethinylestradiol in different species.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/Life phase of the organism at the beginning of the test</th>
<th>Observed effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danio rerio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryos</td>
<td>Change in mating behavior 57; Induction of vitellogenin synthesis in males; Anomalies and mortality in embryos 58; Histopathological changes in females 59; Induction of vitellogenin synthesis and behavior changes 60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males and females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different stages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryzias latipes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryos</td>
<td>Significant reduction in fertilization rate 62.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males</td>
<td>Induction of vitellogenin synthesis and feminization 63.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One day after birth</td>
<td>Significant change in sex ratio (more females) and hermaphroditism 64.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryzias melastigma</td>
<td>Changes in mating behavior and inhibition of spawning 65.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males and females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimephales promelas</td>
<td>Decrease in body size and induction of vitellogenin synthesis 66; Decrease in fertilization of eggs and feminization 67; Induction of vitellogenin synthesis; Significant inhibition of spermatogenesis 68.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryos</td>
<td>Changes in reproductive behavior, reduction in hormone levels, and secondary sexual characteristics 69.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betta splendens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young and adult males and females</td>
<td>Induction of vitellogenin synthesis and zona radiata protein 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomatoschistus minutus</td>
<td>Changes in mating behavior 62.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasterosteus aculeatus</td>
<td>Induction of vitellogenin synthesis in both sexes, alterations in liver and kidneys, feminization 70.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobiocypris rarus</td>
<td>Induction of vitellogenin synthesis and hepatic alterations 71; Changes in mating behavior 72; Significant behavior changes 73.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males and females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenopus laevis</td>
<td>Growth reduction in males 61.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyalella azteca</td>
<td>Significant increase in mean population size; change in adult sex ratio (2:1 in favor of females) 74.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 6 weeks after gametogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammarus pulex</td>
<td>Decrease in number of progeny per female 75.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different stages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daphnia magna</td>
<td>Increased mortality in newborns 76.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larvae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceriodaphnia reticulata</td>
<td>Shorter juvenile phase 77.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larvae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sida crystallina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larvae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/Life phase of the organism at the beginning of the test</th>
<th>Observed effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mollusks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lymnea stagnalis</em> Ova</td>
<td>Significant hatching delay; Deformations in developing snails; Growth reduction in progeny 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult males and females</td>
<td>Reproductive changes 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Porifera</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydra vulgaris</em> Adult males and females</td>
<td>Significant reductions in number of oocytes (females) and sperm activity (males) 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Echinoderms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus/Strongylocentrotus nudus</em> Embryos and larvae</td>
<td>Altered morphogenesis 78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Directives to set binding water quality standards for the member states.

Among the aspects comprising the “good state” of European rivers and lakes, in addition to hydrological and ecological characteristics, various physical and chemical parameters are monitored. Standards have been developed for monitoring water quality in order to maintain these parameters within the established ranges 34,35.

*Directive 2000/60/EC*, known as the Water Framework Directive (WFD) 36, was passed in December 2000 to protect and recover the quality of water in Europe and to ensure its sustainable long-term use. The directive establishes various measures to protect aquatic ecosystems, in addition to gradual timeframes for reaching the goals 34,35,36.

As an initial step in the strategy laid out in the WFD, in November 2001, *Decision 2455/2001/EC* established the first list of priority substances (total of 33) 37. This first decision did not set any maximum concentrations. It was not until 2008 that *Directive 2008/105/EC* (Environmental Quality Standards – EQS) set these limits for such substances in surface waters 38.

Since the Directives provide for periodical revision and updating of the standards, in January 2012 the European Commission published the document *COM(2011)876*, proposing the inclusion of a second list of 15 new priority substances and their respective EQS 39, targeted for monitoring in the implementation round of the European Union water policy from 2015 to 2021 40 (Table 2). The new substances include pesticides, dioxins, industrial chemicals, and pharmaceuticals.

Selection of substances was based on a prioritization procedure obtained from scientific data, drawing on a list of 2,000 substances initially considered as potentially hazardous 41. A more detailed look at 17α-ethinylestradiol shows that before its inclusion on the list of priority substances, this synthetic estrogen was the target of some discussion, and a limit of 0.035ng·L⁻¹ was finally proposed for its concentration in water bodies. This proposed limit considered a series of studies on its ecotoxicological effects 7,42. Figure 1 shows the potential adverse effects in fish associated with different concentrations of 17α-ethinylestradiol in surface waters.

After a series of discussions, in August 2013 the European Union issued *Directive 2013/39/EU* 65, approving the inclusion of 12 of the 15 substances proposed in *COM(2011)876*. For the pharmaceuticals whose inclusion was not approved (17α-ethinylestradiol, 17β-estradiol, and diclofenac), no maximum tolerable concentrations were determined. The three pharmaceuticals were included in a Surveillance List in order to collect more monitoring data and back the definition of appropriate limits in relation to the risk posed by these substances 43.

One explanation for not setting EQS for these three pharmaceuticals was the high inherent cost of meeting such standards, requiring major changes in sewage treatment stations. England and Wales would have to spend some 41 billion dollars to install new systems, plus 15 billion more over the course of the following ten years. Even in the face of such high costs, some authors believe that the retrofitting would be positive, bringing additional benefits: using the technology, wastewater treatment would remove many other pollutants that have caused concern 7,42.

As for the quality of water for human consumption in EU member countries, the main goal of *Directive 98/83/ANDC* of November 1998 is to protect human health from the harmful
Table 2

List of the 15 priority substances classified by Directive 2013/39/UE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Type/Use</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17α-ethinylestradiol</td>
<td>Pharmaceutical/synthetic estrogen used mainly in oral contraceptives</td>
<td>Endocrine disruptor, mainly in fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17β-estradiol</td>
<td>Estrogen excreted naturally (approximately 90%) in human and animal urine. Also used in formulations of pharmaceuticals for female hormone replacement therapy</td>
<td>Endocrine disruptor, mainly in fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aclonifen</td>
<td>Herbicide used in a variety of field crops and pastures</td>
<td>Toxic to various aquatic organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifenox</td>
<td>Herbicide used to kill broadleaf weeds in grain crops</td>
<td>Toxic to various aquatic organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingarol</td>
<td>Algidice used as anti fouling agent in coatings of boat and ship hulls</td>
<td>Toxic, degrades very slowly, persistent in sediments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>Pyrethroid insecticide and biocide used in field crops, salmon farming, and timber and wood preservation</td>
<td>Toxic to various aquatic organisms; accumulates in sediments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorvos</td>
<td>Organophosphate insecticide and biocide used to protect grain crops</td>
<td>Particularly toxic to various aquatic organisms and possible carcinogenic to humans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals/NSAID</td>
<td>Toxic, both directly (e.g., chronic studies show effects in fishes) and by secondary poisoning (for example, vultures in India affected by veterinary use in bovines)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicofol</td>
<td>Organochloride acidicide authorized for use on fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>Toxic, similar to DDT, recommended for designation as POP; possibly carcinogenic to humans and possible endocrine disruptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxins</td>
<td>Dioxins, byproducts of thermal combustion of chlorinated compounds, PCBs, chlorinated organic compounds previously used in manufacturing electrical equipment, some are also produced by combustion</td>
<td>PBT and POP, some forms probably carcinogenic in humans, other possible effects include endocrine disruption and immune and nervous system impairment. Limits have already been set for its presence in human foodstuffs and livestock feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBCDD</td>
<td>Industrial chemical used as flame retardant, especially in polystyrene, including insulation panels</td>
<td>PBT and POP, possibly toxic to human reproduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor/Heptachlor epoxide</td>
<td>Organochlorine insecticide restricted in many countries by the Stockholm Convention, but possible as secondary emissions</td>
<td>POP, highly toxic to aquatic organisms, possibly/probably carcinogenic in humans and possible endocrine disruptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFOS</td>
<td>Industrial chemical, used in aircraft hydraulic fluids, photography, and electroplating. Present in many existing products, especially textiles</td>
<td>PBT and POP, toxic to animals, especially mammals; possible carcinogen in humans and possible effects on thyroid function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinoxyfen</td>
<td>Fungicide used mainly in grain crops and vineyards</td>
<td>PBT and vPvB properties, accumulates particularly in sediments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terbutryn</td>
<td>Herbicide used especially in building façades as a preservative between coats of paint</td>
<td>Toxic especially to algae and aquatic plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DDT: dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane; HBCDD: Hexabromocyclododecane; NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory pharmaceuticals; PBT: persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic; PCBs: polychlorinated biphenyls; POP: persistent organic pollutant; PFOS: perfluorooctane sulfonate; vPvB: very persistent and very bioaccumulative.
effects of contamination of any drinking water, ensuring its quality and safety. The directive requires regular monitoring and testing of a total of 48 parameters, including microbiological and chemical indicators and contaminants. These chemical compounds include 26 substances, mainly metals, pesticides, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH’s), some also included on the list of priority substances regulated by Directive 2008/105/EC, but do not include 17α-ethinylestradiol 44.

Importantly, member states are not allowed to set lower standards for the protection of human health when compared to the European Union guidelines. The law further provides that member states may include additional requirements such as regulating additional substances that are relevant in their respective territories, or establish more stringent standards 44.

**United States**

In the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for regulating the quality of water resources and that of water for human consumption. The Clean Water Act (CWA), passed in 1948 and amended several times over the years, is the legal reference that establishes the basic structure for regulating the disposal of pollutants in waters and quality standards for U.S. surface waters. As for pollution control, the national standards for quality of surface water include 126 substances, but no estrogens, either natural or synthetic. The CWA provides that the states hold triennial public hearings to review their water quality standards, in order ensure compliance with current scientific evidence and water uses by humans and aquatic life 45.

As for the quality of water for human consumption, the EPA also acts as a regulatory agency. The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) passed by Congress in 1974 and amended in 1986 and 1996 is the prevailing legislation for all as water actually or potentially used for human consumption, applying to both surface and table waters. The law authorizes the EPA to set standards for the public water supply and requires that the system’s operators comply with the regulations for protection of human health 46.

The SDWA adopts two categories for drinking water standards, both with mandatory compliance: the National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (NPDWR), which sets the primary standards for chemical and microbiological contaminants with potential adverse effects on human health; and the National Secondary Drinking Water Regulation (NSDWR), pertaining to secondary standards for substances with potential aesthetic and/or organoleptic effects 47. Currently, EPA 816-F-09-0004, issued in May 2009, sets primary standards for a list of 88 contaminants, including microorganisms, disinfectants, byproducts of disinfection, organic and inorganic compounds, and radioactive nucleotides 48. However, the list does not include 17α-ethinylestradiol or any other estrogen.

The drinking water standard is defined by an assessment of the risk to human health, using methodologies developed and validated by the EPA itself. Such methodologies include the following steps to assess the risk associated with exposure to a given contaminant: identification of the hazard, assessment of the exposure, dose-response assessment, and risk characterization 46.

As part of the system of risk assessment for human health, the EPA has a drinking water

---

**Figure 1**

Adverse effects in fish associated with different concentrations of 17α-ethinylestradiol in surface waters 7.

LOAEL: lowest observed adverse effect level; NOAEL: no observable adverse effect level; VTG: vitellogenin.
monitoring program for pollutants that have still not been regulated, known as Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCM). This monitoring aims to collect data on pollutants suspected of being present in the water. Through this program, every five years is published a list of pollutants, the Contaminant Candidate List (CCL), which are still not subject to regulation, but which pose potential risks to health and which are known to occur in the water supply 49.

The first CCL (CCL1) was published in March 1998 and had 60 contaminants (10 microbiological and 50 chemical). CCL2, published in February 2005, reduced the list to 51 contaminants (9 microbiological and 42 chemical), and CCL3 was published in late 2009, expanding the list to 116 contaminants (12 microbiological and 104 chemical). CCL3 includes pesticides, byproducts of disinfection, commercial chemical products, pathogenic agents, biological toxins, and pharmaceutical products, including 17α-ethinylestradiol and other endocrine disruptors. CCL3 drew on an assessment of 7,500 chemical and microbiological contaminants. CCL4 was proposed recently, in April 2015, and is still under review. The new proposed list includes 112 contaminants (12 microbiological and 100 chemical), among which 17α-ethinylestradiol.

Once included on the CCL, contaminants are further assessed to determine whether there are sufficient data to meet the criteria for Regulatory Determination. The EPA characterizes each contaminant included on the CCL based on three aspects: effects on health, occurrence in the aquatic environment, and analytical methods. If the data are sufficient to raise the grade of a given contaminant, a Regulatory Determination can be issued 49.

**Brazil**

The principal legal provisions that impact the environmental quality of water in Brazil are the responsibility of the Ministry of the Environment, through the National Council on the Environment (CONAMA). This agency establishes a series of resolutions with mandatory nationwide compliance for maintaining the quality of water resources in the country. These resolutions are normally based on the environmental standards set by the United States EPA. An important provision is CONAMA Resolution n. 357 of 2005, appended in 2011 by CONAMA Resolution n. 430. These resolutions rule on the classification of bodies of surface water and the respective environmental standards, as well as establishing the conditions and standards for disposing of effluents 50,51. CONAMA Resolution n. 396 of 2008 52 further defines the quality of table water, including for direct or indirect human use.

To guarantee minimum quality standards consistent with the preponderant uses of the country’s waters, these resolutions are important for inducing improvements in water bodies. However, nearly ten years after CONAMA 357 was issued, little progress has been made with the compliance of water bodies, with extremely few rivers meeting the standards and water pollution control still lacking a series of crucial improvements.

These provisions generally define various physical and chemical parameters, organic and inorganic chemicals, algae, and microorganisms in monitoring water quality. The concentrations for each substance vary according to the classification of the respective body of water. As for persistent organic pollutants, Brazil passed Legislative Order n. 204 of 2004 53, adopting as its basis the standards set by the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants. These resolutions set limits on a series of substances with the potential to disrupt the endocrine system, such as aldrin, DDT, heptachlor, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), but not 17α-ethinylestradiol. CONAMA 357 further provides that government can set water quality standards for substances that can compromise the use of water for intended purposes, providing a technical basis.

The Brazilian Ministry of Health issues rulings with water quality standards for human consumption. Monitoring water quality for human consumption is also the responsibility of the Ministry of Health through its Division of Environmental Health Surveillance. Ruling n. 2.914 of 2011 54 is the prevailing legislation that sets water quality standards for human consumption. This ruling was based on recommendations by the World Health Organization (WHO) and various international standards.

This Ruling sets standards for microorganisms, cyanotoxins, radioactive nucleotides, and various organic and inorganic chemical compounds such as pesticides, disinfectants, and byproducts of disinfection, but does not include 17α-ethinylestradiol or other potential endocrine disruptors 54.

On this issue, the São Paulo State Chapter of the Brazilian Association of Health and Environmental Engineering (ABES/SP) published a document in 2012 entitled Guidelines on Drinking Water Quality and Chemical Substances, with a list of 291 priority substances present in water and still unregulated. These compounds were prioritized according to their use, the amounts produced, persistence, and effects, based on the scientific literature. Then, based on
established criteria for the exclusion or maintenance, the general list was refined on a list of priority substances. 17α-ethinylestradiol was included on the general list, but after the combination of these criteria it was excluded from the principal list, which contains 72 chemical substances. This same study calls attention to the effects of endocrine disruptors on aquatic organisms and potential effects on human health, and suggests that endocrine disruptors tend to be increasingly regulated by law.

Brazil also has a huge environmental health liability, since it has not solved the challenge of basic sanitation. The country fails to provide safe running water for the entire population (coverage now stands at 82.7%). More alarmingly, fewer than half of Brazilian households (48.3%) are connected to the sewage system and only 38.7% of all sewage is treated before dumping into the water bodies. It is thus scarcely realistic to expect that Brazil will deal immediately with emerging micropollutants like 17α-ethinylestradiol when the country has failed to solve more basic problems.

**Final remarks**

When analyzing current regulation of water pollutants and focusing more specifically on the synthetic estrogen 17α-ethinylestradiol, with scientific progress and increasing knowledge on the effects of such pollution, countries have generally endeavored to expand the regulation and monitoring of some emerging micropollutants not previously covered by legislation.

The European Union has made significant progress in water pollution control through measures such a list of 15 priority substances, amongst which 17α-ethinylestradiol. As for water for human consumption, despite Europe’s mature legislation, this synthetic estrogen is still not regulated. The opposite is true in the United States, where 17α-ethinylestradiol is regulated in water for human consumption but is not included on the list of quality control standards for water bodies.

In Brazil, 17α-ethinylestradiol is not covered by any water legislation, either for human consumption or for quality of water bodies. This can be partially explained by a comparison with the situation in Europe and North America, since Brazil lags significantly behind in water pollution control in general. The Brazilian situation with water pollution involves more than the legal provisions and standards, since important provisions in the country’s existing legislation have still not been enforced satisfactorily.

The control of emerging micropollutants, including 17α-ethinylestradiol, calls for urgent progress. As a relatively new issue, progress at the national level requires monitoring the current conditions of water bodies and the elaboration of studies as the basis for feasible and effective measures.
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Resumo

O estrógeno sintético 17α-etinilestradiol, principal componente utilizado em formulações de contraceptivos orais, tem sido apontado como um dos principais compostos responsáveis por provocar efeitos adversos no sistema endócrino de várias espécies. O objetivo deste estudo foi analisar o estado da arte dos dispositivos legais e normativos referentes ao controle desse estrógeno sintético nas águas da Europa e dos Estados Unidos, e traçar um paralelo com a realidade brasileira. No geral, os países têm buscado ampliar a regulamentação e monitoramento de alguns micropoluentes emergentes que antes não eram objeto de atenção por parte dos dispositivos legais. A Europa está mais avançada no que tange à qualidade dos corpos hídricos, enquanto que nos Estados Unidos esta substância é alvo de regulamentação apenas para a água destinada ao consumo humano. No Brasil, ainda não há nenhum dispositivo legal ou normativo que aborde esse estrógeno, o que pode ser associado a uma baixa maturidade do sistema brasileiro quanto ao controle de poluentes hídricos.
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Resumen

El estrógeno sintético 17α-etinilestradiol, principal componente utilizado en fórmulas de contraceptivos orales, ha sido apuntado como uno de los principales compuestos responsables por provocar efectos adversos en el sistema endócrino de varias especies. El objetivo de este estudio fue analizar el estado de la cuestión de los dispositivos legales y normativos referentes al control de este estrógeno sintético en las aguas de Europa y de los Estados Unidos, y trazar un paralelo con la realidad brasileña. En general, los países han buscado ampliar la regulación y el monitoreo de algunos microcontaminantes emergentes que antes no eran objeto de atención por parte de los dispositivos legales. Europa está más avanzada en lo que se refiere a la calidad de los cuerpos hídricos, mientras que en los Estados Unidos esta substancia es objeto de regulación solamente para el agua destinada al consumo humano. En Brasil todavía no existe ningún dispositivo legal o normativo que aborde este estrógeno, lo que puede ser asociado a una inmadurez del sistema brasileño respecto al control de contaminantes hídricos.
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