Effect of different periods of pre-slaughter stress on the quality of the Nile tilapia meat
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Abstract

The study was carried out with the objective of evaluating the influence of pre-slaughter stress on meat quality of Nile tilapia (O. niloticus) for 10 days of storage in ice. 75 whole fish were used eviscerated, with average weight and length of 825.75 ± 101.59 g and 28.25 ± 0.81 cm, respectively. The treatments consisted of time in which the animals underwent pre-slaughter hypoxia, being 0, 3 and 6 minutes. Rigor mortis and muscle pH were assessed besides the application of the Quality Index Method and analysis of total protein and blood glucose. Rigor mortis did not differ among treatments during the storage period, and after three hours all animals were in rigor stage. The muscle pH values varied widely during the study period, observing higher values on the third day of storage. Sensory analysis showed that after 10 days the tilapia from the different treatments did not differ in the evaluated quality parameters similarly, there was no difference regarding the evaluated blood biochemical parameters Thus, hypoxia of 6 minutes pre-slaughter does not influence the rigor mortis, muscle pH, qualitative perception of the meat quality, total protein and blood glucose of Nile tilapia.
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Practical Application: Study the effects of pre-slaughter stress on the Nile tilapia meat quality.

1 Introduction

In Brazil, aquaculture has been showing strong growth in recent years, estimating a potential production of 20 million tones for the year of 2030 (Brasil, 2012), revealing to be a promising country in the production of aquatic organisms, as it has a coastline of approximately 8.5 thousand km and concentrates about 12% of all the fresh water on the planet (Cyrino et al., 2010). Besides the availability of water resources, the country has favorable climate, abundant manpower and growing demand for fish in the Internal Market (Della Flora et al., 2010).

The Nile tilapia stands out as the main species of fish produced in Brazil (Brasil, 2014). This is due to zootechnics characteristics favorable to breeding in captivity, such as rusticity, fast growing, easy reproduction, adaptation to different environments, among others (Kubitza, 2000). In addition, factors such as lack of spine “Y”, fillet yield around 35% of white meat of firm texture and slightly tangy flavor make it a proper species for processing and with good acceptance by the market (Jory et al., 2000).

During the cultivation period the fishes go through several situations of stress, which may be related to feeding, water quality, reproduction and especially the capture and pre-slaughter period. The pre-slaughter stress causes biochemical changes that influence the quality of meat of slaughtered animals, such as the rapid consumption of glycogen and ATP reserves and consequent production of lactic acid and decrease in muscle pH postmortem (Bagni et al., 2007).

The biochemical processes that occur after slaughter reflect on physical changes in the body of animals such as rigor mortis which is characterized by muscle rigidity as a result of irreversible consumption of ATP that is, without regeneration of this energy source, it causes the decoupling of contractile proteins, actin and myosin (Oetterer et al., 2014). According to the authors, for greater freshness of the fish sold it is necessary that they are slaughtered immediately after capture to ensure the presence of glycogen and ATP for a longer period and thus delay the entry into rigor mortis.

The deterioration of fish after slaughter can also be detected by sensory analysis, involving the human senses of sight, touch, smell and taste in order to observe changes in appearance, odor, texture and taste of the product assessed. The Quality Index Method (QIM) is an important tool that can be used to evaluate the freshness of the fish. This method involves the assessment of various characteristics related to quality, such as appearance, texture, eyes, gills and abdomen, where each attribute receives a score that can range from zero to two, or from zero to three and the higher the score, the higher the degree of deterioration (Teixeira et al., 2009).

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the rigor mortis, pH, biochemical parameters of the blood and apply the Quality Index Method as a way to determine the freshness of whole Tilapias subjected to hypoxia at different times as a form of pre-slaughter stress.
2 Materials and methods

The survey was conducted at the Fish Culture Station (UEM-CODAPAR) located in Floriano district, Maringá, Paraná, Brazil, in November 2015. The methods follow the standards set of the Comitê Permanente de Ética em Pesquisa (COPEP) of the State University of Maringá (protocol number: 2378110815).

Initially, 75 fish were captured randomly with an average weight and length of 825.75 ± 101.59 g and 28.25 ± 0.81 cm, respectively. The fish were submitted to a pre-slaughter stress, determined as the time they were out of water (0, 3 and 6 minutes) before being slaughtered by hypothermia, without eviscerate with the use of tanks with water and ice at a ratio of 1:1.

Of the 75 fish used 30 were dedicated to evaluation of rigor mortis (ten per treatment - 0, 3 and 6 minutes) and 30 for blood biochemical analysis (ten per treatment), being 7 of these animals per treatment kept for the evaluation of muscle pH, and five per treatment for sensory evaluation by the Quality Index method. Then the fish were placed in Styrofoam boxes and covered with ice scale in the ratio of 1:1.

2.1 Rigor mortis evaluation

The rigor mortis assessment was initiated immediately after slaughter in periods set at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18 and 24 hours and then every 24 hours until the tenth day of storage. Rigor mortis index was evaluated according to the methodology described by Bito et al. (1983) and calculated according to the Equation 1:

\[
RI = \frac{D_f - D_i}{D_0} \times 100
\]

where RI = Rigor Index; D0 = value of the distance separating the base of the tail fin to the reference point, immediately after death, and D = distance value separating the base of the tail fin to the reference point in the selected time intervals. During this period, the animals were stored in cool boxes (Styrofoam) between layers of ice in the ratio of 1:1.

2.2 Blood biochemical parameters

To evaluate the blood biochemical parameters (glucose and total proteins), the animals were kept under hypoxia according to the period of each treatment (0, 3 and 6 minutes) and then blood collection was carried out. 2.0mL of blood were collected by caudal puncture with the use of syringe previously treated with EDTA anticoagulant (10%) of 10 fish per treatment.

The samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 rpm in centrifuge to obtain serum, which was frozen at −20 °C for later analysis. Analyses were performed with the use of Gold Analisa Diagnóstica® specific kits, as instructed by the manufacturer with reading performed on spectrophotometer.

2.3 pH analysis

To determine the pH a portable pH meter (Hanna HI 991 663) with drilling electrode was used. Three pH measures were made per fish, and the locations set in the animal’s back, based on points at the beginning, middle and end of the dorsal fin. No point in the ventral area was determined, considering that the fish were not drawn, so by introducing the ph meter rupture of the internal viscera could happen.

The pH measurement was performed at predetermined times of 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24 hours and every 24 hours until the tenth day of storage. For this procedure the fish were kept in cool boxes with ice at a ratio of 1:1.

2.4 Quality Index Method

The determination of the QIM was conducted at the Animal Production Technology Laboratory of the State University of Maringá, using 5 fish per treatment, totaling 15 animals. For this sensory evaluation, five judges in the age group between 22 and 40 were selected and trained as described by Garcia (2015).

Four evaluation sessions were conducted at 1, 4, 7 and 10 days of storage with 45 min of duration. A Latin square design was conducted in each session, so that all judges would evaluate all 15 animals. The animals were identified with random coding labels of two digits and presented to the tasters in plastic trays, PVC type.

The judges were required to complete the evaluation sheet observing eight quality attributes in raw fish, taking into account the appearance, eyes, gills and abdominal wall, giving different scores on the degree of deterioration according to the table described by Garcia (2015) and presented in Table 1.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The index data of rigor mortis, pH, biochemical blood parameters and value of the QIM were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with 95% of probability (P > 0.05). When finding statistical difference between the different analyzes, the Tukey test was applied to level of 5% of significance to compare means with the software STATISTICA 7.0.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Rigor mortis

Rigor mortis is a physical change that occurs in the muscle in response to chemical changes from deterioration or autolysis, which is characterized by being responsible for the beginning of the loss of fish quality (Oetterer et al., 2014). Thus, rigor mortis is an important parameter for determining meat quality, because it is directly related to the shelf life of the final product. After death, the muscle undergoes a series of biochemical transformations enhanced by pre-slaughter stress process, quickly depleting energy reserves, anticipating and shortening the time of the muscles rigor, unwanted feature for quality and durability of the final product (Sarmiento, 2006).

In this study, after slaughter (time 0) all fish showed flaccid aspect, with at least half of the body tilted, that is, zero percent of rigor mortis. However, after six hours of slaughter it was observed that 100% of fish had already entered in rigor and remained like this for 48 hours for the treatments of 0 and 3 minutes of subjection to pre-slaughter stress and up to 72 hours for the treatment with 6 minutes (Figure 1).
This result is corroborated by Oliveira et al. (2015), who obtained 100% of rigor mortis for the same species between six and seven hours after slaughter. Moreover, Batista et al. (2004) and Almeida et al. (2005) mentioned that when slaughtering specimens of matrinxã (*Brycon cephalus*) and tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*) respectively, rigor mortis was established much earlier, between 30 and 75 minutes. This suggests that the species respond differently on this parameter, which may be related among other factors to the slaughter method and the muscle fiber profile of each species.

Although no statistically significant differences were found between treatments (p > 0.05), there was an early exit tendency of rigor mortis. Fish subjected to pre-slaughter stress (3 and 6 min), a difference that could have been observed if the experimental period had been extended, as Oliveira et al. (2015) observed that the Nile tilapia left the rigor mortis state only 12 days after slaughter.

Without rigor mortis, the fish changes start to occur by microbial activity, with high proliferation of these organisms, once they start to have as substrate hydrolyzed products formed by autolysis of muscle fibers (Araújo et al., 2010), in this case, some technologies can be used in order to slow the deterioration of fish and allow extended life of the final product.

### 3.2 pH

Shortly after slaughter, the average pH of the meat were $6.97 \pm 0.19$, $6.89 \pm 0.14$ and $7.02 \pm 0.25$ for the control treatment and for the treatments at three and six minutes respectively.
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being observed statistically significant differences \( p > 0.05 \) between treatments. These values were in agreement with Baygar et al. (2012) that defined pH close to 7 as the ideal for the fish muscle. The pH of tilapia meat in the control group and at three and six minute pre slaughter hypoxia at times 0, 3, 9, 12, 24 and every 24 hours up to 240 hours is shown in Figure 2.

In the first 24 hours after slaughter an increase in pH was observed, reaching \( 7.18 \pm 0.11 \) for the treatment with high load of stress, since a decrease in the pH of the meat was expected, for it is in this period that muscles glycogen reserves are transformed by anaerobic via into lactic acid (Acerete et al., 2009). One explanation for this may have been established by the chronic stress state in the sampled fish, caused among other things by the low quality water and high stocking densities. However, Vargas (2011) mentions that the intensification of fish meat acidity occurs after approximately 56 hours of storage, a result similar to that found by this research, in which the lowest pH was obtained exactly between 48 and 72 hours.

The increase in pH from the third to the ninth day, reaching \( 7.41 \pm 0.09 \) for the treatment with 6 minutes of hypoxia is consistent with that found by Conde (1975). Soares et al. (1998) observed similar behavior, in which at the beginning of rigor mortis, the fish pH dropped from 7.0 to 6.5 and later rising to 6.6 up until 6.8. However, Prata (1999) reports that the meat of the fish for consumption should not have pH higher than 7.0.

This great increase in pH may be due to bacterial contamination during storage, since bacterial metabolic activity decomposes nitrogen compounds for the formation of compounds such as ammonia and trimethylamine, increasing the pH (Scherer et al., 2006). This result may have been interfered by the need of daily manipulation of fish and cuts in the skin to insert the probe of the pH meter, cuts that provided an enabling environment for bacterial growth.

The drop in pH observed on the tenth day coincided with the moment of highest value of demerit points (QIM) and the greater loss of rigor mortis showing the direct association of these parameters with the final quality of the meat.

3.3 Method of Quality Index (QIM)

The sensory analysis performed by QIM showed a significant difference \( P < 0.05 \) compared to the average of the quality criteria only on the first day of storage, in which the animals that underwent six minutes of hypoxia before slaughter had higher scores (Figure 3). When considering the storage period a significant difference \( P < 0.05 \) was observed compared to everyday sensory analysis.

The quality of the fish meat is changed by both the method of slaughter, as well as the handling of animals in the pre-slaughter phase, since, in stressful situations they increase muscle activity, which influences the biochemical muscle reactions, directly affecting the rigor mortis, pH, autolysis and therefore the final quality of the product (Morzel et al., 2006). When fish are subjected to hypoxia during pre-slaughter and slaughter increase in stress level occurs resulting in increased muscle activity through vigorous movements (Bagni et al., 2007), causing an increase in the anaerobic energy metabolism based on fermentation of glycogen or glucose (Jorgensen & Mustafa, 1980).

Studies related to the time necessary for death by hypoxia show that fish species differ in their resistance period to lack of oxygen. Rahmanifar et al. (2011) evaluated three slaughter methods for common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) and found that the animals died after five hours of hypoxia, being the resistance of this species to lack of oxygen, while the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) was slaughtered with fifteen minutes of hypoxia (Kestin et al., 1991). Ferreira et al. (2011) stated that Nile tilapia is resistant to hypoxia which would explain the fact that the meat quality parameters evaluated in this study have not presented significant differences in relation to the applied hypoxia times.

The increase in the demerit score observed in relation to the days of storage reflect the autolysis process caused by bacterial decomposition of protein and fat, which impacts directly on the meat quality parameters evaluated in sensory analysis and

Figure 2. Muscle pH of tilapia subjected to different times of pre-slaughter hypoxia during 10 days of storage on ice.

Figure 3. QIM value of Nile Tilapia subjected to hypoxia at different times before slaughter for 10 days of storage in ice.
corroborate the results found for other species fish such as hybrid tambacu (Colossoma macropomum x Piaractus mesopotamicus), Pintado da Amazônia (Pseudoplatistoma fasciatum x Leiarius marmoratus), hybrid tambatinga (Colossoma macropomum x Piaractus brachyphymum), corvina (Micropogonias furnieri), among others (Teixeira et al., 2009; Borges et al., 2014; Lanzarin et al., 2016; Ritter et al., 2016).

QIM values regarding the quality attributes evaluated did not differ significantly (P > 0.05) between treatments on different days (Table 2). There was only difference in score between treatments considering the animals evaluated on a day of storage, where fish subjected to hypoxia 6 minutes pre-slaughter had higher scores for skin attributes, meat firmness, cornea, pupil, color and odor of gills and abdominal wall.

By evaluating the means of the attributes regarding the storage, significant difference (p < 0.05) between everyday sensory analysis was observed. On the first day of storage the attributes that had higher score were skin, cornea and pupil, and from the fourth day on, the shape of the eye also had increased score, standing out from the other attributes such as firmness of the meat, odor and color of the gills and abdominal wall (Figure 4).

From the seventh day changes in the quality of tilapia were more expressive, and skin was less bright and slightly discolored and the gills odor appeared as metallic. The odor is the main cause of the reduction of the acceptability of the fish, and that modifications in the gills are due to alterations of the hemoglobin molecule, responsible for the body oxygen transport, transforming oxyhemoglobin (hemoglobin combined with four molecules of oxygen) in methemoglobin (hemoglobin unable to carry oxygen due to the oxidation of Fe²⁺ of the heme group into Fe³⁺), besides the actions of microbiological and enzymatic nature (Lanzarin et al., 2016; Ritter et al., 2016).

For the eyes, it was observed that the cornea and pupil were classified as slightly turbid and slightly gray, respectively. The shape of the eye had significant change, no longer considered flat. According to Huss (1995) the eyeball begins to suffer changes and modify its structure due to degradation by autolysis at the beginning of the deterioration process.

On the tenth day of storage, the shape of the eye kept with higher demerit score in relation to other attributes, while meat firmness remained with lower scores in all evaluations, keeping the color and brightness. However, none of these parameters reached the highest score, indicating that after 10 days of ice storage, tilapias were not completely deteriorated. These results corroborate with Borges et al. (2014), who evaluated the quality parameters of hybrid tambacu (Colossoma macropomum x Piaractus mesopotamicus) and observed that the shape of the eye and odor of the gills had major changes during 16 days of storage, while the firmness of the meat was the attribute that had minor change.

### 3.4 Blood biochemical parameters

Significant difference (P > 0.005) was observed for the biochemical blood parameters. It was observed that the amount of plasma protein of tilapia subjected to 6 minutes of hypoxia

---

**Table 2. Demerit points means of the quality attributes of the Nile tilapia fish subjected to different hypoxia times before slaughter on different days of storage on ice by the quality index method (QIM).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>Gills</th>
<th>Abdomen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>Cornea</td>
<td>Pupil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.108 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D = Day; T = Treatment (min); MF = Meat firmness; AW = abdominal wall; NS = not significant (P > 0.05) by Tukey test.

---
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Table 3. Means protein and plasma glucose of tilapia subjected to different hypoxia times before slaughter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total Plasma Protein (g/dL)</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.26</td>
<td>165.58 ± 33.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.62</td>
<td>188.73 ± 75.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 min</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.46</td>
<td>155.37 ± 42.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, blood glucose of the fish subjected to a longer time of pre-slaughter was increased when compared to animals slaughtered without remaining in hypoxia, being 3.76 ± 0.46 and 3.44 ± 0.26 (g/dL), respectively (Table 3).

Hypoxia was lower than those slaughtered at 0 and 3 minutes without oxygen before slaughter. According to Higuchi et al. (2011), the biochemical plasma parameters detected disorders in the functioning of organs and animal adaptations before stress conditions, where glucose is a indicative parameter of physiological changes, since it is the main source of energy used by fish to withstand adverse conditions (Morgan & Iwana, 1997; Urbinatti et al., 2004). Thus, the smallest amount of blood glucose of the tilapias subjected to 6 minutes of pre-slaughter hypoxia increased the use of this molecule in biochemical reactions in the body.

According to Poli et al. (2005), the capture and pre-slaughter duration is very traumatic for the fish, due to the struggle and agglomeration occurring during these processes. If the pre-slaughter traumatic situation lasts for a long period of time, the lactic acid produced will gradually be eliminated from the blood, but the energy will gradually become exhausted. When the fish is killed, the pH will remain higher due to the early end of the post-mortem anaerobic glycolysis caused by the shortage of the energy sources (Poli et al., 2005).

4 Conclusions

The hypoxia time of 6 minutes in the pre-slaughter of tilapia does not influence the rigor mortis, pH, meat quality attributes observed by means of sensory analysis by the Quality Index Method neither the concentration of total protein and plasma glucose.
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