Molecular detection of adulteration in commercial buffalo meat products by multiplex PCR assay
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Abstract
Authentication of commercial buffalo meat products has become a market concern. This study intended to develop and validate a highly species-specific multiplex PCR assay for authentication of buffalo meat products. Four pairs of species-specific primers were used to target mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. The assay generated the expected PCR products of 313, 255, 294 and 177 bp for buffalo meat, cattle meat, pork meat and duck meat, respectively. The multiplex PCR assay was sensitive enough to detect 1 pg pure DNA and 0.1% (w/w) adulterated meat under mixed matrices. Market survey revealed about 35.3% of buffalo meat products are adulterated with cattle meat, pork meat or duck meat in China. The adulteration was found in all food product types including minced meat, frozen rolls, boiled meat, meat ball, vacuum-packed meat and jerky. These findings showed that multiplex PCR assay are potentially reliable techniques for detection of adulteration in raw and processed buffalo meat products.
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Practical Application: Develop a multiplex PCR assay for the detection of adulteration in commercial buffalo meat products.

1 Introduction

Existing research on product fraud and counterfeiting have defined seven distinct types of food fraud (Spink & Moyer, 2011). These fraudulent incidents include adulteration, tampering, over-run, theft, diversion, simulation, and counterfeiting. Food authenticity assessment is one of the most crucial issues in the food industry especially for meat and meat products. The non-authenticity of meat and meat products can take different forms (Hargin, 1996; Nakyinsige et al., 2012), but mainly by whole or partial substitution of components with other undeclared alternative meats which are usually cheaper. The consumption of certain meat and meat products is proscribed due to religious reasons in halal meat markets of the world. For Muslim consumers, the major authenticity concerns in meat and meat products include pork substitution, undeclared blood plasma, use of prohibited ingredients, pork intestine casings and non-halal methods of slaughter. Therefore, precise identification of meat species has become a vital element in meat quality control procedures to monitor commercial products.

A number of methods have been developed for species identification to detect and prevent meat substitution on the commercial market including anatomical, histological, microscopical, spectroscopic, chemical, immunological and genetic approaches (Ballin, 2010; Sentandreu & Sentandreu, 2014; Kumar et al., 2015). In contrast, DNA detection methods have numerous advantages including a higher information content, greater resistance to degradation, increased specificity and sensitivity, and presence in all cell types. Among the developed methods, species-specific PCR assay is considered as a robust one in comparison with other DNA-based methods, and has been widely applied in meat species identification. Both genomic and mitochondrial genes have been targeted for species detection. The mitochondrial encoded gene for mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) was selected as the target sequence for species identification because that it is relatively conserved within species and exhibits divergence between species, enabling samples to be identified at the species level in most cases (Quinto et al., 2016).

Buffalo meat has several virtues such as high protein, low fat and cholesterol contents as well as less calories than beef (Murthy & Devadason, 2003). It is considered as a healthy product for its good nutritional characteristics. Based on several key cardiovascular risk features assessments, consumption of buffalo meat appears associated with several beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk profile, including lower carotid atherosclerotic burden and susceptibility to oxidative stress (Giordano et al., 2010). For these reasons, buffalo meat and meat products are promising and increasing on the international food markets (for example, in many regions including Asia, Southern Europe, South America, and Northern Africa) according to the Food and Agricultural Organization (2017).

In terms of buffalo population and production, China is an important place with the third largest population in the world. Buffalo meat has become more and more popular and has tremendous economic importance due to increasing demands in recent years, especially in central and southern China. The price
of buffalo meat is significantly higher than beef, mutton and other domestic animal meats.

The aim of this study was to establish a multiplex PCR for simultaneous identification of different meat ingredients using mitochondrial COI gene. The experiment was designed to establish a highly species-specific PCR for identification of buffalo meat adulteration in raw, heat treated and commercial meat samples. Hence, a multiplex PCR assay for accurate and precise detection of the buffalo meat adulteration was developed and validated. The goals were to determine the current situations of meat substitution in buffalo meat and meat products, whether added unwittingly or deliberately, and to provide an efficient way for law enforcement agencies to monitor adulteration.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sample collection

Authentic meat samples were collected including buffalo (Bubalus bubalis), cattle (Bos taurus and Bos indicus), pig (Sus scrofa domesticus) and duck (Anas platyrhynchos). Approximately 50 g each of authentic meat samples were collected from local abattoirs. The samples were transported to the laboratory under chilled conditions (4 °C) and were then stored at -20 °C until further use. These meat samples from different species were identified by PCR amplification method using four pairs of primers of mitochondrial COI gene (Dai et al., 2015; Spychaj et al., 2016), and then confirmed by direct sequencing. One hundred and eighty-two food samples, including minced meat (n=32), frozen rolls (n=37), boiled meat (n=34), meat ball (n=30), vacuum-packed meat (n=26) and jerky (n=23) were collected from retailers and markets in different provinces of China. These foods represented six mainly types of raw and processed buffalo meat products on the local markets. All samples were cut into small pieces using a sterile scalpel, kept in a sterile plastic bag, and stored at -20 °C to prevent DNA degradation.

2.2 DNA separation and detection

The extraction of DNA was performed according to the manufacturer's instruction provided using the Tissue Genome DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, USA). The extraction protocol was used to extract DNA using both raw and processed meat samples. Procedures for DNA extraction were similar, except that processed meat products were washed ahead of extraction. DNA qualities were checked on 1% agarose gel and DNA concentrations were determined fluorometrically using a Nano-Drop 2000 spectrophotometer (Nano Drop Technologies, Wilmington, USA). The simplex and multiplex assay developed previously was validated for its specificity, reproducibility, sensitivity, and robustness (detection of commercial meat products). For the specificity test, the cross-amplification was tested with different meat species. For the reproducibility test, all meat samples from each species were tested with the assay. For the sensitivity test, two methods were used for assessing the developed assay. Firstly, 10-fold serial diluted DNA templates (1000, 100, 10, 1 and 0.1 pg) were prepared and amplified to determine the minimum amount of detection. Secondly, the mimic counterfeiting test was assessed by mixing target meat with different proportions into buffalo meat. The adulteration meat mixtures contained 100%, 90%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 10%, 5%, 1%, 0.1% and 0% (w/w) of target meat. For robustness and real-world performance testing, the developed assay was used to test 182 commercial meat products.

2.3 PCR primers and amplification

Different primer pairs used for PCR amplification were presented in Table 1. Species-specific primers were designed from mitochondrial COI gene. These primers were described and published by previous reports (Dai et al., 2015; Spychaj et al., 2016). The primers were synthesized by Nanjing GenScript Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Nanjing, China).

2.4 Multiplex PCR assay validation

The simplex and multiplex PCR assay developed previously was validated for its specificity, reproducibility, sensitivity, and robustness (detection of commercial meat products). For the specificity test, the cross-amplification was tested with different meat species. For the reproducibility test, all meat samples from each species were tested with the assay. For the sensitivity test, two methods were used for assessing the developed assay. Firstly, 10-fold serial diluted DNA templates (1000, 100, 10, 1 and 0.1 pg) were prepared and amplified to determine the minimum amount of detection. Secondly, the mimic counterfeiting test was assessed by mixing target meat with different proportions into buffalo meat. The adulteration meat mixtures contained 100%, 90%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 10%, 5%, 1%, 0.1% and 0% (w/w) of target meat. For robustness and real-world performance testing, the developed assay was used to test 182 commercial meat products.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Specificity and reproducibility test

The simplex amplifications of all four species-specific primers were first performed using voucher meat samples. The result showed that direct PCR was successful in identifying meat species from raw meat samples (Figure 1). Each species-specific primer pair produced PCR products only from its target species and generated the expected PCR products of 313, 255, 294 and 177 bp for buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*), cattle (*Bos taurus* and *Bos indicus*), pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus*) and duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*), respectively. No PCR product was observed from the negative controls. The multiplex assay for simultaneous detection of the four meat species were successfully developed (Figure 2). Four different PCR fragments corresponding to the expected sizes of the target meat species were detected. No PCR fragment was observed from the negative control. These results showed the applicability of the primers proposed by previous reports (Dai et al., 2015; Spychaj et al., 2016). According to simplex and multiplex PCR results, the four sets of primers had no cross-reactions and unexpected products in any combination, which indicated that the mitochondrial COI gene had adequate conservation for animal meat species identification.

3.2 Sensitivity test

Two approaches were attempted for sensitivity assessment in this study. Firstly, the DNA concentration was measured spectrophotometrically and then diluted in different proportions in nuclease free water and tested for PCR amplification. The limit of detection for target DNA was 1 pg (Figure 3) and no amplification was obtained up to a dilution of 0.1 pg. It has been reported that the primers that amplify a short fragment of a target DNA give high sensitivity and the detection limit is enhanced to 1 pg (Amaral et al., 2014; Song et al., 2017). Secondly, the meat sample was adulterated with meat mixture consisting of other species in different proportions. The meats of different animal species were mixed in various combinations and proportions for this purpose. A total of seven combinations and eight proportions were included in the study and each of the combination and proportion was tested in triplicates. All the samples yielded specific amplification product suggesting that mixing the meat from different animal species did not affect the PCR amplification. The results of detecting the animal species from adulterated meat samples were presented such as duck meat in buffalo meat (Figure 4). It was found that species-specific PCR developed was highly sensitive to identify adulteration up to the extent of 0.1% (w/w). For all species, it was observed that the lower the percentage of the target meat in the admixture, the fainter the band obtained in the PCR with the corresponding specific primers. Similarly, a minimum detection limit of 0.1-0.01% (w/w) for meat products was found in various literatures (Karabasanavar et al., 2013; Ali et al., 2014; Amaral et al., 2015; Kim & Kim, 2017). Some other workers, especially by real-time PCR and other PCR methods, could even detected <0.01% (w/w) in the adulterated meat mixtures (Kesmen et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2014; Floren et al., 2015). However, the second approach is most preferred since it is closer to the actual conditions of meat adulteration in the market.
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**Figure 1.** PCR products of raw meat samples amplified by different species-specific primers. M: DL2000 DNA marker; lanes 1-4: buffalo meat; lanes 6-9: cattle meat; lanes 11-14: pork meat; lanes 16-19: duck meat; lanes 5, 10, 15 and 20: negative control.
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**Figure 2.** Specificity of multiplex PCR from raw meats. M: DL2000 DNA marker; lanes 1-2: buffalo meat; lanes 3-4: cattle meat; lanes 5-6: pork meat; lanes 7-8: duck meat; lanes 9: negative control.
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**Figure 3.** PCR results of serial 10-fold diluted DNA template (1000, 100, 10, 1 and 0.1 pg). M: DL2000 DNA marker; lanes 1-5: buffalo meat; lanes 6-10: cattle meat; lanes 11-15: pork meat; lanes 16-20: duck meat.
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**Figure 4.** PCR products of binary reference mixtures of duck meat in buffalo meat. M: DL2000 DNA marker; lanes 1-10 are samples of binary mixtures containing 100%, 90%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 10%, 5%, 1%, 0.1% and 0% of the target meats, respectively.
3.3 Application to commercial meat products

The real-world use of the developed assay was demonstrated in commercial buffalo meat products. The result showed that the direct multiplex assay was efficient and could be successfully amplified (Figure 5). The adulteration was found in all meat product types including minced meat, frozen rolls, boiled meat, meat ball, vacuum-packed meat and jerky (Table 2). The highest number of adulteration (56.7%) was found in meat ball and the lowest number (15.4%) was found in vacuum-packed meat. The average fraud ratio was over 35% percentage (35.3%).

The worldwide trend will be the establishment of policies of authentication of meats, especially for international trade. However, in many countries and regions, meat authentication (such as domestic animal meats, game meats, marine meats) is rare, while market mislabeling and substitution occurs frequently (Walker et al., 2013; Amaral et al., 2014; Vartak et al., 2015; Quinto et al., 2016; Galal-Khallaf et al., 2016). These occurrences negatively impact the health and safety of the consumers and the economy, as well as breach religious laws.

The adulteration of buffalo meat and meat products with other meats in many cases are unreported, especially when societal issues are not dominant such as in China. Meat vendors often use cheaper meats to adulterate buffalo meat to meet the consumer demand and achieve monetary gain. In past researches, several investigators have used PCR-based approaches to identify buffalo meat from cattle meat and other animal meats targeting mitochondrial D-loop region (Karabasanavar et al., 2011; Mane et al., 2012; Girish et al., 2013), 12S rRNA gene (Patil et al., 2015), cytb gene (Gupta et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2014; Bhat et al., 2016) and ND5 gene (Hossain et al., 2017). However, no multiplex PCR assay has been documented for the detection of commercial buffalo meat products. The development of the multiplex PCR, a technique for detecting multiple species by combining multiple primer sets into a single amplification reaction enabled the simultaneous detection of different species. It is considered that multiplex PCR is highly repeatable, time saving and affordable compared with many other PCR-based methods (Ghovvati et al., 2009). Screening of commercial food products revealed that all tested buffalo meat product types were adulterated with other animal meats (cattle meat, pork or duck) by using multiplex PCR method in this study. The average fraud ratio was over one third (35.3%). These findings showed that this developed technology can be utilized for commercially available meat products and that it shows good sensitivity, precision and accuracy.

4 Conclusion

The developed multiplex PCR assay was sensitive enough to detect 1 pg pure DNA and 0.1% (w/w) adulterated meat under mixed matrices. Market survey revealed about 35.3% of buffalo meat products were adulterated with cattle meat, pork meat or duck meat in China.
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