Characterization of the interactions between chitosan/whey protein at different conditions
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Abstract
This research investigated the interaction between chitosan and whey protein molecules in terms of pH and heating. The FTIR, rheological analysis, turbidity, and zeta potential measurements were used to assess this interaction. At pH 4.0, addition of low amount chitosan with chitosan/whey protein isolate (C/WPI) ratio of 1:5 effectively prevented the denaturation of whey proteins after heating, whereas high amount of chitosan (C/WPI,1:2) led to depletion flocculation. The combination of chitosan and whey proteins was electrostatic attracting at pH 5.5 and 6.0. At low chitosan addition, the complexes formed at pH 5.5 showed higher viscosity and the complexes formed at pH 6.0 showed a shear-thinning behaviour. At high chitosan addition, the complexes showed high viscosity at pH 6.0. Heating led to the decrease of viscosity and increase of turbidity. The results manifested that chitosan and whey protein formed different complexes at pH 5.5 and 6.0, and the complexation of C/WPI was influenced by heating and ratio of chitosan and whey proteins.
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Practical Application: The interaction of C/WPO can be applied to improve the mechanical properties of chitosan-based films and the quality of whey protein gel.

1 Introduction
The interactions between polysaccharides and proteins play important roles in the macroscopic properties of food products. In recent years, food scientists have modified the interaction behaviour between proteins and polysaccharides by changing the environmental conditions, such as pH, heating and homogenization, to produce products with desired texture and physicochemical properties (Abhyankar et al., 2011; Prateepchanachai et al., 2017). When a protein solution is mixed with a polysaccharide solution, the interaction between the two polymers could be segregative, co-soluble or associative (Duran et al., 2016). These interactions can be adopted to obtain different functionalities. For instance, Co-soluble polysaccharides can be used to improve heat stability of protein-enriched beverage (Gentès et al., 2010), protein/polysaccharide nanoparticles formed based on associative interaction can be used as carrier of bioactive compounds with improved bio-availability (Lee et al., 2012).

Chitosan was reported with antimicrobial properties against fungi and bacteria, and has been successfully designed to prolong the shelf life of a variety of food products (Di Pierro et al., 2011; Duran et al., 2016). Whey proteins are milk proteins with globular structures and an isoelectric point of 4.7-5.3, and are very sensitive to temperature (Shimada & Cheftel, 1989). Whey proteins are widely used in food industry owing to their nutritional, gel forming, and emulsifying properties (Brumini et al., 2016; Soukoulis et al., 2017). Recently, the formation of chitosan and whey protein film was studied and addressed as new materials with desired properties (Kurek et al., 2014). The binding between chitosan and model protein were impacted by different molecular weight of chitosan (Brumini et al., 2016). Chitosan can interact with whey protein at low pH (<4.5), which was reported that slight attraction exists between whey protein and chitosan molecules, and this process is endothermic (Casal et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2015). While chitosan and whey protein have opposite charges at pH > 5.3, electrostatic attraction plays a dominant role, leading to the formation of C/WPI complexes.

The mechanisms of the interaction between whey protein and chitosin remain unclear. This study aimed to systemically demonstrate the interaction between chitosan (low molecular weight (MW) of 9.35 kDa) and whey proteins before and after heating under different pH and chitosan concentrations. The interaction of chitosan with whey proteins was characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), rheological analysis, and turbidity and zeta potential measurements.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials
Chitosan (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) with molecular weight of approximately 9.35 kDa, viscosity (1% in 1% acetic acid at 25 °C) of 20 mPa·s, and 85% degree of deacetylation was used. Whey protein isolate (WPI) contained 93.7% protein and
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was provided by New Zealand Dairy Products (Alacen 895, Mississauga, ON). All solutions were prepared using analytical grade chemicals and distilled water.

2.2 Preparation of C/WPI dispersions

WPI solution with a concentration of 5% (w/w) was prepared in distilled water at room temperature (22 °C) under stirring. The addition of sodium azide (0.01%) was aimed to prevent bacterial growth. The WPI solution was filtered using a 0.45 μm filter (Millipore, Fisher Science, ON) to remove any large particles, and then stored in a refrigerator (4 °C) overnight to achieve complete hydration. Chitosan solutions (1% and 2.5%, w/w) were prepared by dissolving in 100 mmol L \(^{-1}\) acetic acid solution with stirring at 22 °C. C/WPI dispersions were prepared by mixing chitosan solutions with WPI solution at a ratio of 1:1, and the WPI concentration was 2.5% (w/w) in the final mixing dispersion. In the final dispersions, C/WPI ratios were varied at 1:5 (low) and 1:2 (high). Solutions pH of 4.0, 5.5 and 6.0 was adjusted using 2 mol L \(^{-1}\) HCl or NaOH, and kept at room temperature for 2 h to achieve equilibrium. All the C/WPI dispersions were incubated in a water bath at 90 °C for 10 min using 15ml glass tubes, with an additional 2 min for the samples to reach the final temperature. And ice water was used to cool down the tubes instantly.

2.3 Turbidity measurements

For turbidity determination, all the dispersions were diluted 10 times using corresponding pH-adjusted (pH 4.0, 5.5 and 6.0) water and mixed well. After that, the diluted dispersions absorption was measured at 600 nm under a spectrophotometer (Hitachi UV-1100).

2.4 Particle size and zeta potential measurements

To reduce the viscosity of the dispersions, 10 times dilution was used for all the samples. The hydrodynamic radius and zeta potential of different dispersions were analysed through dynamic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano, Worcestershire, UK). All determinations were carried out at 25 °C. The average size based on intensity was reported for radius values using cumulant analysis, and the zeta potential results were represented by absolute values (mV).

2.5 Rheological measurements

The rheological properties of C/WPI dispersions were characterized by a steady flow test. The dispersions were subjected to shear rate ramp range from 10 to 300 s \(^{-1}\). All the measurements were performed using a controlled stress rheometer AR 1000 (TA Instruments Ltd., DE, USA) with temperature control. A cone and plate geometry with an angle 2.09° was used and measurement gap of 0.51 μm was set. The rheological measurements were carried out at 25 °C.

2.6 Attenuated total reflection (ATR)-FTIR Analysis

To enhance the detection signals, the C/WPI dispersions (15 mL) were transferred to Petri dishes with a diameter of 8 cm and dried at 35 °C for 24 h. The formed films were analysed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (IR Prestige-21 FTIR spectrometer, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan), which is fixed with an Attenuated total reflection (ATR) accessory (Pike Technologies, Madison, WI, USA). The films were placed on the ATR crystal with a press and analyzed from 600 to 4000 cm \(^{-1}\) at 4 cm \(^{-1}\) resolution. Air was used as background and 40 scans were averaged to give the final spectrum at room temperature (22 °C).

2.7 Statistical analysis

Each test was conducted with three or four replicates. ANOVA and Tukey's HSD (Minitab Onc., State College, PA, USA) were applied to analyse the experimental data with 95% confidence level.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Changes in turbidity

As shown in Figure 1, all the dispersions had very low turbidity before heat treatment at low concentration of chitosan (C/WPI ratio of 1:5). The lowest turbidity was observed at pH 4.0. After heat treatment, no change in the turbidity was detected for the dispersion at pH 4.0. By contrast, at relatively high pH (pH 5.5 and 6.0), the turbidity increased rapidly after heating.

The addition of high amount of chitosan (C/WPI ratio 1:2) increased the turbidity significantly (p < 0.05) at pH 5.5 and 6.0, whereas no significant change of the turbidity was detected at pH 4.0 (Figure 1). After heating, the turbidity increased significantly with the appearance of some visible flocculence at pH 4.0. Similarly, the turbidity for dispersions with pH 5.5 and 6.0 increased significantly after heat treatment. At pH 6.0, the turbidity of the dispersion with C/WPI ratio of 1:2 was lower than that of the dispersion with C/WPI ratio of 1:5.

Turbidity is a concisely and widely used parameter to observe the behaviour changes of proteins and polysaccharides (Kurukü et al., 2016). In the present study, at pH 4.0, heat treatment did not affect the turbidity when the concentration of chitosan was low (C/WPI ration 1:5), which is in agreement with previous research (Lee & Hong 2009). By contrast, relatively high pH values (pH 5.5 and 6.0) increased the turbidity rapidly after heating. Meanwhile, C/WPI at a ratio of 1:2 did not change the turbidity at pH 4.0, whereas turbidity changed significantly
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at pH 5.5 and 6.0. Different results were observed for the bovine serum albumin–chitosan and sodium caseinate–chitosan systems, where the turbidity decreased with increasing chitosan concentration at pH 5.0–6.0 (Kurukji et al., 2016). The highest turbidity was observed at pH 5.5.

3.2 Zeta potential

For the whey proteins, the measured zeta potential values were $12 \pm 0.3, -16 \pm 0.3$ and $-19 \pm 0.6$ at pH 4.0, 5.5 and 6.0, respectively (Figure 2). The zeta potential decreased with the increase of pH was observed in our experiment, in agreement with previous report that the zeta potential of pure chitosan decreased significantly when pH increased for all cases (Mounsey et al., 2008). After addition of chitosan, the zeta potential for all dispersions was positive with considerably higher values. At pH 4.0, the C/WPI dispersions had a zeta potential of around 50 mV, which was independent of the chitosan concentration and the heat treatment. At pH 5.5 and 6.0, at which the chitosan and WPI were oppositely charged, the zeta potential changed from negative to positive. Similar to the previous study, when mixed chitosan and protein the pH of the mixture of can change to 5–5.5 and both of them are positively charged (Kurek et al., 2014). After heat treatment, the zeta potential at both low (1:5) and high (1:2) chitosan addition increased significantly.

The zeta potential values of the whey proteins were positive with much higher values after adding chitosan. Meanwhile, the zeta potential for C/WPI dispersions was independent of chitosan concentration and heat treatment at pH 4.0. The increase of the zeta potential indicated that the existence of a combination behaviour between whey proteins and chitosan, even though both of them are positively charged at low pH. The combination of these two molecules was due to the interaction between negatively charged groups on the protein surface and the positively charged groups on the chitosan (Guzey & McClements 2006).

3.3 Rheological properties

To further understand the interaction between chitosan and whey proteins at different pH, a steady flow test (10-300 s$^{-1}$) was used to investigate the rheological properties of C/WPI dispersions (Figure 3). Figure 3A showed the flow behaviours of dispersions with low chitosan concentration (C/WPI 1:5) at different pH. Previous studies demonstrated that a 0.5% chitosan solution behaves as a Newtonian fluid (Hwang & Shin, 2000; El-Hefian & Yahaya, 2010). In present work, the dispersions at pH 4.0 and 5.5 behaved as Newtonian fluids, but the dispersion at pH 5.5 had a considerably high apparent viscosity. After heating, the dispersions still showed remarkable Newtonian fluid behaviour. No change in viscosity was detected at pH 4.0. By contrast, the viscosity for dispersion significantly decreased at pH 5.5 after heat treatment. With further increase in pH to 6.0, the apparent viscosity decreased with increasing shear rate, which indicated a good shear thinning behaviour. The radii at pH 5.5 and 6.0 were 190 ± 1 nm and 283 ± 8 nm, respectively. Therefore, the appearance of shear-thinning behaviour was a result of the formation of C/WPI complexes. The different flow behaviours at pH 5.5 and pH 6.0 indicated that C/WPI complexes were formed, although their attractions were both dominated by electrostatic attractions. The average radius of the dispersions was lower at pH 5.5 than at pH 6.0, which indicated that more compact and homogeneous particles were formed at pH 5.5.

The dispersions of C/WPI (1:2) at different pH all showed shear-thinning behaviour, as shown in Figure 3B–3D. The dispersions at pH 5.5 and 6.0 showed lower apparent viscosity after heat treatments. By contrast, the dispersion of C/WPI at pH 4.0 showed a much higher viscosity after heating. For a better comparison of the formation of different complexes on the rheological properties of C/WPI dispersions, the values of apparent viscosity at 300 s$^{-1}$ are shown in Figure 4. The highest viscosity was observed at pH 5.5 for low chitosan concentration (Figure 4A). The viscosity for dispersions at pH 5.5 and 6.0 remarkably decreased after heating, whereas no change was detected at pH 4.0. At high chitosan concentration (Figure 4B), the viscosity increased with increase of pH and the highest viscosity was observed at pH 6.0. Similar trends were found after heat treatment.

The C/WPI (1:2) dispersion with high chitosan content showed shear-thinning behaviour at different pH. In this case, attributing this phenomenon to the formation of C/WPI complexes is difficult because pure chitosan solution behaves as a non-Newtonian fluid at concentration higher than 1% (Hwang & Shin, 2000). The dispersion at pH 4.0 before heating had higher viscosity than after heating, whereas the lower apparent viscosity was observed in the dispersions at pH 5.5 and 6.0 after heating. Two possible reasons could lead to the increase in viscosity: the occurrence of flocculent and the increase in segregative interaction after heat treatment (De Kruijf & Tuinier, 2001).

3.4 FTIR analysis

Three regions in the FTIR spectra were investigated, i.e., the amide I (1600-1690 cm$^{-1}$) and amide II (1480-1575 cm$^{-1}$) (Figure 5), as well as the bands in the 1280–1350 cm$^{-1}$ region (Figure 6), which has been used as an indicator of protein α-helix
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As shown in Figure 5, two bands (1516 and 1533 cm\(^{-1}\)) could be detected in the amide II region, which were reported to be from the N–H in-plane bending and C–N stretching mode (Bandekar & Krimm, 1979; Kong & Yu, 2007). The band in 1516 cm\(^{-1}\) is the N–H in-plane bending from the specific group NH\(^3\)\(^+\) (Olsztynska-Janus et al., 2010). At pH 4.0 (Figure 5B), both the bands absorbed at 1516 and 1533 cm\(^{-1}\) were seen, and no changes presented before or after heat orientation (Tsuboi et al., 2000).

**Figure 3.** Rheological properties of C/WPI dispersions with low (A) or high (B-D) chitosan levels before (●, ▲) and after (○, □, △) heating. pH 4.0 (●, ○), pH 5.5 (●, □) and pH 6.0 (△, ▲).

**Figure 4.** Apparent viscosity measured at 300 s\(^{-1}\) for C/WPI dispersions with low (A) or high (B) chitosan levels before (●) and after (○) heating.

Figure 5. FTIR spectra (1450-1700 cm$^{-1}$) of C/WPO dispersions at pH 4.0 (B), pH 5.5 (C), and pH 6.0 (D). Dispersions with different chitosan levels measured before and after heating: C/WPO 1:5 before heating (red solid line), C/WPO 1:5 after heating (red dashed line), C/WPO 1:2 before heating (black solid line) and C/WPO 1:2 after heating (black dashed line). The spectra for chitosan (solid line) and whey protein (dashed line) are shown as Figure 5A for comparison.

Figure 6. FTIR spectra (1250-1350 cm$^{-1}$) of C/WPI dispersions at pH 4.0 (B), pH 5.5 (C), and pH 6.0 (D). Dispersions with different C/WPI ratio before and after heating: C/WPI 1:5 before heating (red solid line), C/WPI 1:5 after heating (red dashed line), C/WPI 1:2 before heating (black solid line) and C/WPI 1:2 after heating (black dashed line). The spectra for chitosan (solid line) and whey protein (dashed line) are shown as Figure 6A for comparison.
treatment. By contrast, the addition of chitosan at pH (5.5 and 6.0) decreased the intensity of the band at 1516 cm$^{-1}$, which was further influenced by heat treatment (Figure 5C and 5D). This phenomenon was due to the combination of chitosan with protein molecules, which restricted the bending motion of NH$_2$ groups. This band disappeared at high chitosan addition (C/WPO of 1:2) after heating (Figure 5D), thus indicating that heating promoted the complexation behaviour of whey protein and chitosan.

Compared to amide II, amide I (1600-1690 cm$^{-1}$) was more susceptible to the protein secondary structure and predominately related to the C=O stretching vibration of the amide group (Jiang et al., 2010). The main band detected at 1630 cm$^{-1}$ in the current research arises from the β-sheet structures (Fang & Dalgleish, 1997). The peak at 1650 cm$^{-1}$ in the chitosan spectrum (Figure 5A) was attributed to acetylated amino group (Maachou et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2015). The mixture of chitosan and whey proteins showed a shift in the peak at 1630 cm$^{-1}$ to a lower wavenumber at all pH values (Figure 5B-5D), which is consistent with previous research (Bourbon et al., 2011). In addition, the peak at 1650 cm$^{-1}$ in the chitosan spectrum is shown in Figure 5A. The mixture of chitosan and whey proteins showed a shift of the peak at 1630 cm$^{-1}$ to a lower wavenumber at all pH values (Figure 5B-5D). Furthermore, this peak shifted further after heating at pH 5.5 and 6.0. By contrast, the peak didn't shift after heating at pH 4.0. Figure 6 shows the changes of peaks in the wavenumber range of 1280-1350 cm$^{-1}$, and two significant peaks were detected in this range, namely, 1310 and 1342 cm$^{-1}$ (Figure 6A). The interaction of chitosan and whey protein at high pH (5.5 and 6.0) shifted the peak from 1310 cm$^{-1}$ to a longer wavenumber (Figure 6C and 6D). At pH 4.0, no shift of the peak could be detected (Figure 6D).

At pH 5.5 and 6.0, this peak was further shifted after heating, and this was due to the heat-induced unfolding or denaturation of whey protein molecules (Garea, 1999). At pH 4.0, no shift of the peak could be detected after heating, which further confirmed that the chitosan prevented the heating denaturation of whey protein at pH 4.0. Moreover, the peak at 1650 cm$^{-1}$, from the acetylated amino group of chitosan, was prominent and no shift was detected after heat treatment (Figure 5B). In present study, the complexation of C/WPI may restrain the C=O stretching vibration of the acetylated amino group in chitosan at pH higher than 5.5 because the peak was less prominent and completely disappeared after heating at pH 6.0 (Figure 5D). The peak at 1342 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to C=H bending and C–C stretching of internal coordinates of the main chain (O=C)–C=H moiety (Tsuboi et al., 2000). At pH 4.0, the intensity of this peak decreased after the addition of chitosan and disappeared at higher chitosan concentration (Figure 6A). Thus, the electrostatic repulsion between two molecules may inhibit the mobility of the protein molecules.

4 Conclusion

The interactions of C/WPI are influenced by pH, heating and ratio. The low amount of chitosan inhibited the heat-induced changes of the protein structures at pH 4.0 and no changes of the peaks in the amide I and amide II regions were detected. The chitosan and whey protein with opposite charges at pH 5.5 and 6.0 could combine with each other through electrostatic attraction. In addition, different combinations were detected at these two pH values, which were further influenced by chitosan concentration. At low chitosan addition (1:5), a much higher apparent viscosity was found at pH 5.5 compared to that at pH 6.0. By contrast, the apparent viscosity was higher at pH 6.0 when high amount of chitosan was added. Moreover, heating facilitated the combination of chitosan and whey proteins. The differences in the combination mechanism at the two pH values need further investigation. Chitosan is widely used to prepare hydrogels, films and fibers. This research showed that packaging materials with improved barrier and mechanical properties can be fabricated by modifying the interaction between chitosan and proteins.
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