Effect of the degree of acetylation, plasticizer concentration and relative humidity on cassava starch films properties
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Abstract
The objective of this study was to develop films with reduced hygroscopicity and improved mechanical properties from acetylated cassava starch. For that, an acetylation procedure using acetic anhydride as the esterification reagent was performed, resulting in starch acetates with degrees of substitution (DS) of 0.6 (S0.6) and 1.1 (S1.1). Twelve films formulations were studied: native cassava starch (S), starch acetate S0.6 and S1.1, with two glycerol concentrations (0.25 g.100 g⁻¹ (25) and 0.30 g.100 g⁻¹ (30)). The films were conditioned at the relative humidities (RH) of 58% and 75% for 96 h before characterization. Water vapor permeability, water solubility, water sorption isotherms, microstructural analyses, and mechanical properties in different relative humidity and different glycerol concentration were performed. Starch acetate films (S1.1) resulted in lower water vapor permeability (2.35 ± 0.26 × 1⁰⁻⁷ g/m.s.Pa) and water solubility (21.2 ± 0.16 g.100 g⁻¹) than native starch films (3.79 ± 0.26 × 1⁰⁻⁷ g/m.s.Pa and 25.46 ± 0.12 g.100 g⁻¹). S0.6 films showed higher tensile strength (8.42 ± 0.84 MPa) than S1.1 films (6.93 ± 0.55 MPa). The results indicated that acetylated starch can be used to produce films with improved mechanical properties, with less water solubility and permeability to water vapor, especially when starch acetate with 0.6 DS and low glycerol concentration are used. Chemical compounds used in this article: Starch, acetate (PubChem CID: 24832118); Acetic acid (PubChem CID: 176); Acetic anhydride (PubChem CID: 7918); Sulfuric acid (PubChem CID: 1118); Glycerol (PubChem CID: 753).
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Practical Application: There are studies on acetylated starch in the literature. These studies present chemical and physical-chemical analysis with different degree of acetylation. In context, these studies show that the starch is less soluble in water with different degree of acetylation. And some factors influence this chemical modification, as the original source of starch and esterification reagent. The originality of this paper was esterified starch cassava, producing starch acetate with different degrees of acetylation and use it in the preparation of biodegradable films, analyzing their mechanical, structural and hygroscopic properties.

1 Introduction

Difficulties to produce waterproof starch based films are widely reported in literature (Golachowski et al., 2015). Starch hydrophilic nature limits its use and new products development (Mbougueng et al., 2012; Raina et al., 2007). Modifications of native starch can be performed to enhance its positive attributes and to reduce shortcomings (Ashogbon & Akintayo, 2014). Starch acetates with high degree of substitution (DS > 1) have been studied for 60 y with the aim of replacing cellulose acetate (Chi et al., 2008).

Chemical modifications and the incorporation of hydrophobic materials in the starch matrix can improve the mechanical properties, reduce hydrophilicity and give active properties to starch-based films, enabling its use as packaging material. Several authors have reported the use of chemically modified starches to obtain new materials, e.g. pre gelatinized, acid modified and acetylated starches (Golachowski et al., 2015; Mbougueng et al., 2012; Bartz et al., 2012). In particular, acetylated starch is a starch ester that has been widely studied over the last two decades (Chi et al., 2008).

Esterification of starch involves the introduction of functional groups into the starch molecules, resulting in markedly altered physicochemical properties (Bartz et al., 2012). The introduction of side chains or amyllose ester radicals is commonly expressed as the degree of substitution (DS). Depending on the nature of the substituent and the degree of substitution, starch properties can be widely modified (Ashogbon & Akintayo, 2014; Huang et al., 2007). The DS influences the water solubility of starch acetate films, i.e. i) DS below 1.1 are soluble in water and poorly soluble in organic solvents; and ii) DS above 1.1 are insoluble in water and soluble in organic solvents, which drastically reduces their hydration and gel formation (Colussi et al., 2015).

There are work in the literature studying the degree of substitution of starch from several sources, such as corn starch (Sweedman et al., 2013), yam starch (Yu et al., 2014) and ginger starch (Zhang et al., 2009) and rice starch (Bartz et al., 2012). However, there is a lack of study of how different degrees of substitution interfere with mechanical, structural and hydrophobic properties of starch acetate films.
In this context, films prepared with low degrees of substitution starch acetates in water are an interesting alternative for the preparation of less hygroscopic biodegradable materials. Thus, the objective of this work was to develop films from cassava starch modified by acetylation with low degrees of substitution, using acetic anhydride as the esterification reagent, water as the solvent of film-forming solutions, with two glycerol concentrations as plasticizer (0.25 g.100 g⁻¹ starch and 0.30 g.100 g⁻¹ starch) and conditioned at humidity relative of 75% and 96 hours. FTIR, mechanical properties, solubility in water and microstructural analysis were performed to compare films based on native starch and on acetylated starches.

2 Experimental and/or theoretical methods

2.1 Materials

The synthesis of cassava starch acetate (CSA) was partially based on the method proposed by Feuer (1998) and modified by Larotonda et al. (2003): 75 g of dry cassava starch (Yoki Alimentos S.A., Paranavaí/PR, Brazil), 135 ml of glacial acetic acid (Synth, Diadema/SP, Brasil) and 138 ml of acetic anhydride (Synth, Diadema/SP, Brasil) were placed in a 1000 ml beaker on magnetic stirrer-heating plate. After reaching the temperature of 40 °C, the mixture was removed from the heating source and a catalyst mixture was carefully added (1.05 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (Synth, Diadema/SP, Brasil) and 12.45 mL of glacial acetic acid). The reactive mixture was maintained, under stirring, at the reaction temperatures of 20 °C and 47 °C (S - native starch; S₀.₆ - starch acetate - 0.6 DS; S₁.₁ - starch acetate - 1.1 DS) for 2 hours. When the reaction was completed, 250 mL of distilled water at 5 °C was added to the mixture to precipitate the starch acetate and the supernatant was removed and discarded. The precipitate was washed with distilled water, filtered and dried in a forced air oven (Tecnal, TE 394/2, Piracicaba, Brazil) for 8 hours at 60 °C.

The degree of substitution (DS) of the cassava starch acetate was determined by HCl titration, according to Wurzburg (1964). The acetyl content (%Acetyl) was calculated as follows (Equation 1):

\[
\% \text{Acetyl} = \frac{(V_p - V_v) \times M \times 43}{m} \times 100
\]

in which, \(V_p\) is the HCl volume (mL) used to titrate the blank sample, \(V_v\) is the HCl volume (mL) used to titrate the starch acetate sample, \(M\) is the concentration of the HCl (mol.L⁻¹), 43 is the molar mass of the acetyl group (g.mol⁻¹), and \(m\) is the mass (g) of the starch acetate (Whistler & Daniel, 1995).

The degree of substitution was calculated according to the Equation 2.

\[
\text{DS} = \frac{(162 \times \% \text{Acetyl})}{43 \times 100} - (43 \times 1) \times \% \text{Acetyl}
\]

\[
M = \text{sample amount as dry substance (g)}, 43 = \text{the molar mass of the acetyl group (g.mol}^{-1}), 162 = \text{molecular weight of the anhydroglucose unit, 1 = the hydrogen mass.}
\]

2.2 Apparent viscosity

The rheological behavior of the film forming suspensions S, S₀.₆, and S₁.₁, without glycerol was performed in a Haake rotational viscometer with concentric cylinders (VT550, Haake, Germany), using a controlled temperature system set at 30 °C. The resulting curves were plotted as shear stress (\(\tau\)) versus shear rate (\(\gamma\)), and modeled using the Ostwald de Waele equation for fluid (Equation 3):

\[
\tau = k\gamma^n
\]

in which \(k\) is the consistency coefficient and \(n\) is the flow behavior index.

2.3 Intrinsic viscosity

Starch solutions with concentrations of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 g starch.mL⁻¹ solution were prepared in 1 mol.L⁻¹ KOH solution and the intrinsic viscosity was analyzed according to Leach & Schoch (1963). To determine the flow times of the solvent (KOH solution) and of the starch solutions, 10 mL of solution were transferred to an Ostwald capillary viscometer (AVS 350, CT 53, Schott, Mainz Germany) at 30 °C. The flow time of 1 mol.L⁻¹ KOH solution was used as the reference flow (\(T_{1.1}\) - time zero) and the relative viscosity was obtained from the ratio \(T/T_{1.1}\) in which T is the flow time (s) of the tested concentration. The specific viscosity (\(\eta_{sp}\)) was given by \(\eta_{sp}/\eta_{0}\) and the reduced viscosity (\(\eta_{n}\)) by \(\eta_{n}/C\) (in which C is the starch concentration). The intrinsic viscosity (\(\eta_{in}\)) was determined by the extrapolation to zero of the straight line obtained from the linear fit of the experimental data of reduced viscosity as a function of concentration. The ratio of intrinsic viscosity to the molar mass (M) was given by the Staudinger-Mark-Houwink equation (Equation 4), where \(K\) (1.18 × 10⁻³) and \(\alpha\) (0.89) are empirical parameters (Whistler & Daniel, 1995).

\[
[\eta] = KM^n
\]

2.4 Starch films preparation

Filmogenic suspensions were prepared in triplicate with native starch (S) and acetylated starches with two different DS (S₀.₆, and S₁.₁, obtained as described above. Film-forming suspensions were prepared by suspending 3 g.100 g⁻¹ of starch or starch acetate in distilled water and glycerol was added at the concentration of 25 g.100 g⁻¹ (S₂₅, S₀.₆₂₅ and S₁.₁₂₅) or 30 g.100 g⁻¹ (S₃₀, S₀.₆₃₀ and S₁.₁₃₀) of the total dry matter. The suspensions were heated up to 85 °C, under stirring, for 30 minutes to dissolve a greater part of the starch acetate granules in water. Film-forming suspensions were cast in acrylic plates and dried in a forced air oven at 35 °C for 7 hours. Before further analyses, all produced films (S₂₅, S₀.₆₂₅, S₁.₁₂₅, S₃₀, S₀.₆₃₀ and S₁.₁₃₀) were conditioned at two different relative humidity (58% and 75% RH) for 96 hours.

2.5 Microstructure analysis

A scanning electron microscopy (JSM 6390LV, JEOL, Japan) was used to evaluate the effect of acetylation on starch granules (S, S₀.₆ and S₁.₁) and the surface and cross section of
film samples (S25, S0.625 and S1.25). Samples were mounted on aluminum planchets, coated with gold in an ion-an-stopping apparatus (Leica EM 500 SCD, Leica Microsystems, Germany) and scanning electron micrographs were obtained with a tungsten electron source, secondary electron detector and backscattered operating at 10 kV.

2.6 Fourier transformed IR spectroscopy (FTIR)

As the glycerol bands did not show differences in the spectra, so only a concentration of starch was selected, the film with better mechanical properties. S, S0.6 and S1.25, starch granules and S25, S0.625 and S1.25 films infrared spectra between 400 cm⁻¹ and 4000 cm⁻¹ were recorded using a FTIR spectrophotometer (FTLA 2000, ABB, Zurich) (Bartz et al., 2012). Tablets were prepared by mixing the starch samples with KBr with a ratio of 1:100 (sample weight: KBr weight). Approximately 1.0 mg of the samples was dried in a vacuum oven for 15 hours at 60 °C. After this period, 100 mg of KBr were added and the mixture homogenized in agate mortar. The pellets were prepared and left in a vacuum oven at 110 °C for 20 hours. Ten readings were performed for each sample at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

2.7 Films structure analyses

The thickness of the films was measured using a digital micrometer (Digimatic, Mitutoyo Co., Japan), averaging the values determined on 6 to 8 locations randomly chosen for each sample. The moisture content was determined at least in triplicate by the gravimetric method at 105 °C for 24 hours. Moisture content was expressed as a percentage of total weight (wet basis). The samples were conditioned in saturated saline solutions of sodium bromide and sodium chloride at 58% and 75% relative humidity, respectively, at 25 °C for 96 hours prior to analysis. Tensile tests were run with 15 samples for each analyze using a texture analyzer (TA-XT2i Stable Micro System, Surrey, UK) according to the ASTM D828–97 standard test methods (American Society for Testing and Materials, 1997). The samples for the tensile test were cut in dimensions of 25.4 mm by 100 mm and adjusted to the claws of the equipment, whose initial distance was 100 mm. The tensile velocity was 0.8 mm.s⁻¹.

Water vapor permeability (WVP) was determined at least in triplicate following the gravimetric method based on the ASTM E96–00 standard methodology (American Society for Testing and Materials, 2000).

Water solubility (WS) determination was assessed in three circular samples (ϕ = 2 cm) of each film (S25, S0.625, S1.25, S25, S0.625 and S1.25). Samples were dried for 24 hours in a desiccators containing silica gel at room temperature. Then, samples were weighed and immersed in 50 mL of distilled water containing sodium azide (0.02 g.100 mL⁻¹) for 24 hours without agitation. The insolubilized sample was determined by filtration and sodium azide (0.02 g.100 mL⁻¹) were recorded using a FTIR spectrophotometer (FTLA 2000, ABB, Zurich) (Bartz et al., 2012). Tablets were prepared by mixing the starch samples with KBr with a ratio of 1:100 (sample weight: KBr weight). Approximately 1.0 mg of the samples was dried in a vacuum oven for 15 hours at 60 °C. After this period, 100 mg of KBr were added and the mixture homogenized in agate mortar. The pellets were prepared and left in a vacuum oven at 110 °C for 20 hours. Ten readings were performed for each sample at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

Moisture sorption isotherms of films (S25, S0.625, S1.25, S0.625 and S1.25) were obtained by the gravimetric/static method. Samples were conditioned for 7 days in desiccators with silica gel at 25 °C. Then, 0.500 ± 0.001 g of each sample was placed at different relative humidities (from 7% to 90%) obtained by appropriate saturated saline solutions at 25 °C. Samples were periodically weighed until constant weight (=14 days) then moisture content was determined. The Guggenheim-Anderson-de-Boer (GAB) model (Equation 6) was fitted to the experimental results and model parameters were determined by non-linear regression, using the software Statistica 6.0 (Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, USA).

\[ X_w = \frac{(Ck_m a_w)}{(1-k_a_w)(1-k_a_w + Ck_a_w)} \]  

(6)

in which, \( X_w \) is the equilibrium moisture (g.g⁻¹ water: dry mass), \( a_w \) is the water activity, \( m_w \) is the monolayer water content, \( k \) the sorption heat of the multilayer, and \( C \) is the Guggenheim constant, which represents the sorption heat of the first layer.

2.8 Statistical analysis

The results were evaluated by one-way ANOVA at the 95% probability level. In the case of significant effects (p≤0.05), the means were compared using the Tukey’s test. These analyses were performed using the software Statistica 6.0 (Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Obtaining starch acetate

The degree of substitution resulted from the reaction temperatures of 20 °C (S0.6) was of 0.6 DS, while 1.1 DS (S1.25) was observed at 47 °C, confirming that higher reaction temperatures results in higher degrees of substitution (Larotonda et al., 2003; Lepeniots & Feuer, 1997). Moreover, at temperatures higher than 47 °C, films showed regions in which the acetate was insoluble (data not shown). This lower solubility of acetylated starch can be attributed to the introduction of bulky acetyl groups in the starch molecules, which impart greater hydrophobicity than the hydroxyl groups in the starch molecule (Colussi et al., 2015; Speedman et al., 2013).

A significant decrease of approximately 64% of the intrinsic viscosity was observed in acetylated starch (S0.6 and S1.25) in comparison to the native starch (S). The intrinsic viscosities of the starch acetates were 4.20 ± 0.10 mL.g⁻¹ for S0.6, 3.30 ± 0.08 mL.g⁻¹ for S1.25 and 153.88 ± 3.63 mL.g⁻¹ for S. The lower values of starch acetate might indicate that starch hydrolysis not only affected the surface of the granule, but also the rest of the starch structure (Yu et al., 2014). Zambrano et al. (2001) observed reduction from 258 to 22 mL.g⁻¹ of intrinsic viscosity when starch acetylation was performed.

Figure 1 shows the flow curves obtained from film forming suspensions S, S0.6 and S1.25, without glycerol (to avoid interferences on the apparent viscosity in the acetate starch). Both starch acetate and native starch suspensions showed Non-Newtonian behavior, characterized by a decrease in viscosity with increasing
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strain. The same behavior was observed by López et al. (2008) for filmogenic corn starch and corn starch acetate suspensions. Flow curves of starch acetate suspensions showed lower values of shear stress than those of the native one. The apparent viscosities obtained were 87.42 ± 2.56 mPa.s for S, and 60.23 ± 2.32 for S_{0.6} and 58.21 ± 2.99 mPa.s for S_{1.1}. Thus, the increasing DS resulted in a reduction of the shear stress. Saartrat et al. (2005) found that the viscosities of acetylated starches with high degrees of substitution were lower than those of native starches. Bartz et al. (2012) found starch acetate rice with 0.01 DS presented a viscosity reduction of 11.5% and acetate 0.67 DS of 49%. The reduction in the paste viscosity may be attributed to the depolymerization of the starch chains during acetylation.

Scanning electron microscopy was performed to observe the effect caused by acetylation on the starch granules, as shown in Figure 2. A slight variation in the granule size and shape of

Figure 1. Rheological flow curves of S, S_{0.6} and S_{1.1} film forming suspensions at increasing shear rates (s^{-1}).

Figure 2. Scanning electron microscopy images of the native starch S (A), acetylated S_{0.6} (B) and S_{1.1} (C) granules. The surfaces of native starch, S25 (D) starch acetate films, S_{0.6}25 (E), and the cross sections of native starch, S25 (F) and starch acetate films, S_{0.6}25 (G).


$S_{0.6}$ (Figure 2b) was observed in comparison with $S$ (Figure 2a). Higher acetylation temperature ($S_{1.1}$) there was an increasing destruction degree on the surface of the starch granules (Figure 2c). This observation also corroborates previous investigations on corn starch (Sweedman et al., 2013), yam starch (Yu et al., 2014) and ginger starch (Zhang et al., 2009). Sha et al. (2012) explained that the destruction of the granules after acetylation can be attributed to the increase in the intensity of acetylation that damage the hydrogen intermolecular bonds and promote the disruption of some starch granules. Bello-Pérez et al. (2010) and Singh et al. (2007) also reported fusion of potato starch granules with DS higher than 1.78.

Fourier transform infrared spectra of $S$, $S_{0.6}$ and $S_{1.1}$ starch granules (Figure 3a) and $S_{25}$, $S_{0.6}^{25}$, and $S_{1.1}^{25}$ films (Figure 3b) were performed to assess the chemically modifications of the acetylation reaction. According with Hong et al. (2015) the spectra of the starch acetate are characterized by three bands, i.e. i) the band at 1750 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the group C=O; ii) the band 1375 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the group CH$_3$ and iii) the band 1240 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the group C–O.

The band absorption at 1750 cm$^{-1}$ is commonly related with the ester carbonyl group C=O, which has been associated to the acetylation reaction (Bello-Pérez et al., 2010; Chi et al., 2008; Larotonda et al., 2004). The spectra of $S$ and $S_{0.6}$ granules (Figure 3a) did not presented as much differences as compared with $S_{1.1}$. However, $S_{1.1}$ showed a slight deformation at the location the band at 1743 cm$^{-1}$, as indicated by the black arrows in Figure 3a. Similar results were observed by Bartz et al. (2012), which evaluated pasting properties of native and acetylated rice starches and observed the appearance of peak at 1743 cm$^{-1}$ band with increasing degree of substitution.

Larotonda et al. (2004) reported similar result. The spectra presented by these authors show that, with the increasing reaction temperature (higher degree of substitution), the intensity of the bands 1370 cm$^{-1}$, 1242 cm$^{-1}$ and 990 cm$^{-1}$ increased. Chi et al. (2008) reported that the FTIR spectra of the granule acetylated corn starches with different DS (0.85, 1.78 and 2.89) showed new absorption bands at 1754 cm$^{-1}$ (carbonyl C=O), 1435 cm$^{-1}$ (asymmetric CH$_3$ deformation vibration), 1375 cm$^{-1}$ (CH$_3$ symmetric deformation vibration), and 1240 cm$^{-1}$ (CO stretching vibrational). The absorption bands observed for the acetylated starches indicated that the reaction products were formed during the esterification process.

### 3.2 Films analyses

Films with glycerol concentration of 25 g.100 g$^{-1}$ ($S_{25}$, $S_{0.6}^{25}$ and $S_{1.1}^{25}$) and 30 g.100 g$^{-1}$ ($S_{30}$, $S_{0.6}^{30}$ and $S_{1.1}^{30}$) were easy to handle and exhibited a homogeneous, transparent, bright appearance and an average thickness of 95 ± 8 μm.

#### Mechanical properties

The results of tensile strength (TS), elongation at break (ε) and Young’s modulus (Y) of the starch and starch acetate films ($S_{25}$, $S_{0.6}^{25}$, $S_{1.1}^{25}$, $S_{30}$, $S_{0.6}^{30}$ and $S_{1.1}^{30}$) conditioned at the relative humidity of 58% and 75% for 96 hours are presented in Table 1.

Acetate films conditioned at 58% RH presented higher values of the tensile strength (TS) than films conditioned at 75% RH, which is similar to results reported by the literature for starch-based films (Müller et al., 2009; Martelli et al., 2006; Malli et al., 2005). However, the films conditioned of 58% RH showed values of the elongation at break slightly higher when compared to the films conditioned at 75% RH. The values of the tensile strength of starch acetate films were 1.5 ($S_{0.6}$) and 2 ($S_{1.1}$) times higher than S films. This behavior can be explained by

#### Table 1. Tensile strength(TS), elongation at break (ε) and Young’s modulus (Y) of the S, S$_{0.6}$ and S$_{1.1}$ films with different concentration of glycerol (25g.100 g$^{-1}$ and 30 g.100 g$^{-1}$) and ε conditioned at 58% and 75% RH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>TS (MPa)</th>
<th>ε (%)</th>
<th>Y (MPa%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58% RH</td>
<td>75% RH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{25}$</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.27$^{ab}$</td>
<td>3.89 ± 0.29$^{a}$</td>
<td>50.33 ± 2.24$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{0.6}^{25}$</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.84$^{b}$</td>
<td>6.93 ± 0.55$^{b}$</td>
<td>19.78 ± 1.96$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{1.1}^{25}$</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.28$^{c}$</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.29$^{c}$</td>
<td>95.05 ± 13.6$^{c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{30}$</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.29$^{d}$</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.34$^{d}$</td>
<td>30.17 ± 1.86$^{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{0.6}^{30}$</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.35$^{e}$</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.33$^{e}$</td>
<td>33.17 ± 1.80$^{e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{1.1}^{30}$</td>
<td>5.04 ± 0.32$^{f}$</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.29$^{f}$</td>
<td>30.27 ± 1.33$^{f}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are the mean ± standard deviation. Different letters (a, b, c) in the same column and RH indicate significant differences among the different film formulation (P≤0.05). Different letters (x, y) in the same column and sample indicate significant differences among different RH (P≤0.05).
the substitution of OH groups in the starch molecule by acetyl group, making the films more resistant (Ghiotti & Falcone, 1994; Rosenthal, 1993). As well known, the tensile strength of cassava starch films decreases with increasing low molecular weight plasticizer concentration and relative humidity (Mali et al., 2005).

The elongation at break of S films was 2.5 and 3.0 lower than S_{0.6} and S_{1.1} films, respectively. Higher RH (75%) and glycerol concentration (30 g.100 g$^{-1}$) resulted in increased values of elongation at break. Due to the low degree of substitution, there are free hydroxyl groups which are susceptible to binding with water. Replacement of OH in the starch chains for acetyl groups is a way to decrease the interaction of water and the starch chains. However, the water exerts a plasticizing effect when there is an increase in relative humidity, acting as a potentiality of mobility, its low molecular weight leads to an increase in molecular mobility of amorphous and partially crystalline polymers due of the an increased free volume (Van Der Berg, 1991). These results are in agreement with those reported by several authors about the influence of the plasticizer concentration in hydrophilic films (Müller et al., 2009; Mali et al., 2005; Vicentini et al., 2005; Gontard et al., 1992). López et al. (2008) and Bonacucina et al. (2006) studied the influence of glycerol concentration on the mechanical properties of starch acetate films observing that at higher glycerol concentration the tensile strength decreased and the elongation at break increased. The Young’s modulus values S_{0.6} and S_{1.1} films conditioned at 58% RH were higher than S, but at 75% RH the differences between samples were only significant with 25 g.100 g$^{-1}$ of glycerol concentration (p≤0.05). As TS, Y values were reduced by the addition of higher concentration of glycerol.

Independently from the plasticizer concentration, higher DS significantly reduced the TS of the films. This behavior can be related with degree of substitution of acetyl groups, 0.6 DS resulted in higher TS, however higher temperatures of acetylation resulted in a chemical modification that conferred lower availability for the matrix linkage, influencing negatively the film mechanical resistance. At lower glycerol concentrations, higher degree of substitution resulted in slightly higher film elongation, which could be related to a less efficient starch gelatinization due to the higher acetylation.

**Water barrier properties**

Moisture contents, water solubility’s and water vapor permeability’s of starch and starch acetate films (S25, S_{0.6}25, S_{1.1}25, S30, S_{0.6}30 and S_{1.1}30) are shown in Table 2. The water solubility was reduced from 25% for S to 20% for S_{0.6} and to 22% for S_{1.1} films. This reduction can be attributed the modification reaction, making the starch less hygroscopic (Sweedman et al., 2013). Because of the glycerol hydrophilic nature, a higher amount of this plasticizer increased the water solubility of the films (Sothornvit & Krochta, 2001; Cova et al., 2010). López et al. (2008) also reported values of 19 ± 3.48 g.100 g$^{-1}$ starch and 25 ± 4.46 g.100 g$^{-1}$ starch for the water solubility of corn starch acetate films with glycerol concentrations of 2.5 g.100 g$^{-1}$ starch and 3.25 g.100 g$^{-1}$ starch, respectively.

The DS and the glycerol concentration did not significantly affect the water vapor permeability (P≤0.05). However, starch acetate films showed lower values of WVP respect to native starch films. A reduction from about 3.8 ± 0.27 × 10$^{-7}$ g.m/m$^2$.h.Pa to about 2.35 ± 0.21 × 10$^{-7}$ g.m/m$^2$.h.Pa was observed when S_{0.6} and S_{1.1} granules were used to prepare the films instead of S. This reduction can be caused by the substitution of the OH-groups in the starch molecule by acetyl groups, resulting in a less flexible starch structure (Sweedman et al., 2013). The less hygroscopicity of starch acetate also contributed to the lower WVP of starch acetate films.

Figure 4 shows the sorption isotherms of S25, S_{0.6}25, S_{1.1}25, S30, S_{0.6}30 and S_{1.1}30 films. The GAB model was fitted to the experimental data of isotherms. Table 3 shows the model parameters (m, C, and k) and coefficients of determination (R$^2$). The GAB model fitted well the sorption data, as previously reported by other authors for starch based films (Larotonda et al., 2003; Müller et al., 2009; Martelli et al., 2006).

**Table 2.** Moisture (g.g$^{-1}$), solubility (g.100 g$^{-1}$) and water vapour permeability (g.m$^2$.h.Pa) of the S, S_{0.6} and S_{1.1} films with different concentration of glycerol (25 g.100 g$^{-1}$ and 30 g.100 g$^{-1}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture (g.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Solubility (g.100 g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>WVP (g.m$^2$.h.Pa)$\times10^{-7}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S25</td>
<td>12.45 ± 0.13$^a$</td>
<td>25.68 ± 0.12$^b$</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.27$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{0.6}25</td>
<td>8.28 ± 0.10$^b$</td>
<td>20.31 ± 0.13$^b$</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.41$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{1.1}25</td>
<td>8.51 ± 0.09$^b$</td>
<td>21.62 ± 0.13$^b$</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.25$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S30</td>
<td>12.63 ± 0.10$^a$</td>
<td>25.23 ± 0.11$^b$</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.26$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{0.6}30</td>
<td>12.64 ± 0.11$^a$</td>
<td>20.42 ± 0.18$^b$</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.26$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{1.1}30</td>
<td>12.88 ± 0.12$^a$</td>
<td>22.27 ± 0.16$^b$</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.21$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters (a, b, c) in the same column indicate significant differences among the different film formulation (P≤0.05).

Figure 4. Moisture sorption isotherms of S25, S_{0.6}25 and S_{1.1}25 (a), S30, S_{0.6}30 and S_{1.1}30 (b) films.
Table 3. Fitting parameters the GAB model for moisture sorption data of S25, S0.625, S1.125, S30, S0.630 and S1.130 films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters GAB</th>
<th>m (g water/g dry solids)</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S25</td>
<td>0.09591</td>
<td>0.9691</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>&gt;0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0.625</td>
<td>0.06631</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>&gt;0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.125</td>
<td>0.07490</td>
<td>0.9303</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>&gt;0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S30</td>
<td>0.07303</td>
<td>0.7175</td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>&gt;0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0.630</td>
<td>0.06792</td>
<td>0.6926</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>&gt;0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.130</td>
<td>0.06082</td>
<td>0.6804</td>
<td>1.917</td>
<td>&gt;0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant differences were found between the sorption isotherms of S25, S0.625 and S1.125 films (P≥0.05). However, the sorption curves of S0.630 and S1.130 films were above those of the S30 films. The moisture equilibrium increased with increasing of the plasticizer content for all the water activity tested.

Films structure

The micrographs of the S0.625 film surface (Figures 2d and 2e) show that the films prepared with starch acetate were homogeneous and smooth, without fractures or pores. The cross sections of these S0.625 films (Figures 2f and 2g) showed a homogenous but multilayered structure. Thus, the micrographs of the acetate films were similar as the native starch films. López et al. (2008) also reported that corn starch acetate films plasticized with glycerol allowed the production of homogeneous films without pores or cracks. According to Sothornvit & Krochta (2001), plasticizers interfere in the association of the polymer chain, decreasing the rigidity of the network, producing a less ordered structure.

Figure 3b shows the FTIR spectra obtained in the infrared region for the starch acetate films (S0.6 and S1.1) with 25 g.100 g⁻¹ glycerol: starch (S25, S0.625 and S1.125). Film spectra showed the presence of the characteristic bands of the acetate spectra. The band at 3200-3500 cm⁻¹ region, is commonly assigned to the stretching of −OH. S0.625 film showed a strong broad band at 3297 cm⁻¹, which was also observed in the spectrum of S1.125 and S25 films, but with less intensity. A decrease of the intensity of the band at 3000-3500 cm⁻¹ was also reported in the spectra of barley starch with DS of 0.9 and 2.7 (Bello-Pérez et al., 2010), which could be related to lower availability of the hydroxyl groups due to their linkage to the polymer matrix. As granule spectra showed, S0.6 spectrum suggesting lower solubility of the acetate starch. The region between 2923 cm⁻¹ and 2853 cm⁻¹ has been attributed to the stretching of the CH bond (CH₂ symmetrical), representing a new and stronger hydrogen bonds in the films (Larotonda et al., 2004, Marques et al., 2006). The band at 1650 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the bending vibration of O–H, and at 1743 cm⁻¹ is characteristic of the vibrations of the carbonyl groups. S0.625 and S1.125 films showed bands at 1645 cm⁻¹ and 1743 cm⁻¹ respectively, while S25 did not present any band in that region (Bartz et al., 2012). The introduction of the acetyl group into the starch molecule has been associated with the presence of a band around 1650 cm⁻¹ and 1740 cm⁻¹ for low and high DS, respectively (Bello-Pérez et al., 2010).

The absorption band at 1450 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the angular deformation of the C–H bond and glycerol exhibited a characteristic peak at 1456 cm⁻¹ as was indicated by Zhang & Han (2006), however this band was not observed in plasticized films. The band at 1369-1370 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the deformation vibration of the symmetrical CH₃ and the band at 1241 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the stretching vibrational of C–O. These band in the films S25, S0.625 and S1.125 showed increased intensity, while the highest DS (1.1) showed the highest peak, which suggest that products of the acetylation reaction were formed during the esterification process (Chi et al., 2008).

In the region between 1200 cm⁻¹ and 900 cm⁻¹, the bands are associated with vibrations of the C–O, C–C and C–O–H bonds (Marques et al., 2006). The band at 990 cm⁻¹, which is mainly due to the vibrations of C–O–H, is sensitive to the water content in the intra molecular hydrogen bond. Changes in these bands could be associated with vibrations of the C–O–H bond, hydration with water or changes from an amorphous state to a semi-crystalline state (Van Soest et al., 1995). The bands at 1150 cm⁻¹ and 990 cm⁻¹ showed an increased intensity and saturation band for S0.625 and S1.125 films compared to S25, according to the arrow in Figure 3b. This result can be due to the interactions between the glycerol and the resulting acylated matrix of the polymer.

4 Conclusions

Cassava starch acetylation with degree of substitution of 0.6 and 1.1 resulted in films with improved mechanical and water barrier properties. In both cases, acetylation helped to produce films more mechanical resistant, less soluble in water and less permeable to water vapor. 0.6 DS showed better results in general, specially using lower glycerol concentration. Thus, acetylation of starch is an interesting method for the development of starch films with improved properties.
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