Effect of ultrasound on the functional and structural properties of hydrolysates of different bovine collagens
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Abstract

The ultrasound effect on functional and structural properties of hydrolysates of different bovine collagens (fibers, gelatines and hydrolysates) was evaluated. The enzymatically hydrolyzed samples (enzyme Alcalase®) with previous or simultaneous ultrasound treatment presented higher number of bands with shorter wavelengths (mid-FTIR). The ultrasound provided the samples with a higher hydrolysis degree and favored the antimicrobial activity, evidencing the advantages of its use in the process. Results revealed that higher hydrolysis degree does not always result in higher antioxidant activity. The use of ultrasound in the hydrolysis of these samples was seen to be effective, providing them with higher functionality and structural disruption.
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Practical Application: The hydrolysis providing better functionality to the different bovine collagen hydrolysates.

1 Introduction

When applying the ultrasound, the sound wave goes through the medium causing a series of effects; changes in the heat and mass transportation phenomena are among them. These effects are explained by several associated mechanisms that might vary depending on the environment in which the acoustic wave propagates (Cárcel et al., 2012), thus, allowing the modification of products or processes (Tao & Sun, 2015). Recent studies have shown that the ultrasound can change the structure and functional properties of food protein (Arzeni et al., 2012; Ashokkumar, 2015; Vidal et al., 2018), mainly those from animal origin (O’Sullivan et al., 2015). It might cause increase in the enzymatic activity due to better substrate-enzyme bonding and the exposure to the enzyme active site. When used as pre-treatment or simultaneously during the hydrolysis process, it affects the hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions, breaking the protein tertiary and quaternary structures due to cavitation effects (Vidal et al., 2018). These structural changes enable the enzyme access to the structure, increasing the hydrolysis and bioactivity degree (Ozuna et al., 2015).

There is growing interest in the processes of collagen extraction and its by-products (Decker & Park, 2010) due to the possibility of using this protein to substitute synthetic agents in the most diverse industrial processes and also for allowing higher valuation of by-products of the slaughtering of animals for food. Collagens form a large and diversified family of proteins that are found in all multicellular organisms conjunctive tissues, mainly in tendons, cartilages, ligaments, muscles, demineralized bones, vascular system and other organs from vertebrates (Liu et al., 2010). It is the animal origin protein found in the largest amounts and represents nearly 30% of the total protein (Pati et al., 2010).

From the mid 2000 on, studies started to appear that sought the acquisition, fractioning and characterization of collagen hydrolysates and peptides (Decker & Park, 2010) aiming at evaluating some functional properties of those compounds. The collagen derived peptides can be obtained through enzymatic hydrolysis using animal or vegetable proteases (Goméz-Guillén et al., 2011) applied to the by-product of animal slaughtering resulting in aggregated value (Di Bernardini et al., 2011). The collagen peptides might present antimicrobial, antioxidant and anti-hypertensive activities (Li et al., 2007; Herregods et al., 2011).

The differences between the several types of collagen by-products (gelatin, fiber and hydrolyzed collagen) and the evaluation of ultrasound effects on the enzymatic hydrolysis, functional and structural properties of protein hydrolysates have not been fully explored and represent a wide field to be investigated (Vidal et al., 2018). The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of ultrasound on the functional and structural properties of hydrolysates of different bovine collagens.

2 Materials and methods

The different types of bovine collagen used to obtain protein hydrolysates are presented in Table 1. The Alcalase 2.4L® (Novozymes®) was supplied by the company Tovanni Benzaquen Ingredientes (São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and all the agents were of analytical degree, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Brasil Ltda (São Paulo, SP, Brazil).
Table 1. Different types of bovine collagen used to obtain protein hydrolysates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of collagen</th>
<th>Name/Code</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural fiber</td>
<td>Fiber/FB</td>
<td>Novaprom Food Ingredients Ltda (Lins – SP - Brazil)</td>
<td>Particle size between 1.80 and 1.92 mm, extracted from bovine skin through alkaline treatment, pH between 7 and 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder fiber</td>
<td>Powder fiber/FP</td>
<td>Novaprom Food Ingredients Ltda (Lins – SP - Brazil)</td>
<td>Particle size between 0.45 and 0.57 mm, extracted from bovine skin through alkaline treatment, pH between 7 and 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin 1</td>
<td>Gela³/GEL</td>
<td>Gelita do Brasil Ltda (Cotia – SP- Brazil)</td>
<td>Extracted from leather or diverse raw material through alkaline partial hydrolysis (rigorous treatment), 236 g Bloom; 40 mP viscosity; 11% humidity; pH 5.6; &lt;= 2% ashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin 2</td>
<td>Rousselot³/ROU</td>
<td>Rousselot Gelatinas do Brasil Ltda (Amparo – SP- Brazil)</td>
<td>Extracted from leather or diverse raw material through alkaline partial hydrolysis (rigorous treatment), 250g Bloom; 3.8 mP viscosity; 12.4% humidity; pH 5.5; &lt;=2% ashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysate 1</td>
<td>Peptan³/PEP</td>
<td>Rousselot Gelatinas do Brasil Ltda (Amparo – SP- Brazil)</td>
<td>Extracted from bovine leather/swine skin through chemical + enzymatic hydrolysis, 4 mP viscosity; 7.2% humidity; 92.15% protein; pH 6; 0.65% ashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysate 2</td>
<td>Peptiplus³/PTL</td>
<td>Gelita do Brasil Ltda (Cotia – SP- Brazil)</td>
<td>Extracted from bovine leather/swine skin through chemical + enzymatic hydrolysis, 39 mP viscosity; 5.9% humidity; 92.1% protein; pH 5.7; &lt;=2% ashes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Prestes et al., 2013; Rousselot, 2014; Gelita, 2014).

2.1 Physical-chemical characterization of collagens

The following physicochemical analyses were performed to characterize the collagens: pH (electrometric determination), lipids (direct extraction in Soxhlet), proteins (modified Kjedahl method), moisture (at 105 °C), ashes (burning at 550 °C), hydroxyproline (spectrophotometry) and collagen (correction factor 8.0), according to AOAC (2016).

2.2 Hydrolysis procedures

The different treatments employed to obtain bovine collagen protein hydrolysates are presented in Table 2. The enzymatic reactions were carried out following the methodology used by Schmidt & Salas-Mellado (2009) with some changes, in which 8% enzyme was tested in relation to the substrate. The following equipment was used for the hydrolysis reactions: ultra thermostatic bath (Model SL152, power 2000 W, SOLAB, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil), ultrasonic bath (Model ECO-SONICS – Q3.8/40A, power 88 W and frequency 40 KHz, ULTRONIQUE, SERVYLAB, São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil) and a COLEMAN centrifuge (Model 90 – 1, Santo André, SP, Brazil). The hydrolysates were freeze-dried in a TERRONI lyophilizer (Model LS3000, São Carlos, SP, Brazil). For the EHU treatment, the hydrolysis process total time was two hours, while for the UEH this time was four hours.

2.3 Structural characterization of collagen hydrolysates

FTIR analysis was performed according to the methodology described by Demiate et al. (2000). A Fourier-transform infrared spectrophotometer (FTIR) Shimadzu IR Prestige-21 (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used and the spectra collected in the range 4000 - 400 cm⁻¹. Pellets were produced by mixing 100 mg of KBr and 2 mg of lyophilized sample and using a carver hydraulic press.

2.4 Determination of the collagen hydrolysate hydrolysis degree

The modified biuret method was used to determine the hydrolysis degree, as suggested by Di Bernardini et al. (2012). The bovine albumin (Sigma-Aldrich Brasil Ltda, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) was used as standard for the method. The hydrolysis degree was calculated based on the amount of protein in the crude collagens (Equation 1).

\[
DH = \left( \frac{PI - PF}{PI} \right) \times 100
\]

Where, \(DH\) =hydrolysis degree; \(PI\) =crude sample protein; \(PF\) =sample protein after hydrolysis.

2.5 Determination of the collagen hydrolysate antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity was evaluated by the DPPH method (Brand-Williams et al., 1995), modified by Sánchez-Moreno et al. (1998) to measure the kinetic parameters. A UV spectrophotometer was used (Model UV – M51, BEL Photonics, SERVYLAB, São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil).

2.6 Determination of the collagen hydrolysate antimicrobial activity

The Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was determined by the indirect method of bacterial growth [Gram-negative (Salmonella choleraesuis - ATCC 10708) and Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus - ATCC 6538)] in liquid medium (Gaio et al., 2015). The MIC values were determined as triplicate runs. An amount of 10 μL pre-inoculum (10⁶ CFU mL⁻¹) was used to inoculate LB medium (10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 5 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, and 5 g L⁻¹ sodium chloride) and different concentrations...
of collagen hydrolysate formulations diluted in sterile water. The bacterial growth was determined as the difference between the absorbance at 0 and after 24 h of incubation.

2.7 Statistical analysis

The collagen physicochemical analysis results were submitted to the univariate variance analysis – ANOVA and compared by Tukey test at 5% significance level using the software Action v.2.9 (Estatcamp, São Carlos, SP, Brazil).

After collecting the FTIR spectra, they were smoothed (15 points), the baseline was corrected and CO₂ zone removed and also the data was normalized, using the Shimadzu IR Solution 1.40 software. Then, a table with all data was constructed using the wavelength as column and the samples as lines. A chemometric approach including principal component analysis (PCA) was implemented in the Pirouette v. 4.0 (Infometrix®, Bothell, WA, USA). The analysis was performed in the spectrum region ranging from 500 to 1722 cm⁻¹, and dataset was mean-centered and submitted to 1° derivate. PCA is a data reduction technique that was applied to verify the difference and to extract important information from FTIR spectra between the samples, and a three-dimensional scatter plot was built to project the samples (Zielinski et al., 2014).

Two experiments were performed for the statistical data evaluation regarding protein (biuret), hydrolysis degree (biuret) and antioxidant activity (DPHP):

Experiment 1 was developed to evaluate which ultrasound application, previous or simultaneous, would be more effective in the enzymatic treatment. The experimental analysis was performed in split plot with a completely randomized design; the different collagens were distributed in the whole plots and ultrasound application (previous vs. simultaneous) and their interactions distributed randomly in the subplots within each plot, according to the statistical model (Equation 2):

\[ Y_{ijkl} = \mu + a_i + (\alpha \delta)_j + b_i + (\beta \gamma)_k + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_ijkl + e_{ijkl} \]  

where, \( Y_{ijkl} \) value observed in the \( i^h \) whole plot, \( j^h \) repetition and \( k^h \) subplot; \( \mu \) overall mean of the response variable; \( a_i \), fixed effect of \( i^h \) collagen; \( (\alpha \delta)_j \), residual effect of whole plot (error A); \( b_i \), fixed effect of \( i^h \) ultrasound application; \( (\beta \gamma)_k \), fixed effect of the interaction between \( j^h \) enzymatic concentration and \( k^h \) ultrasound application; \( (\alpha \beta \gamma)_ijkl \), fixed effect of the interaction between \( i^h \) collagen and \( j^h \) enzymatic concentration; \( e_{ijkl} \) residual effect of the subplots (error B) or random effect associated to \( ik^h \) observation, supposed \( e_{ijkl} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \).

Experiment 2 was performed to evaluate the collagen effect, enzymatic concentration and the use of ultrasound or not. The experiment analysis was developed in split plot with completely randomized design, and different collagens were distributed in the whole plots, enzyme concentrations (0 and 8%) and ultrasound application or not (without US vs. with simultaneous US) and their interactions randomly distributed in the subplots within each plot, according to the statistical model described in Equation 3:

\[ Y_{ijkl} = \mu + a_i + (\alpha \delta)_j + b_i + (\beta \gamma)_k + (\alpha \beta)_ijkl + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_ijkl + e_{ijkl} \]  

where, \( Y_{ijkl} \) value observed in \( i^h \) whole plot, \( j^h \) repetition and \( k^h \) subplot; \( \mu \) overall mean of the response variable; \( a_i \), fixed effect of \( i^h \) collagen; \( (\alpha \delta)_j \), residual effect of whole plot (error A); \( b_i \), fixed effect of \( i^h \) enzymatic concentration; \( (\beta \gamma)_k \), fixed effect of \( k^h \) ultrasound application; \( (\alpha \beta)_ijkl \), fixed effect of the interaction between \( j^h \) collagen and \( k^h \) ultrasound application; \( (\alpha \beta \gamma)_ijkl \), fixed effect of the interaction between \( j^h \) collagen and \( k^h \) enzymatic concentration; \( e_{ijkl} \) residual effect of the subplots (error B) or random effect associated to \( ik^h \) observation, supposed \( e_{ijkl} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \).

The resulting data were submitted to the statistical model described in Equation 3:

\[ Y_{ijkl} = \mu + a_i + (\alpha \delta)_j + b_i + (\beta \gamma)_k + (\alpha \beta)_ijkl + (\alpha \beta \gamma)_ijkl + e_{ijkl} \]  

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Collagen physicochemical characterization

There was significant difference (p<0.05) for moisture, ashes, proteins, lipids, pH, hydroxyproline and collagen in the different crude collagen samples (Table 3). The GEL and ROU samples presented the highest moisture values, which is explained by the different drying process of those collagens during industrial processing. Regarding ashes, the sample that presented the highest content was FP, while the other samples had similar values. The ash content variation between different collagens was explained by the different drying process of those collagens due to the different heating process of the samples.

Table 3. Humidity, ashes, proteins, lipids, pH, hydroxyproline and collagen average content regarding the different types of collagen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of collagen*</th>
<th>Humidity (%)</th>
<th>Ashes (%)</th>
<th>Proteins (%)</th>
<th>Lipids (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Hydroxyproline g/100 g**</th>
<th>Collagen g/100 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FB</td>
<td>0.96±0.39</td>
<td>1.24±0.77</td>
<td>87.7³±0.43</td>
<td>3.37±0.01</td>
<td>6.72±0.02</td>
<td>10.8±0.06</td>
<td>86.3⁺±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>3.51±0.12</td>
<td>3.20±2.29</td>
<td>84.4±0.85</td>
<td>3.14±0.06</td>
<td>6.26±0.02</td>
<td>11.3±0.16</td>
<td>90.6⁺±1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>7.59±0.09</td>
<td>0.72±0.28</td>
<td>87.3±0.28</td>
<td>0.53±0.03</td>
<td>6.40±0.01</td>
<td>11.4±0.28</td>
<td>91.2⁺±2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTL</td>
<td>5.51±0.51</td>
<td>0.37±0.21</td>
<td>91.0±0.29</td>
<td>0.58±0.01</td>
<td>5.62±0.02</td>
<td>12.9±0.04</td>
<td>100.0⁺±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEL</td>
<td>10.6±0.09</td>
<td>0.56±0.22</td>
<td>86.3±0.54</td>
<td>0.53±0.01</td>
<td>5.60±0.03</td>
<td>12.9±0.14</td>
<td>100.0⁺±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROU</td>
<td>10.6±5.09</td>
<td>0.61±1.13</td>
<td>86.3±0.80</td>
<td>0.54±0.03</td>
<td>5.35±0.02</td>
<td>11.4±0.15</td>
<td>91.2⁺±1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages in the same column with different overwritten letters differed significantly one from another in the Tukey test (p<0.05). *FB – collagen natural fiber; FP – powder collagen fiber; PEP – hydrolyzed 1 collagen; PTL – hydrolyzed 2 collagen; GEL – gelatin 1; ROU – gelatin 2. **Values informed by the companies that manufactured the samples.
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samples can be explained by the different types of raw materials used to extract the collagens.

Analyzing the protein content, the sample PTL presented the highest value while the sample FP presented the lowest value. The collagen protein content is affected by the extraction method; the most rigorous extraction processes result in lower protein content, since they affect severely the protein structure, breaking it into smaller fractions. In this study, high protein content was found in the collagen hydrolysates, agreeing with the study of Sila et al. (2016), in which a fish (Barbus barbus) protein hydrolysate presented high protein content (81.43 ± 0.73%). That fact was ascribed to its solubilization during hydrolysis, the removal of non protein substances and the partial removal of lipids after hydrolysis.

When the lipid average values were analyzed, the FB sample was seen to present the highest content, followed by the FP sample. The FB and FP production process generates higher fat residual values. Pre-treatment is not as drastic as the gelatin industry because the goal is to get raw material (fiber and fiber powder). The FB sample presented the highest pH value. The collagen final pH depends on the phases used in the extraction process. This pH close to neutrality reflects a pH correction phase (neutralization) in the FB sample extraction process.

One of the amino acids responsible for keeping the stability of the triple helix (collagen secondary structure) is hydroxyproline. Its absence or low concentration in the collagen results in the loss of the triple helix conformation when submitted to high temperatures (Gómez-Guillén et al., 2011), thus hampering the gelation properties. The collagen samples presenting the highest hydroxyproline and collagen contents were the PTL and GEL. These samples presented almost the same contents for both analyses, probably because they were produced by the same company and, consequently, obtained from the same raw material. However, they were submitted to different extraction treatments, chemical and enzymatic hydrolysis and alkaline partial hydrolysis, respectively.

3.2 Bovine collagen hydrolysates structural characterization

The infrared spectroscopy is one of the oldest and most used experimental analyses to investigate the secondary structure of polypeptides and proteins (Kong & Yu, 2007). The principal component analysis (PCA) results indicated that the samples were separated into four distinct groups according to the band similarity (Figure 1) explaining 85.57% of the data variance.

In a study by Duan et al. (2014) the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was used seeking to verify the secondary structure conformational prediction of egg transferrin purified peptide. Results suggested that the peptide conformation was based on β-leaves. The samples enzymatically hydrolyzed with previous or simultaneous ultrasound presented higher number of bands in wavelength (cm⁻¹) than those that had only been hydrolyzed with enzyme or ultrasound, indicating that this technology strengthened these samples hydrolysis process. What can be inferred is that each type of collagen requires a specific hydrolysis treatment, which does not have the same effect on samples with different properties as a result of the processes used to obtain these collagens.

3.3 Results of the previous or simultaneous ultrasound effect to the enzymatic hydrolysis process

The collagen effect and the interaction collagen vs. ultrasound was seen to be significant (p<0.05) for the variables protein (ptn), hydrolysis degree (DH) and for the antioxidant activity (AA) (results not shown). The ultrasound effect was significant (p<0.01) for the variables protein and antioxidant activity. Similar results were found by Vidal et al. (2018) but with the use of pepsin. The ultrasound effect on the hydrolysis of different bovine collagens, according to their application, might inhibit or not the enzymatic activity, depending on the conditions imposed to the medium.

As it had been expected, there was negative correlation (p<0.001) between the protein (ptn) values and the hydrolysis degree (DH) (r=-0.84) (results not shown). The highest residual protein content (after the hydrolysis process) resulted in lower hydrolysis degree. This fact might be explained by the lower enzyme action during the hydrolysis process, which might have been affected negatively by the ultrasound, the excessive amount of enzyme in the medium (enzyme excess inhibition) and scarcity of substrate. There was positive correlation (p<0.01) between the protein values and the antioxidant activity (r=0.46).

Figure 1. 3D-scatter plot obtained through PCA based on the 1722 to 500 cm⁻¹ region.

FB – collagen natural fiber; FP – powder collagen fiber; PEP – hydrolyzed 1 collagen; PTL – hydrolyzed 2 collagen; GEL – gelatin 1; ROU – gelatin 2; HU – ultrasound hydrolysis; EH – enzymatic hydrolysis; EHU – ultrasound assisted enzymatic hydrolysis; UEH – previously treated with ultrasound followed by enzymatic hydrolysis.
3.4 Average total results for protein (PTN), hydrolysis degree (DH) and antioxidant activity (AA) in the evaluation of previous or simultaneous ultrasound effect on the enzymatic hydrolysis process

The results presented are the average total protein, degree of hydrolysis and antioxidant activity for all collagen analyzed because the objective was to find out if the use of ultrasound was better before or during hydrolysis. The use of ultrasound simultaneously to the enzymatic hydrolysis process generated lower protein content, when compared to the previous use of ultrasound. Consequently, the hydrolysis degree for simultaneous ultrasound treatment was higher than that of the previous ultrasound treatment (Table 4). These results corroborate with Vidal et al. (2018) however different results were found by Yu et al. (2014), who verified that the ultrasound application (278.8 ± 7.4 W, 40 kHz) for 30-60 minutes, at 0-4 °C controlled temperature inhibited the α-amylase and papain enzyme activity. By contrast, using the same process conditions, the pepsin activity was activated. Those effects were ascribed to alterations in their secondary and tertiary structures. In another study, the same authors evaluated the ultrasound influence in the tyrosinase activity and the results showed increase in the enzyme activity caused by better bonding substrate-enzyme and the exposure to the enzyme active site (Yu et al., 2013).

The antioxidant activity was higher in samples hydrolyzed with 8% enzyme and simultaneous ultrasound. Opposite result was found by Knezevic-Jugovic et al. (2012), who compared the effects of ultrasound and high pressure processing with carbon dioxide on the egg white protein proteolytic hydrolysis and the antioxidant activity of the hydrolysates obtained. The results found by those authors revealed that the combination of previous ultrasound treatment (1 hour, 30 kHz, pH 8.3) and the subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis with Alcalase (50 °C and pH 8.0) increased the antioxidant activity of the hydrolysates.

To evaluate the effect of different types of collagen, the enzyme and the ultrasound on the different variables under analysis, the simultaneous ultrasound was chosen as treatment, since it resulted in lower protein content, higher hydrolysis degree and higher antioxidant activity.

**Table 4.** Average results of protein, hydrolysis degree and antioxidant activity in collagen hydrolysate samples, evaluating the ultrasound effect on the enzymatic hydrolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein, mg/mL</th>
<th>Hydrolysis Degree, %</th>
<th>Antioxidant Activity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptn, mg/mL</td>
<td>DH</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous</strong></td>
<td>8.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.40&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simultaneous</strong></td>
<td>7.85&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.68&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ptn – protein; DH – hydrolysis degree and AA – antioxidant activity. Averages on the same line with small different letters indicate significant difference between ultrasound with “v” test (p<0.05).

3.5 Probabilistic values of ultrasound effect (simultaneous), enzyme (0 and 8%) and the different types of collagen for protein, hydrolysis degree and antioxidant activity

The effect of the interaction collagen x enzyme x ultrasound was significant (p<0.05) for all variables, that is, the variations in their average values are directly linked to the type of collagen, enzyme percentage and use of ultrasound or not in the hydrolysis process, which might affect directly the functionality of the peptide generated in the hydrolysis (results not shown).

There was negative correlation (p<0.001) between the protein and hydrolysis degree (r=-0.56) values (results not shown). Protein and antioxidant activity values did not present correlation (p>0.05) (r=-0.05). The hydrolysis degree and antioxidant activity values presented positive correlation (p<0.01) (r=0.35), that is, higher hydrolysis degree resulted in higher antioxidant activities.

3.6 Average results for protein and hydrolysis degree in different samples of collagen hydrolysates under the effect of ultrasound vs. enzyme vs. collagen interaction

The FB crude sample presented 8.21 mg/mL protein average value, but when it was ultrasound treated its protein content dropped to 7.24 mg/mL, representing a 12.15% hydrolysis degree (Table 5). The decrease in protein value was provoked by the medium cavitation, which provokes breakage of the protein structure, generating smaller fractions.

Ciménez et al. (2009) also pointed out the hydrolysis degree used in the Alcalase enzyme process. They carried out the hydrolysis of flounder and squid gelatin samples at 50 °C for three hours, and obtained hydrolysis degree ranging from 35 and 50%.

The FP sample presented the highest protein content, probably for being a crude sample, without having been submitted to any previous hydrolysis process. The PTL sample presented low protein content and, consequently, one of the highest hydrolysis degree values along with the FB sample; despite having been submitted to the hydrolysis process, this demonstrates that it was more bioavailable to the enzymatic hydrolysis process and that the ultrasound favored this process. The GEL and ROU samples, despite having presented low protein values, did not present hydrolysis degree values as high as expected, representing the lowest values found for these samples.

The enzyme specific activity is related to the hydrolysis degree, that is, the higher the enzyme activity the higher the breakage of proteins in smaller peptides; however, the hydrolysis degree control must be strict, since very high values might damage the hydrolysates functional properties (Kristinsson & Rasco, 2000). The ultrasound, in general, provided the highest hydrolysis degrees, which evidences that its use is beneficial to the process regarding different types of collagen (Vidal et al., 2018).
3.7 Average results for antioxidant activity in different samples of collagen hydrolysates under the effect of ultrasound vs. enzyme vs. collagen interaction

Regarding the FB sample, the use of ultrasound in the enzymatic hydrolysis process was not interesting, since it resulted in lower antioxidant activity values or similar values when compared to the absence of ultrasound use (Table 5). In the FP sample, even if the treatments did not present significant differences, the ultrasound provided higher antioxidant activity. The antioxidant activity found by Di Bernardini et al. (2012) for bovine brisket sarcoplasmic protein hydrolysate using the DPPH method of analysis was 18.68 ± 2.78%.

The use of enzyme in the hydrolysis of previous samples provided higher antioxidant activity values. The ultrasound did not interfere in the PEP and GEL samples antioxidant activity, however, the 8% enzymatic concentration was seen to generate higher values of antioxidant activity. The antioxidant activity of the PTL sample was higher when only the enzyme was used in the hydrolysis process. For the antioxidant activity of the ROU sample treated with 8% enzyme the ultrasound helped to obtain higher activity values.

Kangsanant et al. (2014) employed ultrasound treatments in a similar way of this study. The authors studied the use of high intensity ultrasound as pre-treatment and simultaneous to the hydrolysis of tilapia (pseudocrenilabrinae) muscle protein with the enzyme Flavourzyme® and its effect on the bioactivity of the hydrolysates obtained, such as the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities. Conversely to this study, the pre-treatment with high intensity ultrasound (70 W), 30 and 40 minutes, combined with conventional hydrolysis was shown the ideal condition to produce tilapia (pseudocrenilabrinae) hydrolysates with nitric oxide and antioxidant (in vitro) inhibiting activity.

3.8 Collagen hydrolysates antimicrobial activity

The samples that were shown more efficient to inhibit the Gram-negative bacteria Salmonella choleraesuiswere FB UEH, PTL EH and ROU EHU, with 20% sample concentration, and regarding the Gram-positive bacteria Staphylococcus aureus were FB EHU, PEP EHU and ROU EH with 17.5% sample concentration (results not shown). The use of ultrasound in the hydrolysis process favored the antimicrobial activity, which might be explained by better peptide and bacterial cellular membrane bond, inhibiting the micro-organism growth. In a study carried out by Rocha et al. (2014), testing films based on anchoita (Engraulis anchoita) protein along with organic acids to combat the bacteria Staphylococcus aureus, a zone of inhibition of the micro-organism was not found, unlike this study. The micro-organism might use nitrogen present in amino acids as a source of nutrients, with metabolism of nucleotides, peptides and complex proteins. The enzyme secreted by bacteria might hydrolyze a wide variety of protein substrates. In a study by Vidal et al. (2018) the collagen hydrolysates showed inhibition against Gram-negative bacteria Salmonella choleraesuis and Gram-positive bacteria Staphylococcus aureus.

### Table 5. Protein, hydrolysis degree and antioxidant activity average results regarding different collagen hydrolysate samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collagen**</th>
<th>Enzyme, %</th>
<th>US conc*</th>
<th>Enzyme average</th>
<th>Collagen average</th>
<th>US conc*</th>
<th>Enzyme average</th>
<th>Collagen average</th>
<th>US conc*</th>
<th>Enzyme average</th>
<th>Collagen average</th>
<th>US conc*</th>
<th>Enzyme average</th>
<th>Collagen average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Without</td>
<td>With</td>
<td></td>
<td>Without</td>
<td>With</td>
<td></td>
<td>Without</td>
<td>With</td>
<td></td>
<td>Without</td>
<td>With</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protein, mg/mL</td>
<td>Hydrolysis degree, %</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity, %</td>
<td>Protein, mg/mL</td>
<td>Hydrolysis degree, %</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity, %</td>
<td>Protein, mg/mL</td>
<td>Hydrolysis degree, %</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity, %</td>
<td>Protein, mg/mL</td>
<td>Hydrolysis degree, %</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.21a</td>
<td>7.24ab</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>7.80a</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>12.15a</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>5.50a</td>
<td>7.13a</td>
<td>10.5a</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>30.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.97ab</td>
<td>7.78ab</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.92b</td>
<td>6.92b</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.79ab</td>
<td>9.35ab</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>8.71a</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>0.62ab</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.66bc</td>
<td>21.7ab</td>
<td>12.9ab</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>32.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.16ab</td>
<td>8.54ab</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.18b</td>
<td>2.85ab</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.02ab</td>
<td>7.93ab</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>7.86a</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>1.36b</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.10bcd</td>
<td>37.3ab</td>
<td>31.0ab</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>36.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.81ab</td>
<td>7.68ab</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74b</td>
<td>4.30ab</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.80a</td>
<td>7.80ab</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.58c</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>1.34ab</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.91b</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.31ab</td>
<td>7.41ab</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.76ab</td>
<td>3.54ab</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.98a</td>
<td>7.80ab</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>7.89a</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>2.85ab</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.39cd</td>
<td>15.0ab</td>
<td>18.4ab</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>29.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.00ab</td>
<td>7.77ab</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>2.70ab</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.89a</td>
<td>7.73ab</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>7.84a</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>2.82ab</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.91d</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.83ab</td>
<td>7.93ab</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82a</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FB – collagen fiber; FP – powder collagen fiber; PEP – hydrolyzed 1 collagen; PTL – hydrolyzed 2 collagen; GEL – gelatin 1; ROU – gelatin 2. *US conc – simultaneous ultrasound. Averages on the same line with small different letters indicate significant difference between ultrasound with ”t” test (p<0.05). Averages on the same column with different capital letters indicate significant difference between enzyme or collagen with ”t” test (p<0.05).**
4 Conclusion

The ultrasound was beneficial to the collagen structural hydrolysis. Simultaneous ultrasound and the 8% enzymatic concentration were shown most efficient in the sample hydrolysis process, conferring the best hydrolysis degree and antioxidant activity results. The treatment that provided the highest antioxidant activity in the samples PTL and FB was with 8% enzyme, while in the samples ROU, FP and PEP was the simultaneous ultrasound with 8% enzyme. The best antioxidant activity results for GEL were obtained with 8% enzyme regardless of the use of ultrasound. The results pointed out that higher hydrolysis degree not always result in higher antioxidant activity, since values which are too high might damage the hydrolysate functional properties. The use of ultrasound in the hydrolysis process favored the antimicrobial activity. Therefore, we concluded that the ultrasound improved sample hydrolysis, providing higher functionality and structural disruption.
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