Effect of different sweetener blends and fat types on ice cream properties
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1 Introduction
Besides ability and experience of the researcher, the development of processed foods also requires a proper understanding of the major phenomena that dictate the interactions among different ingredients to achieve the desired characteristics of the final product (SILVA JUNIOR et al., 2010).

Ice cream has been identified as a three-component foam made up of a network of fat globules and ice crystals dispersed in a high viscosity aqueous phase (AIME et al., 2001). According to Adapa et al. (2000a), the composition of ice cream varies depending on the market requirements and processing conditions. Although the quality of the final product depends largely on processing and freezing parameters, the ingredients also play an important role. The physical structure of ice cream affects its melting rate and hardness although the specific relationships have not all been worked out (MUSE; HARTEL, 2004).

Sugars are an economic path to increase the solid content in ice creams. Usually, blends containing sucrose and glucose or fructose are employed as partial substitutes to sucrose (ARBUCKLE, 2000). The sweetener used in formulations dictates the freezing point depression of the mix, which can be correlated to the equilibrium ice phase volume using a freezing point depression curve and the draw temperature (DREWETT; HARTEL, 2007); it can also contribute to the viscosity of the unfrozen phase (MILLER-LIVNEY; HARTEL, 1997).

The development of structure in ice cream is often attributed to the macromolecules present in the ice cream mix – milk fat, protein, and complex carbohydrates. Milk fat interacts with other ingredients to develop the texture, mouthfeel, creaminess, and overall sensations of lubricity. Typically, ice cream contains 10 to 16% of fat, and its type and amount influence
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the characteristics of the resultant products by affecting their rheological properties (ADAPA et al., 2000b).

As suggested by some authors, the fat content can influence the size of the ice crystals. Fat globules could mechanically impede the ice crystal growth (TRGO; KOXHOLT; KESSLER, 1999). Since each type of fat exhibits a specific polymorphism function of its triacylglycerol composition, the thermal behavior of fats during the processing of ice cream may influence the physicochemical properties of the intermediate and final products (GRANGER et al., 2005).

In this study, the chemical, physical, and mechanical properties of four chocolate ice cream formulations produced using different sugar blends and fat types were evaluated.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Ice cream formulation and production

Formulas for the mixes are shown in Table 1. Sucrose, whole milk, and chocolate powder were purchased from the local market. Hydrogenated vegetable fat (Glaze, Cargill), palm fat (370 S, Agropolma), glucose syrup (Excell 1040, 40 DE, Corn Products), fructose syrup (80.9 °Brix, Getec), and emulsifier/stabilizer (Cremodan, SIM-B, Danisco) were used. After weighing, the mixtures were preheated, pasteurized at 82 °C for 25 seconds, cooled at 10 °C, mixed using a Fisaton 713D mixer at 850 rpm, and aged overnight at 4 °C. Aeration and freezing were performed in a scraped surface heat exchanger. The ice cream formulations were packed in plastic containers (V = 2 L) and stored at -18 °C.

Table 1. Composition of ice cream mixes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (%)</th>
<th>Formulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk powdered</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenated vegetable fat</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm fat</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose syrup</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose syrup</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsifier/stabilizer</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chocolate powdered</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Physicochemical analysis

Determinations of residual moisture, proteins, lipids, and ashes content were performed in triplicate, according to AOAC (ASSOCIATION..., 1995). The Nifext fraction (carbohydrates) was obtained by the calculation of the difference in other analyzed fractions. Measurements of pH were obtained by direct immersion of the electrode in the samples. Factor 6.38 was used to calculate the ratio nitrogen/protein, according to the TBCAUsp-Table of food composition (UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, 2008). The energetic content was calculated from the caloric coefficient of proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates as 16.7 kJ.g⁻¹, 37.6 kJ.g⁻¹, and 16.7 kJ.g⁻¹, respectively.

2.3 Melting rate

According to the methodology proposed by Lee and White (1991), the ice cream samples (100.0 ± 2.0 g; -12 °C) were placed on a mesh attached to a graduated cylinder and maintained in a controlled temperature chamber at 25 °C, under constant humidity (≈ 50%). The dripped volume was measured for 45 minutes every 5 minutes. The data recorded were used to determine the melting rate (mL/minute).

2.4 Overrun

Overrun was measured after batch freezing by carefully filling a capsule of known volume with the ice cream and weighing. Comparisons of the weight of the original ice cream mix allow calculation of the overrun.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The statistical evaluation was performed using Statistica 6.0/2001 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Tukey HSD test, at a 5% significance level, was used to define the difference among formulas.

3 Results and discussion

Physicochemical characteristics are presented in Table 2. Ice creams containing fructose syrup presented solid content higher than that of the products added with glucose syrup. The hygroscopicity attributed to the fructose molecules was pointed as the most probable cause for this behavior.
it was possible to conclude that for a given sweetener blend, products made with palm fat had lower levels of destabilization as suggested by the melting point behavior.

3.2 Overrun

Ice cream and related products are generally aerated and characterized as frozen foams. The gas phase volume varies greatly from a maximum of 50% to a minimum of 10-15% (GOFF, 2002). As seen in Figure 2, the overrun was comprised between 35 and 40%. According to Moretti (1979), the incorporation of air in mixtures that contain cocoa solids, which have higher viscosity, is more difficult. In addition, Chang and Hartel (2002) reinforce that other formulation factors such as emulsifiers and ingredient interactions play a role in the overrun.

Trgo, Koxholt and Kessler (1999) found that a reduction in the dry matter results in an increase in the freezing temperature. According to the authors, higher water content leads to a longer hardening time since more heat of fusion has to be eliminated. No effect of formulation was observed in the proteins and lipid content. The differences in the calorics content of the ice creams were due to variations in content of carbohydrates. The pH of the ice creams varied with the different treatments and was systematically higher than that found in the literature (ARBUCKLE, 2000), probably as a consequence of the alkalinity normally measured in chocolate. A significant difference in pH was found among the formulations. Segall and Goff (2002) reported that to control the pH in an emulsion containing proteins is very important because when values are comprised between 4 and 6, flocculation and creaming can occur. However, the same reduction in pH is desired, and thus the proteins get near to an isoelectric point and the repulsion between the groups with the same electric charge increases protein-protein interactions increasing the formation of a second layer around the fat globules increasing the emulsion stability.

3.1 Melting behavior

The effects of composition on the melting properties of ice creams are shown in Figure 1. Melting times were independent of protein content for all ice creams but were affected by sugar type. The formulations added with fructose syrup, generally, presented higher melting rate when compared to products made with glucose syrup. A probable cause for this behavior was the impact of fructose syrup on the freezing point of the mixes. On the other hand, the slower thawing of the ice creams produced with glucose syrup was associated to the size and molar weight of the chains of this monosaccharide, which result in more entanglement among the macromolecules leading to an increase in viscosity. The melting rates (curve slopes) were obtained by linear regression ($R^2 > 0.98$). The initial melting times (intersection to “xx” axis) was similar for all ice creams. The lower and higher melting times were obtained for the formulations based on the hydrogenated vegetable fat/glucose syrup and palm fat/fructose syrup, respectively.

The structural arrangement of the ice creams reflects their thermal properties. The optimal formation of fat structure in ice cream is responsible for the slowness of meltdown after hardening (GOFF, 2002) and increases with the solid fat content. The formulation factors, such as emulsifiers, have been shown to influence the extent of fat destabilization (CHANG; HARTEL, 2002). Moreover, Muse and Hartel (2004) found important relationships between the sugar type applied in formulations and the physical behavior of products. They reported that ice creams made with 20 DE corn syrup generally had the highest levels of destabilized fat, which was attributed to the high viscosity and percentage of ice during freezing, which leads to high shear forces and enhanced fat destabilization. According to the authors, a greater extent of destabilized fat increases the resistance to flow of the serum phase as ice melted, which leads to slower meltdown. On the other hand, ice creams with low levels of destabilized fat (up to 30%) melted quickly and did not retain their shape. Thus, from the results (Figure 1),
as fat, emulsifier, and stabilizer contents as well as processing conditions (whipping temperature and freezing power) can influence air cells development. Comparing the ice creams, it seems that the fat type did not influence the air incorporation. However, it was observed that for the same fat type sugar blends impacted upon aeration levels. Mixes containing glucose syrup presented lower overrun. The products added with this carbohydrate generally exhibit higher viscosity, and in agreement to Adapa et al. (2000b), more viscous systems do not favor foaming capacity. Hence, this parameter could have been the primary cause for the decrease in whipping ability.

4 Conclusions

In addition to the expected effects on melting behavior and solid content, the sugar blends used in this study affected the air incorporation. It is known that greater air content increases the melting resistance. However, despite the lower overrun, ice creams made with fructose syrup melted more slowly. Thus, the levels of air added into the products did not allow safe conclusions about the influence of this parameter in the physical behavior of the assessed ice creams in this study.

The replacement of hydrogenated vegetable fat for palm fat caused changes in the melting ranges of the formulations. Higher melting rate was observed in the combination of palm fat and fructose syrup.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by CAPES- Brazilian research supporting foundation.

References


