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Abstract
A biosensor was developed for spectrophotometric determination of glucose concentrations in real samples of orange juice energetic drinks, and sport drinks. The biosensor consisted of glucose oxidase (GOD) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) immobilized onto polyaniline activated with glutaraldehyde (PANIG). Immobilization parameters were optimized for GOD, and maximum immobilization yield was 16% when 5.0 mg of PANIG and 8.9 U prepared in 0.1 mol.L−1 sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) reacted for 60 minutes at 4 °C with gentle stirring. The linear operational range for glucose determination using optimized operational parameters was between 0.05 and 6.0 mg.mL−1 with a very good reproducibility of response. The results obtained in the biosensor were compared with those obtained using free enzymes (commercial kits) and then validated through statistical analysis using the Tukey test (95% confidence interval).
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1 Introduction
Starch is one of the biomolecules that appears frequently in food composition due to its physical properties, and because it acts as a source of glucose and therefore of energy (DEMIATE; KONKEL; PEDROSO, 2001; WEBER; COLLARES-QUEIROZ; CHANG, 2009). The proliferation of microorganisms in foodstuffs is directly dependent on their ability to hydrolyze starch releasing glucose that will be the primary source of energy for growth. In this case, increase in glucose concentration may be an important and early indicator of contamination.

The determination of glucose concentration is also particularly important in some food products since glucose causes browning during dehydration and long-term storage, mainly due to the Maillard reaction. This is a major obstacle in the manufacture of dehydrated egg powder (D’SOUZA; GODBOLE, 1989), and it is also a serious problem in hydrolysis of high molecular weight dextrins to oligosaccharides, utilized in the production of pharmaceutical products. The resulting colored compounds are not compatible with pharmaceutical and food rules for formulation acceptability (MISLOVICOVÁ; MICHALKOVÁ; VIKARTOVSKÁ, 2007). Therefore, the quantitative determination of glucose constitutes an important measurement in food product quality control.

Numerous methods have been reported for glucose analysis in food. However, most of the current adopted methods are time consuming or costly (AQUINO et al., 2004; WU et al., 2004; DRUZIAN; DOKI; SCAMPARINI, 2005; YILDIZ et al., 2005). Currently, several devices are available for precise and prompt glucose measurements in the clinical area, but these devices are frequently designed to operate under blood serum conditions. Development of fast, cheap, practical, and selective methods for detecting glucose in food is still a research area that brings together efforts from chemistry to clinical analysis.

Enzymatic determinations of glucose involve glucose oxidase (E.C. 1.1.3.4.) (GOD) and horseradish peroxidase (E.C. 1.11.1.7) in a sequence of redox reactions. Glucose oxidase as a free enzyme has been used in the measurement of glucose in foods and beverages (ÇIL et al., 2007; WILSON; TURNER, 1992; SARTINI et al., 1998) even though it represents an important cost component of a product (WU et al., 2004). To overcome this inconvenience, GOD has been immobilized on various supports with the purpose of its economic reuse (MISLOVICOVÁ; MICHALKOVÁ; VIKARTOVSKÁ, 2007; ENDO et al., 2006; FERREIRA; FIORITO; OLIVEIRA JUNIOR, 2004; GÜRSEL et al., 2003). Examples of the use of immobilized
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GOD in food production are the preparation of gluconic acid (RAMACHANDRAN et al., 2006; BAO et al., 2004; FIEDUREK, 2001), removal of oxygen from beer (HARTMEIER; WILLOX, 1981), and the removal of glucose from eggs (SISAK et al., 2006; SANKARAN; GODBOLE; D’SOUZA, 1989; D’SOUZA; GODBOLE, 1989). When immobilized in biosensors, GOD is also used for the removal of glucose from pentosan of wheat flour (HOUBEN; RUIJTER; BRUNT, 1997), and health control of farm fish (ENDO et al., 2006). In spite of its wide application, the most common and serious problem of GOD biosensors is their lack of stability because of the intrinsic nature of the enzyme (KANG et al., 2007).

In a previous study, we showed a spectrophotometric device for hydrogen peroxide measurement using horseradish peroxidase (HRP) immobilized on polyaniline (FERNANDES et al., 2005). This device was used to measure glucose in a combination with free GOD. In the present paper, the development of a cheap and stable biosensor containing HRP and immobilized GOD and its use for spectrophotometric determination of glucose in real samples of sport drinks, soft drinks, and orange juice, is described.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Reagents

Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) type VI was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Glucose oxidase (GOD) 90 U.mg⁻¹ hydrogen peroxide, and aniline were obtained from E. Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Aniline was distilled under vacuum obtaining a colorless liquid. Energil C and orange juice were produced by Tampico - Tampico Beverages Inc., Chicago – IL, EUA. On Line and Fly horse were produced by AmBev – GlobalBev, Marathon by Fratelli Vita - AmBev – GlobalBev. Red bull and Gatorade were produced by Quaker Oats Company. All other reagents were analytical grade and polyaniline (PANIG) concentration, the pH, and the time of the immobilization reaction. The reactions were performed at 4 °C. After immobilization, PANIG-GOD was washed with 0.1 mol.L⁻¹ phosphate buffer pH 7.0 to remove unbounded enzyme molecules.

Measurements of GOD immobilization were performed by adding 2.8 mL of freshly prepared substrate solution containing 41.05 mmol.L⁻¹ phenol, 0.6 mmol.L⁻¹ 4-aminoantipyrine, both prepared in 0.1 mol.L⁻¹ phosphate buffer, and pH 7.0 to PANIG-GOD. The reaction was started by the addition of 20 µL of glucose solution (0.05 to 6 mg.mL⁻¹) which were left to occur for 10 minutes. The product formed was analyzed using a spectrophotometer at 510 nm. All measurements were performed in triplicates, and the results were presented as mean and standard deviation.

2.4 Reactor design

The biosensor was constructed as an air-lift reactor consisting of 5.0 mg of PANIG-HP and 5.0 mg of PANIG-GOD assembled into a polypropylene reaction camera connected to an air pump, an upper connection for substrate input and a lower valve for product exit. The products were pumped through a detection system consisting of a spectrophotometer connected to a recorder. Optical density was recorded every 5 seconds at 510 nm in a glass cell with 1.0 mm optical path length (Figure 1). The relationship between PANIG-HP and PANIG-GOD amounts in the reaction camera were optimized to obtain the best performance of the system.

2.5 Operational range for glucose determination and operational stability of the biosensor

The system was operated at room temperature. The operations consisted of filling the reaction camera containing the immobilized enzymes with substrate solution (2.2). 20 µL injections of increasing concentrations of glucose samples (0.05 a 6.0 mg.mL⁻¹) started the reactions, which were left to proceed for 10 minutes. Next, the exit valve was opened, and the product formed was pumped to the detection system. The residence time was tested from 1 to 30 minutes. Only measurements higher
than 0.4 EU was considered to establish the inferior limit of detection. Readings below this value were considered as noise.

The operational stability of the biosensor was tested by sequential injections of glucose samples followed by washes with phosphate buffer.

### 2.6 Determination of glucose in real samples

The amount of glucose in real samples was determined using biosensor. The tests were performed in triplicates, and the results were presented as mean and standard deviation. The operations consisted of filling the reaction camera containing the immobilized enzymes with the substrate solution (2.2). Injections of 20 µL of the beverages samples started the reactions which were left to proceed for 10 minutes. Next, the exit valve was opened, and the product formed was pumped to the detection system. The data obtained using the biosensor were compared with the glucose amounts determined via glucose oxidase method using free GOD and HRP (HENRY, 1996). Total sugar concentration was measured using the Dubois (phenol sulphuric) method (1956).

### 2.7 Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed at least three times in triplicate with three independent samples. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) and comparison between means values were applied to establish differences using the Tukey test (confidence interval 95%). All analyses were carried out using the Statistica 6.0 software.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 GOD immobilisation

The immobilization parameters were optimized for GOD resulting in a maximum immobilization yield of 16% when 5.0 mg of PANIG and 8.9 EU prepared in 0.1 mol.L\(^{-1}\) phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, were left to react for 60 minutes, at 4 °C under gently stirring. Figure 2 shows that pH seems to be an important immobilization factor because variations of one pH unit resulted in a significant increase in the immobilized enzyme content. This variation was probably caused by the state of ionization of the lateral chains of the lysine residues present on the exposed surface of GOD since these are the targets for reaction with the carbonyl groups of glutaraldehyde to form Schiff bases (ARTIGO LU). Furthermore, as can be seen in Figure 3, increases in enzyme concentration resulted in increases in the amount of immobilized enzyme. Efficiency of immobilization in the optimized conditions was higher than those found by Du et al. (2009), who reported a yield of 3.36% when GOD was immobilized in Poly (St-GMA-NaSS) microspheres with and without BSA-spacer.

#### 3.2 Operational range for glucose determination

Figure 4a, b, c shows the response obtained in the biosensor for three independent glucose injections. The operational range for glucose determination was stated from 0.05 to 6.0 mg.mL\(^{-1}\).

![Figure 2. Activity of immobilized GOD versus the pH of immobilization.](image)

![Figure 3. Activity of immobilized GOD versus the amount of enzyme added to PANIG.](image)

![Figure 4. Response linearity and reproducibility of biosensor. Each set of responses (a, b, and c) corresponds to an independent biosensor and each point of response to a mean of at least three assays.](image)
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Table 1. Amounts of carbohydrate and glucose in real samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beverage</th>
<th>Carbohydrates (mg.mL(^{-1}))**</th>
<th>Total sugar (mg.mL(^{-1}))**</th>
<th><strong>Glucose – biosensor (mg.mL(^{-1}))</strong></th>
<th><strong>Glucose - with free enzymes (mg.mL(^{-1}))</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport drinks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatorade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56.86 ± 4.3</td>
<td>53.7 ± 3.6</td>
<td>41.2 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>87.74 ± 2.6</td>
<td>48.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>36.26 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energil C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>93.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>50.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>46.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energetic drinks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On line</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>119.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>67.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>61.8 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Bull</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>151.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>64 ± 3.4</td>
<td>67.1 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flying Horse</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>125.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>47 ± 3.7</td>
<td>49 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange juice</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>108.7 ± 5.0</td>
<td>53.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>48.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Informed by the manufacturer. **The results did not present meaningful statistical differences in the Tukey test.

As can be seen, the system is able to determine glucose with high reproducibility and linearity of response (a, \(r = 0.997\); b, \(r = 0.995\), and c, \(r = 0.996\)).

The results obtained showed that the operational range for glucose determination (0.05 to 6 mg.mL\(^{-1}\)) used in this study was larger than that reported by Duong and Rhee (2007), who obtained values of the detection limit between 0.10-0.52 mg.mL\(^{-1}\).

3.3 Long-term stabilities of GOD/HRP biosensor

To evaluate long-term stabilities of the biosensor, the responses were monitored during continuous use. As can be seen in Figure 5, the biosensor was used in 25 cycles for glucose determination without any loss in sensitivity. This finding is very important since it combines the stability of two immobilized enzymes: HRP and GOD. A preliminary study showed that HRP immobilized onto polyaniline was very stable for hydrogen peroxide determination (FERNANDES et al., 2005). Several authors reported stability of enzyme biosensors containing immobilized GOD (ÇIL et al., 2007; MISLOVICOVÁ; MICHALKOVÁ; VIKARTOVSKÁ, 2007; YILDIZ et al., 2005; FERREIRA; FIORITO; OLIVEIRA JUNIOR, 2004; GÜRSEL et al., 2003). The variation observed in this parameter is very high, and as such it is possible to find stabilities from 45% of initial activity after 5 days of use and storage to 90% of initial activity after 45 cycles of use. Based on these data, the biosensor described here presents good stability, especially considering the ease of the polymer synthesis, immobilization, and the operation of the device.

3.4 Determination of glucose amount in real samples

Several sport and energetic drinks as well as orange juice were analyzed for their glucose contents using a GOD/HRP biosensor and also by a free enzyme system. Table 1 shows the results of glucose determination in the biosensor and the use of the free enzymes.

As can be seen, there was a close relationship between the values found for the glucose measurements in the biosensor and those obtained with free enzymes. Slightly higher variations were observed among the total amounts of carbohydrates informed by the manufacturer and those obtained by the phenol-sulphuric method.

As can be seen, the system is able to determine glucose with high reproducibility and linearity of response (a, \(r = 0.997\); b, \(r = 0.995\), and c, \(r = 0.996\)).

The results obtained showed that the operational range for glucose determination (0.05 to 6 mg.mL\(^{-1}\)) used in this study was larger than that reported by Duong and Rhee (2007), who obtained values of the detection limit between 0.10-0.52 mg.mL\(^{-1}\).

One interesting finding of this study was the absence of interference in the measurements. The composition of energetic beverages and soft drinks presents several compounds which can interfere in the performance of enzymes. Compounds such as citric acid, ascorbic acid, and several others may interfere in the reactions catalyzed by both GOD and HRP. Other components of these drinks may be oxidized by hydrogen peroxide produced in the HRP reaction hindering the GOD reaction. Particularly, biosensors can suffer from polymer interference if the support used for immobilization act as an adsorbing component. The absence of interference in the case of PANIG-GOD-HRP is a very interesting finding.

4 Conclusion

This study showed that GOD and HRP can be successfully immobilized in PANIG polymer, and that the biosensor resulting from this procedure represented a cheap, fast, and practical alternative to glucose determination in the samples tested. The biosensor showed high reproducibility of response and high stability during repeated use. Another important characteristic of this biosensor was the wide range of detection. The absence of interference of the components in the samples, especially ascorbic acid in the orange juice and citric acid in all the other beverages, was a very important finding of this research opening up the possibility of a wide range of application possibilities of this biosensor.
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