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Abstract

The goal of this study was to evaluate the sensory profile of eleven peach cultivars grown in an experimental orchard located in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil) in two seasons. The peach cultivars analyzed were Aurora I, Chimarrita, Chiripá, Coral, Eldorado, Granada, Leonense, Maciel, Marli, Premier, and Vanguarda. The sensory analysis was performed by previously trained panelists; 20 of them in the first season and 10 in the second season. The sensory evaluation was performed using Quantitative Descriptive Analysis, in which the following attributes were measured: appearance, aroma, flesh color, flesh firmness, flavor, and juiciness. The results showed preference for sweet, soft, and juicy fruits. Chimarrita, Chiripá, and Coral fruits showed better sensorial performance than the other peach cultivars. It was also verified that the analysis of the attributes aroma, flesh firmness, and flavor is enough for performing the sensory profile of peach fruits for in natura consumption.

Keywords: Prunus persica; stone fruit; hedonic test; post harvest.

1 Introduction

Peach is the eighth most produced fruit in the world and one of the most consumed in natura (MATHIAS et al., 2008). Brazilian peach production is the 13th worldwide with an area of 23.864 ha (AGRIANUAL…, 2007); however, it does not supply the internal market (SATO, 2001).

In the state of Paraná, only few peach cultivars are grown for the commercial purpose of in natura consumption, which leads to a restricted harvest period and consequently to a small profit margin due to the offer of concentrated products. An alternative to this problem is to grow other cultivars in order to produce fruits in different periods, which would also minimize eventual losses caused by late frost during the flowering stage or hail storm in the fruiting stage.

Peach consumers have become very demanding for fruit quality, mainly because of their health concerns (TIBOLA; FACHINELLO, 2004). Among the quality attributes appreciated by consumers, the most important are: flavor, aroma, flesh firmness, and appearance, which includes shape, size, and flesh color (KAYS, 1998). The goal of this research was to evaluate the sensory profile of eleven peach cultivars.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Experimental orchard

The experimental orchard was planted in the city of Lapa (PR/ Brazil), located at 25° 46’ 02” S and 49° 42’ 10” W, in August 2003, with plants obtained from Rio Grande do Sul State (Brazil). The experiment was designed in beds, which consisted of three rows spaced 3 m apart. Three plants of each cultivar were randomly planted in each row, as follows: Aurora I, Chimarrita, Chiripá, Coral, Eldorado, Granada, Leonense, Maciel, Marli, Premier, and Vanguarda, spaced 80 cm apart totaling 33 plants per row. The orchard was pruned using the ‘Y’ system.

2.2 Sampling

In the 2006/2007 and 2008/2009 seasons, 20 fruits per plant were randomly harvested, making a total of 180 fruits per cultivar. The fruits with cream-colored epidermis were harvested when the peel was 40 to 60% reddish; as well as those with yellowish epidermis, which were harvested when the peel was 40 to 60% golden-yellow (CHITARRA; CHITARRA, 2005).
Immediately after harvest, all fruits were taken to the Laboratory, where they were stored in shelves for three days at 25 °C and 65% of relative air humidity until sensory analysis.

2.3 Sensory analysis

Ethical clearance approval for this study was granted by the University’ Research Ethics Committee. Forty assessors were pre-screened and trained based on availability, general food habits, ability to discriminate differences between products, and to describe their perceptions, according to the methodology described by Dutcosky (2007). The sensory panel that consisted of twenty selected and trained panelists consensually defined the descriptors showing similarities and differences for appearance, aroma, flesh color, flesh firmness, juiciness, and flavor. The sensory analysis was performed using Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA) (STONE; SIDEL, 1985).

Sixty homogeneous fruits, caliber 4 or 5, were selected for QDA and evaluated in triplicate taking into account the six descriptors described earlier. During the 2006/2007 season, the sensory analysis panel consisted of 20 panelists, and it consisted of 10 panelists during the 2008/2009 season. The reduction in the panelists’ number in the second season was based on the sampling technique, which statistically demonstrated that 10 trained panelists were enough for this study. Each panelist received a sample of three fruits from each cultivar. The cultivars were analyzed during the harvesting period; therefore, a maximum of three cultivars were assessed per day. Between each sample analysis, the panelists were served crackers and mineral water.

2.4 Data analysis

Statistic analysis was performed using SAS Statistic Program (STATICAL…, 1985); it consisted of multivariate analysis and grouping analysis.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Multivariate analysis

In the 2006/2007 season, two factors explained 81.30% of the total variance (Table 1), which were represented by aroma, flesh firmness, and flavor. In the 2008/2009 season, it was also observed the presence of two factors, both of which explained 80.41% of the total variance (Table 1). However, these factors were represented by appearance, flesh color, flesh firmness, juiciness, and flavor. Except for the attribute aroma, all other attributes were significantly important to determine the sensory profile. This is in accordance with other authors who demonstrated that appearance, flesh color, flesh firmness, juiciness, and flavor are essential attributes for fruit acceptability (SAMS, 1998; MATTHEIS; FELLMAN, 1998; MARTINS et al., 2002; ROMBALDI et al., 2002).

With regard to flavor, in the 2006/2007 season, Chimarrita and Chiripá fruits were those who received higher sensory scores (Figure 1). These cultivars normally have high sugar content (ROMBALDI et al., 2001), which explains the preference expressed by the panelists for both cultivars (Figure 1). In the next season, in addition to Chimarrita and Chiripá, Coral fruits were also highly rated regarding flavor (Figure 2). Peach is characterized by high accumulation of soluble solids, responsible for its sweet taste (ROMBALDI et al., 2001), which is in accordance with one study carried out in Rio Grande do Sul State, in which 86% of the consumers preferred sweetish peaches (TREVISAN et al., 2006).

The 2006/2007 season had high aroma score in the sensory analysis. Peach aroma is mainly attributed to benzaldehyde and ketone, which are volatile compounds of great sensory significance (SUMITANI et al., 1994), and has been frequently correlated with flavor (SANDI et al., 2003), as verified here.

In the 2006/2007 season, Chimarrita and Chiripá fruits showed softer flesh than the other cultivars (Figure 1). In the next season, Marli and Premier, in addition to Chimarrita and Chiripá, also showed softer flesh. All these cultivars are recommended for in natura consumption (Figure 2) and are described in the literature as having soft flesh (RASEIRA; NAKASU, 1998; BIASI et al., 2004). It was observed that in both seasons most good flavor cultivars were those also with softer flesh. Considering that all fruits were harvested at the same ripening stage, such results demonstrate that consumers are likely to prefer peach with softer flesh, such as Chimarrita and Chiripá.

In both seasons, Granada, Vanguarda, Eldorado, Leonense, and Maciel showed firmer flesh (Figures 1 and 2), which explains why these cultivars are usually used for industrial purpose (RASEIRA; NAKASU, 1998). Furthermore, they also produce large fruits with acid flavor (RASEIRA; NAKASU, 1998), and therefore it is necessary to add sucrose and other sweetening compounds (EMBRAPA, 2009) to improve their flavor (TORALLES et al., 2006; MENDONÇA et al., 2005).

Leonelles fruits with yellow flesh and peel had the best appearance and flesh color scores in the 2008/2009 season.

Table 1. Factor analysis of eleven peach cultivars produced in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil) in the 2006/2007 and 2008/2009 seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total accumulated variation (%)</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Comunality</td>
<td>Total accumulated variation (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autovalor</td>
<td>Aroma</td>
<td>1.4288</td>
<td>47.67</td>
<td>Appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>1.0101</td>
<td>81.30</td>
<td>Flesh color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Juiciness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flavor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(Figure 2). A research carried out with peaches demonstrated that consumers associate fruit appearance with its sugar level (TREVISAN et al., 2006), which also influences their decision to buy the product (KAYS, 1991). This result is in accordance with the results obtained by Trevisan et al. (2006), who found that consumers prefer fruits with yellow flesh and peel to those with reddish or cream-colored peel.

Although Maciel and Leonense fruits, double-use cultivars, received good score for most attributes, they did not have as good flavor as the other cultivars (Figure 2).

Chimarrita, Coral and Chiripá fruits were very highly rated in the sensory analysis; they received the highest scores for the attribute flavor, essential in the for fruit quality analysis and consumers’ preference (MATTHEIS; FELLMAN, 1998; CHITARRA; CHITARRA, 2005), as observed in Figure 2. These results are in accordance with those obtained in the analysis performed with the 2006/2007 season cultivars, in which the Chimarrita and Chiripá cultivars were also highly rated regarding the attribute flavor, confirming the preference for fruits with sweet flavor to those with acid flavor for in natura consumption.

The results obtained demonstrated the preference for the consumption of sweet, soft and juicy in natura peach.

3.2 Grouping analysis

Observing the cultivars’ grouping analysis in the 2006/2007 season (Figure 3), it is possible to verify that these cultivars’ sensory profile meet the requirements for in natura consumption (Premier and Aurora I, Marli and Coral, Chimarrita and Chiripá). The same was also observed in the cultivars grown for industrial purpose (Granada and Vanguarda), as well as for those for double use (Maciel and Eldorado).

Figure 1. Sensory profile of eleven peach cultivars produced in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil) in the 2006/2007 season and analyzed using Quantitative Descriptive Analysis.
Peaches characteristics required for industrial purposes are mainly firm fleshed (CHITARRA; CHITARRA, 2005). Granada and Vanguarda fruits formed distinct groups (Figure 3), and demonstrated that flesh firmness, aroma, and flavor are important in the selection of cultivars for industry (Figures 1 and 2).

In the 2006/2007 season, Chimarrita and Chiripá cultivars did not fit in grouping formed by the Aurora I and Premier (Figure 3), for in natura consumption probably due to the better flavor attributed to the first group (Figure 2). In both seasons, Coral and Chimarrita, Maciel and Leonense, and Premier and Aurora I formed groups of cultivars with the same purpose demonstrating similarities between themselves (Figures 3 and 4).

In the 2007/2008 season, Granada and Chiripá, and Vanguarda and Marli grouped different purposes (Figure 4). In this grouping, flesh color was also the only similarity found between the two cultivars; Marli received high scores for the attributes flavor and juiciness, but Vanguarda received lower scores.

Figure 2. Sensory profile of eleven peach cultivars produced in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil) in the 2008/2009 season and analyzed using Quantitative Descriptive Analysis.

Figure 3. Dendrogram of grouping analysis plotted using the scores of the attributes flesh firmness, flavor, and aroma (Factors 1 and 2) obtained in the sensory analysis of eleven peach cultivars grown in the 2006/2007 season in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil). N: in natura; D: double use; I: industry.
than that in the same period in 2008 (Table 3). Rainfall and temperature affecting physicochemical peach characteristics were also observed by Dolinski et al. (2005) in Chimarrita peaches assessed for three consecutive years.

**Conclusions**

- Chimarrita, Chiripá, and Coral peach cultivars obtained the best results in the sensory analysis.
- In natura peach consumers prefer sweet, soft, and juicy fruits.
- The analysis of aroma, flesh firmness, and flavor is enough for performing the sensory profile of peach fruits.
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**Table 2.** Harvest period of eleven peach cultivars produced in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil) during the 2006/2007 and 2008/2009 seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarrita</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiripá</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldorado</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granada</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonense</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maciel</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premier</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanguarda</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Accumulated precipitation (mm). Data from the closest meteorological station to the experimental area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>146.6</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>163.4</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>181.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>176.8</td>
<td>163.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>107.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>244.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>205.0</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>198.8</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Dendrogram of grouping analysis plotted using the scores of the attributes appearance, flesh color, flesh firmness, juiciness, and flavor (Factors 1 and 2) obtained in the sensory analysis of eleven peach cultivars grown in the 2008/2009 season in the city of Lapa (PR, Brazil). N: in natura; D: double use, I: industry.


